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Abstract: Aim: The main objective was to evaluate the efficiency of the sewage treatment plant (STP) of UNISC, through the ecotoxicological characterization and the analyses of the physical, chemical and microbiological variables from the raw and treated effluent. Methods: Samples were collected during 2008 and 2009 for performing acute toxicity (Daphnia magna), chronic toxicity (Ceriodaphnia dubia), as well as the determination of environmental variables. Results: The results indicated acute toxicity with an EC(I)50 48 hours average of 64.1 ± 9.9%, characterized as moderately toxic, and an average chronic toxicity CI(I)25 of 8.1 ± 2.6%, characterized as highly toxic. The total phosphorus (3.6 ± 1.4 mg L⁻¹) and the ammonia nitrogen (77.8 ± 22.5 mg L⁻¹) showed high concentration in the treated effluent, highlighting the STP inefficiency and, specially, the large amount of nutrients that the system is introducing into the receiving waterbody, the Lajeado Stream, condition that characterizes a large potential environmental impact known as eutrophication. The treated effluent showed high levels of thermotolerant coliforms, reaching an average of 6.4 × 10⁵ ± 8.6 × 10⁵ NMP 100 mL⁻¹, corresponding to a potential pollution load of high impact in the receiving waterbody, characterizing therefore a public health problem. Conclusions: The Lajeado Stream has no supporting capacity for preventing acute and chronic effects on biota.
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Resumo: Objetivo: O principal objetivo foi avaliar a eficiência da estação de tratamento de efluentes (ETE) da UNISC, através da caracterização ecotóxica e de análises das variáveis físicas, químicas e microbiológicas do efluente bruto e tratado. Métodos: Amostras foram coletadas em 2008 e 2009, para a realização de ensaios de toxicidade aguda (Daphnia magna) e toxicidade crônica (Ceriodaphnia dubia), além da determinação de variáveis ambientais. Resultados: Os resultados indicaram toxicidade aguda, com uma CE(I)50 48 horas média de 64,1 ± 9,9%, caracterizada como medianamente tóxica, e uma toxicidade crônica média CI(I)25 de 8,1 ± 2,6%, caracterizada como extremamente tóxica. As variáveis fósforo total (3,6 ± 1,4 mg L⁻¹) e nitrogênio amoniacal (77,8 ± 22,5 mg L⁻¹), apresentaram altas concentrações no efluente tratado demonstrando a ineficiência da ETE e, principalmente, o grande aporte de nutrientes que o sistema lança ao corpo receptor, o Arroio Lajeado, condição que caracteriza um grande impacto ambiental potencial conhecido como eutrofização. O efluente tratado apresentou índices elevados de coliformes termotolerantes, atingindo um valor médio de 6,4 × 10⁵ ± 8,6 × 10⁵ NMP 100 mL⁻¹, correspondendo a uma carga poluidora potencial de alto impacto, caracterizando portanto um problema de Saúde Pública. Conclusões: O Arroio Lajeado não apresenta capacidade de suporte que permita prever efeitos agudos e crônicos à biota.

Palavras-chave: estação de tratamento de esgoto, qualidade do efluente tratado, Daphnia magna, Ceriodaphnia dubia.
1. Introduction

The generation of domestic or industrial wastes by man as well as the application of pesticides in soil, contributes to the introduction of compounds in the water, affecting its quality (Sperling, 2005).

In relation to the environmental laws for the State of Rio Grande do Sul, the resolution 128 of the Environmental State Council (Rio Grande do Sul, 2006a) determines the emission standards for wastewater sources to be discharged into the receiving water bodies. Yet, the resolution 129 (Rio Grande do Sul, 2006b), provides the definition of criteria and emission standards for toxicity of effluents released to surface waters. These environmental resolutions have provided qualitative and quantitative criteria for the actual impact of the effluent to the receiving water body, however, very little is discussed about the carrying capacity of the water body.

Thus, effluent treatment procedures play a fundamental role in the treatment and management of domestic and industrial effluents, in order to meet the official quality standards for the aquatic environment and protect public health. Conventional and advanced sewage treatments are constituted by a combination of different physical, chemical and biological techniques (Tessele, 2010).

The aquatic ecotoxicology is an effective tool to monitoring, prevent and control water quality, especially in environments with contaminants difficult to identify, by measuring the impact of chemicals or complex mixtures on living organisms, utilizing ecotoxicological assays (Almeida et al., 2002).

Thus, aware of the problems arising from water pollution, the University of Santa Cruz do Sul (UNISC) installed a Sewage Treatment Plant (STP) in order to remove nutrients and organic matter. With the Operating License Number, 4584/2007-DL, issued by the State Environmental Protection Institute (FEPAM), the procedure is made through a conventional preliminary treatment; primary treatment that consists in an upflow anaerobic sludge blanket reactor (UASB); secondary treatment, using a biofilter system (BA) followed by a secondary settling tank, with a maximum discharge of 360 m³ day⁻¹ considering an estimated population of 18,000 people. The Lajeado stream is the receiving waterbody of the treated effluent through indirect discharge in the rainwater channels. The effluent of the university campus is composed of black and yellow waters coming from the toilets; the urine is the main residue, considering an average floating population of 11,500 individuals per semester.

In this context, the objective of the present study was to evaluate the efficiency of the Sewage Treatment Plant (STP) from the University of Santa Cruz do Sul (UNISC), RS, Brazil, through the ecotoxicological characterization and the analyses of the physical, chemical and microbiological variables from the raw and treated effluent.

2. Material and Methods

The raw effluent samples were collected in the STP after the screening procedure in the preliminary treatment, and the treated effluent in the inspection box after the secondary treatment, from November to December 2008 and March to August 2009, totaling 36 samples for acute toxicity tests (18 samples for each type of effluent) and 28 samples for chronic toxicity tests (14 samples for each type of effluent). For the physical, chemical and microbiological analyses, 10 samples were collected (five samples for each type of effluent). For the microbiological analyses, the group of thermotolerant coliforms was used and the results were expressed as Most Probable Number MPN 100 mL⁻¹ (Silva et al., 2000). The methodology used for acute toxicity with Daphnia magna followed the Brazilian standard NBR 12713 (ABNT, 2004). The results were expressed as EC(I)50 48 hours, the concentration of the sample at the beginning of the test, which causes acute effect of 50% of exposed organisms in 48 hours (Hamilton, 1979).

The results of the acute toxicity tests were classified using a relative toxicity scale proposed by Lobo et al. (2006), (Table 1).

The methodology used for chronic toxicity with Ceriodaphnia dubia followed the Brazilian standard 13373 (ABNT, 2005). For statistical calculations, the criteria of reproduction and mortality were considered (Norberg King, 1993). The results were expressed as IC(I) 25, nominal concentration of the sample which cause a reduction of 25% percentage in the reproduction of the test organisms compared to control. Like the acute toxicity assay, the results of chronic toxicity tests were also classified using the relative toxicity scale adapted from Lobo et al. (2006).

The following physical and chemical parameters were used for environmental assessment: biochemical oxygen demand in 5 days (BOD₅, mg L⁻¹), chemical oxygen demand (COD, mg L⁻¹), total phosphorus (mg L⁻¹), ammonia nitrogen (mg L⁻¹), pH, oils and fats (mg L⁻¹), suspended solids (mg L⁻¹) and
temperature (°C). The analytical procedures are described in APHA (2005).

To estimate the potential environmental impact of the treated effluent into the receiving waterbody, the Lajeado Stream, the methodology described in CETESB (1992) was used, which considers situations of dry weather, that is, minimum flowrate of 7 days and turnaround time of 10 years ($Q_{7,10}$). The discharge ($Q_{7,10}$) has been used as reference in studies to define criteria for toxic effluents emissions. The flowrate of Lajeado Stream corresponds to 588.2 m$^3$ day$^{-1}$, determined by the Laboratory of Limnology of UNISC based on NWA (2010). The load carrying capacity of Lajeado Stream was determined after performing the calculation of the concentration of the effluent in the receiving waterbody, known as WBEC, estimated by Equation 1, where $Q_e$ represents the flow of the effluent from the STP, and $Q_{7,10}$, the minimum flowrate of 7 days and turnaround time of 10 years of Lajeado Stream.

$$WBEC = \frac{Q_e}{Q_{7,10} + Q_e} \times 100$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

For the acute toxicity test, CETESB (1992) determines that at the level of 1/3 of the EC(1)50 48 hours, the toxic effects are nulls. Moreover, it considers that the relationship between the EC(1)50 48 hours and NOEC(1) is typically on the order of 1/10 for preventing chronic effects. Thus, the concentration of the treated effluent to prevent acute effect (WBEC-AE) was estimated according to Equation 2, and to prevent chronic effects (WBEC-CE), using Equation 3.

$$WBEC - AE \leq \frac{EC50}{300}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

$$WBEC - CE \leq \frac{EC50}{1000}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

The ideal flow of Lajeado stream for receiving the treated effluent was estimated according to Equation 4, where (WBEC-CE) represents the value calculated to prevent chronic effects. $Q_e$ represents the flow of the effluent from the STP, and $Q_{7,10}$, the minimum flowrate of 7 days and turnaround time of 10 years of Lajeado Stream.

$$WBEC - CE = \frac{Q_e}{Q_{7,10} + Q_e} \times 100$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

### 3. Results and Discussion

Considering the total sampling period for the acute toxicity tests with *Daphnia magna*, September was the month in which there was a significant reduction of toxicity (Figure 1), from highly toxic to moderately toxic, showing a better efficiency in relation to the processes used. In the other months, however, the detoxification process showed no efficiency because it was not observed.

### Table 1. Scale of relative toxicity for acute test using *Daphnia magna*, proposed by Lobo et al. (2006).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentile</th>
<th>EC(1)50 48 hours</th>
<th>Toxicity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25°</td>
<td>&lt;25%</td>
<td>Extremely toxic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50°</td>
<td>25-50%</td>
<td>Highly toxic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75°</td>
<td>50-75%</td>
<td>Moderately toxic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>&gt;75%</td>
<td>Low toxic</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Figure 1.* Results of acute toxicity (mean ± standard deviation) for the raw and treated effluent from the STP, for all the months studied.
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Chronic toxicity in the treated effluent (7.1%) using Ceriodaphnia dubia as a test-organism.

Thus, the UASB and BA operational processes utilized in the STP were inefficient for detoxification purposes, having caused acute toxicity to Daphnia magna and chronic toxicity to Ceriodaphnia dubia, classifying the STP as moderately toxic and extremely toxic, respectively.

For an overall assessment of the raw and treated effluent, together with the ecotoxicological approach, physical and chemical analysis were carried out from March to August 2009, using as criteria for evaluation the CONAMA resolution 357 (Brasil, 2005) and the CONSEMA resolution 128 (Rio Grande do Sul, 2006a). The results indicated that the parameters BOD$_5$ (mg L$^{-1}$), COD (mg L$^{-1}$), oils and fats (mg L$^{-1}$), suspended solids (mg L$^{-1}$), temperature (°C) and pH were in accordance with the existing legal standards.

About total phosphorus (Figure 3), the resolution CONSEMA 128 (Rio Grande do Sul, 2006a) determines only maximum values for domestic wastewater with a discharge starting from 1000 m$^3$ day$^{-1}$, however, the STP of UNISC have a discharge of 70 to 120 m$^3$ day$^{-1}$. Even thus, the average concentration of total phosphorus in the treated effluent was 3.6 ± 1.4 mg L$^{-1}$, higher than the maximum allowed by CONSEMA's resolution, 3.0 mg L$^{-1}$, assuming a maximum flow of $1000 \leq Q \leq 2000$ m$^3$ day$^{-1}$. Colletta (2008) showed similar results, in which the average concentration of total phosphorus in the treated effluent was 4.8 ± 1.6 mg L$^{-1}$.

In a general way, the results indicated that the values obtained for total phosphorus in the treated

In a research conducted in 2007, Colletta (2008) evaluated the efficiency of detoxification of the effluent from the STP using Daphnia magna as test-organism. The results indicated inefficiency in the operational processes, since the raw effluent toxicity showed variations between moderately toxic to highly toxic levels while the treated effluent was classified as moderately toxic. In this way, the results from Colletta (2008) and those obtained in this study show that over the last two years there was no improvement in the efficiency of detoxification processes from STP.

With regard to chronic toxicity for all the months studied, the UASB and BA processes were inefficient to removal toxicity, since both raw and treated effluents were extremely toxic to Ceriodaphnia dubia (Figure 2).

Similarly, Colletta (2008) found out in a chronic toxicity study, performed in the same sewage treatment plant, that the raw and treated effluent were also classified as extremely toxic to the test-organism Scenedesmus subspicatus, an alga (Chlorophyceae) representing the trophic level of producers. Hamada (2008), after evaluating the sewage treatment plant of Suzano region, SP, which receives industrial effluents and domestic sewage from five counties, also verified extreme chronic toxicity in the treated effluent (7.1%) using Ceriodaphnia dubia as a test-organism.

In a research conducted in 2007, Colletta (2008) evaluated the efficiency of detoxification of the effluent from the STP using Daphnia magna as test-organism. The results indicated inefficiency in the operational processes, since the raw effluent toxicity showed variations between moderately toxic to highly toxic levels while the treated effluent was classified as moderately toxic. In this way, the results from Colletta (2008) and those obtained in this study show that over the last two years there was no improvement in the efficiency of detoxification processes from STP.

With regard to chronic toxicity for all the months studied, the UASB and BA processes were inefficient to removal toxicity, since both raw and treated effluents were extremely toxic to Ceriodaphnia dubia (Figure 2).

Similarly, Colletta (2008) found out in a chronic toxicity study, performed in the same sewage treatment plant, that the raw and treated effluent were also classified as extremely toxic to the test-organism Scenedesmus subspicatus, an alga (Chlorophyceae) representing the trophic level of producers. Hamada (2008), after evaluating the sewage treatment plant of Suzano region, SP, which receives industrial effluents and domestic sewage from five counties, also verified extreme chronic toxicity in the treated effluent (7.1%) using Ceriodaphnia dubia as a test-organism.

Thus, the UASB and BA operational processes utilized in the STP were inefficient for detoxification purposes, having caused acute toxicity to Daphnia magna and chronic toxicity to Ceriodaphnia dubia, classifying the STP as moderately toxic and extremely toxic, respectively.

For an overall assessment of the raw and treated effluent, together with the ecotoxicological approach, physical and chemical analysis were carried out from March to August 2009, using as criteria for evaluation the CONAMA resolution 357 (Brasil, 2005) and the CONSEMA resolution 128 (Rio Grande do Sul, 2006a). The results indicated that the parameters BOD$_5$ (mg L$^{-1}$), COD (mg L$^{-1}$), oils and fats (mg L$^{-1}$), suspended solids (mg L$^{-1}$), temperature (°C) and pH were in accordance with the existing legal standards.

About total phosphorus (Figure 3), the resolution CONSEMA 128 (Rio Grande do Sul, 2006a) determines only maximum values for domestic wastewater with a discharge starting from 1000 m$^3$ day$^{-1}$, however, the STP of UNISC have a discharge of 70 to 120 m$^3$ day$^{-1}$. Even thus, the average concentration of total phosphorus in the treated effluent was 3.6 ± 1.4 mg L$^{-1}$, higher than the maximum allowed by CONSEMA's resolution, 3.0 mg L$^{-1}$, assuming a maximum flow of $1000 \leq Q \leq 2000$ m$^3$ day$^{-1}$. Colletta (2008) showed similar results, in which the average concentration of total phosphorus in the treated effluent was 4.8 ± 1.6 mg L$^{-1}$.
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**Figure 2.** Results of chronic toxicity (mean ± standard deviation) for the raw and treated effluent from the STP, for all the months studied.
In fresh sewage, about 60% of the nitrogen is present in the form of organic nitrogen and 40% in the form of ammonia (Jenkins and Hermanowicz, 1991). Adamson et al. (1998) found that ammonia and nitrite were present in concentrations high enough to cause toxic effects to *Daphnia magna* in a treatment system for aquaculture. Emmanuel et al. (2005) detected high levels of ammonia nitrogen in effluent samples from hospital, which is toxic to aquatic organisms in concentrations ≥ 1.0 mg L\(^{-1}\).

Kallqvist and Svenson (2003) determined the toxicity of ammonia to the unicellular alga *Nephroselmis pyriformis*, identifying the compound as a dominant toxic industrial effluent. Huddleston et al. (2000) also observed that with decreasing concentration of ammonia in the effluent by 95%, the survival of *Pimephales promelas* and *Ceriodaphnia dubia* increased 50% and 25%, respectively.

In general, high concentrations of total phosphorus in the treated effluent and especially the concentration of ammonia nitrogen, demonstrate not only the inefficiency for these compounds removal, but mainly the large amount of phosphorus and nitrogen discharged into the receiver waterbody. This condition characterizes a large environmental potential impact known as eutrophication.

Regarding the parameter thermotolerant coliforms, it was found that the treated effluent showed extremely high levels, reaching an average of 6.4 × 10^5 ± 8.6 × 10^5 MPN 100 mL\(^{-1}\), corresponding to a potential pollution load of high impact that characterizes a public health problem. The CONSEMA resolution 128 does not establish maximum allowable values for this variable; however, inferences can be made about the water quality of the receiving waterbody by means of
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CONAMA resolution 357. The threshold value that this resolution sets out to differentiate the Water Use Class “3”, from the Water Use Class “4”, corresponding to the class of worst quality, is $4.0 \times 10^3$ MPN 100 mL\(^{-1}\), becoming evident that a concentration of coliforms as high as the observed value, presents a significant environmental impact.

The estimation of the environmental impact of the treated effluent, in each month studied, was made based on the results of the EC(I)\(_{50}\) 48 hours. Table 2 presents the ecotoxicological characteristics of the effluent, highlighting the values of acute toxicity (EC(I)\(_{50}\) 48 hours %), the minimum flowrate of 7 days and turnaround time of 10 years (Q\(_{7,10}\)), maximum release flow of treated effluent (Q\(_e\)), the supporting capacity of Lajeado stream calculated through the concentration of the effluent in the receiving waterbody (WBEC), the concentration of the effluent in the receiving waterbody to prevent acute effect (WBEC-AE), the concentration of the effluent in the receiving waterbody to prevent chronic effect (WBEC-CE) and also the ideal flow (Q\(_{\text{ideal}}\)).

The results indicated that the treated effluent showed acute and chronic risks to the ecosystem of the Lajeado Stream, in all months studied, since the values obtained to prevent acute effect (WBEC-AE) and chronic effect (WBEC-CE) were lower than the concentration of the effluent in the receiving waterbody (WBEC), according to the limit set by CETESB (1992). Thus, there is no compatibility between the effluent generated by the STP of UNISC and the water quality of the Lajeado Stream, since the low water level of the receiving waterbody did not supports such kind of impacts. The ideal flow rate calculated for the treated effluent in order to prevent acute and chronic effects in the receiving waterbody showed an average value of

![Figure 4. Results for ammonia nitrogen (mg L\(^{-1}\)) in the raw and treated effluent from the STP, for all the months studied.](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>CE(I)(_{50}) 48 hours (%)</th>
<th>Q(_{7,10}) m(^3) day(^{-1})</th>
<th>Q(_e) m(^3) day(^{-1})</th>
<th>WBEC (%)</th>
<th>WBEC-AE (%)</th>
<th>WBEC-CE (%)</th>
<th>Q(_{\text{ideal}}) m(^3) day(^{-1})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nov. 08</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>588.2</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>16.94</td>
<td>0.186</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>0.329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec. 08</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>588.2</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>16.94</td>
<td>0.233</td>
<td>0.070</td>
<td>0.411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar. 09</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>588.2</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>16.94</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>0.353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr. 09</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>588.2</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>16.94</td>
<td>0.210</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>0.370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 09</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>588.2</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>16.94</td>
<td>0.226</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>0.400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 09</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>588.2</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>16.94</td>
<td>0.216</td>
<td>0.065</td>
<td>0.382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug. 09</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>588.2</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>16.94</td>
<td>0.226</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>0.400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sept. 09</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>588.2</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>16.94</td>
<td>0.206</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>0.364</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CE(I)\(_{50}\) 48 hours: concentration of the sample at the beginning of the test, which causes acute effect of 50% of exposed organisms in 48 hours; (Q\(_e\)) m\(^3\) day\(^{-1}\): Maximum release flow of treated effluent in m\(^3\) day\(^{-1}\); Q\(_{7,10}\) m\(^3\) day\(^{-1}\): Minimum flowrate of 7 days and turnaround time of 10 years in m\(^3\) day\(^{-1}\); WBEC: Concentration of the effluent in the receiving waterbody; WBEC-AE: Concentration of the effluent in the receiving waterbody to prevent acute effect; WBEC-CE: Concentration of the effluent in the receiving waterbody to prevent chronic effect; (Q\(_{\text{ideal}}\) m\(^3\) day\(^{-1}\)): Ideal flow for the Lajeado stream in m\(^3\) day\(^{-1}\).
Costa and Dalberto (2009) reported similar results after evaluation of the carrying capacity of the Pardinho River, RS, with a discharge rate of 25,747.2 m$^3$ day$^{-1}$, for treated effluents as follows: wastewater treatment of domestic sewage (flow of 670 m$^3$ day$^{-1}$), swine fringe (flow of 360 m$^3$ day$^{-1}$), food industry/pasta and biscuits (flow of 40 m$^3$ day$^{-1}$), leather tanning industry (flow of 432 m$^3$ day$^{-1}$), textile industry (flow of 40 m$^3$ day$^{-1}$), and hospital laundry (flow of 50 m$^3$ day$^{-1}$). It was found that all effluents evaluated resulted in acute and chronic risks to biota of Pardinho River even released separately in the receiving body. The ideal flow rate calculated for each effluent was: wastewater treatment of domestic sewage (17.0 m$^3$ day$^{-1}$), swine fringe (25.8 m$^3$ day$^{-1}$), food industry/pasta and biscuits (25.8 m$^3$ day$^{-1}$), leather tanning industry (2.3 m$^3$ day$^{-1}$), textile industry (13.9 m$^3$ day$^{-1}$), and hospital laundry (0.2 m$^3$ day$^{-1}$).

Integrating all the information, it was found that in relation to environmental impact, there is a potential risk in terms of acute and chronic toxic effects, nutrients and thermotolerant coliforms, since the Lajeado Stream does not show the carrying capacity to support this kind of impacts. The results indicate the need for adjustments in the operational processes of the STP from UNISC, since they have shown inefficient operating conditions.
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