Abiotic features of a river from the Upper Tietê River Basin (SP, Brazil) along an environmental gradient
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Abstract: Aim: This study aimed to assess the spatial and seasonal variation of the water quality and physical habitat characteristics along the upper-middle stretch of the Paraitinga River, a tributary of Tietê River, considering the potential influence of different riparian conditions along the stretch studied. Methods: Sixteen sites with different riparian vegetation, including native forest, secondary forest, pasture, and eucalyptus were sampled during the dry and rainy seasons of 2004/2005, before the damming of the Paraitinga Reservoir. Several physicochemical and habitat parameters were determined and data analyzed in relation to spatial distribution and potential influence of riparian conditions. Results: Water quality parameters were in general within the limits established by CONAMA for Class 2 waters, except for turbidity and total phosphorus. There were seasonal and spatial differences in the limnological parameters along the stretch studied and apparently they were related to point specific influences associated with land use and canopy cover. Habitat characteristics were markedly different between the upper and middle river stretches, especially in relation to depth, width, substrate and canopy cover. Conclusions: Although a direct influence on the observed variables could not be attributed solely to the riparian vegetation, vegetation cover seemed to affect particular stream characteristics. Open pasture and eucalyptus sites were subject to point specific effects that caused phosphorus inputs and higher turbidity and temperature, and showed different morphological features, suggesting that land use at the sub-watershed scale was an important factor affecting stream conditions.
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Resumo: Objetivo: Este estudo teve como objetivo avaliar a variação espacial e temporal da qualidade da água e as características físicas dos habitats ao longo do trecho médio e alto do Rio Paraitinga, um tributário do Rio Tietê, considerando a possível influência de diferentes condições ripárias ao longo do trecho estudado. Métodos: Foram amostrados dezessete locais sob diferentes condições ripárias, incluindo floresta nativa, floresta secundária, pasto e eucalipto, durante a estação seca e chuvosa de 2004/2005, previamente ao represamento do reservatório de Paraitinga. Vários parâmetros físicos e químicos da água e do habitat foram registrados e os dados analisados em relação à distribuição espacial e potencial influência das diferentes condições ripárias. Resultados: Os parâmetros de qualidade da água estiveram, em geral, dentro dos limites estabelecidos pelo CONAMA para águas de Classe 2, com exceção de turbidez e fósforo total. Diferenças sazonais e espaciais foram observadas nos parâmetros limnológicos ao longo do trecho estudado, que foram relacionadas à influências pontuais associadas ao uso do solo e à cobertura vegetal. Características do habitat diferiram entre os trechos médio e superior do rio, especialmente em relação à profundidade, largura, substrato e cobertura do dossel. Conclusões: Apesar de uma influência direta sobre as variáveis analisadas não
1. Introduction

River chemical properties are influenced by many landscape factors that operate on a variety of spatial and temporal scales (Allan, 2004), with geomorphological and hydrological processes acting as the main ecosystem drivers, while chemical and biological factors act as secondary response variables. Thus, hydrogeomorphic variables establish the physical basis under which chemical and biological will operate (Tabacchi et al., 1998), including catchment soil and geology, chemistry of atmospheric inputs, type and distribution of precipitation, surrounding vegetation, catchment hydrology and land use (Meyer et al., 1988).

Spatial variation in abiotic factors in streams has been attributed to several influences such as the presence of tributaries, longitudinal changes in catchment land use and geology (Meyer et al., 1988). Since the creation of the River Continuum Concept (Vannote et al., 1980) and the Nutrient Spiraling Concept (Newbold et al., 1982), riparian areas have been considered as important compartments of stream ecosystems. Rivers and their riparian areas can be viewed as open ecosystems interconnected longitudinally, laterally and vertically by hydrological and morphological processes that occur within a temporal hierarchy (Ward, 1998). Riparian buffers can reduce the amount of sediment, nutrients, and other contaminants that enter surface waters, and these effects vary from one riparian area to another (Klapproth & Johnson, 2000).

Nutrients can enter surface waters in subsurface or surface flows in the dissolved form or attached to soil particles (Gilliam et al., 1997). Nitrogen is most commonly transported as dissolved nitrogen through subsurface flows, with peak nitrate levels occurring after crops have been harvested and soil evaporation rates are reduced. In contrast, phosphorus most often enters the stream adsorbed on soil particles and in organic material in surface runoff after storm events (Simões, 2003). Riparian buffers are effective filters for nutrients, including nitrogen, phosphorus, calcium, potassium, sulfur, and magnesium (Lowrance et al., 1984), but in streams with low nutrient concentrations, a riparian deciduous forest can be a nutrient source for the stream (Mulholland, 1992).

Deforestation with logging operations or agricultural development impacts on Southeast Asian streams has revealed that this activity greatly increases rates of soil erosion and sediment supply to streams (Douglas, 1999). Different forestry practices have also been described as having the potential to degrade water quality and habitat within streams, as found by Maitland et al. (1990) for British rivers. These authors reported that large-scale conifer plantations of upland areas altered the ecology of many rivers, because the high shading prevented the development of herbaceous vegetation, exposing the river banks to erosion and reducing the productivity and biodiversity of the stream. Clear-cutting impacts of Eucalyptus saligna plantations in an experimental catchment in Itatinga (SP) on water quality were observed by Câmara & Lima (1999), indicating that the most affected water quality parameters were turbidity, color, electrical conductivity and suspended sediments.

The present study focused on the sub-basin of the Paraitinga River, one of the main tributaries on the right bank of the Tietê River, which has been subjected to removal of riparian vegetation in the last decades, showing a mosaic of native forest, secondary forest, pasture and reforestation. This river is located in an area where the cultivation of Eucalyptus is intense, for the purpose of supplying the local paper and cellulose industry. Preserved areas with primary forest or secondary forest represented 35% of the total area of the Caboceiras-Tietê catchment in 2001, while reforestation accounted for 13.1% and pasture 12.9% of the land use. Between 1988 and 2001, primary forest decreased by 7.7%, while reforestation increased by 27.5% (Moraes et al., 2005).

Plantations of Eucalyptus outside its natural range has created some concern among limnologists because of its low-quality litter (Bärlocher et al., 1995), as well as because of adverse environmental effects such as soil compaction, soil erosion, nutrient export, pollution and other reported adverse effects (FAO, 1993). Thus, the aim of this study was to...
assess the spatial variation of water quality and of the physical habitat along the Paraitinga River, considering the potential influence of the different riparian conditions along the stretch studied.

2. Material and Methods

2.1. Study area

The Paraitinga River, located within the Upper Tieté River Basin, is one of the main tributaries of the right bank of the Tieté River, with its headwaters situated at the borders of Paraíba do Sul and Upper Tieté Basins, in the Municipality of Paraibuna (SP). It runs in the east-west direction for approximately 56 km, receiving over its course around 250 small tributaries, and occupies a watershed of 225 km² (Manna de Deus et al., 2001). After this study, in 2006, the Paraitinga Reservoir was filled in the lower portions of the river, inundating an area of 6.43 km² to increase water supply for the Metropolitan Region of São Paulo city (Figure 1a).

The climate in the region is within the range of the Cbf (mild summer) and CWb (dry winter) zones, according to the Köppen classification, with total rainfall that varies between 30 and 60 mm in the driest month (FUSP, 2000). Most of the land in the Paraitinga sub-basin is farmland (29.7%), followed by secondary forest (20.8%), wetlands (20.0%), urban area (14.0%), pasture (12.0%), reforestation (3.1%) and fragments of primary forest (0.4%) (IAC, 2006) (Figure 1b).

![Figure 1. Location of Paraitinga River in Tieté-Cabeceiras sub-basin, Upper Tieté River Basin (a), and major land uses in Paraitinga watershed, indicating the sampling sites from upstream to downstream (b). SF = secondary forest; NF = native forest; EU = eucalyptus; PA = pasture; W = waterfalls (Esteves et al., 2008).](image_url)
Riparian conditions along the Paraitinga River are constituted by a mosaic of pasture, reforestation and secondary and native forests. Its headwaters are surrounded by remains of Atlantic Forest and secondary forest, with the middle course composed of a mosaic of native forest, pasture and *Eucalyptus grandis* Hill ex Maiden plantations, which extend to the lower portions of the river, where small farms are common. A sequence of runs, riffles and pools is commonly observed within the sample area (Esteves et al., 2008).

The sites studied were located between S 23° 34′ 255″, W 45° 42′ 407″ and S 23° 31′ 519″, W 45° 48′ 373″, covering distances that varied from 5.5 to 28 km from the headwaters. Most sites were of 4th order, with exception of one native forest site (NF1) and one secondary forest site (SF 1) which were of 3rd order. The study sites differed mainly by the degree of anthropogenic alteration of the riparian vegetation and position along the river.

Pasture and eucalyptus sites were located in the middle course, predominantly within private farms where cattle are raised and where eucalyptus plantations are used for charcoal production. Pasture sites differed from other sampling stations by an increase in sinuosity and greater depth, representing an area where an overflow of the river may occasionally occur during the rainy season. Native and secondary forest sites were located in the upper portion of the river, upstream of several waterfalls, in an area which has been mainly used for commercial eucalyptus plantations in the last decades (Esteves et al., 2008).

### 2.2. Sampling procedure and data analysis

Physicochemical water variables and habitat characteristics were obtained at 16 sampling sites along the upper-middle course of the Paraitinga River during the dry (August-September 2004) and rainy season (February-March 2005). Four sites of each of the following riparian conditions were selected: Native Forest (NF), Secondary Forest (SF), Pasture (PA) and *Eucalyptus* reforestation (EU).

At each sampling site, percent tree canopy shading, depth (m), width (m), water velocity (m·s⁻¹), discharge (m³·s⁻¹), trunk density (%) and proportion of pools (%) were recorded. These sites were also characterized in relation to habitat condition using the assessment protocol developed by EPA (Barbour et al., 1999). This method involves 13 parameters related to habitat condition, which are scored on a scale ranging from 0 to 20 points. The individual scores were summed for each station, on a scale that ranged from 0 to 260 points, with the highest values indicating the best conditions; this scale was called the “Habitat quality index.”

The following water variables were also measured on the day of sampling: pH, temperature (°C), conductivity (μS·cm⁻¹), total dissolved solids (TDS) (mg·L⁻¹), turbidity (NTU) and dissolved oxygen (DO) (mg·L⁻¹), measured with a multi-parameter water quality monitoring system (HORIBA U-22). Nitrate, nitrite, ammonium, dissolved organic phosphorus (DOP) and total phosphorus were determined according to APHA (1998). Sediment samples for analysis of size fractions for each site were taken with an Eckman dredge, and analyzed according to the modified densimeter method (Camargo et al., 2009). Fractions were classified into (in mm): very coarse sand, 2 to 0.05; silt, 0.05-0.002; and total clay, <0.002.

A multivariate principal components analysis (PCA) was used to ordinate sampling sites and periods in relation to water quality and habitat variables, using PC-ORD 6 software (McCune & Mefford, 2011). This analysis is considered ideal for reducing a large number of equivalent responses down to a smaller number of summary variables and is effective in identifying patterns that can be modeled linearly (Peck, 2010). Since variables had different units of measurement, the cross-products matrix was based on the correlation, which results in an equal-weighting of all responses (Greig-Smith, 1983). The most important limnological variables selected by the PCA were then analyzed in relation to their spatial distribution along the river.

### 3. Results

The study area has a humid tropical climate. The highest total monthly rainfall was from October 2004 to January 2005 with maximum values of 226 mm, and minimum values were observed in August and September 2004 (dry season). River discharge also varied throughout the year, with values that ranged from 0.5 to 1.6 m³·s⁻¹ (Figure 2).

Data presented in Table 1 show the mean values of the different physicochemical and habitat variables obtained during the study period, indicating that the waters of the Paraitinga River were slightly acid, with low values of conductivity (maximum of 30 μS·cm⁻¹) in the rainy season, low concentrations of dissolved oxygen, especially during the dry season (4.2 mg·L⁻¹), and higher turbidity at the eucalyptus and pasture sites (up to 150 NTU). Most nutrients, especially the inorganic forms of
nitrogen (ammonium, nitrate and nitrite), were higher at the pasture and eucalyptus sites, as also observed for total phosphorus, with values as high as 98.1 µg.L\(^{-1}\) during the rainy season at the pasture sites. Dissolved Organic Phosphorus (DOP) was similar among different riparian conditions, with the highest value (184.1 µg.L\(^{-1}\)) measured at eucalyptus sites. At these sites, the habitat index was lowest during the dry season, and at the secondary forest sites during the rainy season, indicating some degree of impairment. The highest values were observed at the secondary forest and pastures sites, during both seasons.

PCA performed with 22 abiotic parameters explained 44.9% of data variation on the first two axes (Figure 3; Table 2). The scores relative to the seasons were clearly separated by axis 1, with the dry season samples mostly ordered on the positive side of this axis. The linear (Pearson’s r) relationships between the PCA scores and the individual variables indicated that axis 1 was positively correlated with higher values of pH (r = 0.68) and trunks (r = 0.66), to which NF and SF sites were associated. On the negative side of this axis, pasture and eucalyptus sites were associated with higher values of NO\(_3\)\(^-\) (r = –0.87), TP (r = –0.73) and conductivity (r = –0.63). Component 2 reflected habitat characteristics with canopy cover (r = 0.73), silt (r = 0.70) and width (r = 0.71) having a positive relationship with this axis, to which NF and SF sites were associated. On the negative side of this axis, eucalyptus and pasture sites were associated with higher proportion of sand (r = –0.64), ripples (r = –0.50) and turbidity (r = –0.52).

The spatial distribution of the most important physicochemical variables selected by the PCA

---

**Figure 2.** Total monthly rainfall and average monthly discharge of the Paraitinga River for the period of March 2004 to March 2005. Data obtained at DAEE Station no 2E-0046.

**Table 1.** Water quality and habitat structure (mean and standard deviation, considering the dry and rainy seasons) for the different riparian conditions (n= 8) along the Paraitinga River. DOP – dissolved organic phosphorus; HQI – Habitat quality index.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Native Forest</th>
<th>Secondary Forest</th>
<th>Pasture</th>
<th>Eucalyptus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Temperature (°C)</td>
<td>17.51 ± 1.60</td>
<td>17.35 ± 1.70</td>
<td>17.2 ± 3.47</td>
<td>19.30 ± 1.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbidity (NTU)</td>
<td>16.27 ± 12.45</td>
<td>14.60 ± 9.51</td>
<td>61.67 ± 55.33</td>
<td>31.31 ± 48.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conductivity (µS.cm(^{-1}))</td>
<td>25.44 ± 4.14</td>
<td>22.89 ± 3.38</td>
<td>27.68 ± 2.54</td>
<td>28.09 ± 2.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissolved Oxygen (mg.L(^{-1}))</td>
<td>8.65 ± 2.49</td>
<td>10.42 ± 2.96</td>
<td>9.62 ± 4.86</td>
<td>7.49 ± 2.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>6.81 ± 0.30</td>
<td>6.41 ± 0.57</td>
<td>6.40 ± 0.80</td>
<td>6.48 ± 0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Dissolved Solids (mg. L(^{-1}))</td>
<td>33.78 ± 4.55</td>
<td>41.08 ± 17.86</td>
<td>33.83 ± 9.52</td>
<td>38.68 ± 5.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Phosphorus (µg.L(^{-1}))</td>
<td>26.24 ± 25.48</td>
<td>23.36 ± 19.55</td>
<td>40.12 ± 43.76</td>
<td>29.84 ± 24.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO(_3) (mg.L(^{-1}))</td>
<td>0.02 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.03 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.08 ± 0.11</td>
<td>0.03 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO(_2) (mg.L(^{-1}))</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.01 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH(_4) (mg.L(^{-1}))</td>
<td>0.01 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.15 ± 0.26</td>
<td>0.01 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOP (µg.L(^{-1}))</td>
<td>57.02 ± 68.70</td>
<td>78.21 ± 82.96</td>
<td>46.04 ± 60.02</td>
<td>71.60 ± 77.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width (m)</td>
<td>3.85 ± 0.76</td>
<td>4.27 ± 1.43</td>
<td>2.32 ± 0.42</td>
<td>3.17 ± 0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth (m)</td>
<td>0.37 ± 0.15</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.66 ± 0.15</td>
<td>0.78 ± 0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current speed (m.s(^{-1}))</td>
<td>0.31 ± 0.16</td>
<td>0.32 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.47 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.37 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canopy Cover (%)</td>
<td>86.25 ± 12.75</td>
<td>81.25 ± 14.58</td>
<td>3.38 ± 5.04</td>
<td>38.50 ± 20.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trunk density (m(^2))</td>
<td>7.98 ± 9.56</td>
<td>14.81 ± 18.75</td>
<td>2.93 ± 4.88</td>
<td>4.73 ± 7.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ripples (%)</td>
<td>18.13 ± 12.23</td>
<td>38.75 ± 28.00</td>
<td>51.88 ± 35.15</td>
<td>53.75 ± 34.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pools (%)</td>
<td>26.25 ± 18.27</td>
<td>24.38 ± 11.78</td>
<td>9.38 ± 7.29</td>
<td>1.25 ± 3.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discharge (m³.s(^{-1}))</td>
<td>1.72 ± 1.22</td>
<td>1.74 ± 0.93</td>
<td>1.58 ± 0.50</td>
<td>2.33 ± 1.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sand (%)</td>
<td>87.50 ± 4.11</td>
<td>90.50 ± 1.77</td>
<td>91.00 ± 1.85</td>
<td>91.00 ± 1.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clay (%)</td>
<td>8.50 ± 2.78</td>
<td>4.50 ± 0.93</td>
<td>4.50 ± 0.93</td>
<td>6.00 ± 1.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silt (%)</td>
<td>4.00 ± 1.51</td>
<td>2.50 ± 0.93</td>
<td>2.00 ± 0.00</td>
<td>3.00 ± 1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HQI</td>
<td>148.1 ± 14.9</td>
<td>149.6 ± 21.5</td>
<td>145.0 ± 28.9</td>
<td>136.2 ± 16.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(T, pH, conductivity, NO$_3^-$, NO$_2^-$, NH$_4^+$, DOP and TP) is shown in Figure 4, indicating a longitudinal gradient only for temperature and conductivity. Point specific increases were observed especially at pasture sites for the different nitrogen compounds and total phosphorus during the rainy season. DOP, NO$_3^-$, NO$_2^-$ and NH$_4^+$ were only detected during the rainy season, and were below the detection limits of the method in the dry season, showing the highest values at the secondary forest sites.

4. Discussion

The Paraitinga River, together with the Jundiaí-Mirim, Biritiba and Taiaçupeba are the main tributaries of the Tietê River at its headwaters, comprising the most important sources of water supply for the metropolitan Region of São Paulo city (FUSP, 2002). Within the Upper Tietê River Basin, the sub-basin of the Tietê Cabeceiras where the Paraitinga River is located is considered the area with best water quality, in contrast to the poor water quality of surface water of the other courses (FUSP, 2002).

We demonstrated through our results that the limnological parameters of the Paraitinga River were in general within the limits established by CONAMA Resolution 357 (Class 2) of the National Commission of the Environment (Conselho Nacional do Meio Ambiente, CONAMA) (Brasil, 2005), which determines the limits for the protection of aquatic communities, supply and recreation. Exceptions were observed for turbidity and total phosphorus, which exceeded the established limits of 100 NTU and 0.05 mg.L$^{-1}$ respectively. Turbidity values were considered high when compared with ten other rivers in São Paulo State studied by Maier et al. (1985), who found values ranging between 9 and 33 NTU, with those rivers located over crystalline rock, such as the Paraitinga River, showing the lowest turbidity levels (1 to 2 NTU).

Total phosphorus, which is considered a measure of overall P availability (Dodds, 2003), was generally low, where the highest values were seen during the rainy season at pasture sites. Allan & Castillo (2007) reported that large rivers of the Neotropics, with areas of extensive undisturbed forest underlain by crystalline rock, can have very low P concentrations. In undisturbed clear and blackwater tributaries of the Orinoco Basin, Venezuela, the upper range of TP has been found to be below 0.01 mg.L$^{-1}$ (Castillo et al., 2004). In the Paraitinga River,
values above 0.05 mg.L$^{-1}$ were found at native forest and eucalyptus sites during the rainy season, which may indicate that the forest canopy may also be a source of P because of leaching when rain and cloud water drip from vegetation surfaces (Allan & Castillo, 2007).

Nitrogen concentrations are strongly influenced by land use in settled areas (Allan & Castillo, 2007), and especially by farming, which involves agricultural fertilizers, N-fixing crops and human and animal waste (Boyer et al., 2002). Lowest values occur in areas with higher amounts of vegetation.

Figure 4. Longitudinal variation of the most important physicochemical parameters related to the environmental gradient along the Paraitinga River for the dry and rainy seasons. Rainy season – filled circles; dry season – open circles. (a) Temperature; (b) pH; (c) conductivity; (d) NO$_3^-$; (e) dissolved organic phosphorus; (f) total phosphorus; (g) NO$_2^-$; (h) NH$_4^+$. NF - native forest; SF – secondary forest; EU – eucalyptus; PA- pasture.
and runoff, as also observed in the Paraitinga River, where nitrate and nitrite were undetectable at most sites, except pasture areas. These results may also be related to the observations of Filoso et al. (2003), who studied land use and nitrogen export in the Piracicaba River (SP) and suggested that cultivation of pine and eucalyptus trees buffers the impact of increasing N inputs, because extensive cultivation of these trees have a high N retention capacity as they are subjected to successive biomass removal (Vitousek et al., 1997).

Considering the spatial variation of the different habitats and some limnological variables along the Paraitinga River, it must be considered that gradients of anthropogenic land use are frequently superimposed on an underlying gradient of geological material, soil type, topography, and other features of the natural terrain. Also, different environmental variables of streams can be expected to vary in their responsiveness to large versus local-scale environmental factors. Nutrients and sediments can be transported long distances and may therefore be influenced by riparian conditions along a stream's entire length, and thus, interpretation of the data must consider the influence of these different spatial scales (Allan, 2004).

In the Paraitinga River, the longitudinal influence on the different abiotic conditions as predicted by the River Continuum Concept (Vannote et al., 1980) may have been minimized because the selected stretch was limited to the upper-middle course of the river and because most sites were of the same order. Nevertheless, PCA of the habitat parameters showed that the proportion of pools and trunks and substrate composition differed between the upstream and middle portion of the river, which might have been related to both their location and point specific effects related to land use. Different riparian conditions might have contributed to the observed variations, since it has been shown that riparian forest ecosystems provide structural components, such as large woody debris (LWD), which affect stream morphology, influencing its width and depth and creating channel habitat units (pools and waterfalls) (Rosenfeld & Huato, 2003). Pasture and eucalyptus sites were deeper and narrower, and this could have been related to the observed relation between riparian vegetation and morphological characteristics of rivers observed by several studies. Sweeney (1993) showed that the channel narrows and deepens in the grassy stretches and that the planting of trees in grassy riparian areas has the potential to cause streams to become wider and shallower as their shape adjusts to the change in bank stability (Davies-Colley, 1997).

Spatial variations in water quality were related mainly to increasing temperature and conductivity in the downstream direction. Nevertheless, point specific increases in inorganic forms of nitrogen and total phosphorus were observed during the rainy season at the pasture sites, and might have been due to the fact that in pastures and agricultural areas, erosion and runoff increase nutrient input into the streams leading to excess algal growth (Wear et al., 1998). Another potential nutrient source was the livestock present at these sites, which might have contributed with nonpoint nutrient inputs. At pasture and eucalyptus sites, turbidity was also always higher than at the native and secondary forest sites, possibly due to both land use and the sites' position along the river. Logging, especially extensive clear-cutting, increases soil loss in the basin and siltation of the streambed as shown in studies performed in Portugal by Graça et al. (2002). These authors stressed the importance of forest practices in the structure and function of stream systems, suggesting that it is necessary to keep riparian buffer strips of native vegetation to reduce the human impact on streams and rivers.

In conclusion, while few limnological parameters varied spatially along the river stretch studied, changes in some stream habitat characteristics were more pronounced. Although a direct influence on the observed variables could not be attributed solely to the riparian vegetation, this seemed to affect particular stream characteristics. Open pasture and eucalyptus sites were subjected to point specific effects that caused higher nutrient inputs, turbidity and temperature, and showed different morphological features as narrow widths and higher depth, which suggests that local land use was an important factor affecting stream conditions.
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