ABSTRACT - Eighteen patients (mean age of 66.5 years) with normal pressure hydrocephalus (NPH) underwent a ventriculo-peritoneal shunt surgery. Prior to operation a cerebrospinal fluid tap-test (CSF-TT) was performed with measurements of gait pattern and psychometric functions (memory, visuo-motor speed and visuo-constructive skills) before and after the removal of 50 ml CSF by lumbar puncture (LP). Fifteen patients improved and 3 were unchanged after surgery. Short duration of disease, gait disturbance preceding mental deterioration, wide temporal horns and small sulci on CT-scan were associated with good outcome after shunting. There was a good correlation between the results of CSF-TT and shunt surgery ($X^2 = 4.11, \phi = 0.48, p < 0.05$), with gait test showing highest correlation ($r = 0.99, p = 0.01$). In conclusion, this version of CSF-TT proved to be an effective test to predict improvement after shunting in patients with NPH.
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clinical manifestations, as well as in patients with "subcortical" dementia (subcortical arteriosclerotic encephalopathy or Binswanger’s disease, progressive supranuclear palsy, Parkinson’s disease), which can mimic the clinical picture of NPH. Subcortical arteriosclerotic encephalopathy is, in fact, much more common than NPH and should be included in the differential diagnosis as the most probable cause of the "classical" triad. The results of computerized tomography (CT), magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) or radionuclide cisternography (RC) can be inconclusive and insufficient to establish a correct diagnosis and particularly to predict which patients will improve after shunt surgery. A “positive” RC (with ventricular reflux and convexity block) is not specific for NPH and can be seen in other dementia disorders and even in healthy subjects. The predictive value has been repeatedly questioned, so that Vanneste et al. suggested it should no longer be performed, as it will not reduce the diagnostic uncertainty remaining after clinical and CT evaluation. Even MRI (with CSF voiding sign in the aqueduct) has been criticized for its doubtful additional predictive value, besides its limited availability and high costs. All these limitations have stimulated the search for more accurate methods and criteria for selection of patients for shunt surgery, which can benefit 25-80% of these patients but has complication rates (35-52%) that dissuade us from shunting every case with suspicion of NPH. Thus, Adams et al. and Fisher originally described the beneficial (though transient) effect of CSF removal in patients with hydrocephalic dementia, as well as the improvement of these patients after shunt surgery. This method was later improved by Wikkelso et al. by introducing the quantitative testing of gait and cognitive functions before and after the drainage of 40-50 ml lumbar CSF (CSF tap test, henceforth called TT). Wikkelso et al. found that this test can predict not only the outcome of surgery but also the degree of improvement. Lately, however, this test has been criticized for its high rate of false negatives, which has led some authors to introduce the 5 days continuous external lumbar drainage in an attempt to improve the test’s predictive value.

The aim of this study was to evaluate the predictive value of a modified version of Wikkelso’s TT, with adaptations suited to test even illiterate subjects.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Thirty-one patients with cognitive deterioration and enlarged ventricles were admitted to the Department of Neurology on suspicion of NPH. Eighteen of them (12 men and 6 women) were finally diagnosed as NPH and underwent a ventriculo-peritoneal shunt surgery with a medium-pressure valve. The remaining 13 patients (11 men and 2 women) had other types of dementia (Table) and were taken in this study as disease controls. NPH patients had mean age of 66.5 years (SD 9.6), average educational level of 2.1 years (SD 1.6), median duration of illness of 12 months, mean ventricular (frontal horns) index of 0.41 (SD 0.06; range 0.34 - 0.59) and mean score on Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) of 11.5 points (SD 4.3).

Every patient underwent the following evaluations: neurologic examination and careful history (obtained from both the patient and a relative); CT; electroencephalography; CSF analysis and relevant haematological, biochemical, bacteriological and immunological tests (for syphilis, toxoplasmosis and cysticercosis); MMSE (adapted by Bertolucci et al.); Christensen’s comprehensive test-battery (“Luria’s Neuropsychological Investigation”); and, at last, TT. We used ICD-10 criteria for the diagnosis of dementia, which was graded by means of Reisberg’s Global Deterioration Scale. NINCDS-ADRDA criteria were used for the diagnosis of probable and possible Alzheimer’s disease.

The diagnosis of NPH was based on the following criteria: 1) a history of gait disturbance, progressive mental deterioration, and urinary urgency or incontinence; 2) hydrocephalus, defined as Evans’ ratio (the ratio between the maximal width of the frontal horns and the internal diameter of the skull at the same level) above 0.30 on CT; 3) a mean CSF pressure below 18 cm of water.

TT was performed on all 31 patients on two consecutive days and at the same hour each day. On the morning of the first day the patients underwent evaluation of gait and cognitive functions. On the second day these evaluations were repeated, 2 and 8 hours after a lumbar puncture (LP) with removal of 50 ml CSF. The best score obtained by the patient in each subtest at these two post-LP evaluations was his post-LP score. Post-surgical follow-up examination
(with psychometric tests and gait analysis) was performed at 3 and 6 months, at 1 year, and thereafter every year. CT was regularly repeated at the 6th month follow-up. If the patient did not improve, we examined the shunt function by percutaneously testing the proximal and distal patency of the shunt (and the valve function), as well as by analysing changes in ventricular size on CT scan. TT test-battery took about 30 minutes to be administered and comprised following subtests:

A. Gait examination. The examiner counted the number of steps and the time spent by the patient for walking 18 m as quickly as possible. The mean value of four attempts was the patient’s score.

B. Test of visuo-motor speed (Cylinders test). The test consists of 2 wooden plates with 60 holes in each plate. In one plate, the holes are filled with easily graspable cylinders. The task was to move (with the dominant hand) the cylinders from one plate to another as fast as possible. The time needed to place all cylinders was measured, taking the average of three attempts.

C. Visuo-constructive skills. (1) To copy (with nine matches) two pentagons bound by one of their sides (score 1 if this was correctly copied). (2) Kohs test: to reproduce stimulus designs with four multicolored cubes. The test items of Figure 7-11 of Stubb & Black were used. A score of 1 was given for each correctly reproduced design. Rotations (either right-left or near-far) as well as figure-ground (color) reversals were scored as incorrect. The maximum score of test C was 5 points.

D. Memory. (1) Tactile-verbal memory: The subject had to recall orally or by tactile multiple choice four objects recognized by touch (and eventually named) three minutes earlier (button and match in the right hand; coin and nail in the left hand). One point was given for each correctly recalled object. If the subject was unable to recognize these objects (due to paresis or astereognosis), he/she was allowed to see (and name) them. (2) Visual memory: The subject was shown a relatively nonverbalizable drawing for 10 seconds and asked to choose the correct one from among four others after a three-minutes occupied pause (test items of Figure 1 of Ross were used). Four different stimulus items were tested (1 point for each item correctly recognized). (3) Verbal learning: the subject was given ten trials to learn a list of 10 unrelated words presented orally by the examiner (water, flower, cat, key, stone, cross, street, cake, hand, wind). His/her score was the mean of summed total recall across the ten acquisition trials. Maximal score of test D was 18 points. At the post-LP psychometric testing, the stimulus items of these memory tests were substituted for other items to avoid learning effect: in test D-1 were given a key and a rubber in the right hand and a pencil and a teaspoon in the left hand; and in test D-3 were presented following words: house, ox, bread, night, bell, light, bridge, table, foot, rain.

Improvement (or deterioration) in tests A and B was defined as an increase (or decrease) of 5%, and in tests C and D as an increase (or decrease) of 20%. TT was considered as positive if the patient’s performance had improved in at least one of the subtests. In the statistical analysis we used chi-square (or Fisher’s exact test) for comparison of proportion; and Student’s t test for comparison of means between NPH patients and controls. The differences in variables before and after shunt operation (and before and after LP (TT)) were tested by Student’s t test for paired data. Pearson product-moment correlation coefficient was used to determine relationships between results of TT subtests after LP and after shunting. The significance level was set at alpha = 0.05.

RESULTS

NPH patients were similar to controls as regards mean age (t = 1.81, df = 29, p > 0.07), educational level (t = 1.96, df = 29, p > 0.05), ventricular index (t = 0.84, df = 29, p = 0.41), MMSE scores (t = 0.23, df = 29, p = 0.2), frequency of periventricular hypodensity (X² = 3.32, df = 2, p = 0.19), cortical atrophy (X² = 2.82, df = 2, p = 0.24) and dilatation of temporal horns (X² = 0.19, df = 2, p = 0.9). Proportion of improved patients and degree of improvement at TT were higher in the NPH group (X² = 9.41, df = 2, p < 0.01). This group also had greater proportion of women (though p = 0.24). Among hydrocephalic patients, 5 were illiterate and 13 had at most 4 years elementary school. If we consider MMSE cut-off points of 13 for illiterate, 18 for subjects with 1 to 8 years education, and 26 for subjects with more than 8 years education (after Bertolucci et al.), we see that all but two (illiterate) hydrocephalic patients and all but one (illiterate) controls scored below these points and showed varied degrees of cognitive deficit.

In 12 cases the cause of NPH was unknown, while in the others it was associated with subarachnoid hemorrhage (2 patients), head trauma, lacunar infarcts, cysticercotic calcifications and relapsing (biparietal) falx meningioma (1 each). Eight NPH patients had previously been healthy, 4 had history of hypertension, and the remaining had history of depression (2 patients),
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Age (yr)</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Educ (yr)</th>
<th>Etiology</th>
<th>First symptoms [duration in months]</th>
<th>VI</th>
<th>TH</th>
<th>PVH</th>
<th>CA</th>
<th>MMSE</th>
<th>TT result</th>
<th>Effect of shunt</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Idiop.</td>
<td>Ga + Me + Mi [84]</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>(+)</td>
<td>(+)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (A,Mi)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>CVS</td>
<td>Ga [12]</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>(+)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1 (D)</td>
<td>1 (D,A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Idiop.</td>
<td>Ga + Mi + Me [3]</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1 (D)</td>
<td>2 (A,D,B,C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Trauma</td>
<td>Ga + Mi + Me [6]</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2 (D,C,A)</td>
<td>2 (A,D,C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Cysticerc</td>
<td>Me + Ga [12]</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (C,B)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Idiop.</td>
<td>Me &gt; Mi &gt; Ga [36]</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1 (D,A)</td>
<td>2 (A,Mi,D,C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Idiop.</td>
<td>Ga + Mi [24]</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>(+)</td>
<td>(+)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1 (A,D)</td>
<td>1 (A,Mi,D,C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Idiop.</td>
<td>Ga + Mi + MC [5]</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>(+)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1 (C)</td>
<td>1 (MC,Mi)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>SAH</td>
<td>Ga + Mi [12]</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>(+)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1 (D,B)</td>
<td>1 (D,B)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Idiop.</td>
<td>Ga + Mi [12]</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(+)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1 (A,D,B)</td>
<td>1 (A,Mi,B,D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Idiop.</td>
<td>Me&gt;(Ga+Mi+MC) [96]</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>(+)</td>
<td>(+)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Idiop.</td>
<td>Me + Ga + CM [7]</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(+)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1 (D,C)</td>
<td>1 (D,C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>SAH</td>
<td>Ga + Mi + Me [180]</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>(+)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1 (D,C)</td>
<td>1 (D,Mi)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Idiop.</td>
<td>Me&gt;(Ga+Mi) [12]</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2 (A,D,C,Mi)</td>
<td>2 (A,Mi,C,D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Idiop.</td>
<td>Ga + Mi + MC [3]</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>(+)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1 (MC,D)</td>
<td>1 (MC,A,D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Idiop.</td>
<td>Ga&gt;(Mi+MC) [12]</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>(+)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1 (MC,D)</td>
<td>2 (MC,A,Mi,D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Idiop.</td>
<td>Ga&gt;(Mi+mutism) [24]</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(+)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Tumor</td>
<td>Ga + Mi + Me [12]</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(+)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1 (D)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cntrl. controls. Etiology: SAH, subarachnoid hemorrhagic; CVS, cerebrovascular disease other than SAH; AD, Alzheimer’s disease; MILD, multi-infarct dementia; idio, idiopathic; alc, chronic alcoholic; cysticerc, cysticercasis; hypothy, hypothyroidism. First symptoms: Ga, gait; Me, memory; Mi, mutism; MC, mental confusion; Dm, dementia; >, followed by. CT data; VI, ventricular index (Evans’); TH, temporal horns; PVH, periventricular hypodensity; CA, cortical atrophy. TT subsets: A, walking test; B, visuo-motor speed; C, constructional praxis; D, memory test. MMSE, Mini-mental state examination. In columns TH, HPV and CA: + means pronounced, (+) slight, and - no, dilatation of temporal horns, periventricular hypodensity and cortical atrophy respectively. In columns for TT results and effect of shunt: 0 means no improvement, 1 fair to good improvement (25 to 75%), and 2 excellent improvement (>75% or normalized).
childhood epilepsy, rheumatoid arthritis and hyperthyroidism (1 each). The most common neurological findings were hyperreflexia (12 patients), spastic paraparesis (6), and primitive reflexes as snouting (6), palmomental (5), Babinski sign (4), sucking (3) and grasping (2). Gait disturbance was seen in all patients, and urinary urgency or incontinence in 15 (83%). Mental deterioration was detected in 17 NPH patients (94.5%), 15 (83%) of whom had dementia (which was mild in 6, mild to moderate in 2, moderate in 3, and moderate to severe in 4), and two others had amnesia. The most frequent initial symptoms of NPH occurred concomitantly in gait, micturition and memory (5 patients), in gait and micturition (5), in gait and memory (2), only in gait (3), and only in memory (3).

Most controls (61.6%) had vascular dementia. We found in this group a history of hypertension (5 patients, two of which had past myocardial infarct), alcoholism (3), diabetes mellitus (1), hypothyroidism (1) and hemophilia A (1).

Fifteen patients (83%) improved after shunt surgery while 3 (17%) deteriorated or remained unchanged. Improvement was fair to good in 10 and excellent in 5 patients (of whom 3 showed normalization of gait and cognitive functions). Shunt complication (obstruction) was seen in only one patient (Case 3), which was reoperated successfully. Thirteen shunt responsive subjects had improved at TT, which showed only 1 false-positive and 2 false-negative results. Thus, there was a good correlation between the results of TT and shunt surgery (X² = 4.11, df = 1, phi coefficient = 0.48, p < 0.05). If the improvement after shunting is taken as the gold standard for the diagnosis of NPH, then the positive predictive value of our TT was 93%, and its negative predictive value 50%. TT sensitivity was 87% and its specificity 67%.

TT subtest with highest sensitivity was that of memory (80%), which also had high positive predictive value (92%) [but negative predictive value of 40%]. The symptoms most frequently improving after TT and shunt surgery were amnesia and gait disturbance, followed by visuoconstructive disability, psychomotor slowing, urinary urgency or incontinence, and mental confusion or delirium. Yet, when analysing only the patients with best improvement after shunting (patients 3, 4, 6, 14 and 16), we found a significant correlation between the results of the gait test after TT and after shunting (r = 0.99, p = 0.01). However, this correlation was not significant for the other subtests (test B, r = 0.52, p = 0.05; test C, r = 0; test D, r = 0.66, p = 0.05). Thus, gait subtest was the best predictor of shunt results.

The search for other variables that could be related to surgical outcome (using Student’s t test and the Fisher exact test) yielded following findings: (1) there was a tendency to less improvement, the longer the duration of NPH, even though the differences between the mean durations in 5 patients with excellent improvement (13.8 years; SD = 13.0), in 10 patients with fair to good improvement (35.1 years; SD = 56.1), and in 3 patients with no improvement (44.0 years; SD = 45.4) were not statistically significant (0.19 < p < 0.44); (2) improved patients tended to have more dilatation of temporal horns and less cortical atrophy on CT scan (though p = 0.10 and p = 0.28, respectively); (3) unimproved patients had lower scores on MMSE (t = 2.39, df = 16, p < 0.03); and (4) there was no influence of age, sex or educational level on surgical outcome and TT results.

**DISCUSSION**

It is widely assumed that the symptoms and signs of NPH are due to a reduction of regional cerebral blood flow (rCBF) and metabolism, as a result of a distension of third and lateral ventricles (mostly of frontal horns) related to an impairment of CSF flow. Therefore, the rationale with TT and shunt surgery has been that they lower the intracranial pressure and the need for CSF resorption, with increase of rCBF (mostly in the anterior periventricular white matter). The drainage of 50 ml CSF can simulate the effects of the definitive shunt surgery, with clinical
improvement, which, in our experience, lasted from 2 h to 48 h. One of our patients improved after 24 h delay. Improvement with longer delay (48 h) and persisting many months after a single 20-30 ml CSF tap has been described. We had no complications from the CSF drainage procedure, and the postsurgical complication rate at the 6th month and one year follow-up (only one case with shunt dysfunction) was lower than that reported in the literature. Shunt dysfunction may have been undetected, since the assessment of shunt patency only with digital compression is not entirely reliable.

The selection of TT subtests took into account that they had to (1) be sensitive to NPH clinical manifestations and measure cognitive functions that usually improve after LP and shunting; (2) be suited even for illiterate subjects, on account of the high illiteracy rate of our population of patients (15%); (3) have low cost and be easy and rapid to administer by neurologists in ambulatory outpatients. Indeed, all patients (except Case 17) could understand and perform the tests. Case 17 had severe dementia and mutism, which precluded her to perform subtest D.3 (verbal memory). Cases 8, 9, 11 and 18 could not perform walking test because of marked spastic paraparesis. Cases 6 and 16, which could only walk with significant support before LP, walked independently after it (though mildly unsteady and with difficulty for turning); after shunting, they walked almost normally but with difficulty for turning. For these two cases, the degree of improvement in gait was calculated on the basis of the time (and not of the number of steps) spent to walk 18 m.

The sensitivity and positive predictive values of our TT were high, but its rate of false negatives (11%) calls for further improvement. The patients who did not improve after TT and shunting probably had irreversible structural changes, as much as can be inferred from their low MMSE scores and marked dementia. Patient 18 did not improve probably because his moderate hydrocephalic dementia was associated with a small, slowly growing (recurrent) falx meningioma, which could have led to impairment of CSF resorption and possibly also to dysfunction of neighboring associative parietal cortices at both sides. Patient 17, with mutism, showed improvement of speech production during the first postsurgical month (she could give answers with appropriate words and short sentences), but then returned to her previous state.

In agreement with the literature, we have found that short history, gait disturbance preceding mental deterioration, as well as wide temporal horns and small sulci (but not periventricular hypodensity) on CT scan were associated with good outcome after shunting. Long duration of dementia (and low MMSE scores) tended to correlate with poor outcome. On the other hand, in contrast to several other studies, we could not confirm knowing of the cause as a prognostic favourable factor. The degree of improvement in the group with NPH of known cause was the same as in the idiopathic group (80%). Nevertheless, this does not allow us to question the prognostic relevance of etiology, since in some of our cases the low educational level and the lack of medical records of their past history may help to explain the unknown etiology.

Overall, our findings confirm those of Wikkelso et al. pointing to the high diagnostic and predictive value of TT, particularly in situations where the clinical and CT data are inconclusive and do not allow to take the decision to operate or not. We think that TT should be further improved, especially by developing more specific tests for NPH disturbances of gait, posture, micturition and cognition, as well as by increasing the amount of CSF removed, preferably by means of one day’s repeated or continuous CSF tap (which would reduce the risks, troubles and costs of the five day’s continuous external drainage proposed by others).
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