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ABSTRACT - Objective: To investigate the effects of galantamine on the performance of patients with mild to moderate Alzheimer’s disease (AD) in a computerized neuropsychological test battery (CNTB). Method: Thirty-three patients with probable AD were treated with galantamine for three months and evaluated in a prospective, open-label, multi-center study. The CNTB and the ADAS-Cog were administered at baseline and after 12 weeks. The CNTB includes reaction time tests to evaluate attention, implicit and episodic memory for faces and words. Statistical comparisons were performed between the results in week 12 versus baseline. Patients who did not reach the therapeutic doses were excluded from the efficacy analysis. Results: Four patients (12.1%) were excluded from the analysis either because of treatment discontinuation (n=3) or because a therapeutic dose was not reached (n=1). The remaining 29 patients were treated with doses of 24 mg/day (n=22) and 16 mg/day (n=7). After 12 weeks, significant reductions in reaction time were seen in the test of episodic memory for faces (p=0.023) and in the test of two-choice reaction time (p=0.039) of the CNTB. Conclusion: Treatment with galantamine produced improvement in computerized tests of attention and episodic memory after 12 weeks, leading to statistically significant reduction in the reaction times.
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Efeitos da galantamina sobre a memória e a atenção na doença de Alzheimer medidos por testes neuropsicológicos computadorizados: resultados do Estudo Multicêntrico com Galantamina (GAL-BRA-01)

RESUMO - Objetivo: Investigar os efeitos da galantamina no desempenho de pacientes portadores de doença de Alzheimer (DA) leve a moderada em uma bateria de testes neuropsychológicos computadorizados (BTNC). Método: Trinta e três pacientes com DA provável receberam tratamento com galantamina por três meses em ensaio clínico multicêntrico aberto e prospectivo. A escala BTNC e a ADAS-Cog foram administradas no início e ao final de 12 semanas. A BTNC incluiu testes de tempo de reação avaliando atenção, memória implícita e memória episódica para palavras e faces. Comparações estatísticas foram realizadas entre os resultados na 12ª semana versus a linha de base. Pacientes que não atingiram dose terapêutica foram excluídos da análise. Resultados: Quatro pacientes (12,1%) foram excluídos por interrupção do tratamento (n=3) ou por não atingir dose terapêutica (n=1). Os 29 pacientes remanescentes foram tratados com doses de 24 mg/dia (n=22) e 16 mg/dia (n=7). Ao final de 12 semanas, reduções nos tempos de reação foram observadas nos testes de memória episódica para faces (p=0,023) e no teste de tempo de reação de dupla escolha (p=0,039) da BTNC. Conclusão: O tratamento com galantamina melhorou o desempenho em testes de atenção e memória episódica, com redução estatisticamente significativa dos tempos de reação.

PALAVRAS-CHAVE: doença de Alzheimer, ensaio clínico, galantamina, testes neuropsicológicos computadorizados, atenção, memória.
Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is the leading cause of dementia in the elderly, being responsible for more than 50% of the dementia cases arising at ages 65 and over\(^1,2\). The disease leads to substantial impairment in cognition and behavior, resulting in progressive functional dependence for the performance of daily activities. Long-term memory deficits, particularly related to the episodic memory system, are usually the first cognitive change to occur in AD. Attention is, in most cases, the second cognitive domain to be affected, a feature that is already present at mild stages of the disease and which is responsible for part of the troubles with activities of daily living\(^3\). Three main subtypes of attention are described, namely, divided, selective and sustained attention, which depend upon distinct neuroanatomical structures. In AD, besides a general slowness of the cognitive processing, that is also present - although in less degree - in normal aging, specific selective and divided attention deficits become evident\(^3\).

The pathological process involved in AD includes the accumulation of neurofibrillary tangles and neuritic plaques especially in the hippocampal formation, basal forebrain, limbic cortex and in cortical associative areas of the brain. This process follows a usual temporal sequence, with involvement of the entorhinal cortex and hippocampus in the earliest phases and subsequent spreading to the limbic cortices and to the basal forebrain nuclei, which represent the major cholinergic innervation to the neocortex\(^4\). The basal forebrain cholinergic system is represented by the nucleus basalis of Meynert, the medial septum and the diagonal band of Broca. The spreading of the AD pathology to these structures produces a significant reduction of the cholinergic innervation to the cortical mantle, which has been related both to cognitive and non-cognitive (neuropsychiatric) symptoms of the disease\(^5-7\). Within the cognitive domain, attention deficits have been related to the neuropathological involvement of the basal forebrain and its consequent cholinergic deprivation\(^8\).

Treatment with cholinesterase inhibitors (AChEI) is the current main pharmacological intervention available for treating AD patients\(^9\). Tacrine was the first AChEI approved for clinical use. Nevertheless, although presenting unquestionable efficacy, it is rarely prescribed nowadays because of the high rate of adverse events (mainly hepatotoxicity). The second generation of AChEIs is represented by donepezil, galantamine and rivastigmine. These three drugs have shown to be superior to placebo in many controlled clinical trials, with positive effects on cognition, behavior and activities of daily living\(^10-15\). Galantamine is a reversible inhibitor of acetylcholinesterase and also exerts an allosteric modulation of the cholinergic nicotinic receptors. These two complementary mechanisms result in increase of the cholinergic neurotransmission in the brain. Galantamine has shown to be effective in the symptomatic treatment of patients with AD, vascular dementia, AD with cerebrovascular disease and dementia in Parkinson’s disease\(^12,13,16,17\).

The present study reports the results from a Brazilian mul-
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ti-center open-label study to investigate the effects of galantamine on the performance of mild to moderate AD patients in computerized neuropsychological tests. The main goal of the study was to investigate the effects of galantamine on attention and memory tests, especially focusing on the effects on reaction time measures.

METHOD

Population and eligibility criteria

Thirty-three patients (18 female), aged 75.1 ± 7.9 years (range 56-87), with educational level (mean ± SD) of 7.1 ± 4.4 years and duration of symptoms (mean ± SD) of 36.7 ± 23.3 months, were included in the study. All patients met the NINCDS-ADRDA criteria for probable AD, with mild to moderate symptoms, as defined by scores in a Brazilian version of the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE)\(^{18,19}\). Regarding the latter, patients were recruited if the MMSE scores fell between 14 and 24 points, both inclusive.

Appropriate laboratory blood tests (in order to fulfill the diagnostic criteria of probable AD) and neuroimaging assessment (computed tomography or magnetic resonance of the skull within a period of 18 months preceding the beginning of the trial) were performed in every study participant. Presence of two or more ischemic lesions (either cortical and subcortical infarcts or lacunes), a single strategically located infarct, or evidence of intense leukoaraiosis on neuroimaging, excluded participation. Electrocardiogram was also performed in order to rule out severe heart conduction abnormalities.

Subjects with previous treatment with AChEIs were allowed to participate in the trial only if the drug had been discontinued at least 60 days before inclusion. Patients had to live with or to receive regular visits (at least five days a week) from a caregiver, which should be capable to provide all the necessary information about the patient.

The study has been approved by the Ethics Committee from all the centers participating in the trial and all patients and the caregivers signed the informed consent.

Study design

The study was conducted at six research centers from five different cities. A complete list of the participating centers and investigators is provided at the end of the manuscript.

The study lasted 12 weeks. Galantamine was initiated at a dose of 4 mg bid for four weeks. At the end of the fourth week a medical visit was scheduled, for clinical examination and safety evaluation. If the initial drug regimen was well tolerated, the dose was increased to 8 mg bid for an additional four-week period. A similar procedure was adopted at the end of the eighth week. The dose was then increased to 12 mg bid for the final four-week period. Patients exhibiting mild adverse events were maintained at 8 mg bid until the end of the trial.

Primary and secondary outcome efficacy measures were recorded at baseline and at week 12. The primary efficacy measure was the performance in the computerized neuropsychological test battery (CNTB)\(^{20}\).

The CNTB was developed using the MEL professional software (version 2.0)\(^{21}\). This software modifies the input and output systems of the computer, allowing registration of reaction time in milliseconds. The tests were administered in a PC (IBM compatible) with a 12" screen. A serial response box with five numbered buttons was used as interface between patient and computer screen. The battery administration lasted an average of 20 minutes. The CNTB includes five reaction time tests evaluating attention, as well as episodic and implicit memories, as follow:

a) Face Recognition test (episodic memory): Ten unfamiliar faces were presented simultaneously on the computer screen for ten seconds to be remembered. After this first presentation, a single face was shown and the patient had to press button one if he/she remembered or, otherwise, button five. The recognition test consisted in a random presentation of ten pre-exposed faces and ten new faces as distracters.

b) Word Recognition and Learning test: similar to the Face Recognition test procedure using Words. The recognition procedure was repeated three times to evaluate a learning effect.

c) Simple reaction time test: The number one was presented in the center of the computer screen and the patient had to press this number in the response box as quickly as possible. This procedure was repeated 100 times. The patient’s finger was put over button one before the test begun.

d) Two-choice reaction time test: The numbers one or five were presented in the center of the computer screen in a random order. The patient had to press the correspondent button in the response box as quickly as possible. This procedure was repeated 100 times. The patient’s right finger was put over button five and the left finger over button one before the test begun.

e) Serial reaction time test: The numbers one, two, three, four or five were presented in the center of the computer screen and the patient had to press the correspondent button in the response box as quickly as possible. This test was divided in five blocks, with 50 trials each. In blocks 1, 2, 3 and 4 the numbers were presented in a fixed order and in block 5, in a random order. The reaction time differences between blocks 1 - 4 and 4 - 5 were considered implicit learning measures.

The CNTB was administered by neuropsychologists working in each of the sites, who had been previously trained in the procedure. The training session was carried out during the investigator’s meeting, lasting four hours. In the following weeks, the neuropsychologists performed three pilot evaluations with patients that would not be included in the trial.

The secondary efficacy measure was the performance in the Brazilian version of the Alzheimer’s disease Assessment Scale Cognitive Subscale (ADAS-Cog)\(^{22}\). The ADAS-Cog is widely used in clinical trials for the evaluation of treatment effects in AD. It is an 11-item scale that evaluates memory, language, orientation and praxis, taking about 40 minutes to be administered.

Safety evaluations were performed at weeks 4, 8 and 12, including physical examination and vital signs.

Statistical analysis

All patients that received at least one dose of galantamine were included in the demographic characterization of the sample and in the safety report. All efficacy analysis was based on the intent-to-treat population, defined as all patients who received medication (8mg or 12 mg bid) for at least two months and for which any of the efficacy measures (CNTB or ADAS-Cog) were available.

The primary efficacy measure was the variation in the performance
at the CNTB at week 12 in comparison with baseline, with analysis of the percentage of correct responses and the reaction times. The secondary efficacy measure was the variation in the total and in the individual item scores of the ADAS-Cog between weeks 12 and baseline. The percentage of responders to galantamine treatment based on the changes in the ADAS-Cog scores was also determined (≥ 0 vs < 0, ≥ 4 vs < 4, and ≥ 7 vs < 7).

The Wilcoxon non-parametric test for related samples was used in the analysis of both the CNTB and the ADAS-Cog data. The paired t-test was used for the comparisons of the safety parameters between baseline and the last evaluation.

RESULTS
Thirty-three patients were included in the trial, with a mean MMSE score of 19.9 points at baseline. Twenty-two patients (66.7%) reported no previous use of AChEI, while 11 (33.3%) referred usage of at least one agent from this class. Four (12.1%) out of the 33 patients were excluded from the efficacy analysis: two (6.1%) because of adverse events (nausea, vomiting and dizziness) and two for other reasons non-related to the study medication.

The remaining 29 patients were treated with galantamine at doses of 24 mg/day (n=22) and 16 mg/day (n=7). Data regarding performance in the CNTB and in the ADAS-Cog were available from all these patients.

Statistically significant differences emerged between the performances of patients at week 12 versus baseline in the CNTB, particularly in the reaction times. In the face recognition test, the mean reaction time fell from 5,543.1 milliseconds at baseline to 4,750.5 milliseconds at week 12 (p=0.023; Figure 1). In the test of two-choice reaction time, the mean reaction time fell from 1,024.1 milliseconds at baseline to 908.5 milliseconds at week 12 (p=0.039; Figure 2). A trend for reduction of the reaction time in the block 2 of the serial reaction time test was also observed (p=0.053). No difference in the percentage of correct responses was observed in any of the CNTB tests.

No difference between total scores at the ADAS-Cog between weeks 12 and baseline was found (p=0.673). A significant reduction (improvement) in the sub-item “Word-finding difficulties in spontaneous speech” was observed at the end of the trial (p=0.008).

In the responder analysis of the ADAS-Cog, 10 (34.5%) patients showed signs of mild improvement (total score variation between 0 and 3 points, both inclusive), 8 (27.6%) presented moderate improvement (total score variation between 4 and 6 points, both inclusive) and 2 (6.9%) had marked improvement (total score variation ≥ 7 points), while 9 (31.0%) did not improve.

DISCUSSION
The present study reports the results from the first Brazilian multi-center trial with galantamine in the treatment of patients with mild to moderate AD. Patients were treated for 12 weeks and positive effects were seen at the end of the treatment period in specific cognitive measures, namely the performance in computerized neuropsychological tests of attention and memory.

Previous clinical trials have shown that galantamine leads to significant improvement of the cognitive, behavioral and functional impairment both in AD and in vascular dementia\textsuperscript{12,13,16}. Moreover, in one of this trials, 36 to 37% of patients treated with the drug improved ≥ 4 points in the ADAS-Cog and thus could be considered as “responders”\textsuperscript{13}. Similarly, in our study the analysis of the ADAS-Cog performance profile shows that 34.5% of the patients showed moderate to marked responses.

In the current trial galantamine treatment effects were
measured through a computerized neuropsychological test battery. The major advantages of this computer-based cognitive examination are the precise time control of stimuli presentation and the accurate measurement of the reaction time. This level of accuracy and resolution is practically unattainable using the classic paper-and-pencil tests, even in the use of a chronometer. Furthermore, this approach allows the generation and use of multiple versions of a single test, thus reducing the interference of a learning effect. These features place the computerized chronometer in a privileged position for measuring the effects of any sort of symptomatic treatment for dementia.

Reaction time measures in computerized tests have been previously used as efficacy variables in very few dementia trials, such as a recent study with rivastigmine in the treatment of patients with Lewy-body dementia, with positive results on these outcomes.

Despite the small number of patients included in the current trial, the performance in the CNTB improved at the end of the study, with significant reductions of the reaction times in the tests of episodic memory for faces and attention (two-choice reaction time). These findings suggest that the benefits produced by galantamine were more marked within the domain of attention, since even for the episodic memory task the reaction time was the variable influenced by the treatment, while the percentage of correct responses was not.

Attention deficits are frequent in AD, already present in the early stages of the disease. In the CNTB, the two-choice reaction time task, which has been consistently reduced with galantamine treatment, focus on the selective attention subcomponent. Lined with this observation, a recent PET study with healthy elderly subjects found that action on nicotinic receptors modulated arousal and selective attention to a visual task. Thus, improvement in this aspect of cognition can be regarded as a truly positive effect, also because enhancement of the attentional bonus may lead to benefits in other neuropsychological functions, especially memory, with also some positive effects on functional status.

Since the current trial was not placebo controlled (for ethical reasons), one could argue that the reduction in reaction time at week 12 in comparison with baseline could represent only a reflex of implicit (procedural) learning rather than a real benefit. If this was indeed the case, we should have observed a reduction of the reaction time in all the CNTB tests, especially in the simple reaction time task, which consists on an objective measure of motor speed. However, improvement in these other tasks did not occur.

Galantamine treatment was well tolerated, with 29/33 (87.8%) patients reaching a therapeutic dose. Only two patients had to discontinue the drug due to adverse events (mainly gastrointestinal effects). This discontinuation rate is similar to what has been previously described in other galantamine trials.

In conclusion, treatment with galantamine at doses 16 to 24 mg/day in patients with mild to moderate AD produced improvement in their performance in computerized tests of episodic memory and attention after 12 weeks, leading to statistically significant reduction in the reaction times.
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