SEESAW NYSTAGMUS CAUSED BY GIANT PITUITARY ADENOMA

Case report
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ABSTRACT - Giant pituitary adenomas are uncommonly large tumors, greater than 4 cm in size that can produces endocrine symptoms, visual loss and cranial nerve palsies. We report the rare occurrence of seesaw nystagmus as the presenting sign of giant pituitary adenoma. A 50-year-old man presented with headache associated with visual loss and seesaw nystagmus. Perimetry revealed bitemporal hemianopia and magnetic resonance imaging showed a giant pituitary adenoma. After surgery nystagmus disappeared. Our case is relevant in understanding its pathogenesis since it documents seesaw nystagmus in a patient bitemporal hemianopia due to a large tumor but without mesencephalic compression.
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Nistagmo em gangorra causado por adenoma pituitário gigante: relato de caso

RESUMO - Adenoma pituitário gigante é um tumor incomum, maior que 4 cm que produz sintomas endócrinos, perda visual e paralisia de nervos cranianos. Relatamos um caso de nistagmo em gangorra como sinal de apresentação de adenoma pituitário gigante. Um paciente de 50 anos, masculino, apresentava cefaléia, perda visual e nistagmo em gangorra. A perimetria revelou hemianopsia bitemporal e a imagem por ressonância magnética demonstrou um adenoma pituitário gigante. Após a cirurgia, o nistagmo desapareceu. Nosso caso é importante na compreensão da fisiopatogenia do nistagmo em gangorra, pois documenta sua ocorrência em paciente com hemianopsia bitemporal decorrente de tumor hipofisário sem compressão mesencefálica.
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Pituitary tumors are common diseases representing about 12% of intracranial tumors1. While microadenomas are located within the sella and do not cause visual loss, macroadenomas are tumors with larger diameters (>10 mm) that extend beyond the limits of the sella and may cause neuro-ophthalmologic manifestations by the compression of adjoining structures1. A less common variant is giant pituitary adenoma, first described by Jefferson2 and characterized by its large dimensions, reaching diameters of more than four centimeters2,3. Enlargement of the tumor usually occurs in an upward direction, due to the low resistance of the sellar diaphragm, assailing the intracranial portion of the optic nerve, the chiasm and the optic tract, causing a progressive visual loss. Lateral tumor growth may also occur invading the medial cranial fossa and/ or cavernous sinus and possibly causing lesion of the oculomotor, trochlear, abducent, trigeminal nerves, and the sympathetic ocular innervation2,3.

The purpose of this paper is to describe a patient with a giant pituitary adenoma that presented with seesaw nystagmus, one of very rare manifestations of this type of tumor. We also review other cases of sewsaw nystagmus and discuss its pathogenesis in patients with pituitary adenomas.
CASE
A 50-year-old man came to the Ophthalmology Service of Hospital das Clinicas of University of São Paulo Medical School, complaining of a one-year history of bilateral temporal visual loss, tremor in both eyes, and “multiplication” and vertical motion of images. He also referred holocranial headache for the past 10 years.

On ophthalmic examination best corrected visual acuity was 20/70 in the right eye (OD) and 20/80 in the left eye (OS). Pupils were equal in size and there was no relative afferent pupillary defect. Slit lamp examination and applation tonometry revealed findings within normal limits. During observation of the ocular motility, the patient displayed vertical and rotary nystagmus (seesaw nystagmus), which increased in amplitude and diminished in frequency when the patient looked right or left. The oscillation was continuous, presenting both vertical and torsional component. Nystagmus was of medium frequency, without a quick phase and displaying continuous alternation between eyes; when one went up, the other came down and vice-versa. Ophthalmoscopy revealed optic disc pallor and retinal nerve fiber layer loss in both eyes and persistence of myelinated fibers in the OS. Goldmann perimetry demonstrated bitemporal hemianopia, with complete loss of the temporal field of each eye (Fig 1). Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) revealed a giant pituitary tumor with sellar and suprasellar extension and compression of the suprasellar cistern and optic chiasm. Neither the cerebral parenchyma nor the mesencephalon were compressed by the tumor (Fig 2).

The patient was submitted to trans-esphenoidal tumor removal that was uneventful. There was considerable visual improvement with complete recovery of the visual acuity and marked improvement of visual fields. A few months later, there was complete disappearance of seesaw nystagmus.

DISCUSSION
Seesaw nystagmus is a type of pendular nystagmus where a half cycle consists of the elevation and intorsion of one eye, concurrently with the depression and extorsion of the fellow eye. In the other half cycle, there is an inversion of the oculocentric movements. The first description of seesaw nystagmus was made by Maddox in a patient with bitemporal hemianopia of unknown origin. In reviewing the literature, we noted that many of the cases of seesaw nystagmus were associated with extrinsic suprasellar lesions (e.g., craniopharyngioma and pituitary adenoma) compressing or invading the mesodiencephalic region. The majority of such cases displayed visual symptoms including bitemporal hemianopia. Vascular illnesses of the brainstem, such as infarction, also are known causes of this type of nystagmus, but without the bitemporal hemianopsies. In a few cases, the focal lesion of the central nervous system was not identified. Included in this group are patients in whom seesaw nystagmus was congenital, associated with ocular abnormalities and post-traumatic. The association of seesaw nystagmus with giant pituitary adenoma in the past was reported by very few authors. All patients in these accounts displayed poor visual acuity and bitemporal hemianopsies.

The pathogenesis of seesaw nystagmus remains unknown; however, based on previous accounts, some assumptions have been put forth. Due to its frequent association with visual symptoms, especially bitemporal hemianopsies it was suggested that visual deprivation might be the cause of seesaw nystagmus. Nakada and Kwee added that retinal error signals reach the inferior olivary nucleus, in the chiasmal region, caused unbalance in the vestibulo-ocular reflex adaptation. Another condition that seems to be important in the genesis of seesaw nystagmus is preservation of geniculocortical function. This theory is based on the fact that, in a state of absolute blindness, seesaw nystagmus does not occur and disappears when the eyes are closed and/or are in the dark.

On the other hand, due to seesaw nystagmus having been reported in patients with lesions of the brainstem, in the absence of any visual deficit, some
authors21,22 believe that its pathogenesis may be due to dysfunction of the ocular counterrolling system, and not to disturbance in visual input. Studies have established that jerk seesaw nystagmus (with the torsional component of the quick phases beating toward the side of the mesodiencephalic lesion) can occur in patients with lesions in the region of interstitial nucleus of Cajal (INC), adjoining the mesodiencephalic joint21,22,23,24. It is believed that jerky seesaw nystagmus may occur due to imbalance of central otolithic projections from vestibular nuclei to the INC. There are papers in which it is shown that lesions in the region of INC are able to cause a contralateral ocular tilt reaction25,26,27. The relevance of these observations to seesaw nystagmus’ mechanism is that the latter’s half cycle is identical to ocular motion in the ocular tilt reaction.

Since mesodiencephalic lesions are capable of causing seesaw nystagmus, many authors suggest that, in giant adenomas accompanied by this type of nystagmus, there would be, in addition to loss of vision, compression also of the midbrain by the tumor28. The current case is important in that it has been studied by MRI, a neurological imaging method not to be found documented in any other similar case. This study made it clear that the tumor did not compress the midbrain, despite its large suprasellar growth (Fig 2). Following excision of the tumor, there was improved acuity and visual field and complete resolution of the nystagmus. This case serves, therefore, to indicate that, in giant pituitary adenomas, disturbance of visual input has been thought to play a main role in the seesaw nystagmus’ pathogenesis.

In concluding, this paper emphasizes the importance of seesaw nystagmus being recognized as one of the most uncommon manifestations of pituitary adenomas. This study helps in understanding the pathogenesis of such kind of nystagmus, documenting by MRI, that the tumor did compress the optical chiasm, but did not compress the mesencephalon region, indicating that that loss of the visual field alone may be the foremost factor in producing seesaw nystagmus in large pituitary adenomas.
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