SURGICAL TREATMENT OF VENTRAL FORAMEN MAGNUM MENINGIOMAS
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Foramen magnum meningiomas (FMM) are rare tumors. They represent around 3% of all meningiomas¹⁴. According to the literature, these tumors are among the most challenging meningiomas to treat. The main classification system of these lesions depends whether the tumor is anterior or posterior to the first dentate ligament⁴. The localization of the tumor determines the surgical approach. FMM are slow growing tumors, the clinical presentation is often a long history of occipitocervical pain associated with long tract signs and lower cranial nerve deficits⁵⁻¹⁰. The treatment of choice is surgical removal, when the patient’s clinical conditions allows that option. Debate exists about the best surgical approach and how much bone resection should be made to accomplish the ideal exposure¹⁶,²⁹. It is consensus that the early diagnose is the most important issue to insure a good outcome.

We report two cases of ventral FMM that were successfully treated with surgical resection without adding any new neurologic deficits to the patient. Permission publication was granted by the institutional ethical committee.

CASES
Case 1
A 54 years-old woman was admitted with long lasting history of occipitocervical headache and gait disturbance recently associated with respiratory distress. The neurologic examination showed grade III quadriaparesis, global hyperreflexia and hypertonia, bilateral Hoffmann and Babinski signs, decreased sensation to light touch on bilateral distal extremities and lower cranial nerves deficits.

The magnetic resonance (MR) imaging evidenced ventrolateral FMM (Fig 1). The angiography study revealed no encasement of the vertebral arteries and no venous or dural sinus malformations.

The surgical resection was performed with no complications. An extreme far lateral transcondylar approach with removal of the posterior third of the occipital condyle was done without any damage to the vital neurovascular structures in the foramen magnum region. The resection of the ipsilateral posterior arch of C1 was carefully conducted. The tumor was completely removed (Fig 2).

The patient was discharged and no neurological deficits were added to her by the surgical procedure. The motor weakness...
started to improve by the first month after surgery. She is now been evaluated at the physical therapy and fonoaudiology department with great improvement in the previous symptoms.

Case 2

A 64 years old woman presented to our institution with a main complaint of occipitocervical pain. The neurologic examination revealed only bilateral Hoffman sign. There were no gait disturbance, lower cranial nerve deficits, motor weakness or decreased sensibility of any kind. MR demonstrated a ventrolateral FMM (Fig 3). Again, the angiographic study was performed and no encasement of the vertebral arteries or venous anomalies were present.

The patient was submitted to a lateral suboccipital approach with no resection of the occipital condyle, associated to C1 and C2 hemilaminectomy. We were able to achieve total resection (Fig 4).

The patient was discharged with great improvement of the occipitocervical pain and neurologically intact.

DISCUSSION

FMM are uncommon tumors in unique and delicate localization. The best treatment is surgical removal, however it is yet to be define the most appropriate surgical approach to ventral type of FMM. FMM, like all meningiomas, are more frequent in women, with a female/male rate of 2.6:1. They are estimate to represent around 3% of all meningiomas, but they are the most common benign extramedullary tumor of the craniospinal transition.

Boulton and Cusimano reported an anatomical study to compare the area of surgical exposure achieved using retrosigmoid or far lateral transcondylar approaches. Thirteen embalmed cadaveric heads were dissected bi-laterally via the retrosigmoid approach on one side and the far lateral approach on the other. The circumference of the area of exposure was delineated using beaded pins placed into the dura. After removal of the brain, the longest longitudinal and transverse axes of the pinned areas were measured and surface area calculated. The area of surgical exposure was also expressed as a percentage of the total area of the lower clivus. Normalized and adjusted surface areas were calculated using the bimastoid diameter. The mean area of exposure required using the retrosigmoid approach was 19.8±14.7 mm$^2$ (range 6–49 mm$^2$) and that using the far lateral approach was 27.8±22.8 mm$^2$ (range 10–90 mm$^2$). The mean percentage of the lower clivus exposed by the retrosigmoid approach was 14.9±3.6% (range 10–22%) and that exposed by the far lateral approach was 20.5±4.9% (range 10–25%). The far lateral approach provided significantly greater area of operative exposure and allowed a significantly higher percentage of lower clivus and foramen magnum exposure than did the retrosigmoid approach (p<0.05). Normalized and adjusted surface areas, taking into consideration the bimastoid diameter, were also statistically significant in favor of the far lateral approach. The authors conclude that the far lateral transcondylar approach gives more room to work at the lower clivus and foramen magnum areas and it should be the best route for removing FMM.

Most of the series agree that far lateral approach should be the first choice in these cases. Nevertheless, there are different opinions regarding how much of the occipital condyle should be removed. Most authors consider that it should be individualized in each case. In some instances no removal at all would be necessary and in other occasions the posterior third of the occipital condyle or even the posterior half of it should be resected. Factors that would indicate the extension of bone resection are size and location of the tumor and anatomical relation (superior or inferior) to the vertebral artery.
We report two cases illustrating that the partial condylarctomy can be individualized in particular cases. In the first one, it would not have been possible to remove the tumor without excessive brainstem retraction if the posterior third of the occipital condyle had not been removed. However, in the second case, there was enough room to work in a good operative field and remove the tumor, because the retraction provided by the tumor itself on the brainstem gave us a great surgical corridor to perform complete resection.

In summary, we believe that with careful microsurgical techniques it is possible to remove FMMs with no partial condylectomy. Meanwhile, the surgical team should be able to do it when necessary. It is our impression that the transcondylar extension should be reserved to small tumors that do not displace the neural structures enough to create an adequate surgical corridor.
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