Spontaneous spinal epidural hematoma during pregnancy

Hematoma extradural espontâneo durante a gravidez
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Dear Editor,

Braga et al.1 described the conservative treatment of spontaneous spinal extradural hematoma (SSEH). I would like neurologists to pay more attention to SSEH and pregnancy. I have three clarifications.

First, pregnancy is a risk factor for SSEH. Recently, we reported a woman with twin pregnancy suffering SSEH at the 16th week of gestation2. To our knowledge, 14 cases of SSEH during pregnancy have been reported2,3: decompression surgeries were performed in all cases. Both veins and arteries can be candidates of origin for SSEH. In the former case, pregnancy increases abdominal pressure, which may lead to a rise in the epidural venous pressure, possibly leading to the rupture of a venous wall. In the latter case, how pregnancy affects it is unclear.

Second, short lag time between symptom onset and surgery may not always guarantee recovery, which is important not only medically but also judicially. Surgical decompression within 12 hours of the symptoms onset has been generally recommended1. Focusing on the topic to SSEH during pregnancy, of 14, 3 had complete neurological recovery while the remaining 11 did not. Lag time was a median of 24 (range: 8 to 31) and 15 (7 to 36) hours, respectively, showing no difference. In the present case, it was nine hours; however, the patient still could not walk. Braga et al.1 stated: “controversy exists regarding the appropriate time for surgery.” Expectant management also led to good recovery in cases with mild neurological deficits1. Prognosis may also be influenced by rehabilitation.

Third, a rehabilitation program should be established for pregnant women. Rehabilitation after surgery or expectant management may be important for good neurological recovery; however, pregnant patients provide specific concerns. If SSEH occurs in early pregnancy week, “evacuation and continue pregnancy” strategy may be employed, which may require a view toward subsequent rehabilitation. Of 14 SSEH during pregnancy, cesarean section was performed at the time of evacuation in 9, while pregnancy was continued after evacuation in 5 cases, depending on the gestational weeks: SSEH occurred at a median of 37 (range 32 to 41) and 24 (16 to 34) weeks, respectively. Of the latter, four gave term birth, while our patient yielded preterm delivery for whom rehabilitation was started soon after surgery. Although we were cautious about preterm labor and thus closely monitored uterine contractions, she gave birth to a preterm infant at the 29th week; the rehabilitation may be one possible culprit for uterine contractions. An effective rehabilitation program least inducing uterine contractions may benefit pregnant women not only in this specific condition, but also for those requiring rehabilitation, and not sufficiently given it for fear of uterine contractions. Cooperative effort of clinical neurologists and obstetricians may be needed to establish a rehabilitation program for pregnant women.

References