Scar neuromas as triggers for headache after craniotomy: clinical evidence

Neuromas de cicatriz como gatilhos para cefaleia pós-craniotomia: evidência clínica
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ABSTRACT

We present four cases of headache with variable intensity, located in close proximity to a craniotomy incision which was performed for non-traumatic reasons. Since manual palpation of the scar often triggers pain, and infiltration with local anesthetics reduce or abolish the pain in some patients, we suggest that neuromas or nerve entrapment in the scars, as a result of the surgery, are responsible for headaches. Although local infiltrations or nerve blocks are often used for diagnostic reasons, herein we consider that they are also of therapeutic value. We review the current known pathophysiology of post-craniotomy headaches and present a hypothesis suggesting a greater recognition of the potential contribution of neuroma formation in areas of scars tissue to contribute to this kind of headache.
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CLINICAL REPORTS

Case 1

A 55-year-old woman, with a past history of migraine without aura, had neurosurgery to remove a benign tumor at the age of 38. Five years after the surgery (at the age of 43), she reported daily headaches which were located in the frontoparietal region and were typically severe (visual analog scale=9 (VAS)) and throbbing. Duration was up to 12 hours, and response to analgesics was poor. During the headaches, she had nausea and photophobia. She had used amitriptyline, propranolol, chlorpromazine, carbamazepine and topic capsaicine for the treatment of her pain, with little improvement. Because digital pressure of surgical scar would trigger headaches with the Ethics Committee of our Institution and signed consents were obtained.
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the same characteristics, we decided to inject the most painful points of the scar with a solution of lidocaine 2% (1.5 mL) and dexamethasone 10 mg/2 mL (0.5 mL). We repeated the procedure three more times during the next four months. She reported important reduction in the frequency of her headaches, from daily to around once a month. She has been followed for 7 years, without worsening (Table).

Case 2
A 48-year-old woman with a past history of tension-type headache had surgery for aneurism clipping (left middle cerebral artery) at the age of 41. Seven years after the surgery, she start experiencing severe episodes of pain (VAS=10) in the left temporal region. Pain was throbbing and of short duration, lasting 2 to 3 minutes. Attacks occurred several times each day. Pain was not associated with phonophobia, photophobia or autonomic signs. Previous treatments included the use of amitriptyline and diclofenac, without improvement. Digital pressure of specific points on the surgical scar triggered the exact same pain; therefore, we injected these points with a solution of lidocaine 2% (1.5 mL) with dexamethasone 10 mg/2 mL (0.5 mL). At follow-up (two months after injection), she reported having experienced only one mild attack. She has been followed for 2 years, without worsening (Figure).

Case 3
A 46-year-old woman had two craniotomies at the age of 30, for surgical clipping of aneurisms in both middle cerebral arteries. She reported having no headaches until the surgery. Nine years after the surgery, she reported headaches of gradual onset, felt on the right frontotemporal region, with throbbing (and sometimes burning) quality, and severe (VAS=10). Pain typically lasted 24 hours and was accompanied by ipsilateral conjunctival injection and lacrimation that persisted throughout the attack. Attacks were aggravated by routine physical activity, but they were not accompanied by agitation, nausea, photophobia or phonophobia. Frequency was from 3 to 4 times per week, with pain-free intervals lasting more than 24 hours. Because digital pressure of the surgical region triggered the pain, we injected the most painful points of the surgical scar with a solution of lidocaine 2% (1.5 mL) plus dexamethasone 10 mg/2 mL (0.5 mL). She reported total improvement of pain and no headache attacks at the follow-up (two years after the injections) (Table).

Case 4
A 45-year-old man had right temporal lobectomy for the treatment of epilepsy at the age of 43. He had no past history of headaches. One month after the procedure, he reported onset of headache attacks (right temporal region). Headaches were throbbing, severe (VAS=8), with photophobia and tearing ipsilateral to the pain side. Attacks lasted up to 72 hours and happened once a week. Amitriptyline had been tried, without improvement. Because digital pressure of the surgical region triggered the headaches, we injected the most painful points of the surgical scar with a solution of lidocaine 2% (1.5 mL) and dexamethasone 10 mg/2 mL (0.5 mL). After two weeks, patient reported significant reductions on frequency (now twice a month), duration (<24 yours) and severity (VAS=4) of the attacks. He has been followed for 2 years, without headaches (Table).

DISCUSSION
In the currently study, we reported four cases that did not fulfill criteria for PCH (headaches appeared after 1 week), but had headaches associated to neurosurgical scars. Case 1 describes a patient with migraine-like headache and substantial increase in the frequency of the headaches five years after the surgical procedure. Injection on painful sites induced remission. Case 2 describes a patient with episodic tension-type headaches who, 7 years after the craniotomy, developed

Table. Cases of headaches related to neurosurgical incisions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Gender/ Age</th>
<th>Surgery</th>
<th>Previous Headache</th>
<th>Pain Feature</th>
<th>Visual Analogic Scale</th>
<th>Scar Triggers</th>
<th>Oral Medication Response</th>
<th>Injection Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F, 55</td>
<td>F, 48</td>
<td>Benign tumor</td>
<td>Migraine</td>
<td>Throbbing</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Partial</td>
<td>Important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F, 48</td>
<td>F, 46</td>
<td>Aneurism clipping</td>
<td>Tension Type</td>
<td>Throbbing</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Partial</td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F, 46</td>
<td></td>
<td>Aneurism clipping</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Throbbing/burning</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Not used</td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M, 48</td>
<td></td>
<td>Epilepsy</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Throbbing</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Without improvement</td>
<td>Important</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure. Scar triggers.
a different headache syndrome, characterized by frequent, short duration attacks. Cases 3 and 4 describe patients without past history of headaches. After the craniotomy (after 1 month in one case and after 9 years in the other), they developed new onset headaches. Headaches had some migrainous characteristics and were associated with autonimic signs ipsilateral to the pain.

In these cases, the time elapsed between the surgical procedures and the development, worsening, or modification of the headaches varied from 30 days to 9 years. Headaches were throbbing, severe and worse in proximity to the surgical scars. Duration of attacks varied from a few minutes to 72 hours, and monthly frequency of attacks ranged from 12 to 30 days. Propylcuse of amitriptyline, propranolol, chlorpromazine, carbamazepine and topical capsaicin resulted in little or no improvement. Despite the long time between surgery and the onset of headache, we consider the causal relation based on: 1. Difficulty in fulfilling the necessary criteria for primary headaches (cases 2, 3 and 4); 2. Limited response to standard preventive medications (cases 1 and 4); 3. Pain happened mainly and was stronger in the region of the craniotomy, being ipsilateral to the surgery (all cases); 4. Pain was invariably triggered by pressing specific points on the surgical scars (all cases); 5. Patients experienced total remission or significant improvement of the headaches after the injection of the previously identified trigger points (all cases).

The pathophysiology of PCH seems to involve meningeal inflammation, nerve compression, nerve entrapment, muscular and meningeal fibrosis, and central sensitization. The role of neuromas as a cause of PCH has been suggested. Neuromas are characterized by abnormal tissue growth (regenerating axons surrounded by connective tissue). They typically appear after traumatic injuries, as a consequence of pressure or laceration of the nerves. It is hypothesized that abnormal voltage-dependent Na channels in these neuromas may induce a state of axonal hyperexcitability. Because neuromas have aberrant conductive capacity, abnormal sensorial (and nociceptive) perception may arise. The continuous input generated by these axons would be conducted to the second and third neurons (trigeminal nucleus caudalis and thalamus). This sensitization, induced by neuromas, would contribute to the worsening or change in the pattern of a pre-existing headache, or to the development of a new headache. Accordingly, the role of neuromas in both initiation and maintenance of pain has been recognized in other pain disorders such as complex regional pain syndrome.

Based on lessons learned with these four cases, we emphasize three points. First, from a temporal perspective, despite the relation between neurosurgical scars and headaches, it is impossible to classify our cases as PCH as per the ICHD-II. A second consideration regards the pathophysiology of these headaches. Since manual palpation of the scar often triggers pain, and infiltration with local anesthetics reduce or abolish the pain in some patients, we suggest that neuromas or nerve entrapment in the scars as a result of the surgery are responsible for headaches.

Finally, although local infiltrations or nerve blocks are often used for diagnostic reasons, herein, we consider that they are also of therapeutic value. Some patients have incomplete relief after the first infiltration, and may need further infiltrations. However, based on our casuistic, permanent improvement after a single infiltration may be possible.

We reported a series of four patients with headaches related to incisional neurosurgical scars. We hypothesized that the surgical trauma predisposes to the development of neuromas or nerve entrapments that may become triggers for headaches (development and worsening), perhaps due to a mechanism of central sensitization. Until these headaches are better studied and the pathophysiology gets better elucidated, we suggest that careful examination of the surgical scar and its surroundings be conducted in all patients with history of neurosurgery and complaints of new-onset or changing-pattern headaches.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank Dr. Marcelo E. Bigal for critically reviewing the manuscript.

References


