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Difficulties in HAM/TSP diagnosis
Dificuldades no diagnóstico da HAM/TSP

Carla Maria Sena Andrade Slater¹, Luiz Claudio Pereira Ribeiro², Marzia Puccioni-Sohler³

HTLV-I-associated myelopathy (HAM/TSP) is an inflammatory disease of the central nervous system (CNS) with an insidious onset and slowly progressive evolution¹. The most common neurological manifestations include spastic paraparesis, sphincter dysfunction and sensory impairment. The diagnosis defined by HAM/TSP, according to the Osame criteria (1990), is based on clinical finding and demonstration of anti-HTLV-I antibodies in serum and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF)²⁻⁵. Castro-Costa et al. elaborated a new diagnosis proposal for HAM/TSP diagnosis, involving a broader evaluation. This model aims at clinical aspects starting at the onset of the disease, including cases of oligosymptomatic disturbances, and additional laboratorial findings attesting the presence of anti-HTLV-I virus proteins (by the Western blot (WB) test and/or polymerase chain reaction (PCR)) in the CSF⁶.

In endemic areas of HTLV-I infection, the differential diagnosis between myelopathies of unknown origin and HAM/TSP can be difficult, especially in the case of slowly progressive chronic myelopathy, such as the primary progressive type of multiple sclerosis⁷⁻⁸. Due to the difficulty in diagnosing HAM/TSP, its progressive and incapacitating nature, and the comorbidity involved, a more detailed review of the subject proved to be justified. The aim of this study included applying and analyzing the level of concordance between the HAM/TSP diagnosis criteria by Castro-Costa et al. and Osame, evaluating the importance of new criteria for uncertain diagnoses.
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ABSTRACT
The World Health Organization recommends the use of Osame’s criterion (1990) for the diagnosis of HTLV-I-associated myelopathy (HAM/TSP). In 2006, a group of neurologists developed a Brazilian criterion that can diagnose HAM/TSP from its onset. **Objective:** It was to test the agreement between both criteria. **Methods:** The study included evaluation of clinical and laboratory findings of 35 patients. The ELISA, Western blot and/or polymerase chain reaction was used to search for anti-HTLV-I antibodies. The analysis of agreement was based on the calculation of Kappa. **Results:** Concordance of 100% (Kappa=1) occurred in cases of “defined” HAM/TSP, but not in patients with “probable” diagnosis. **Conclusion:** The Brazilian criteria was as effective as Osame’s criteria for the diagnosis of “defined” HAM/TSP. However, both require more specific biological markers in cerebrospinal fluid for the laboratory diagnosis of probable cases.
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RESUMO
A Organização Mundial da Saúde recomenda o uso do critério de Osame (1990) para o diagnóstico da mielopatia associada ao vírus HTLV-I (HAM/TSP). Em 2006, um grupo de neurologistas elaborou um critério brasileiro capaz de diagnosticar HAM/TSP desde suas manifestações iniciais. **Objetivo:** Foi testar a concordância entre ambos os critérios. **Métodos:** O estudo incluiu a avaliação dos achados clínicos e laboratoriais de 35 pacientes. Os métodos de ELISA, Western blot e/ou reação em cadeia da polimerase foram utilizados para pesquisa de anticorpos anti-HTLV-I. A análise da concordância baseou-se no cálculo do índice Kappa. **Resultados:** Concordância de 100% (índice Kappa=1) ocorreu nos casos de HAM/TSP “definida”, mas não nos pacientes com o diagnóstico “provável”. **Conclusão:** O critério brasileiro foi tão eficaz quanto o critério de Osame para o diagnóstico de HAM/TSP “definido”. No entanto, ambos necessitam de marcadores biológicos mais específicos no líquido cefalorraquidiano para o diagnóstico laboratorial dos casos prováveis.
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METHODS

Subjects
This is a transversal and prospective study of 35 patients with neurological signs and/or symptoms compatible with myelopathy diagnosis and seropositive for HTLV-I, seen in the Neuroinfection Clinic of Hospital Universitário Gaffrée e Guinle (HUGG) da Universidade Federal do Estado do Rio de Janeiro (UNIRIO), between March 2007 and March 2010.

All patients were evaluated according to Osame and Castro-Costa et al. criteria for HAM/TSP diagnosis. The Osame criterion considers both slowly progressive paraparesis and anti-HTLV-I antibodies in blood and CSF as “definite”, while the “probable” level includes progressive myelopathy in patients with anti-HTLV-I antibodies in serum or CSF, but not in both, or myelopathy that is not consistent with the above description in the presence of anti-HTLV-I antibodies in serum and CSF\(^2\). The classification of the “definite” diagnosis by Castro-Costa et al. includes progressive spastic paraparesis that could be associated with sensory and sphincter signs or symptoms, the presence of anti-HTLV-I antibodies in blood and CSF, confirmed by WB and/or positive PCR for HTLV-I in blood and/or CSF. The diagnosis is “probable” if presents monosymptomatic/signs: lower limb spasticity or hyperreflexia or Babinski sign, sensory alterations and anti-HTLV-I antibodies in serum and CSF confirmed by WB and/or positive PCR for HTLV-I in blood and/or CSF\(^6\).

Complementary tests
All patients undertook the following tests: complete blood count (CBC), biochemical profile, rheumatological analysis, thyroid hormones, vitamin B12 and folic acid, HIV serology, hepatitis C and syphilis. The routine CSF analysis included evaluation of cytology, biochemical profile, microbiology, immunology (IgG index and albumin quotient, VDRL). Spinal cord compression was ruled out by image studies.

Screening for HTLV-I
The serum of all patients was reactive for anti-HTLV-I antibodies by Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) (Otho HTLV-I, Johnson & Johnson, New Jersey, USA), confirmed by INNO-LIA\(^\text{TM}\) HTLV-I/II Score (Innogenetics N.V., Belgium). Furthermore, CSF was tested for HTLV-I antibodies by ELISA method, and the positive results were confirmed using WB and/or PCR. The samples with reactivity to gag p19-I and env gp46-I and/or env gp46-II in the WB were considered positive for HTLV-I. The presence of HTLV-I DNA by PCR was diagnosed when pol or tax sequences were detected in CSP\(^9\).

Statistical evaluation
The level of concordance between HAM/TSP diagnostic criteria was also assessed by the kappa index. Numbers varied between zero and one: zero meaning little concordance; 0.41 to 0.60, moderate concordance; 0.81 to 1, excellent concordance. The kappa coefficient allows two or more observers to separately classify a sample of objects using the same category scale. The definite levels of Osame and Castro-Costa criteria were compared, and the Kappa value was calculated through the kappa.exe software\(^10\). The comparison of the probable cases was reported.

RESULTS

Thirty-five out of 128 (26%) HAM/TSP patients were followed in the Neuroinfection Clinic and included in the research protocol.

Characteristics of patients with HAM/TSP
Out of 35 patients with HAM/TSP, 21 were female and median age (± standard deviation (SD)) of 55±10 years (Table). Most (60%) were from the state of Rio de Janeiro, followed by Bahia (14%) and other states (25.7%). Muscular weakness in lower limbs was the most common onset symptoms (100%), followed by sphincter dysfunctions (71.4%) and paresthesia in both lower limbs (51.4%). The current signs and symptoms of the patients are shown in the Figure.

Routine laboratorial tests in serum were normal in all patients, but for the presence of HTLV-I antibodies. The CSF analysis revealed pleocytosis (>4 cells/mm\(^3\)) in 57% of cases, hyperproteinorrachia (protein>40 mg/dL) in 54.2%, IgG index ≥7 (suggests intrathecal IgG synthesis) in 56% and albumin quotient ≥8x10\(^{-3}\) (indicates dysfunction of the blood-brain barrier) in 27%. Ninety-four percent (33/35) presented anti-HTLV-I antibodies in CSF by the ELISA method, confirmed by WB and/or PCR.

Table. Demographic data of 35 HAM/TSP patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Cases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age of symptoms onset (years)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average (SD)</td>
<td>44.54 (±13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>45.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of disease (years)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average (SD)</td>
<td>10.54 (±7.52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SD: standard deviation.
Criteria application for HAM/TSP diagnosis

Out of 35 patients, 32 (91%) presented “definite” diagnosis, characterized by slowly progressive paraparesis associated with the presence of anti-HTLV-I antibodies in serum and CSF, according to both criteria. The kappa coefficient was equal to 1.0 with complete concordance between criteria for a definite diagnosis. As for the probable diagnosis, one case presented isolated Babinski bilateral sign and anti-HTLV-I antibodies in blood and CSF, fulfilling the Castro-Costa et al. criteria; two other seropositive patients developed slowly progressive spastic paraparesis in the absence of anti-HTLV-I antibodies in CSF, according to the criteria of Osame.

DISCUSSION

HAM/TSP is a slowly progressive chronic myelopathy, predominating in females in a proportion of 2:1 to 3:1\(^1\). Asymmetric weakness in both lower limbs, lower back pain and urinary incontinence are the most common symptoms. The neurological examination reveals spastic paraparesis associated with pyramidal signs such as hyperreflexia, Babinski and Hoffman signs, and foot clonus. Abnormalities in sensibility may be present, especially in distal region. In our study, we observed a predominance of women (60%), average age ± SD of 55±10 years, showing weakness in both lower limbs, with the most common alteration being spastic paraparesis. The CSF analysis is, in general, characterized by mild pleocytosis and hyperproteinorrachia, intrathecal synthesis of total IgG and the presence of HTLV-I antibodies\(^3\). In addition to these findings, intrathecal production of anti-HTLV-I antibodies occurs in 85% of patients with HAM/TSP\(^4\). In our study, we report similar findings.

The defined HAM/TSP classification for both criteria was similar, considering progressive spastic paraparesis as a primordial characteristic for diagnosis, as the demonstration of anti-HTLV-I antibodies in serum and CSF. Our sample consisted of 32 patients presenting a “definite” diagnosis according to Osame and Castro-Costa et al. The kappa index revealed complete concordance between both criteria, indicating that the Castro-Costa et al. criterion was as effective as the Osame criterion for the HAM/TSP “defined” diagnosis.

As for the “probable” diagnosis of HAM/TSP, we observed that the criterion suggested by Castro-Costa et al. considers clinical findings starting since the emergence of initial manifestations, when patients are oligosymptomatic or present isolated signs, which provides additional data value for HAM/TSP diagnosis. However, verifying anti-HTLV-I antibodies in serum and CSF is also necessary. In our study, one patient presented isolated Babinski sign with anti-HTLV-I antibodies in blood and CSF, thus being classified as “probable” according to the Castro-Costa et al. criterion. The Osame criterion, on the other hand, considers the probability of HAM/TSP diagnosis in the presence of anti-HTLV-I antibodies in serum or CSF, since the clinical finding is compatible with progressive myelopathy. Therefore, two patients in our study were classified as “probable” level by the Osame criteria, presenting slowly progressive paraparesis, with reactive anti-HTLV-I antibodies in the blood, but not in the CSF. As a result, the criteria were not concordant for the level of “probable” diagnosis.
Another aspect related to the Castro-Costa et al. criteria consists of the confirmed presence of antibodies in CSF by WB and/or PCR. The WB analysis represents an important confirmation test for serological diagnosis; however, it is not standardized for use in the CSF. In order to that happens, previous adaptation would be necessary for evaluating intrathecal synthesis of a specific antibody. The demonstration of CSF bands based on the method used in serum may indicate blood-derived viral proteins that cross the blood-brain barrier, which occurs even in normal conditions. Therefore, this evaluation does not display clinic relevance for neurological diagnosis. Furthermore, it is a costly exam, which presents another deterrent of the CSF routine. PCR in the CSF provides additional data in diagnosing HAM/TSP. However, isolated PCR in CSF presents 93% sensitivity and 85% specificity (positive predictive value (PPV)=89 and negative predictive value (NPV)=90) for the HAM/TSP diagnosis; in other words, positive PCR in CSF does not confirm diagnosis in 100% of cases, considering the possibility that HAM/TSP patients present negative PCR in CSF, as well as the possibility that patients infected with HTLV-I without HAM/TSP may have positive PCR for HTLV-I.

There is no diagnosis criterion that includes laboratorial markers capable of differentiating, with 100% certainty, HAM/TSP from other inflammatory myelopathies. These criteria lack laboratory tests that are able to differentiate HAM/TSP, multiple sclerosis and other neurological diseases in patients infected by HTLV-I. The identification of anti-HTLV-I antibodies in serum and CSF in patients with multiple sclerosis can render more difficult diagnosis, especially in endemic HTLV-I areas. A study in Japan reveals that 2.8% of HAM/TSP patients are mistakenly diagnosed with multiple sclerosis. The primary progressive types of HAM/TSP and MS are chronic SNC inflammatory diseases, immunemediated, and involve slowly progressive spastic paraparesis associated with sensorial and sphincter dysfunctions. Patients with MS exposed to HTLV-I infection in the past may present anti-HTLV-I antibodies.

As laboratory support, an algorithm that confirms HAM/TSP diagnosis with 100% probability was proposed. It consists of the combined use of intrathecal synthesis evaluation of anti-HTLV-I antibodies, by the specific antibody index and qualitative PCR in CSF, presenting sensitivity of 74% and specificity of 100% (PPV=100 and NPV=68). Another alternative consists in quantifying the HTLV-I virus in blood and/or CSF, which presents more elevated levels in patients with HAM/TSP compared to asymptomatic individuals. Grassi et al. observed that the ideal viral load in blood, in order to differentiate HAM/TSP from asymptomatic groups, was 49,865 copies/10⁶ PBMC (87% sensitivity and 81% specificity). In another analysis, the viral load in the CSF contributed to the differential diagnosis of HAM/TSP and multiple sclerosis. The evaluation of the intrathecal synthesis of anti-HTLV-I antibodies and viral load in CSF were also suggested in the laboratorial diagnosis of HAM/TSP.

The HAM/TSP diagnosis criteria proposed by Castro-Costa et al., similar to that established by Osame, present good applicability for the defined forms. However, the existence of a clinical situation in which patients remain without diagnosis or with doubtful diagnosis demonstrates the need for markers displaying greater sensitivity and specificity in both criteria; in other words, more encompassing and more precise. There is no diagnosis criterion that includes lab markers capable of differentiating HAM/TSP from other myelopathies of inflammatory origin with higher precision. It is necessary to elaborate new diagnosis criteria including more specific parameters, such as the study of viral load and intrathecal synthesis of anti-HTLV-I antibodies, which present increased sensitivity and specificity for HAM/TSP diagnosis.
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