Neuropathic pain in patients with spinal cord injury: report of 213 patients
Dor neuropática em pacientes com lesão medular: avaliação em 213 pacientes
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ABSTRACT
Objective: Management of neuropathic pain following spinal cord injury (SCI) can be a frustrating experience for patients since it poses a therapeutic challenge. In this article the authors describe the clinical characteristics of a group of patients with pain after spinal cord injury.

Methods: In this retrospective study, 213 patients with SCI and neuropathic pain were assessed. We analyzed clinical characteristics, treatment options, and pain intensity for these patients.

Results: The main cause of SCI was spine trauma, which occurred in 169 patients, followed by tumors and infection. Complete lesions were verified in 144 patients. In our study, patients with traumatic SCI and partial lesions seem to be presented with more intense pain; however, this was not statistically significant.

Conclusions: Neuropathic pain is a common complaint in patients with SCI and presents a treatment challenge. Knowledge of the clinical characteristics of this group of patients may help determine the best approach to intervention.
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RESUMO
Objetivo: Tratamento de dor neuropática após lesão da medula espinhal (LM) representa um desafio terapêutico e pode ser uma experiência frustrante para os pacientes. Os autores descrevem as características clínicas de um grupo de pacientes tratados com dor após lesão medular.

Método: Foram avaliados retrospectivamente 213 pacientes com LM e dor neuropática. Em relação a características clínicas, opções de tratamento e intensidade da dor nestes pacientes.

Resultados: A principal causa foi traumatismo raquimedular, que ocorreu em 169 pacientes, seguida por tumores e infecções. Lesões completas foram verificadas em 144 pacientes. Em nosso estudo, os pacientes com traumatismos e lesões parciais apresentaram dor aparentemente mais intensa, no entanto, sem diferença estatisticamente significativa.

Conclusão: A dor neuropática é uma queixa comum em pacientes com LM e apresenta um desafio para equipe de tratamento. Conhecimento das características clínicas deste grupo de pacientes pode ajudar a determinar a melhor abordagem para intervenção terapêutica.

Palavras-Chave: dor neuropática, lesão medular, tratamento.

Spinal cord injuries (SCI) are common occurrences in the emergency practice. They account for an important proportion of care, disability, and economic issues. Chronic pain is a frequent problem in patients with spinal cord injury. Following injury, up to 94% of the patients with SCI experience pain for variable lengths of time; this pain becomes chronic in approximately 70% cases and has been reported to be severe, disabling, and often treatment-resistant in 5–37% cases. Such pain may occur not only in the above scenarios, but at and below the level of the SCI and in full and partial cord injury. Variables that influence the development of SCI pain remain unclear. Although lacking compelling evidence, it has been suggested that the upper spinal level involvement and cause of the injury may help predict the occurrence of pain. Despite increasing knowledge of SCI pathophysiology, treatment of SCI-related pain remains a clinical challenge. In a bid to manage neuropathic pain and to improve the quality of life of affected patients, various medical treatments and surgical procedures have been attempted. The main source of information regarding the results of such treatment comes from studies carried out in other countries; for example, a few studies have focused on the prevalence and characteristics of pain in the Brazilian population. The aim of this study was to evaluate the clinical and epidemiological characteristics in a series of 213 patients with pain after SCI who were treated at a reference pain center.
The clinical characteristics of the patients are described in Table 1.

In the traumatic group, traffic accident was the most common cause of injury (111 patient), followed by fall (26 patients). Only 2 patients presented with gunshot wounds to the spine.

Regarding pain characteristics, in this study, severe pain with scores >7 (on a scale of 0–10) was observed in 116 patients. Fourteen patients had allodynia. Only 16 patients still had pain during the initial hospitalization for spinal cord injury. The other 197 patients developed the pain late after 36 months. In this study, patients with lesions that were less than 1 year old were excluded.

Regarding treatment, drugs were administered to 173 patients. Some beneficial effects were achieved in certain types of neuropathic pain; “pain intensity” and “burning sensation” decreased following 12 weeks of gabapentin or carbamazepine treatment. However, this improvement was minor compared to other therapeutic techniques (Table 2).

In this study, we verified pain improvement in 16 out of 20 patients with SCI who received continuous intrathecal infusion of morphine (0.3–1.0 mg/d); the mean VAS score decreased from 8.8 to 4.5. This group consisted of patients with both partial and complete lesions, and none developed dependence or a significant degree of tolerance. Following treatment, 8 patients had the complication of constipation, which was minimized with diet change and use of laxatives. We identified worsening of the neurogenic bladder in 2 cases.

## RESULTS

We found that the main cause of SCI was spine trauma, which occurred in 169 patients, followed by tumors and infection. Patients were predominantly men (164 cases). Complete lesions were verified in 144 patients. The pretreatment mean VAS scores for each group were as follows: 9.6 in the DREZ surgery group; 9.3 in the stimulator group; 8.8 in the morphine infusion pump group and 8.0 in the medication group. The clinical characteristics of the patients are described in Table 1.

In the traumatic group, traffic accident was the most common cause of injury (111 patient), followed by fall (26 patients). Only 2 patients presented with gunshot wounds to the spine.

Regarding pain characteristics, in this study, severe pain with scores >7 (on a scale of 0–10) was observed in 116 patients. Fourteen patients had allodynia. Only 16 patients still had pain during the initial hospitalization for spinal cord injury. The other 197 patients developed the pain late after 36 months. In this study, patients with lesions that were less than 1 year old were excluded.

Regarding treatment, drugs were administered to 173 patients. Some beneficial effects were achieved in certain types of neuropathic pain; “pain intensity” and “burning sensation” decreased following 12 weeks of gabapentin or carbamazepine treatment. However, this improvement was minor compared to other therapeutic techniques (Table 2).

In this study, we verified pain improvement in 16 out of 20 patients with SCI who received continuous intrathecal infusion of morphine (0.3–1.0 mg/d); the mean VAS score decreased from 8.8 to 4.5. This group consisted of patients with both partial and complete lesions, and none developed dependence or a significant degree of tolerance. Following treatment, 8 patients had the complication of constipation, which was minimized with diet change and use of laxatives. We identified worsening of the neurogenic bladder in 2 cases.

### Table 1. Distribution of patients according to magnitude of spinal lesion, sex, spinal cord injury, etiology and degree of pain

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Lesion</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Initial mean VAS</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Complete Lesion</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>&lt;0.076*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partial Lesion</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCI Etiology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trauma</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>0.612*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infection</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>0.082*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Difference in mean visual analog scale (VAS) before treatment; SCI: spinal cord injury.

### Table 2. Distribution of cases according to treatment received over study period

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MT</th>
<th>Pump</th>
<th>DREZ</th>
<th>Stimulation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial VAS</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>140M/33 F</td>
<td>12M/8F</td>
<td>7M/5F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT</td>
<td>No PT</td>
<td>MT</td>
<td>MT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MT: Medical treatment; PT: Previous treatment; VAS: Visual Analogic Scale; M: Male; F: Female.
Eight patients were treated with epidural stimulator, and of them, 7 patients (85%) experienced satisfactory initial pain relief and had their systems internalized. Electrode repositioning was not necessary in any case. The mean VAS score decreased from 9.3 to 4.2.

Patients who underwent the DREZ lesion procedure did not develop further significant neurological deficits, except postoperative hypoesthesia in the dermatomes corresponding to the DREZ lesions. Wound infections did not occur in any patients. All 12 patients (100%) experienced initial pain relief because of the DREZ surgery. Better long-term results were obtained in the lumbar group (85%) than in dorsal spinal segments. The DREZ lesion procedure presented the best outcome compared to the other 3 groups (Figure).

**DISCUSSION**

**Pain characteristics**

The efficacy of drug treatment for neuropathic pain following SCI has been previously reported. While our results support the finding that neuropathic pain improves following drug intervention, it was minor in comparison to the surgical intervention techniques. The limitations of this study stem from the retrospective and uncontrolled manner in which the VAS scores were obtained. To et al. reported that the greatest improvement in neuropathic pain occurred within the first month of treatment and that there was marginal continued improvement at 3 and 6 months post therapy. The type of neuropathic pain and its location, as well as the level of SCI and lesion type may be an important factor.

The clinical characteristics of neuropathic pain in patients with SCI are controversial. Rogano, Teixeira and Lepski described severe pain in 36% of 384 patients undergoing a renaissance following the development of functional neurosurgery. So, our group of patients includes many cases with refractory conditions.

**Therapeutic options**

Treatment of pain with intrathecal medications has undergone a renaissance following the development of continuous flow and programmable infusion systems. We observed a decrease in the VAS score from 8.8 to 4.5, with an immediate and sustained good outcome in 80% of cases. A number of reports have indicated that spinally administered opioids are effective, with minimal side effects, in patients with cancer and chronic non-cancer pain, some of whom appear to have neuropathic pain. Siddall et al. found that a combination of intrathecal morphine and clonidine produced significant relief of neuropathic SCI pain compared with a saline placebo. In a non-randomized, single-blinded study conducted by Glynn et al., epidural morphine had an analgesic effect in 5 out of 14 patients with neuropathic pain after SCI. However, at a practical level, the cost of programmable pumps and drugs is a major obstacle. Furthermore, the use of this device requires frequent charging of the reservoir, every 3 to 12 weeks.

Epidural stimulation was performed in 8 patients, with satisfactory results in 7 cases, all of whom had partial SCI. The mean VAS score decreased from 9.3 to 4.2. Several reviews of spinal cord stimulation for the control of chronic, intractable pain have been conducted over the past two decades. The positioning of the spinal electrode should be precise in order to allow for overlap of the pain area during trial stimulation. This fact has been shown to be important for long-term efficacy of spinal cord stimulation (SCS). The SCS appears to be most effective in patients with partial SCI. Cioni et al., in a...
study series of 25 patients suffering from intractable pain due to chronic spinal cord lesion, reported that the best candidates for SCS appeared to be those experiencing painful spasms or a constrictive type of pain, and with partial thoracic lesions were found to be the best candidates for spinal cord stimulation.

The best results were obtained using the DREZ lesion procedure, with 100% pain relief observed in the immediate postoperative period. At 3 months, the mean VAS score decreased at 3 months from 9.3 to 3.7. Sindou et al.,27, in a 3-month follow-up study series of 44 patients with SCI neuropathic pain, Sindou, Mertens and Wael27 reported good results in 73% of patients whose pain had been segmentally distributed. In our study, all patients presented with segmental pain. Surgical treatment of pain after following spinal cord injury SCI, particularly DREZ surgery, has serious limitations in that since all types of operations are destructive. However, good results following the DREZ lesion procedure have been obtained in patients with pain confined to dermatomes at or just below the level of spinal injury, or pain extending caudally from the level of the injury, and in patients with unilateral pain28,29.

Neuropathic pain is a common complaint in SCI patients and, presenting a complex treatment challenge. Getting knowledge of the clinical characteristics of pain in this group of patients is important for proper management of pain and may help determine the best approach to therapeutic intervention.

References