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ABSTRACT
Leprosy is a chronic infectious peripheral neuropathy caused by Mycobacterium leprae. The different clinical presentations of the disease are determined by the quality of the host immune response. Early detection of leprosy and treatment by multidrug therapy are the most important steps in preventing deformity and disability. Thus the early recognition of the clinical leprosy presentation is essential. Mononeuritis, mononeuritis multiplex (MM), polyneuritis (MM summation) are the most frequent. The frequent anesthetic skin lesions are absent in the pure neuritic leprosy presentation form. Isolated peripheral nerve involvement is common, including the cranial ones. Arthritic presentation is occasionally seen, usually misdiagnosed as rheumatoid arthritis. Attention should be given to autonomic dysfunctions in leprosy. There are clinical presentations with severe neuropathic pain - painful small-fiber neuropathy. Leprous late-onset neuropathy (LLON) clinical presentation should be considered facing a patient who develop an inflammatory neuropathy many years after a previous skin leprosy treatment.

Keywords: leprosy neuropathy, clinical presentations, pure neuritic form, neuropathic pain, leprosy late-onset neuropathy, arthritic leprosy, autonomic leprosy, review.

RESUMO
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the USA case where leprosy incidence is increasing in some States. In a North and South America Peripheral Neuropathy Project we have observed a relative higher percentage of leprosy when comparing both USA and our patients in the group of infectious neuropathy, respectively 26/53 (49%), and 39/141 (28%)\(^2\). European countries also have sporadic cases. In Spain, for example, there are cases involving immigrants and also native Spaniards\(^3\).

**M. leprae infection**

*M. leprae* is morphologically indistinguishable from *M. tuberculosis*. It damages both myelinated and unmyelinated nerve fibers followed by replacement of functional tissue by conjunctive tissue – fibrosis\(^1\). The pathogenesis of nerve injury can be summarized with the localization of the *M. leprae* to nerve, Schwan cell infection and self responses, demyelination and axonal atrophy, accompanying with oedema and characteristic inflammation. The immune response to leprosy depends on the genetic susceptibility. HLA genes also may influence the type of leprosy that develops during infection (HLA class II)\(^5\). Immunogenicity is fundamental in the development of clinical presentations and also in the management of leprosy neuropathy.

**Leprosy classification**

The current accepted classification for leprosy was made by Ridley and Jopling in 1966. It was based on clinical, histological and immunological criteria. It subdivided leprosy in groups: tuberculoid (T), borderline tuberculoid (BT), borderline (BB), borderline lepromatous (BL) and lepromatous (L). A minor form was added later: indeterminate (I). As it is well known, leprosy forms does not depend of the *bacilli* itself but on the immune response of the host. In LL macrophages are unable to kill *bacilli*; on the other hand, in BL there is an intense cell-mediated immunity response able to destroy *bacilli*. There is also a clinical presentation of leprosy without any skin lesions known as pure neuritic form (PNL)\(^6\). There is also a condition known as “silent neuropathy” (SN). It is characterized by the impairment of sensory and motor functions without skin signs, nerve tenderness, pain, paraesthesia or numbness symptoms of neuritis. It is also called “quiet nerve paralysis”\(^7\).

**Clinical presentation of leprosy**

Leprosy is a neuropathy itself and it is perhaps the best model of inflammatory neuropathy. Neural involvement includes damage to the nerve trunks as well as to the cutaneous nerve endings. Sensory, autonomic and motor symptoms are seen due to the inflammation or as a consequence of reactive regenerative process. Sensory clinical presentations are the most common and usually open the clinical picture. Small-fibers neuropathies predominate, mainly in the early stages. Large fibers are involved later or, in specific cases, can predominate. Predominantly motor fiber compromise can also be seen but it is less common. Leprosy presentations include mononeuritis, mononeuritis multiplex and polyneuritis (mononeuritis multiplex summation).

**Mononeuritis and mononeuritis multiplex**

Mononeuritis is the most common presentation of leprosy, and the nerves in the upper limbs are more often affected than those of the lower. The most commonly involved nerves are the ulnar, median, posterior auricular, superficial radial, common fibular, superficial fibular and posterior tibial\(^1\). Also in PNL form, mononeuritis is the most common presentation, comprising 79% of a 19 leprosy patient series\(^7\). In this series mononeuritis multiplex was seen in 10.5% of cases.

The inflammatory process causes an increase in nerve thickness. They become usually enlarged and painful upon palpation. Sensory and motor signs and symptoms are then observed. Isolated ulnar nerve thickening represents 60% of those cases with just one nerve affected. Difficulties for the diagnosis can occur in some pure ulnar palsy cases. Only a dorsal ulnar nerve superficial branch biopsy confirms leprosy diagnosis\(^7\). Sonography and electrophysiology were complementary for identifying ulnar nerve neuropathy in 21 consecutive Brazilian patients with leprosy, with clinical symptoms as the reference standard\(^8\). This report reinforced the role of sonography in the investigation of leprosy ulnar neuropathy. Ultrasound performed at the elbow is able to differentiate ulnar palsy due to leprosy from entrapment at this site\(^9\).

Posterior tibial nerve involvement in leprosy causes anesthesia on foot sole that may be followed by ulcer, deformity, with severe foot bones compromise - neuropathic foot.

In many cases, where there is involvement of two nerves of the same limb, the association between ulnar and median nerves are mostly common. The ulnar nerve is usually involved bilaterally in different stages of the disease progression. Median nerve compromise is rarely seen. We have recently reported six cases of isolated median neuropathy as the first sign of leprosy, selected from patients with an exclusively sensory complaint as the initial symptom. Three of the patients had PNL, and 3 had skin lesions. Clinical median nerve function impairment was confirmed by neurophysiological testing and histopathology. Both mononeuritis and mononeuritis multiplex were observed. This case series demonstrates an additional form of presentation of leprosy, which, if not diagnosed and treated in time, may lead to permanent disability\(^10\).

Cranial nerve involvement in leprosy does not appear to be so rare. There is clinical evidence of cranial nerve involvement in 18% of patients with leprosy. The fifth and seventh cranial nerves are the most affected. Out of 100 leprosy patients in a consecutive series 22 had cranial nerve involvement. Facial nerve was the most commonly involved (10/22),
followed by olfactory (9/22), trigeminal (7/22) and auditory (3/22) nerves. Isolated involvement of the sixth cranial nerve leading to lateral rectus muscle palsy during type 1 reaction in a BL male patient was recently reported. An anecdotal case of leprosy with multiple cranial neuropathy mimicking Melkerson Rosenthal syndrome was described in India. 

Aside the cutaneous and neural involvement, rheumatological manifestations are frequent in leprosy, although often underrecognized. Forty-four cases were identified in a retrospective study (January 2001-September 2010) in northern India. The authors concluded that leprosy may mimic rheumatoid arthritis (RA), spondyloarthropathy or vasculitis. Pure neuritic variety and spontaneous type 2 leprosy reaction pose unique diagnostic challenges. Studying 33 patients, Salvi and Chopra concluded that serological rheumatoid factor and antinuclear antibody were often false positive. Several patients of RA were on long-term supervised methotrexate. Rheumatologists should be aware of this clinical mimic to avoid errors in diagnosis and management.

Electrophysiological tests, including sensory and motor nerve conduction studies, and electromyography are very useful for leprosy early diagnosis and follow-up.

Polineuropathy

In a few cases there is a distal neuropathy with temperature and pain anesthesia, without muscle weakness due to a mononeuritis multiplex summation. In these cases tendon reflex may be normal. Electrodiagnostic tests may be normal or show concomitant involvement of large myelinated fibers, although there is no proprioceptive loss. It seems that the small fibers are most involved. In some patients there is no skin lesions comprising the PNL form. In these cases only the nerve biopsy can establish the diagnosis of leprosy.

Leprosy is known to preferentially involve only the proprioceptive sensations but proprioceptive sensory loss has been rarely described in subjects with leprosy. An anecdotal case of leprosy with multiple cranial neuropathy mimicking Melkerson Rosenthal syndrome was described in India.

Regional autonomic signals and symptoms, most involving sweating process, are seen in leprosy. Vasomotor reflex was impaired in at least one finger in 33/76 (43%) patients by means of laser Doppler velocimetry in 76 Brazilian patients. Autonomic nerve lesion was more frequent than somatic lesions and was strongly related to the immune-inflammatory reaction against M. Leprae.

Compared with controls, in a series of 25 leprosy patients the R-R interval variations were found to be reduced during both resting and deep forced hyperventilation. The authors concluded that sensory nerve damage is accompanied by autonomic involvement. Autonomic function and NCS were significantly more altered in MB than PB patients in a prospective clinical study we have recently reported including 10 PB and 12 MB patients evaluated at diagnosis and one year after cessation of MDT.

Nerve enlargement

Nerve thickening in neuritic leprosy ranges from 40 to 75%. Nerve enlargements were found in almost half of a Brazilian case series presenting polyneuritic form (overlapping neuritis) we have studied. In a multicenter study including 303 MB patients in North India nerve enlargement was seen in 94%. After leprosy treatment nerve may gradually shrink, but they remain abnormally firm.

Leprosy reactions

Leprous patients may develop hypersensibility host responses, thus interrupting the stable and chronic course of the disease. These are known as leprosy reactions. Type 1 reaction or reversal reaction (RR) is a late cellular hypersensitivity reaction type IV. It occurs in B and BL. The reaction usually appears during the first months of treatment. Previous lesions become steadily more swelled up, reddened and even ulcerate resulting in pain upon minor trauma. Fever, malaise and anorexia may be present. Facial swelling as well as swelling of the legs and upper limbs is characteristic. Type 2 reaction or erithema nodosum leprosum (ENL) is an acute inflammatory condition involving a TNF-alfa and immune complex mediated immune response with infiltration of Th2-cells. It occurs most frequently in L patients, less frequently in BL patients and is more commonly seen in patients with high bacterial index. Nodules and painful, raised red papules are characteristic. These nodules may be accompanied by uveitis, iridocyclitis, episcleritis, neuritis, arthritis, dactilitis, lymphohadenitis and orchitis. Fever, prostration, anorexia as well as other symptoms are frequent.

Leprosy late-onset neuropathy

Relapse in leprosy is a reoccurrence of the disease at any time after the completion of MDT. There are different causes of relapses: an inadequate MDT due to misclassification of
the disease, a premature stop of MDT or a poor compliance. Sometimes even with adequate MDT the relapse is due to multiplication of persistent bacilli, or drug resistance. The relapse may be in the form of late reversal reaction or due to reinfection.

Occasionally there are patients who developed delayed nerve impairment years after MDT, which could not be explained by relapses or reactions. They may have acute mononeuropathy or a chronic and slowly progressive form of multiple mononeuropathy or polyneuropathy. There was no activity of leprosy. We have considered this form as a leprosy late-onset neuropathy (LLON)\textsuperscript{23}. New cases were included and recently reported by our group emphasizing LLON presentation form\textsuperscript{24}. Probably it represents an immune reaction due to the persistence of the bacillus antigen\textsuperscript{25}. Recently we also reported two LLON cases presenting as an ataxic polyneuropathy (LLON – ataxic presentation) (Peripheral Nerve Society Meeting, Saint Malo, Fr, June 2013). A better understanding of LLON clinical features and physiopathology is needed for a better management.

**Leprosy and neuropathic pain (NP)**

NP due to small-fiber damage is a well-recognized feature of leprosy neuropathy. Out of 275 interviewed Chinese patients with leprosy, 126 (45.8\%) reported having symptoms suggestive of neuropathic pain. The pain was severe in 70 (55.5\%) and moderate in 49 (38.9\%) patients. Neuropathic pain is not uncommon in both MB and PB patients who have completed effective antimicrobial treatment\textsuperscript{26}. Painful symptoms were also referred by 42.1\% of 19 PNL patients\textsuperscript{6}. The presence of A-waves in conduction studies correlates well with pain complaints of neuropathic characteristics in leprosy patients, especially in those with type 2 reaction\textsuperscript{27}. Probably, such response shares similar mechanisms with the small-fiber dysfunction seen in these patients with NP, such as demyelination, intraneural edema, and axonal sprouting. Further studies using specific tools for small-fiber assessment are warranted to confirm these findings\textsuperscript{27}.

Complex regional pain syndrome (CRPS/Sudeck’s dystrophy) is a painful and disabling condition - a triad of autonomic, sensory, and motor symptoms disproportionate to the inciting event (inflammatory, infectious, or traumatic). A 20-year-old Indian male presented with continuous pain, aggravated by cold and emotions. A sensory and autonomic functions compromise along left radial and median nerve distribution were confirmed by nerve conduction studies suggestive of mononeuritis multiplex. Stellate ganglion block improved the pain scale. Excluding all other causes, the final diagnosis was CRPS type 2 secondary to leprosy. Very rarely leprosy can lead to CRPS\textsuperscript{28}.

New tools for an early and better understanding of small-fibers damage are in development. Sensory damage has been best assessed by computerized quantitative sensory tests (QST), and the somatosensory pathways are being assessed by contact heat evoked potentials (CHEPS). The unmyelinated fibers of cornea can be easily examined by corneal confocal microscopy (CCM). We have observed that these methods improve the accuracy of diagnosis and treatment of this neuropathy\textsuperscript{29}. Studies are being conducted with these tools by our group for a better understanding of small-fiber neuropathy in leprosy, including a multicenter study for the treatment of pain in leprosy. We believe that chronic NP related to leprosy is due not only to the common peripheral nerve lesions but also to central sensitization at the spinal cord level.

**DIAGNOSIS**

According to experts, there are three diagnostic signs of leprosy: skin hypopigmentation with loss of sensation, thickening of peripheral nerves and skin-smear positive for the acid-fast bacilli. It is important to remember that indeterminate leprosy lesions are not always anesthetic and peripheral nerve thickness is also found in other neurological diseases. Recently, Brazilian leprosy experts proposed a systematic literature review on the current concepts of PNL consulting online databases (Garbino et al., 2013)\textsuperscript{30}. Selected studies were classified based on the degree of recommendation and levels of scientific evidence according to the “Oxford Centre for Evidence-based Medicine”. Evidence C and D was predominant in almost all clinical aspects of PNL, being the “neurological manifestations” the most prominent with B reference level. They considered that the existing data is contradictory, and the methodology and objective of the studies are heterogeneous. Seemingly, the neuropathy of the PNL has a predominantly sensory involvement. Sensitivity to heat and pain are the most compromised, and in general, it has an asymmetric pattern of multiple mononeuropathy. Although leprosy neuropathy is diagnosed in clinical basis, there are cases mainly of PNL in which biopsy is the diagnosis gold standard method (Figure 1). The same can be considered for LLON cases diagnosis. Table 1 shows the principal clinical aspects of leprosy neuropathy.

**Electrodiagnostic (EDX) test**

Leprosy causes predominantly a secondary axonal neuropathy. Needle electromyography can disclose muscle denervation in some cases\textsuperscript{6}. Near-nerve technique is a useful and sensitive method in detecting early small-fiber lesions but not routinely used by neurophysiologists in endemic countries. Demyelinating features can be also seen, mainly in the ulnar nerve across the elbow\textsuperscript{29}.

**Differential diagnosis**

Usually in an endemic country there is no difficult to perform leprosy diagnosis facing a patient presenting with cutaneous sensory loss and mononeuropathy, or multiple mononeuropathy or polyneuropathy. On the other hand,
Tabela 1. Leprosy neuropathy clinical presentations.

Classical leprosy neuropathy
- cutaneous lesions mostly in low-temperature body regions;
- mononeurites; mononeuritis multiplex; overlapping neuritis (polyneuropathy) with a ‘glove and stocking’ type;
- cranial neuropathies;
- thickening of nerve trunks;
- peripheral autonomic dysfunctions (ulcers, anhidrotic dry skin, compromised sudomotor and vasomotor responses);
- skin biopsy;
- MDT; immunosuppression

Pure neuritic leprosy (PNL)
- no detectable cutaneous lesions;
- mononeuritis; mononeuritis multiplex; overlapping neuritis (polyneuropathy) with a ‘glove and stocking’ type;
- nerve thickening;
- peripheral autonomic dysfunctions (sudomotor and vasomotor responses compromised distally)
- sometimes painful;
- nerve biopsy: “gold standard” for diagnosis;
- MDT not necessary;
- NP treatment;
- Corticosteroids, others

Reactional leprosy neuritis
- type 1 - first month of treatment: painful; skin lesions worsening; ulcers; fever, malaise; facial, limbs swelling;
- nerve trunks thickening;
- type 2 (erythema nodosum) – common in high bacterial index cases; nodules and painful red papules; uveitis, arthritis; fever, etc;
- Corticosteroid, others; pain therapy

Leprosy painful small-fiber neuropathy
- severe neuropathic pain;
- PNL;
- small-fiber neuropathy, including overlapping neuritis (polyneuropathy) with a ‘glove and stocking’ type;
- CRPS – rare;
- pain therapy, immunosuppression or immunomodulation

Leprosy late-onset-neuropathy (LLON)
- late, usually after 40 - 50 years old;
- many years after a previous leprosy treatment;
- mononeuritis; mononeuritis multiplex;
- ataxic: overlapping neuritis (polyneuropathy) with a ‘glove and stocking’ type;
- commonly painful;
- nerve biopsy: “gold standard” for diagnosis;
- responsive to immunosuppression or immunomodulation

Arthritic leprosy
- simulates rheumatoid arthritis;
- painful;
- nerve thickening;
- false positive ANA, RF;
- MDT, immunosuppression or immunomodulation

Autonomic neuropathy
- commonly regional;
- sweating and vasomotor reflexes compromise

MDT: multi-drug therapy; NP: neuropathic pain; CRPS: complex regional pain syndrome; ANA: antinuclear antibody; RF: rheumatoid factor.
when there are no skin lesions, encompassing a PNL, diagnosis becomes more difficult. Temperature and pain sensations are decreased early and vibration and position sense are frequently normal. Nerve thickness is present in high percentage being easily palpable. Although some neuropathies can have this clinical pattern those which frequently mimics leprosy are diabetic and amyloid neuropathy. Deep tendon reflexes are usually almost preserved in leprosy contrasting with these two conditions. Length-dependent pattern is not seen in leprosy. Compression or entrapment syndromes are sometimes difficult to exclude from leprosy mainly facing an ulnar neuropathy, for example. A biopsy of the involved superficial sensory nerve should be performed as the gold standard to confirm the diagnosis.

CONCLUSION

Leprosy neuropathy continues to be a health problem in poor developing countries of tropical and subtropical areas, but some cases has been recently reported in developed countries. The employment of prophylactic measures should play a main role in the eradication of this infectious neuropathy. A good epidemiological history and a precise clinical and neurological examination are needed. Early diagnosis and treatment is mandatory to avoid disabilities and deformities. Thereby, the recognition of the clinical forms of neuropathy of leprosy is one of the best tools for quick and accurate diagnosis avoiding these complications.
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