Screening of cognitive impairment in patients with Parkinson’s disease: diagnostic validity of the Brazilian versions of the Montreal Cognitive Assessment and the Addenbrooke’s Cognitive Examination-Revised
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Objective: The aim of the present study is to examine the accuracy of the Brazilian versions of the Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA) and the Addenbrooke’s Cognitive Examination-Revised (ACE-R) to screen for mild cognitive impairment (PDMCI) and dementia (PDD) in patients with Parkinson’s disease (PD). Method: Both scales were administered to a final convenience sample of 79 patients with PD. Patients were evaluated by a neurologist, a psychiatrist and a neuropsychologist using UPDRS, Hoehn and Yahr and Schwab and England scales, global deterioration scale, a psychiatric structured interview, Mattis Dementia Rating Scale and other cognitive tests. Results: There were 32 patients with PDMCI and 17 patients with PDD. The MoCA and the ACE-R were able to discriminate patients with PDD from the others. Conclusion: Both scales showed to be useful to screen for dementia but not for mild cognitive impairment in patients with PD.
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RESUMO

Objetivo: O objetivo do estudo foi avaliar a acurácia das versões Brasileiras das escalas: Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA) e Addenbrooke’s Cognitive Examination-Revised (ACE-R), no rastreamento de comprometimento cognitivo leve (CCL) e demência em pacientes com doença de Parkinson (DP). Método: As duas escalas foram aplicadas a uma amostra de conveniência de 79 pacientes com DP. Os pacientes foram avaliados por um neurologista, um psiquiatra e uma neuropsicóloga que utilizaram a UPDRS, a escala de Hoehn e Yahr, a escala de Schwab e England, uma entrevista psiquiátrica estruturada, a escala de demência de Mattis e outros testes cognitivos. Resultados: 32 pacientes foram diagnosticados com CCL e 17 com demência. A MoCA e o ACE-R foram capazes de discriminar pacientes com demência dos demais. Conclusão: As duas escalas se mostraram úteis para rastrear demência, mas não CCL, em pacientes com DP.
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Cognitive impairment is a common clinical problem in the course of Parkinson’s disease (PD). It is associated with decreased quality of life, increased caregiver burden, higher mortality rates, higher risk for institutionalization, and increased treatment costs¹. In this way, cognitive assessment is a key factor in evaluating patients with PD in research or clinical settings.

There are many different methods and instruments that can be used to evaluate cognition in patients with PD. Short
global cognitive scales with adequate sensitivity to screen for the presence of cognitive impairment may be useful in the context of a routine clinical evaluation but may also be relevant for use in large epidemiological studies. For this, the scales should ideally be able to identify mild cognitive impairment (MCI) and dementia as well as to follow changes in cognition over time.

The Mini-Mental Status Examination (MMSE) is possibly the most popular short cognitive scale used to assess cognition, but its accuracy in assessing patients with PD has been questioned. The Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA) and the Addenbrooke’s Cognitive Examination-Revised (ACE-R) are other brief global cognitive instruments that have been used to evaluate cognition in general population and in patients with PD. Many studies reported that these scales can be useful and may be better than the MMSE to detect cognitive impairment in patients with PD. The MoCA and ACE-R have been translated and adapted for clinical use, but their diagnostic validity in Brazilian clinical settings still remains uncertain. Differences in formal education, prior exposure to formal testing environments, previous experience with or knowledge of specific test items (e.g., confrontational naming items), and other factors may limit the validity of a measure when applied cross-culturally. Additionally, performance on these measures may differ across patients groups due to disease-specific effects.

There are few studies on the diagnostic accuracy of the MoCA and ACE-R to detect cognitive impairment in Brazilian patients. Besides, only one of them have evaluated patients with PD. Therefore, we aimed in this study to assess the discriminant validity of the MoCA and ACE-R measures to detect MCI and dementia in Brazilian patients with PD. We therefore, aimed in this study to assess the discriminant validity of the MoCA and ACE-R measures to detect MCI and dementia in Brazilian patients with PD.

METHOD

This was a cross-sectional and observational study developed over a convenience sample of 79 patients diagnosed with PD according to the United Kingdom Brain Bank diagnostic criteria that consecutively attended the movement disorders outpatient clinic of Ribeirão Preto School of Medicine from September 2009 to April 2012.

Patients were invited to participate if they were older than 18 years old and if they spontaneously consented to participate in the study. Exclusion criteria included: obvious delirium, severe and uncontrolled hallucinations, depression, poorly controlled motor symptoms, therapeutic regimen in adjustment, in use of anticholinergic drugs. One hundred eighteen patients were initially evaluated; 39 were excluded due to being unable to complete the evaluation (n = 15), exhibiting significant psychiatric problems (n = 23), remained persistently in “off state” during the course of the clinical evaluation (n = 1). Patients were initially examined by a neurologist who evaluated them using the UPDRS, the Hoehn and Yahr scale (HY), the Schwab and England functional scale (SE), and the global deterioration scale (GDS). Then, they were evaluated by an experienced psychiatrist using a structured interview to measure DSM-IV Axis I psychiatric symptoms (SCID-CV). Finally, patients were also evaluated by a neuropsychologist that used the Global Deterioration Scale GDS, Mattis Dementia Rating Scale (MDRS)22, clock drawing test, phonemic verbal fluency test (FAS), semantic verbal fluency test (animals), Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test (RAVLT), Rey-Osterreith Complex Figure Test, Color Trails Test (CTT), Stroop Color and Word Test, the MoCA and the ACE-R. The cognitive assessment was performed across two or more days, within a maximum interval of 15 days. Patients with motor fluctuations were evaluated in the “on-state”. Patients with PD were classified as having normal cognition (PDNC), minimal cognitive impairment (PDMCI) or dementia (PDD) according to diagnostic criteria established by the Movement Disorder Society. The diagnosis of dementia was made by consensus between the neurologist and the neuropsychologist. The diagnosis of MCI was made by the neuropsychologist considering normative data for the cognitive tests, except using the data obtained with the MoCA and the ACE-R. When using standardized scores for the interpretation of the cognitive tests, those scores below 1.5 standard deviations from the mean of published normative data were considered to represent a deficit.

The local research ethics committee approved the study and all participants or their relatives provided a signed informed consent to participate.

The data were evaluated using chi-square test and non-parametric statistics: Mann-Whitney test and the Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn’s post hoc test. We calculated the Spearman’s correlation coefficients between the scores of MoCA, ACE-R and other clinical scales. The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves were performed in order to address the sensitivity and specificity of the MoCA and ACE-R to diagnose MCI and PDD. The statistical analysis was performed using the SPSS 19 and the level of statistical significance was defined as p < 0.05.

RESULTS

Demographic and clinical characteristics of the 79 patients with PD evaluated in this sample are listed in Table. There were 32 patients (40.4%) diagnosed with MCI and 17 (21.5%) diagnosed with PDD. Patients with PDD were older and had more advanced disease according to the HY scale. They also had higher UPDRS scores and lower SE scores than patients with PDNC. There were no significant differences in education level between groups.
The MoCA and the ACE-R were able to discriminate patients with PDD from the others. The area under the ROC curve for the MoCA to diagnose PDMCI was 0.50 (95% CI = 0.38-0.67) and for the ACE-R was 0.53 (95% CI = 0.35-0.64) (Figure). The best cut off score for MoCA to differentiate patients with PDNC from patients with PDMCI was 26 (scores of 25 or below indicate impairment) with a sensitivity of 84% and specificity of 27%, while for the ACE-R was 89 with a sensitivity of 84% and specificity of 20%. The area under the ROC curve for the MoCA to diagnose dementia was 0.86 (95% CI = 0.76-0.95) and for the ACE-R was 0.84 (95% CI = 0.74-0.94) (Figure). The best cut off score for MoCA to differentiate patients with PDD from the others was 21, with a sensitivity of 94% and specificity of 68%, while for the ACE-R was 76 with a sensitivity of 88% and specificity of 68%.

The MoCA and ACE-R scores correlated significantly with MDRS scores (p = 0.0001, cc = 0.71 and p = 0.0001, cc = 0.75), with GDS (p = 0.0001, cc = -0.52 and p = 0.0001, cc = 0.48), HY (p = 0.0001, cc = -0.40 and p = 0.002, cc = -0.34), total score of the UPDRS (p = 0.005, cc = -0.31 and p = 0.005, cc = -0.31), schooling (p = 0.007, cc = -0.29 and p = 0.0001, cc = -0.49) and age (p = 0.01, cc = -0.28 and p = 0.01, cc = -0.26).

**DISCUSSION**

Our findings showed that short global cognitive scales, as MoCA and ACE-R may be effective global instruments to be used to detect dementia in Brazilian patients with PD. However, the application of certain intermediary cut-off scores for detection of PDMCI did not demonstrate adequate accuracy in our study. In this sample of patients with PD, the scores in both global cognitive tests suggested that the performance of patients with PDMCI were more like that of patients with PDNC than from those with PDD.

These findings differ from other previous studies that showed that MoCA could be a valid and reliable tool for screening of MCI in the Brazilian population. In those studies, MoCA reached good accuracy for the identification of subjects with MCI. Although in these studies the cut-off scores were similar to those obtained in our study, the accuracy was higher. This may be due to the distinct characteristics of the samples, since we evaluated only patients with PD, and the subjects in our sample had lower educational level. There are no studies that evaluated the accuracy of the ACE-R to diagnose MCI in the Brazilian population.

On the other hand, both MoCA and ACE-R proved to be good instruments for screening of PDD. The cut-off scores and accuracy observed in our sample were very similar to those observed in other studies using theses scales in the general Brazilian population. The practical importance to study alternative short global scales to evaluate cognition in patients with PD is due to the general impression that the MMSE, the most commonly used...
instrument worldwide to evaluate cognition in patients with PD, does not provide sufficient accuracy for the detection of dementia or MCI in these patients.\textsuperscript{2,10}

MoCA was developed as a brief screening instrument to diagnose MCI and dementia due to Alzheimer’s disease (AD). Validation studies of culturally adapted versions of this scale showed a pattern of results similar to the original version in the screening for cognitive impairment, with better diagnostic accuracy as compared with the MMSE in the general population, and also in patients with PD.\textsuperscript{11,12} The original validation data suggested that the use of a MoCA cut-off score of 26 was ideal to detect MCI. Specific studies in the Brazilian population showed that the use of the cut-off of scores of 24 or 25 points had the greater accuracy for the identification of MCI, and that the cut-off scores of 21 or 22 was ideal for the identification of dementia.\textsuperscript{25} Studies in patients with PD showed similar findings with some small variations.\textsuperscript{8,10,12,26} As we cited above, some specific characteristics of our sample, especially the lower educational level, may have reduced the accuracy of MoCA in recognizing patients with PDMCI. However, our findings confirmed that using a MoCA cut-off score of 21 could be a valid measure for screening dementia in patients with PD.

The ACE-R was developed as a brief test that would be sensitive to the early stages of dementia. The scale was adapted and studied in the Brazilian population and showed that a cut-off score < 78 yielded high diagnostic accuracy for diagnosis of dementia.\textsuperscript{6,15} That was a cut-off score far from what was observed in other studies conducted in different populations, in which the best cut-off scores were between 88 and 82. Despite this differences, the Brazilian version of the ACE-R presented similar accuracy for diagnosis of dementia. Studies using ACE-R for detection of dementia in patients with PD found variable cut-off scores but they presented with similar accuracy.\textsuperscript{27} In general, the accuracy was judged to be superior to that found with the application of the MMSE. Although some studies have shown that ACE-R may be useful for detecting MCI, studies in patients with PD found controversial findings.\textsuperscript{5,8,10,12,26} Our study showed that in our sample the best cut-off scores for the ACE-R to best discriminate patients with PDD was < 76. However, in our sample, the ACE-R did not accurately classify patients with PDMCI.

In our sample, the scores of the MoCA and ACE-R significantly correlated with schooling and age of the patients, suggesting that as was expected, these factors may play a significant role in the performance of subjects assessed by these tests. Other studies confirmed that scores in MOCA were strongly dependent of years of education. The scores of MOCA and ACE-R also correlated very well with other global measures of cognition and functionality, indicating that the Brazilian versions of these scales have good concordant validity.

In conclusion, the accuracy of both scales, MoCA and ACE-R, were very similar for screening of cognitive impairment in patients with PD. These two global scales showed good discriminative validity to identify patients with PDD, but the use of these scales to screen for PDMCI stayed away from the expected precision and sensitivity. This determines the necessity for detailed neuropsychological testing for evaluation of specific cognitive domains. Our findings also showed that the use of short global cognitive scales as MoCA and ACE-R lacks specificity to detect early cognitive changes in Brazilian patients with PD.
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