Lean thinking turns ‘time is brain’ into reality
Pensamento enxuto faz ‘tempo é cérebro’ virar realidade
Li Min Li1,2,3, Sharon Johnson4

ABSTRACT
Intravenous rt-PA is an effective recanalizing treatment for ischemic stroke within 4 and half hours from its onset (Onset-to-Treatment [OTT]), with the best result seen in those treated within 90 minutes OTT. Yet few patients currently are treated in this time frame. From the standpoint of process improvement or a lean thinking perspective, there is a potential opportunity to reduce the time by eliminating non-value-added steps in each element of the stroke survival chain. The reduction in one time element does not necessarily shift the OTT under 90 minutes. Most likely, the reduction in OTT requires a coordinated approach to track and improve all elements of OTT, from the patient’s ability to recognize the onset of stroke up to delivery of medication. Shortening this total time should be considered an indicator of quality improvement in acute stroke care.
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RESUMO
Tratamento intravenoso com rt-PA é eficaz na recanalização do acidente vascular cerebral isquêmico (AVCI) no prazo de até 4 horas e meia de seu início (OTT), com o melhor resultado visto naqueles tratados dentro de 90 minutos OTT. Apesar disso, poucos são tratados neste período de tempo. Do ponto de vista da melhoria de processos ou uma perspectiva de pensamento enxuto, há uma oportunidade potencial para reduzir o tempo ao eliminar etapas que não agregam valor em cada elemento da cadeia de sobrevivência do paciente com acidente vascular cerebral. A diminuição da OTT requer uma abordagem coordenada em conjunto para controlar e melhorar todos os elementos de OTT, a capacidade do paciente para reconhecer o início do icto até à administração da medicação. Encurtar esse tempo total deve ser um considerado um indicador da melhoria da qualidade no atendimento AVCI agudo.
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Thrombolysis with intravenous rt-PA is an effective recanalizing treatment for ischemic stroke within 4 and half hours from its onset1. It is clear that by providing the treatment earlier the outcome is better with fewer complications2,3. A pooled study4 demonstrated that short stroke-onset-to-treatment time (OTT) with rt-PA had better functional outcomes (modified Rankin Scale, mRS, score of 0 to 1) than patients treated beyond the 90 minute OTT, with odds of 2.8 (95%CI 1.8-4.5) for OTT between 0 to 90 min in comparison to odds of 1.6 (1.1-2.2) for OTT between 91 to 180 min. A more recent pooled reanalysis with additional data showed similar findings5; the number of patients needed to treat (NNT) to achieve an mRS score of 0 to 1 for OTT within 90 minutes is 4.5, whereas the NNT doubled to 9 for OTT between 91-180, and to 14 for OTT between 181-270 minutes.

Therefore, the goal for an optimal treatment should be to target an OTT under 90 minutes, as pictured in Figure 1. Based on the recommended American Heart Association (AHA) stroke guideline (the text and references6,7 in Figure 1 are derived from it6), the time limits for pre-hospital (30 minutes) plus hospital care (60 minutes) fill up the 90 minute window. Experience has demonstrated that process improvement can lower the time spent in the pre-hospital8 and hospital9,10,11,12 phases, and educational awareness campaigns can improve stroke recognition and time to seek help8. Therefore, combining best practices and available technologies, it is possible to respond within 90 minutes. The strategy and plan of action require commitment from the stakeholders, including society and in particular patients at risk. We need to move forward to interpret the 60 minute door to needle recommendation as more than a time to attain. The door-to-needle time needs to
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be considered as part of a broader process, with the goal to treat the patient within 90 minutes from the onset of stroke.

One could argue that the time for each step is already very tight. When the treatment window of 3 hours was first announced, many physicians viewed it with skepticism, as a mission impossible that patients could arrive in this time window. Time has shown otherwise, and paradoxically has created a “three hour effect”, with delays in providing treatment for those patients arriving earlier. The increase to 4.5 hours for the window of treatment was warmly welcomed, increasing the number of people who can be treated; nevertheless we seem to be drifting from the goal of achieving a better result, which is the target of treating within 90 minutes of stroke onset.

From the standpoint of process improvement analysis or a lean thinking perspective, there is a potential opportunity to reduce time and eliminate non-value-added steps. For Formula 1 fans, as an example of the success of such techniques, Figure 2 shows the decrease in pit stop times over time; in the 1970’s, pit stops were very slow as compared to today. The latest record was set by Infiniti Red Bull Racing, with an impressive 1.923 seconds to change 4 tires during the 2013 US Grand Prix in Austin, breaking their former record of 2.05 in Malaysia in the same year. This record appears to be a pinnacle of what is possible; nevertheless, the same thing was said back in the early nineties, when pit stops were around 4.5 seconds (Figure 2).

The quest to reduce hospital door-to-needle times has yielded good results as demonstrated by Köhrnmman et al. with an average time of 25 minutes in Erlagen, Germany; by Kim et al. with 29 minutes in Busan, Korea; by Tveiten et al. with 38 minutes in Bergen, Norway; by Meretoja et al. with 20 minutes in Helsinki, Finland; by Ford et al. with 39 minutes in Saint Louis, USA. In this regard, considering that 28.3% of stroke patients arrive within the ‘golden hour’, only colleagues in Erlagen, Helsinki and Busan would be able to attain an OTT under 90 minutes. However, the
experience in Busan, Korea, demonstrates the importance of viewing the broad picture. In their process improvement effort, they were able to reduce door-to-needle time, but this led to an increase in pre-hospital care times, which overall did not impact the OTT. The same applies to the study carried out by Köhrnmman et al. and Meretoja et al., as their pre-hospital times were very long (> 70 minutes). In the USA, Ford et al. applied Value Stream Analysis (VSA) to the work flow of patients with stroke in an Emergency Department. Their experience showed that after changes, which involved completing a CT image first, there was a significant reduction in the door to needle time from a mean of 60 minutes (range from 46 to 73 minutes) to 39 minutes (range from 28 to 56 minutes). The average time from stroke onset to treatment was reduced from 131 minutes to 111 minutes. Despite this significant reduction in time, outcomes as measured by the mRS scale were not significantly different between the two groups. This was somewhat unexpected, but framed in OTT guidelines, the 111 minutes OTT after improvement as well as the 131 minutes OTT prior to improvement still fall into the same group of those treated between 91-180 minutes.

Therefore, if we expect to improve the odds of functional outcome we need to continue to pull the process towards the 90 minutes treatment limit from stroke onset. Process improvement is iterative, with the biggest improvements typically seen initially, followed by smaller improvements to continuously refine the process. Adopting a process perspective, the entire process could be viewed as elements in a stroke survival chain. Rather than just encouraging speed – recognizing the stroke faster, getting to the hospital faster, and delivering the treatment faster – we need to first assess the entire value stream to avoid optimizing steps and tasks that do not add value. Lean-Six-Sigma is a holistic approach to improvement that starts with a walk in the Gemba (the work area, where things are done) in order to examine the value of each process step. Processes and tasks that do not add value or that duplicate effort are removed, and bottlenecks and routing are scrutinized and redesigned to meet patient expectations. Once the non-value-added steps have been removed, the processes can be optimized iteratively through continuous improvement to reduce variation, and to ensure efficiency, resulting in faster execution.

As technology evolves, acute stroke care can also be delivered faster through mobile units. Ebinger et al. demonstrated a 15 minute reduction in alarm-to-needle time using a stroke mobile unit equipped with a stroke neurologist, CT scan, point of care laboratory and technical personnel. They were able to increase the number of patients with OTT under 90 minutes by 20% compared to hospital-based treatment (31% OTT<90min), but the mean time remained around 107 minutes, within 91-180 OTT. Functional outcomes did not increase with this new approach, but only partial results were reported due to ethics protection so are not conclusive. Recently, Ebinger and colleagues demonstrated that this pack-and-load approach has increased 6-fold the number of patients treated within golden hour. Whether Berlin’s experience can be generalized is open to debate. In Brazil there are other challenges to overcome first, from the patients’ ability to react to tertiary hospital setup.

Other technologies include a wide range of information technologies that are available now, but which can be integrated to support more comprehensive care, ranging from detection sensors, smartphones, communication systems, big data, to logistics. Using such technologies does
but do not preclude a comprehensive process review to remove waste; otherwise the result could be a sophisticated, expensive process with little or no added value.

Quality assessment and benchmarking can be used to audit the quality of services, which is essential for hospitals in terms of preparedness, standard of care and continuous improvement. Quality assessment and benchmarking are typically centered on intra-hospital care, which can improve local issues such as stroke diagnosis, but do not take into account other elements of the healthcare system. From a patient perspective, if you had an ischemic stroke, how fast would you like to be treated? You likely answered ‘as fast as possible’, but the chance of receiving rt-PA under 90 minutes is not high today. For instance, less than 20% of patients with acute ischemic stroke record a time from ambulance arrival to needle delivery under 90 minutes. If your answer was an ‘OTT under 90 minutes’, this suggests a need to redesign acute stroke care delivery by considering a composite of independent processes, each contributing to the overall time: onset-to-alarm, alarm-to-door, door-to-needle. The reduction in one time element does not necessarily shift OTT under 90 minutes, as the experiences described above illustrate. Most likely, the reduction in OTT requires a coordinated approach to improve all elements of OTT, from the patient’s ability to recognize the onset of stroke up to delivery of medication, and shortening this time should be considered an indicator of quality improvement in acute stroke care.
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