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ABSTRACT

Part 1 of this guideline addressed the differential diagnosis of the neurofibromatoses (NF): neurofibromatosis type 1 (NF1), neurofibromatosis type 2 (NF2) and schwannomatosis (SCH). NF shares some features such as the genetic origin of the neural tumors and cutaneous manifestations, and affects nearly 80 thousand Brazilians. Increasing scientific knowledge on NF has allowed better clinical management and reduced rate of complications and morbidity, resulting in higher quality of life for NF patients. Most medical doctors are able to perform NF diagnosis, but the wide range of clinical manifestations and the inability to predict the onset or severity of new features, consequences, or complications make NF management a real clinical challenge, requiring the support of different specialists for proper treatment and genetic counseling, especially in NF2 and SCH. The present text suggests guidelines for the clinical management of NF, with emphasis on NF1.
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RESUMO

A primeira parte desta direttriz abordou o diagnóstico diferencial das neurofibromatoses (NF): neurofibromatose do tipo 1 (NF1), neurofibromatose do tipo 2 (NF2) e schwannomatose (SCH). As NF compartilham algumas características, como a origem neural dos tumores e sinais cutâneos, e afetam cerca de 80 mil brasileiros. O aumento do conhecimento científico sobre as NF tem permitido melhor manejo clínico e redução da morbidade das complicações, resultando em melhor qualidade de vida para os pacientes com NF. A maioria dos médicos é capaz de realizar o diagnóstico das NF; mas a variedade de manifestações clínicas e a dificuldade de se prever o surgimento e a gravidade de complicações, torna o manejo da NF um desafio para o clínico e envolve diferentes especialistas para o tratamento adequado e aconselhamento genético, especialmente a NF2 e a SCH. O presente texto sugere algumas orientações para o acompanhamento dos portadores de NF, com ênfase na NF1.

Palavras-chave: neurofibromatose, neurofibromatose 1, neurofibromatose 2, schwannomatose, síndrome de Legius.
Part 1 of this guideline addressed differential diagnosis of the neurofibromatoses (NF): neurofibromatosis type 1 (NF1), neurofibromatosis type 2 (NF2) and schwannomatosis (SCH)\(^1\). This second part aims to offer some practical suggestions on the clinical management of NF.

NF shares some features, such as the genetic origin of the neural tumors, cutaneous manifestations, heterogeneous phenotype and unpredictable and usually progressive natural course. However, they differ in age of onset, progression of the symptoms and prognosis. Moreover, NF clinical presentation and severity vary among patients and even between twins carrying the same NF gene mutation\(^2\). The wide range of NF clinical manifestations and the difficulties to predict the onset or the severity of new features, consequences, or complications make NF management a real clinical challenge. Although there is no cure for NF yet, proper managements of manifestations can improve patients’ quality of life.

**NF periodic clinical revision**

Each NF patient is unique and there is not a single standard clinical approach applicable to all patients. Considering the natural history of NF as individualized, distinctive and unpredictable, the main clinical procedure for all NF forms is periodic medical examination throughout life, aiming the early detection and treatment of possible complications.

Periodicity of medical visits should be annual, unless a new sign or symptom hasten the schedule. All NF patients should have their medical, developmental and familial histories reviewed periodically and receive appropriate genetic counseling, as well as complete physical examination, with emphasis on the cardiovascular and nervous system.

Table 1 summarizes complementary procedures for adequate clinical follow up and screening of NF1 and NF2 patients after their initial clinical evaluation. SCH annual evaluation is generally restricted to proper treatment of the pain related to new schwannomas.

**Neurofibromatosis type 1 management**

As a general guideline, Table 2 shows a variety of NF1 features, their common consequences and possible complications that should be assessed annually\(^3\). It is worth to mention that most of individuals with NF1 will not present complications throughout their lives. Further, on this chapter, these issues will be discussed separately.

**Mortality and associated conditions**

NF1 impact on mortality is not completely clear, although it seems that younger individuals with more severe clinical presentation suffer greater impact on life expectancy. Some cohort studies have reported a mean reduction of 8 to 15 years\(^5,6\) while studies based on death certificates have shown a greater reduction (of about 16 to 20 years)\(^7\) in life expectancy. The leading reported cause of early death in all age groups is malignant neoplasm, especially malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumor (MPNST). Therefore, special attention along the annual medical evaluation is recommended for this tumor, as well as for gastrointestinal stromal tumor and breast carcinoma in younger individuals with NF1\(^8\).

Vasculopathy is an important cause of death in younger age groups\(^9\) and it is an important cause of sudden death in asymptomatic patients\(^9\), caused mainly by vascular fibromuscular dysplasia and malformations\(^10\) rather than atherosclerotic related conditions\(^11\).

Hypertension is associated with mortality, it is significantly associated with mortality in NF1 and blood pressure should be checked in every medical visit. A frequent cause of hypertension in NF1 is renal artery stenosis, especially in pediatric population. Moreover, coarctation of aorta and pheochromocytomas represent important differential diagnosis in NF1 hypertensive individuals\(^12,13\).

**NF1 cosmetic problems**

CAL spots and freckling are not likely to cause physical complaints, though they may be cosmetically bothersome to affected patient. Lisch nodules are best visible with appropriate devices and they do not cause vision impairment. Macrocephaly may cause cosmetic concerns, though no specific intervention is usually required. There is no surgical or approved drug treatment for CAL spots, freckling or macrocephaly.

Disfigurements are usually associated with growing plexiform neurofibromas (PNF) and they represent a major challenge in NF1 management. Plastic surgery is still the current best option. However, unfortunately, most cases will achieve poor results.

**NF1 related tumors**

Neurofibromas are the hallmark of NF1 (found in 99% of patients) and their management differs depending on the type of neurofibroma\(^14\).
Neurofibromas commonly occur in the skin and may be cutaneous or subcutaneous. They often arise in later childhood, especially in early puberty, and increase in size and number during adolescence and adulthood\(^\text{15}\). Neurofibromas of the skin may affect patient appearance and self-image and they may impair function, depending on size and location. Surgery is the consensus treatment option for cutaneous and subcutaneous neurofibromas. Nevertheless, Vincent M. Riccardi has been strongly advocating that oral ketotifen fumarate long-term treatment could reduce the cutaneous and subcutaneous neurofibromas in number and size (personal communication during IV International NF Symposium, Belo Horizonte, Brazil, 2014), which deserves further studies to become a consensus.

Subcutaneous neurofibromas present some risk of malignant transformation and may cause pain when pressured. When located in deeper nerves, they may also cause neurological deficits\(^\text{16,16}\).

Spinal neurofibromas may develop at any level of the spinal cord and can cause neurological impairment due to compression of the spinal cord or nerve root. Spinal neurofibromas present a risk of malignant transformation into MPNST. So far, there is no specific treatment for spinal neurofibromas and they are usually surgically managed, when it is necessary and possible\(^\text{16}\).

Plexiform neurofibromas (PNF) can cause nerve compression, disfigurement and may impair organ function due to size and increasing volume. PNF is congenital, as they arise from embryonic Schwann cells, and require monitoring because of their nearly 10%\(^\text{15}\) to 50%\(^\text{16}\) lifetime chance of malignant transformation into MPNST\(^\text{19}\). Surgery is the treatment of choice for symptomatic PNF, although bleeding risk must be considered, as well as remaining scars. Complete excision of the tumor is usually not possible.

MPNST generally emerge from pre-existing PNF, but it may occur de novo. It is usually diagnosed in adulthood and its occurrence in childhood and adolescence is uncommon.

**Table 2.** NF1 major features, consequences and complications (adapted from Riccardi, 2010).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnosis criteria features</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Possible Consequences</th>
<th>Possible Complications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CAL, freckling</td>
<td>Skin</td>
<td>Cosmetic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lisch nodules</td>
<td>Eye</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurofibromas</td>
<td>Cutaneous</td>
<td>Cosmetic, itching</td>
<td>Weakness, MPNST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Subcutaneous, nerve</td>
<td>Pain, tenderness</td>
<td>Pain, MPNST, early death</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Plexiform, paraspinal</td>
<td>Pain, weakness</td>
<td>Disfigurement, MPNST, early death</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Plexiform, diffuse V nerve</td>
<td>Cosmetic</td>
<td>Pain, MPNST disfigurement, early death</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Plexiform, diffuse, face/neck/trunk/limb</td>
<td>Weakness, cosmetic</td>
<td>Pain, MPNST disfigurement, early death</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glioma/ astrocytoma</td>
<td>Optical</td>
<td>Visual loss</td>
<td>Visual loss, chemotherapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cerebral, posterior fossa, spinal cord</td>
<td>Neurologic symptoms</td>
<td>Neurologic deficit, chemotherapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osseous dysplasia</td>
<td>Sphenoid wing</td>
<td>Facial deformity</td>
<td>Disfigurement, vision loss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Flat and long bones</td>
<td>Bowing, pseudoarthrosis</td>
<td>Amputation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other related features</td>
<td>Brain, posterior fossa, spinal cord</td>
<td>Cognitive and speech deficits, seizures, MRI/HT\textsubscript{WS}, general low coordination, circadian compromise, behavioral problems</td>
<td>Mental retardation, attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD)/ autism spectrum disorder (ASD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central nervous system</td>
<td>Macrocephaly, brain</td>
<td>Cosmetic</td>
<td>Weakness, paralysis, multiple surgery need</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>disorganization</td>
<td>Vertebral</td>
<td>Dystrophic scoliosis</td>
<td>Surgery need</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Thoracic</td>
<td>Pectus excavatum</td>
<td>Surgery need</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Limbs</td>
<td>Genu varum/valgum</td>
<td>Stroke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vascular cerebral</td>
<td>Neurologic symptoms</td>
<td>Heart disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vascular renal</td>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>Varied</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vascular Gastrointestinal</td>
<td>Ileus, pain, hemorrhage</td>
<td>Cranial hypertension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aqueductal stenosis, brain</td>
<td>Vascular Gastrointestinal</td>
<td>Hydrocephalus, headache</td>
<td>Surgery and chemotherapy need, death</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor predisposition (*)</td>
<td>Blood</td>
<td>Leukemia, GIST Cancer</td>
<td>Varied, including death</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gastrointestinal Breast</td>
<td>Hypertension, hyperadrenergic status</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pheochromocytomas</td>
<td>Hypertension, hyperadrenergic status</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congenital heart defect</td>
<td>Heart</td>
<td>Congestive heart failure</td>
<td>Surgery need, death</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CAL: cafe au lait spots; MPNST: malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumor; MRI/HT\textsubscript{WS}: T2-weighted hyperintensities in magnetic resonance image; (*) Tumor predisposition [Lin & Gutmann, 2013]; GIST: gastrointestinal stromal tumor.
MPNST treatment consists of tumor surgical removal (with clear margins if feasible), as it is done for any other soft tissue tumor. The successful treatment depends on complete surgical excision. Adjuvant radiotherapy provides local control and may delay the onset of recurrence, but has little effect on long-term survival. Adjuvant radiotherapy should be given whenever possible for intermediate to high-grade lesions and for low-grade tumors after a marginal excision. Systemic chemotherapy has not been proved to offer benefits, except in some specific scenario of palliative care for metastatic disease. Nevertheless, MPNST are very aggressive tumors and all current treatments have shown poor results: the five-year overall survival rate of patients with MPNSTs has been reported to range from 23% to approximately 50%. Figure 1 proposes an algorithmic approach to work up and management of neurofibromas.

**GLIOMAS**

**Optical Pathways Gliomas (OPG)**

OPG are histologically benign pilocytic astrocytomas and they are the commonest central nervous system NF1 tumor. OPG affects 15%-30% of patients, among which 50% have symptoms, and 5%-12% present with visual problems, such as reduced visual acuity, reduced color perception, abnormal pupil reflex, visual fields defects, papillary edema, optical nerve atrophy and abnormal evoked visual potential. Most OPG in NF1 have a benign course and a better prognosis than OPG in non-NF1 individuals.

Figure 2 shows a proposed follow up and treatment algorithm for OPG. Treatment should be initiated if the patient presents with progressive visual loss, proptosis or life-threatening intracranial compression. Chemotherapy with carboplatin and vincristine is the treatment of choice, despite a

---

**Figure 1. Proposed treatment algorithm for neurofibroma.**

MRI: magnetic resonance imaging; PET Scan: positron emission tomography with computed tomography scan; MPNST: malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumor. (*) Only a portion of large plexiform neurofibromas undergoes malignant transformation. Therefore, an incisional biopsy may provide false-negative results for malignancy; for atypical neurofibromas, known as precursors of MPNST, radical surgery should also be considered; (**) PET Scan especially if more than one body segment is involved.
recurrence rate of 50%. Radiotherapy have been used as an option for chemotherapy failure, but it has been progressively abandoned because of its inefficacy and side effects, such as learning deficits, vascular cerebral disease and new tumor growth. Specific situations, such as severe proptosis, can benefit from surgical treatment.

Precocious puberty

Most patients with NF1 undergo normal pubertal development, but it may occur late or prematurely. NF1 children have a 3% risk of developing precocious puberty (defined as accelerated linear growth at age < 7 in girls, and < 9 in boys) and the presence of an OPG increases this risk to 30%, especially when it involves the optic chiasm (39%). NF Specialist must confirm precocious puberty diagnosis through bone age and serial luteinizing hormone measurements.

OTHER TUMORS

NF1 is also associated with greater incidence of other tumors such as astrocytomas and glioblastomas, pheochromocytomas, sarcomas, gastrointestinal stromal tumor, neuroendocrine and neuroectodermal tumors (carcinoid tumor, medullary thyroid carcinoma, c-cell hyperplasia) and hematopoietic tumors (juvenile chronic myeloid leukemia, juvenile xanthogranuloma, acute lymphocytic leukemia, non-Hodgkin lymphoma). The management of these tumors requires specialized knowledge beyond the objectives of the present text.

Seizures in NF1

Seizures are common in individuals with NF1 and are mostly benign. The prevalence of seizures in the general population is 0.68%, while most studies suggest a prevalence of
6%-7% in NF1. Seizures can be overlooked in NF1 because routine EEG might be normal, and prolonged video EEG monitoring is often required. Among NF1 patients with seizures, 40% of them will have the onset of symptoms before the age of five. The seizures peak incidence differs between NF1 and general population, in which there is sharp drop of the rate of seizures after the age of four, and it peaks again after the age of 65, but there is a lack of seizures in NF1 older age groups.

The etiology of seizures in NF1 of patients is unknown but it may be associated with underlying cortical dysplasia and, in a small number of patients, with tumors and vascular diseases. In addition, NF1 patients with mental retardation, autism, severe behavioral and major emotional problems are at an even higher risk for seizures.

Different studies have shown that only a relatively small percentage of patients with NF1 had another family member with seizures, suggesting that most of the tendency for seizures in this population may be actually related to NF1 and not to some other genetic predisposition. Most patients will achieve good control of the seizures, but clinicians should be aware of the increased risk of osteoporosis in NF1 patients when prescribing anticonvulsants.

**NF1 cognitive and behavioral features**

Most individuals with NF1 have a normal life, but cognitive problems are a common neurological complication. Up to 80% of NF1 children experience moderate to severe impairment in one or more cognitive domains, including visuospatial abilities, processing speed, attention, motor control, language, and executive functions. Despite the existence of studies reporting improvement of cognition in adulthood, cognitive deficits are likely to be an important feature of NF1 across lifespan.

Individuals with NF1 usually present IQ levels in the low-average normal range (~90 IQ points), and an increased incidence of intellectual disability (6%-7%), learning disabilities (50%-70%), Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD), and autism-spectrum disorders. Nearly 50% of NF1 patients meet clinical diagnostic criteria for ADHD, but it remains unclear if it is a real comorbid disorder or just a cognitive-behavioral phenotype of NF1. Nevertheless, treatment of ADHD symptoms may be effective in NF1 patients. NF1 behavioral problems could involve sleep disturbance, impaired socialization, and may lead to low self-esteem and poor interpretation of social cues. Behavioral symptoms in NF1 seem to be closely related to their cognitive deficits.

The biologic underpinnings of cognitive performance are difficult to identify given the complexity of NF1 phenotype. However, findings of brain abnormalities such as reduced white matter integrity, macrocephaly, abnormal gamma-aminobutyric acid activity, among others have provided converging evidences for impaired communication between the neural regions, and early myelin dysfunction in NF1 has been hypothesized to underlie cognitive deficits. In addition, the NF1 cognitive profile may be related with independently inherited genetic modifiers and all cognitive impairments are considered a major source of decreased quality of life in NF1 childhood.

Cognitive deficits, language disorders and learning disabilities are important targets for pharmacological treatment. Based on a previous Lovastatin learning disabilities treated mouse model (NF1 + / -), the Acosta and colleagues Phase I preliminary results of Lovastatin in NF1 children showed improvement in verbal and nonverbal memory in most of treated patients.

Therefore, there is a need of a professional coordinator, who should keep a close report with teachers, educational psychologists, occupational therapists, speech and language therapists and pediatricians, to make sure that these children are getting optimum assessment and corrective support, should monitor children with NF1.

**Communication disorders are different in NF1 and NF2**

Although hearing loss is the main symptom in NF2 patients, communication disorders are also important complication in NF1 patients. NF1 patients present difficulties in producing speech sounds, problems with voice quality and auditory processing disorders. They may present with articulation disorders, hypernasality (inability to obtain adequate closure of the velopharyngeal port during sound production), stuttering, fast rate of speech, hoarseness, atypically loud volume, harshness or creak, weakness and breathiness. These features may derive from various problems such as poor coordination of articulatory muscles and breathing control and/or inability to synchronize the complex motor patterns required for speech.

Individuals with NF1 show delayed language development and slow acquisition of vocabulary with syntactic, semantic and phonological errors. A study with Brazilian individuals with NF1 showed auditory processing disorder in 100% of the patients, which was correlated with learning deficits. Adults with NF1 presented with orofacial motor function impairment (that can directly affect breathing, chewing and speech), and reduced electrical potential of the masseter muscle during teeth clenching. Individuals with NF1 should be evaluated and followed periodically by audiologist and speech therapist. Specific therapeutic interventions targeting these communication disorders in NF1 are yet to be proved efficient.

**Psychosocial aspects related to NF1**

Studies concerning psychosocial features and aspects of family relationships in NF1 are increasing in number. Since the 1980s, some studies emphasized the need to better understand NF1 and its psychosocial consequences, motivated by its unpredictability, the uncertainties and little control.
over the natural course of the disease, along with prejudice, all of which could lead to social isolation, anxiety, fear and doubts about a possible professional career. These consequences can affect both patients and their families.

The wide range of somatic and psychosocial symptoms might be associated with the psychological features of children and teenagers with NF1. Some of the features are emotional immaturity, deficits in social skills, as well as shyness, problems with entering the labor market and with the establishment of sexual-affective relationships. Psychosocial impairment related to aesthetic changes (neurofibromas and CAL spots) has been described, possibly because these are easily identifiable clinical features with immediate psychological impact. Nevertheless, physical and cognitive symptoms should be considered separately when analyzing its impact on the psychosocial and emotional aspects, as well as on the subjective experiences of individuals with NF1.

Common NF1 associated psychological patterns need appropriate professional intervention based in four aspects. First, by facilitating both the access and transference of information about the disease between healthcare teams and NF1 affected individuals and their families, monitoring the process through which they assimilate and take into account such information. Second, by helping the development of more efficient strategies to cope with problems, related or not to NF1, encouraging the reduction of negative feelings and experiences in accepting the disease. Third, by mediating NF1 subjects’ career plans and family planning counseling. Fourth, by mediating agreements about treatments offered, especially when it comes to complex issues. It is important to emphasize that social support should be directed to psychological, social and economic aspects of the patients themselves and of the people in their lives.

**NF1 musculoskeletal disorders**

Besides sphenoid and tibia bone dysplasia, which are specific to NF1 and are diagnostic criteria, other bone abnormalities are frequent in NF1 and can be challenging features to manage.

Disfigurement, fractures, dystrophic scoliosis, pseudoarthrosis, osteopenia, osteoporosis, body asymmetries, pectus excavatum, localized overgrowth, macrocephaly, and short stature have been reported in NF1 patients. Some studies have reported decreased bone mineral density and lower serum levels of Vitamin D in individuals with NF1, with a higher prevalence of osteopenia and osteoporosis, which could result from a general error of bone metabolism.

In order to address these different problems, the present guideline recommends yearly clinical evaluation of the bones, and complementary tests, such as serum vitamin D and parathyroid hormone levels, imaging study of the spine, and osseous densitometry depending on any abnormalities observed in clinical examination.

Scoliosis is the most common osseous defect associated with NF1 with a prevalence ranging from 10% to 30%. Three types of scoliosis have been observed: non-dystrophic, dystrophic and functional. The non-dystrophic scoliosis is similar to idiopathic scoliosis observed in non-NF1 individuals, and should be initially approached as so. The dystrophic scoliosis is characterized by a sharply angulated bend, involving approximately 4 to 6 vertebral levels, frequently located in the upper thoracic region, which is rapidly progressive and associated with dysplastic changes in the vertebral bodies. The functional scoliosis is caused by abnormal growth of a limb. MRI of the spine allows early identification of vertebral dysplasia that was not initially visualized by radiography and aids the recognition of intraspinal and paraspinal soft tissue lesions, such as intraspinal neoplasm, dural ectasia and lateral meningocele, commonly associated with dystrophic scoliosis.

Other frequent NF1 osseous dysplasias are:

1) Vertebral scalloping (which consists of anterior, lateral or posterior erosion of the vertebral body, that can be associated with the presence of neurofibromas);
2) Dural ectasia and/or meningoceles;
3) Rib penciling (that is the thinning of the rib head like a pencil);
4) Elongation and tapering of the vertebral transverse processes;
5) Wedging and rotation of the vertebral bodies, interpedicular distance and neuroforaminal space;
6) Dystrophic pedicles.

The pathophysiology of the spinal dystrophic changes remains controversial, with no consensus yet whether bone changes are primary findings, intrinsic to bone remodeling, or if they represent secondary responses to the presence of foraminal and paravertebral neurofibromas. In addition, spinal involvement in NF1 comprises not only the above-mentioned bone changes, but also primary or secondary involvement of the adjacent soft tissue. Primary changes are related to neural sheath tumors that can be benign (such as neurofibromas and neurofibromatoses) or malignant (as MPNST). Soft tissue secondary changes are represented by dural ectasia and lateral meningocele and the presence of other unrelated neural sheath tumors already described, such as medulloblastomas, astrocytomas, meningiomas and ganglioneuromas. The high complexity of scoliosis and other bone problems in NF1 usually demand orthopedics specialist intervention. Discussing these procedures is beyond the objectives of the present text.

**Physical fitness in NF**

Physical fitness is correlated with life expectancy and quality of life. Fitness is the ability to perform physical activities, to work and to sustain habitual daily activities. Health-related physical fitness is determined by aerobic capacity, body composition and musculoskeletal profile.
(flexibility, muscular strength and endurance). Aerobic capacity (as measured by the maximal oxygen uptake, reflects the functionality of respiratory, cardiovascular and muscular systems and varies amongst individuals due to genetic factors, age, sex, habitual daily levels of physical activity and health status9).

It has been observed that individuals with NF1 have reduced maximal muscular force48 as well as decreased aerobic capacity49. These observations could be related to NF1 shorter life expectancy, the poorer quality of life and the more frequent and earlier cardiovascular involvement observed in NF1, when compared to the general population9.

Fine motor coordination deficits and poorer motor proficiency have also been demonstrated in individuals with NF1 and are probably related to the neural abnormalities due to NF1 intrinsic neurofibromin deficiency. The exact causes involved in NF1 impaired physical fitness are unknown and it is also unclear whether exercise training would change these features. Recommendation for the regular practice of physical activity should follow those made for the general population, considering personal limitations related or not to NF1.

Oral Manifestations in NF1

Oral manifestations are very common in NF1 and have been reported to occur in 72%-92% of patients51,52. The most common findings are enlarged fungiform papillae of the tongue, intraoral neurofibromas, and jaw alterations53. Intraoral neurofibromas are not as common as in the skin, and affect most commonly the tongue53. Many of them do not cause problems, but depending on the location and size they may be associated with oral hygiene and speech difficulties, as well as malpositioning and mobility of teeth. Intraoral neurofibromas are not so common and not as numerous as in the skin and it is differential diagnosis with other intraoral lesions. When possible, intraoral neurofibromas should undergo surgical removal (and subsequent histopathological analysis) especially those that are troubling or located on areas of trauma. When present, the treatment of oral and facial plexiform neurofibromas is more challenging51.

Jaw malformations are usually ipsilateral to facial plexiform neurofibromas, but they may also occur in the absence of this tumor and even be bilateral. Jaw alterations include widening of the mandibular canal, mandibular foramen, mental foramen and alveolar ridge; rarefaction of coronoid and condylar processes, deepening of the mandibular notch, and flat mandibular angle54. Neurofibroma may develop intraosseously, resulting in unilocular or multilocular radiolucent lesion53. Annually stomatological exam is important in NF1 patients in order to identify alterations and prevent complications.

Nutritional aspects in NF

Low body weight, short stature and macrocephaly have been observed in NF155. Despite NF1 anthropometric features and some anecdotal observations about nutritional behavior among individuals with the disease, the role that food and diet play in the determination of these clinical features have not been extensively studied. We could not find data on nutritional status, eating habits, dietary patterns or nutrients intake in patients with NF2 or SCH.

NF1 imaging studies

Computerized tomography (CT) scans – There is a growing concern about the long-term side effects (leukemia and brain tumor) on people exposed to radiation with medical purpose57. Since NF1 is a predisposing condition to tumors and malignancy, the use of all types of ionizing radiation in individuals with NF1 is only justified when it is outweighed by the expected benefits of the scan. Whenever possible, alternative-imaging procedures that do not use ionizing radiation should be considered.

Positron emission tomography (PET-CT) – PET-CT is of great value in monitoring lesions with the potential for malignant transformation in NF1, especially in the evaluation of the symptomatic plexiform neurofibromas56. Due to the frequency and severity of MPNST associated to NF1, PET-CT scan could be useful in the following situations: a) when the plexiform tumor growth is inconsistent with the child’s growth track; b) in the presence of neurological deficit; c) changes in tumor texture; and finally d) when patient reports an inexplicable and progressive pain. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) shows the site and extent of the tumor but does not reliably diagnoses malignancy. Therefore, [(18F) 2-fluoro-2-deoxy-D-glucose PET-CT with delayed imaging and targeted biopsy is the most sensitive and specific method for the diagnosis of MPNST in the context of NF151.

Hyperintensities in magnetic resonance imaging – NF1 in children and adolescents is often associated with the appearance of hyperintense foci on T1-weighted and/or T2-weighted images especially those that are troubling or located on areas of trauma. When present, the treatment of oral and facial plexiform neurofibromas is more challenging51.

PET-CT scan could be useful in the following situations: a) when the plexiform tumor growth is inconsistent with the child’s growth track; b) in the presence of neurological deficit; c) changes in tumor texture; and finally d) when patient reports an inexplicable and progressive pain. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) shows the site and extent of the tumor but does not reliably diagnoses malignancy. Therefore, [(18F) 2-fluoro-2-deoxy-D-glucose PET-CT with delayed imaging and targeted biopsy is the most sensitive and specific method for the diagnosis of MPNST in the context of NF151.

Neurofibromatosis type 2: management

Clinical manifestations of NF2 arise predominantly during early adulthood. Early identified features include visible schwannomas on the skin (presenting as slightly elevated areas that are often so discrete they are overlooked during examination), and ophthalmological manifestations (see under). It is important to note that dermal schwannomas, unlike the neurofibromas of NF1, do not increase in size or number over time. The hallmark sign of NF2, the bilateral vestibular schwannoma (BVS), arises most often during early
adulthood but may develop in late adolescence or even during childhood. Schwannomas affecting other cranial nerves (most commonly the trigeminal nerve), spine, and peripheral nerves as well as meningiomas, astrocytomas and ependymomas generally arise during adulthood

Hearing loss and/or tinnitus are the most frequent symptoms in NF2 patients. They develop bilateral vestibular schwannomas that will eventually lead to progressive sensorineural hearing loss, tinnitus and loss of balance (90% of patients with NF2). Auditory rehabilitation of patients with NF2 with profound bilateral hearing loss can be tried with auditory brainstem or cochlear implants. After resection of a vestibular schwannoma, patients should undergo training for auditory abilities and lip reading training

NF2 is also a progressive condition, with a tendency to worsening over time with complications arising from increased tumor size. Most BVS will require surgical intervention that should be indicated individually depending on age, tumor size, and complications. Figure 3 shows a flowchart with practical suggestions for the management of BVS in NF2. Schwannomas affecting other cranial nerves should be left untouched unless they cause symptoms or pain, and generally they are easier to address surgically, given that they do not invade the nerve and therefore can be removed without risk of permanent disability or impairment. Astrocytomas, ependymomas, and meningiomas associated to NF2 are slow-growing tumors that may take years to cause symptoms.

### Ocular Findings in NF2

Periodical ophthalmological examination conducted in suspected individuals and their relatives is useful to make NF2 precocious diagnosis and to establish its prognosis, as well as to the management of NF2 affected patients. NF2 presents as a spectrum of specific ocular abnormalities; juvenile posterior subcapsular/capsular or cortical lenticular opacities, disk gliomas, combined pigment epithelial and retinal hamartomas, epiretinal membrane and optic nerve sheath meningiomas (ONSM). It was observed that early detection of NF2 specific ocular abnormalities in childhood (< 18 years old) is associated with a worse disease prognosis, although most of

---

**Figure 3.** Adapted algorithm for the treatment of bilateral vestibular schwannomas.
NF2 specific findings remain stable throughout life, except for ONSM, which usually cause progressive decrease of optic nerve function⁶².

Besides these NF2 specific findings, other ocular abnormalities may be secondary to coexisting intracranial or orbital tumors, like disk edema, optic atrophy, motility disorders (such as strabismus, nystagmus or abnormal vestibulo-ocular reflex), pupil dysfunction, lid dysfunction (such as lagophthalmos, ptosis or lid retraction), reduced corneal sensation, exophthalmos, corneal exposure and neurotrophic keratopathy. These NF2 secondary sings vary widely among patients, but they are important indicators of progression of intracranial tumors, because oculomotor deficits are related to BVS and intracranial tumors size.

Considering its great specificity and sensibility in diagnosis, prognosis and management, eye examination should be performed every year or twice yearly according to the findings. As survival rates have risen, eye examination becomes increasingly important as the disease progresses and hearing decreases. Conversely, the examination interval may be extended to every two years in individuals with adult onset NF2 and less than two additional CNS tumors⁶².

Schwannomatosis: management

Schwannomatosis is characterized by the development of multiple schwannomas (spinal, peripheral, intracranial) in the absence of vestibular schwannomas, among which 50% become symptomatic between 20 and 30 years of age⁶³. Individuals with SCH should undergo yearly follow-up consultation with a specialist in order to evaluate disease progression. As many schwannomas cause pain, special attention should be directed to new onset or worsening of pain along with neurological examination. MRI studies of the brain (T2/Flair + Stir sequences), spine, and peripheral lesions are useful to monitor the development of the schwannomas. Whole body MRI is particularly useful in this patient population.

No references were found addressing physical fitness and its determinants in NF2 and/or Schwannomatosis. However, given the balance impairment observed in individuals with NF2 and chronic neuropathic pain, often observed in Schwannomatosis, we could expect health-related physical fitness to be also affected by these diseases.

**Pain management in NF1, NF2 and SCH**

Pain is a common complaint in NF1, NF2 and especially in patients with SCH. Pain mechanism differs depending on the type of disease and its management is a challenging issue. Table 3 shows possible mechanisms and treatments of the pain in NF.

**Molecular biology and potential treatments**

Currently, there are no effective drug treatment to prevent or reverse NF1, NF2 and SCH typical features. Considering features, consequences and complications, early detection of manageable complications and genetic counseling are the best option. Among the many clinical features related to NF1, plexiform neurofibromas constitute a major cause of clinical complications, since their growth can produce functional impairment and cosmetic deformities, as well as a greater risk to develop a MPNST. Clinical trials testing different drugs have been conducted, seeking an effective pharmacological treatment for patients with NF1 and NF2.

Proteins of the mTOR (mammalian target of rapamycin) pathway are a promising therapeutic target for NF1 pharmacological treatment. MTOR protein plays an important role in regulating tumor cells division and blood vessel growth. Several studies have investigated the role of rapamycin, an

### Table 3. Proposed NF pain management.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disease</th>
<th>Pain characteristic</th>
<th>Mechanism</th>
<th>Management</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NF1</td>
<td>Headache</td>
<td>Acute</td>
<td>Hypertension brain?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Aqueductal stenosis?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Glioma growing?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chronic</td>
<td></td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peripheral (Neuropathic)</td>
<td>Acute</td>
<td>Plexiform malignant transformation into MPNST?</td>
<td>Imaging studies/ surgical (**)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chronic</td>
<td>Spinal compression</td>
<td>Analgesics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NF2</td>
<td>Headache</td>
<td>Acute</td>
<td>Schwannomas growing?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chronic</td>
<td>Meningiomas?</td>
<td>Analgesics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peripheral (Neuropathic)</td>
<td>Acute or chronic</td>
<td>Schwannomas growing?</td>
<td>Surgical and/or analgesics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCH</td>
<td>Peripheral (Neuropathic)</td>
<td>Acute or chronic</td>
<td>Schwanomas growing?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NF1: neurofibromatosis type 1; NF2: neurofibromatosis type 2; SCH: Schwannomatosis. (*) See text above and Figure 1; (**) See text above and Figure 4.
mTOR inhibitor protein, which regulates angiogenesis, nutritional needs and cell growth. Johannessen and colleagues demonstrated in a mouse model that rapamycin inhibits the growth of aggressive malignant tumors associated with NF1\(^6\). Another pre-clinical study on optic glioma in NF1 demonstrated that pharmacological inhibition of the mTOR pathway resulted in decrease of tumor cell proliferation, and tumor volume\(^6\). Encouraging results were obtained using rapamycin in a NF1 mouse model with MPNST\(^6\).

Sorafenib (a multikinases inhibitor administered orally) shows activity against a variety of tyrosine kinase receptors (including VEGFR, PDGFR, FLT3, c-Kit and Ret) and inhibits angiogenesis inducing cell death\(^6\). Angiogenesis is an important mechanism for tumor development, being responsible for the nutritional supply for tumor cells. Pre-clinical studies using sorafenib, in genetically modified animals with NF1 and plexiform neurofibromas, demonstrated a significant reduction in tumor volume\(^6\). However, preliminary data from a Phase I clinical trial that is using sorafenib to address inoperable plexiform neurofibromas in children with NF1, reports intolerance to sorafenib at substantially lower doses than those usually used in the treatment of children and adults with malignant solid tumors, thereby limiting its use in pediatric patients with neurofibromatosis\(^3\).

Unlike what occurs in some low-grade NF1 tumors responsible to chemotherapy, NF2 associated tumors have been treated exclusively with surgery and radiotherapy. Therefore, some studies have been searching for therapeutics targets in NF2. A pre-clinical study demonstrated that inhibition of EGFR/ErbB2 (a transmembrane glycoprotein hyper-expressed and activated in vestibular schwannomas) using lapatinib produced antitumor activity on schwannomas. The preliminary results of a Phase II study to assess the antitumor activity of lapatinib in patients with NF2 showed that lapatinib presented antitumor activity, improvement of auditory responses and it was well-tolerated\(^7\).

Vascular endothelial growth factor – VEGF (a key regulator of tumor angiogenesis) and its receptor (VEGFR-1) have been detected in vestibular schwannomas in correlation with tumor growth rates. Plotkin and colleagues conducted a retrospective analysis of 10 patients with NF2 and bilateral schwannomas treated with bevacizumab (a monoclonal antibody that blocks the action of VEGF) showing shrinkage of the vestibular schwannomas in 9 of 10 patients. Updated data from this study showed that of 31 patients treated and followed up 57% showed hearing improvement and in 55% there was radiological response concerning the volume of the schwannomas\(^6\). Preclinical studies have shown that EGFR and VEGF signaling pathways are functionally linked, which could justify the design of future clinical trials with the combination of lapatinib and bevacizumab targeting vestibular schwannomas in NF2.

CONCLUSION

The present text proposed some practical suggestions for managing the most prevalent NF problems. The rarity of NF and extreme variability in its phenotype expression will require very specialized support in many cases. NF are part of the thousands of rare human diseases, which progressively demand well connected reference centers for information, treatment, genetic counseling and improvement in the quality of life of the affected individuals.
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