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ABSTRACT

Objective: To compare children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), before and after the use of methylphenidate, and a control group, using tests of working memory, inhibition capacity and mental flexibility.

Methods: Neuropsychological tests were administrated to 53 boys, 9–12 years old: the WISC-III digit span backward, and arithmetic; Stroop Color; and Trail Making Tests. The case group included 23 boys with ADHD, who were combined type, treatment-naive, and with normal intelligence without comorbidities. The control group (n = 30) were age and gender matched. After three months on methylphenidate, the ADHD children were retested. The control group was also retested after three months.

Results: Before treatment, ADHD children had lower scores than the control group (p ≤ 0.001) and after methylphenidate had fewer test errors than before (p ≤ 0.001)

Conclusion: Methylphenidate treatment improves the working memory, inhibitory control and mental flexibility of ADHD boys.
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RESUMO

Objetivo: Comparar crianças com transtorno de déficit de atenção/hiperatividade (TDAH) com controles, utilizando testes de memória de trabalho, capacidade inibitória e flexibilidade mental, em meninos de 9 a 12 anos.

Métodos: Testes neuropsicológicos administrados: teste de ordem inversa dos dígitos, teste aritmético (WISC-III), Teste Stroop e Teste de Trilhas. Grupo experimental meninos (n=23), com TDAH combinado, virgens de tratamento, inteligência normal sem comorbididades. Grupo controle (n = 30) com as mesmas características do grupo experimental em termos de idade e sexo. Após três meses com metilfenidato, os grupos TDAH e controle foram novamente testadas.

Resultados: Antes do tratamento, as crianças com TDAH apresentaram menor pontuação do que o grupo controle nos testes analisados (p≤0.001) e o grupo com TDAH apresentou menos erros nos testes após metilfenidato (p≤0.001).

Conclusão: O tratamento com metilfenidato melhora a memória de trabalho, controle inibitório e flexibilidade mental de meninos com TDAH.

Palavras-chave: transtorno de déficit de atenção com hiperatividade; memória de curto prazo; metilfenidato.

Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) is the most common behavioural disorder of childhood, adolescence and adulthood with an estimated prevalence in children from 3% to 6%. The worldwide prevalence of ADHD is about 5.2%, according to a systematic review and meta regression analysis¹².

A diagnosis of ADHD is fundamentally clinical, based on clear and well-defined operational criteria, derived from classification systems such as the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Health Disorders, 2013 (DSM-5)¹³.

Executive functions (EFs) represent major functions that allow anticipation and the establishment of objectives, as well as the monitoring of results, by comparing them to the initial objective and reaching a final result. These abilities enable a human being to perceive stimuli in their environment,
respond dequately, change direction in a flexible ay, antici-
pate future objectives, consider the consequences and
respond in an integrated way, using all these abilities to reach
a final objective6,7,8,9,10.

Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder can be consid-
ered a neurobiological condition that presents with changes
in some brain areas and their associated circuits, mainly the
prefrontal and parietal cortex, cerebellum, and basal ganglia,
which may imply problems in EF, such as working memory
(WM), inhibition capacity (IC) and mental flexibility (MF)6,7.

Working memory is an EF that is characterized as a com-
plex cognitive mechanism to maintain, control and manipu-
late relevant information. It is considered the "online mem-
ory" that allows a person to understand what is happening
at the moment. It is retained just for a few seconds, to enable
understanding of the rest of the story or context. Deficits
related to WM affect the ability to maintain control, and
manipulate goal-related information7,8.

Another important characteristic of ADHD from a neu-opsychological point of view has been widely debated.
Barkley’s theory proposes a deficit specifically in behav-
ior inhibition. This view considers inhibitory processes as
a core deficit in ADHD that secondarily disrupts other EF
processes6. Adaptive inhibition requires a multitude of inter-
related processes, such as the monitoring of behavior, sus-
tained attention, conflict detection and others, before the
inhibition of the planned course of action and the behavior
can be adjusted according to the moment7,8,9,10,11.

A wide variety of neuropsychological tests indicate that
ADHD children exhibit relatively weak, or sub-average, per-
formances on various EFs6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17.

Stimulants are the most commonly-used medications in
the treatment of ADHD and their clinical efficacy is well estab-
lished11. However, research on the effect of methylphenidate on
EF has produced uncertain results. Pietrzak et al.15 found that
methylphenidate improved performance in MF and IC tasks
in 71.4% and 69.7% of studies, respectively. However, improve-
ment in WM tasks occurred in only 50% of the studies15.

The aim of the current study, therefore, was to assess
the EFs (WM, IC and MF) of children with ADHD, and
also to evaluate the evolution after three months of
methylphenidate therapy.

METHODS

A group of 23 individuals was selected. Inclusion crite-
ria were: treatment naïve with methylphenidate; male; ages
9-12 years (m = 10.13, SD = 1.10); clinical diagnosis of ADHD
combined type, based on criteria of the Diagnostic and
IQ ≥ 89 (m = 105.61, SD = 12.13); and no comorbidities. After
the initial screening with SNAP-IV18 by a child neurologist,
children were included or not, according the DSM-IV17.

The control group had 30 children, IQ (m = 116.38,
SD = 9.85). They were public school students, age (m = 10.25,
SD = 1.15) and gender-matched. The SNAP-IV18 questiona-
riee was applied to the control group. Teachers and parents rein-
forced that the children did not have symptoms suggested of
hyeractivity, impulsiveness or inattention.

The exclusion criteria for both groups were: regular use
of medication, visual, hearing, heart, rheumatic, orthopedic
or neurological disabilities and severe behavioral disorders.

Treatment was with methylphenidate 0.3-0.5 mg/kg
immediate release, twice a day. All participants were free
from any other type of treatment with medication or inter-
ventions during the period of the study.

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee. All
participating parents and teachers gave written consent and
the children gave written assent.

Tests

All ADHD children were administered tests before, and
three months after methylphenidate, which was given one
hour before the sessions.

Neuropsychological tests were used to assess WM, IC and MF.
The neuropsychological tests were conducted by an expe-
rienced child neuropsychologist during single sessions. Both
ADHD and control group children were re-evaluated after
three months with the SNAP-IV questionnaire with the same
tests. The ADHD children were tested over three days in a week.
In the first and second session they completed the Wechsler
Intelligence Scale for Children-3rd edition (WISC III)19. In the
third session, they completed the Trail Making Test –Part B20
and Stroop Color Test - Word Interference Card21.

The WM was measured using two subtests from the
WISC III: digit span backward and arithmetic. On the digit
span backward, the child has to repeat a sequence of num-
bers read out by the examiner, in reverse order. The WM was
measured using the total score for the backward version of
the digit span subtest. In this study, the scores were stan-
dardized into each individual score. The arithmetic subtest
comprised 20 elementary arithmetic problems. These prob-
lems were posed as oral questions to be solved without paper
and pencil. The arithmetic test also measured systematic
problem-solving abilities20.

The neuropsychological test used to assess MF was the
Trail Making Test – B Part. The test requires the child to draw
pencil lines alternating between consecutive numbers and
letters in ascending order (e.g., 1-A-2-B-3-C). During the test,
the child could not lift the pencil off the paper20.

The IC was measured using the Stroop Color Test
(Victoria Version) – Word Interference Card. The children
were instructed to name the color of the ink and not what
was written (e.g., the word BLUE in red ink). Score errors
were calculated by extracting only the uncorrected errors,
and not self-corrected errors. In this study, we analysed only
the commission errors21.
Statistical analyses

The *t*-Student, was used to compare the performance of the ADHD group and their individually matched controls on measures of WM, IC and MF. Statistical significance was set at the p < 0.05 level. Receiver-operating characteristic curves were conducted to compare diagnostic tests. Statistical significance was set when the area exceeded 80%. Effect size classification, according to Cohen\textsuperscript{22,23}, is given as $d = 0.02$ to 0.15 corresponding to a small effect, $d = 0.15$ to 0.35 corresponding to a medium effect, and $d > 0.35$ representing a large effect\textsuperscript{23}.

RESULTS

The results for all task evaluations showed that before methylphenidate, scores were significantly lower in the ADHD children than in the control group.

Table 1 shows the performance on measures of WM, IC and MF in the ADHD group (n = 23) and the matched control group (n = 30) in the first evaluation.

Table 2 shows the results of the control group in the first evaluation and after three months, and the results of the ADHD group before and after three months of treatment. The ADHD group had the best results after three months of methylphenidate, a change that was not seen in the control group. Table 3 shows the receiver-operating characteristic curves and the effect on the ADHD group before and after three months of treatment. The ADHD group had high results on their WM, IC and MF after three months of methylphenidate.

Table 4 shows the results of inattention and hyperactivity for the control group and ADHD children at the beginning of the study; the ADHD group before and after three months of treatment; and the control group before and after three months.

DISCUSSION

The aim of this study was to compare the performances of ADHD in children (9–12-year-old boys) before and after treatment with methylphenidate with a control group, using tests of WM, IC and MF. The medication effect results showed a significant alteration in WM, IC and MF as well as an EF effect.

Although ADHD and EF disturbances are frequently related, the executive disorder is neither pathognomonic, nor necessary, for the ADHD diagnosis. Children without ADHD may also present with some executive disorder and many individuals with ADHD do not show significant impairment in tests that analyze EF\textsuperscript{3,24}. Brown\textsuperscript{24} highlights the importance of changes in the EFs in the daily activities of people with ADHD.

As the EFs are a group of cognitive functions that include inhibition, response selection and alteration, behavioural monitoring and WM, it is considered that children with ADHD exhibit a range of difficulties, which results in a worse performance compared to individuals without ADHD\textsuperscript{6,7,10,13,15,25,26,27,28,29,30}.

Our results are consistent with many studies on neuropsychological evaluation and ADHD, showing an improvement in WM, IC, and MF, as well as a relevant medication effect on EF performance at various levels\textsuperscript{11,13,15,27,28}.

Other studies that also analyzed attention and EF, and the effects of methylphenidate treatment in children with ADHD, did not find similar results to ours, but most of them

### Table 1. Performance on measures of working memory, inhibitory control and mental flexibility, in ADHD (n = 23) and matched Control Group (n = 30) in the first assessment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>ADHD M (SD)</th>
<th>Control Group M (SD)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Digit span backward</td>
<td>3.4 (1.1)</td>
<td>4.6 (0.8)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arithmetic</td>
<td>10.6 (2.8)</td>
<td>12.4 (2.2)</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trail making test part B (time)</td>
<td>4.6 (4.7)</td>
<td>2.2 (2.2)</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroop color test – Word interference card (errors)</td>
<td>72.0 (31.6)</td>
<td>55.4 (26.5)</td>
<td>0.033</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ADHD: attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder; M: mean; SD: standard deviation; p: *t*-student probability statistical significance was set at the p < 0.05 level.

### Table 2. Performance on measures of working memory, inhibitory control and mental flexibility in both groups in the first, and second time of evaluation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Control group Before</th>
<th>After three months</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>ADHD Before</th>
<th>After three months</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Digit span backward</td>
<td>4.6 (0.8)</td>
<td>4.8 (0.8)</td>
<td>0.094</td>
<td>3.4 (1.1)</td>
<td>4.9 (1.2)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arithmetic</td>
<td>12.4 (2.2)</td>
<td>12.5 (2.1)</td>
<td>0.502</td>
<td>10.6 (2.8)</td>
<td>13.1 (2.9)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trail making test part B (time)</td>
<td>55.4 (26.5)</td>
<td>53.5 (28.0)</td>
<td>0.188</td>
<td>4.6 (4.7)</td>
<td>0.7 (0.8)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroop color test – Word interference card (errors)</td>
<td>2.2 (2.2)</td>
<td>1.9 (2.1)</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>72.0 (31.6)</td>
<td>47.8 (15.1)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ADHD: attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder; M: mean; SD: standard deviation; p: *t*-student probability statistical significance was set at the p < 0.05 level.
did not have the same degree of selection of individuals, without comorbidities, same sex and narrow age range as specified in our study. We believe that the different results found in these studies may be associated with the absence of the specifications and other factors that could be related.

In our study, the ADHD children showed changes in the EF than that observed in other studies with adults, which may be related to the fact that in children, the brain maturation is still developing and the differences in control is greater at this time. These impairments may interfere with academic progress and disrupt activities of daily living at home and in social settings.

Normative data on typical children have shown adequate developmental progression, highlighting the differences between the first assessment and after methylphenidate in the children with ADHD. These results suggest that these measures provide an important tool to assess children at risk for ADHD showing deficits in attention areas and EF.

We used the WISC III digit span backward and arithmetic tests to evaluate WM abilities. It is important to emphasize that these tasks also assess selective attention (the ability to focus the cognitive resources on information relevant to our goals), which is important to processes the different stages of WM. Our data indicated that ADHD treatment demonstrated a significant increase in WM and we believe that it was through an increase of selective attention.

In the current literature, IC by commission errors can also be evaluated with the Stroop Color Test – Word Interference Card. The test is used as a measure of the inhibition capacity function. Indeed, it is often referred to as a measure of the specific inhibition’s controlling behavior (e.g., prepotent response). The Stroop Color Test – Word Interference Card creates a conflict between the stimuli of color naming and color reading. Errors made during the performance on the Word Interference Card most likely represent a result of inhibiting the prepotent impulse.

Although the Stroop Test commission errors were used for analysis of inhibition function (e.g., impulsivity), it is important to note that the test interferes with selective and sustained attention, WM and self-control. The current study showed that errors in the Stroop interference effect could be explained mainly by impulsivity and that it represents a good marker for response to treatment.

In this way, the improvement in IC in the ADHD group with methylphenidate can be correlated with the assessment of SNAP-IV, and this is consistent with the arguments that ADHD children have difficulty in inhibiting impulses.

On the point of MF, our findings appear consistent with the study by Hale et al., which found differences in the Trail Making Test – Part B errors, between ADHD children and a control group, corroborating that, generally, ADHD children needed more time to complete the test than the control group. This is an indication of slower set-shifting, which suggests that children with ADHD are less capable of divided attention.

Our results showed noticeable deficits in WM, IC and MF in children with ADHD. These deficits may be associated with poor attention or hyperactivity and also with poor levels of EF. We emphasize that after methylphenidate treatment, ADHD children showed a great improvement in the EFs analyzed, achieving results similar to those of the control group.

As limitations of our study, we considered the small number of children included in the current trial and the fact that we did not investigate ADHD subtypes.

In conclusion, EF deficits are important components in the neuropsychology of ADHD, and the neuropsychological

**Table 3.** Performance on measures of working memory, inhibitory control and mental flexibility in ADHD group, before and after treatment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>ADHD Before / After MPH</th>
<th>ROC</th>
<th>Cohen’s d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Digit span backward</td>
<td>0.870</td>
<td>1.455</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arithmetic</td>
<td>0.899</td>
<td>1.285</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trail making test part B (time)</td>
<td>0.882</td>
<td>0.832</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroop color test – Word interference card (errors)</td>
<td>0.843</td>
<td>0.960</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ADHD: attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder; MPH: methylphenidate; ROC: Receiver-operating characteristic; statistical significance was set at the area > 80%; Cohen’s d: effect size by d = 0.02 to 0.15 small effect, d = 0.15 to 0.35 medium effect, and d > 0.35 large effect.

**Table 4.** Results concerning the subscales (inattention; hyperactivity) of the SNAP-IV for the control group and ADHD (attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder) children.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First time</th>
<th>Teacher</th>
<th>Parents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I</td>
<td>H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>0.303</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>0.299</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADHD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>2.161</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>0.853</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Before MPH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>2.161</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>0.853</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After MPH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>1.263</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>0.495</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Before three months</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>0.304</td>
<td>0.546</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>0.309</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After three months</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>0.346</td>
<td>0.546</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>0.283</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ADHD: attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder; M: mean; SD: standard deviation; p: t-student probability; statistical significance was set at the p < 0.05 level; MPH: methylphenidate; I: inattention; H: hyperactivity.
assessments showed a high index of changes in EF in boys with ADHD. Moreover, there is a strong correlation between the outcome of methylphenidate treatment and clinical improvement.

An improvement of working memory, inhibition capacity and flexibility deficits in boys, 9–12 years of age, with ADHD combined type, was noted in all these domains after the administration of methylphenidate.
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