Multiple sclerosis (MS) is a chronic illness of the central nervous system that affects the physical, psychological and social function of patients. These disturbances have a negative impact in the daily life activities and the quality of life of patients who are socially disadvantaged, because they often lose their jobs, economic and social status, friends and family.

In the last two decades, drug therapies that modify the MS natural history, and the development of physical and psychological rehabilitation techniques, have represented major contributions to improving the quality of life of patients and promoting their adaptation to the illness. However, as those therapies are not curative and MS is a progressive disease, the importance of social support in the long term must be highlighted. In this field, several studies have shown that social support is an important positive factor in the health related quality of life (HRQOL) of patients with MS and may decrease their depressive symptoms.

Conceptually, social support is a function of the social network that represents the social relationships with which each person interacts, maintains contact or some form of sharing. These concepts are frequently described as equivalent, but, in fact, they are different and have a distinct practical expression. In practice, the social network is made up of all people with whom contact is maintained, which includes the frequency, the social ties, involvement in religious groups, voluntary associations and other groups.

The social support network (SSN) is a subset of the social network, in which people are linked by social roles and relationships. The SSN is a key area in the life of people with MS, as it is associated with better health outcomes.

In this article, we analyze the relationship between the social support network and health related quality of life in multiple sclerosis patients.
relationships, whose functions are the exchange of emotional support, financial assistance, guidance and advice in a variety of situations, particularly when someone is sick19. The SSN may be measured by composition, size and participation in sports groups, social meetings and voluntary work. Sociological studies conducted in Portugal have shown that different types of social support is provided by the SSN, specifically, by close family members20. This evidence revealed that the structure of the SSN seems to influence the social support that is provided.

Research about the relationship between the SSN and HRQOL show that participation in supporting groups is strongly linked to the decrease of anxiety and to satisfaction with life21. Other studies about HRQOL with chronic patients have revealed that the patients’ SSN is less than the SSN of healthy people19,22. The size of the SSN is less important for a patient than the ties between people. For patients with Hodgkin’s lymphoma, the composition of the SSN, for example, friends or close family, is associated with a better HRQOL23. However, there are no studies on the association between the SSN of MS patients and their HRQOL.

All these aspects are the major reason for the aim of this study, which analyzed the relationship between the SSN of patients with MS and their HRQOL.

METHODS

Patients

The sample included 150 adult patients with the diagnosis of MS according to the McDonald criteria24, consecutively evaluated at the Multiple Sclerosis Outpatient Clinic of Hospital S. João, Porto, who gave their informed consent. Illiterate patients were excluded, as well as those with physical and mental disabilities prior to the onset of disease. The Ethics Committee of Hospital S. João approved the study.

Methods

Clinical data about the MS history, such as duration, clinical course and disability assessed by the Expanded Disability Status Score (EDSS), were collected from medical records25.

Demographic data (age, gender, marital status, education level, profession) and the evaluation of the social support network and quality of life were obtained in an interview using a questionnaire specifically designed for this study.

Instruments

1) The EDSS results obtained after rating in the different Kurtzke functional systems is the most widely-used scale for assessing the disability of patients with MS. It is an ordinal scale with a range of values from 0 corresponding with a normal neurological examination, and 10 with death due to MS. It shows increments of 0.5 between units except between 0 and 1. A mild disability is determined by scores from 0 - 3.5, moderate disability scores by between 4.0 - 6.0, and severe disability by scores greater than or equal to 6.526.

2) The Medical Outcomes Study Social Support Survey was used to assess the social support network. This scale was created and tested in a study of 2,987 adults users of health services in Boston, Chicago and Los Angeles, who had at least one of the following chronic diseases: hypertension, diabetes, coronary heart disease or depression26. The scale was translated and validated for the Portuguese language by Griep et al. The Medical Outcomes Study Social Support Survey comprises two subscales, one to assess the SSN and another to assess social support. The SSN subscale has five questions about composition, size and social contact frequency.

3) The HRQOL was assessed using the Health Status Questionnaire (SF-36v2) Portuguese version of the Medical Outcome Study 36-Item Health Survey Short Form (SF-36)27. It is an evaluation scale to assess HRQOL, adapted and validated for the Portuguese population, that measures eight dimensions of HRQOL based on the multidimensional model of health that is divided into two main components - physical and mental. The assessment of each item is made by using the method of points scored with values ranging from 0 to 100, where higher scores indicate a better quality of life. The scores of the fields are derived from the scores of items of that field and the scores of physical and mental components are derived from the fields associated with them.

Statistical analysis

We used the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences - SPSS® for Windows, version 20.0 computer program to perform the statistical analysis.

To analyze the magnitude of the relationship between SSN and HRQOL, Spearman’s correlation was used.

RESULTS

The social and clinical characteristics of the sample are shown in Table 1.

The mean age of patients was 41.7 years and the ratio women:men was 2:4.

Most patients were married (66.0%), had a lower secondary education (12.7%; 38.7%) and unskilled occupations (52.7%). The clinical parameters indicated that the form of relapsing-remitting MS was the most common (85.3%), the mean duration of MS was 9.1 years (6.4; 1–25) and the disability score (68.7%) was low.

The composition of the SSN is shown in Table 2.

This composition consisted mostly of one to three relatives (28.7%; 24.7%; 16.7%). However 10.0% of patients said they did not have a family member with whom they could talk to about almost everything.
Concerning friends, 21.3% said they could not trust them. But on the other hand, most said they could count on one or more friends to talk to about everything (74.75%).

Most patients did not participate in sports groups (73.3%), meetings (78.7%) or in voluntary work (84.75%).

The relationship between the SSN and HRQOL is shown in Table 3. A statistically significant correlation between the number of relatives, number of friends, participation in sports groups, participation in meetings, participation in volunteer work and the mental dimension of the HRQOL was found. The same correlation was observed for the physical dimension except for the question about the number of friends the patients could count on to talk to about almost everything.

### DISCUSSION

The literature review showed that there are few studies on the association between the SSN and HRQOL of chronic patients. Moreover, there are no known studies about this field in MS patients. However, some studies have shown that participation in supporting groups for patients with MS was strongly linked to decreased anxiety and increased satisfaction with life, and supporting a person with MS had an impact on the lives of informal caregivers.

One explanation for the low frequency of this type of analysis may be linked to the fact that the concept of a SSN is poorly defined, due to the heterogeneity in the analysis of its dimensions held by investigators, and it sometimes is confused with other close concepts, such as social support. This theoretical obstacle requires the construction of network measurement scales of social support, systematizing...
the way of measuring all its dimensions. However, there is consensus that the SSN should be assessed in its composition, size, frequency of contact, and duration.

The composition may include family, neighbors and community organizations. The size refers to the number of people present in the network. The assessment of the SSN may capture the frequency of contacts between the number of people present and the duration of such contacts.

The analyzed literature used different scales to assess the SSN: The Arizona Social Support Interview Survey

The Norbeck Social Support Questionnaire

The Convoy of Social Support

These studies were cross-sectional types approaches with 224, 69 and 14 participants, respectively.

In this study, we used the Medical Outcomes Study Social Support Survey because it also evaluates the levels of patient participation in sporting activities in groups, attending meetings and participating in volunteer work. In this study, the frequency of participation was low; because the majority of patients reported that they had not participated in activities in recent months, despite the levels of disability of the disease being minimal. It can be assumed that MS is not a disease that affects this kind of participation, which seems to be the result of an SSN being centered in family and friends, and not in other social groups.

In conclusion, social support network composition, social group membership, taking part in group sports and participation in voluntary work, have an important role in the HRQOL of patients with MS.

It is the SSN that provides the essential social support for the patient’s adaptation to the daily living circumstances related to the disease.

The specialist working with MS needs to look at the individual patient and respective SSN as important elements of adaptation to illness and HRQOL, and encourage patients to participate in different social groups.

In practice, this study highlights the importance of a multidisciplinary team in repairing the SSN that is often affected by the loss of social functions in MS patients.

Table 3. Correlation analysis: Relationship between SSN and HRQOL.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Social support network</th>
<th>HRQOL Physical health</th>
<th>HRQOL Mental health</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>How many relatives can you trust to talk to about almost anything?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correlation coefficient</td>
<td>0.227**</td>
<td>0.302**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed); p-value</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How many friends can you trust to talk to about almost anything?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correlation coefficient</td>
<td>0.199</td>
<td>0.208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed); p-value</td>
<td>0.051</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have you participated in sports in a group in the last 12 months?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correlation coefficient</td>
<td>0.283**</td>
<td>0.221**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed); p-value</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have you participated in meetings in the last 12 months?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correlation coefficient</td>
<td>0.280**</td>
<td>0.269**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed); p-value</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have you participated in voluntary work in the last 12 months?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correlation coefficient</td>
<td>0.245**</td>
<td>0.247**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed); p-value</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed); **Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed). HRQOL: health related quality of life; SSN: Social Support Network.
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