Impulse control and related disorders in Parkinson’s disease
Transtorno de controle de impulso e condições relacionadas na doença de Parkinson
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ABSTRACT
Neuropsychiatric disorders are common among patients with Parkinson’s disease and may appear in any stage of the disease. However, these disorders often go undiagnosed and receive insufficient treatment. Observations in recent years have revealed that dopamine replacement therapy may lead to the development or worsening of conditions, such as gambling disorder, compulsive sexual behavior, compulsive buying and binge eating, in addition to punding and dopamine dysregulation syndrome. The pathophysiology of these disorders seems to be related to abnormal dopaminergic stimulation of the basal regions of the basal ganglia, especially via nigro-mesolimbic pathways. The aim of the present study was to perform a literature review on impulsivity, impulse control disorders and related conditions among patients with Parkinson’s disease, with emphasis on their epidemiology, clinical characteristics and treatment.
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IMPULSE CONTROL DISORDERS
Impulsivity can be defined as a predisposition to immediate and unplanned reactions to internal or external stimuli before thoroughly processing the information and with no regard for the long-term consequences of a behavior¹. The decision-making process is influenced by the individual’s ability to store and manipulate information, plan and assess different options and translate thought into action. Therefore, impulsivity plays an important role in normal behavior (job and school performance, for instance) and in several classes of psychiatric and neurological disorders. Nevertheless, consensus has not been reached on what distinguishes socially acceptable and dysfunctional impulsive behaviors, which vary as a function of time and between different cultures¹.

Impulsivity is the main symptom of impulse control disorders (ICDs), which are characterized by engagement in repetitive and compulsive activities despite their adverse consequences². Patients are unable to resist an impulse, even though it may potentially be risky. They report irresistible, intrusive and senseless impulses. This combination of symptoms leads to stress and interferes with the individuals’ social and occupational functioning. However, performance of the problem behavior is pleasurable. They may feel regret or guilt, but these symptoms are seldom reported because admitting this may cause embarrassment or the behavior may be considered normal.
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Impulse control disorders are similar to obsessive-compulsive disorders because patients experience difficulties resisting the desire to involve themselves in specific behaviors that interfere with their typical activities. Impulse control disorders are also similar to the disorders associated with substance use and dependence because the affected individuals develop tolerance and abstinence symptoms. One characteristic that distinguishes ICDs from obsessive-compulsive disorders and brings them closer to the disorders associated with substance abuse is the ego-syntonic nature of the behaviors. The motivational and emotional processes that lead individuals to engage in repetitive activities may change over time. Therefore, the eagerness to perform an impulsive behavior becomes more ego-dystonic, i.e., less related to the search for pleasure and more oriented to the need to reduce a state of anxiety and stress.

The mesolimbic dopaminergic pathway has a central role in the development of ICDs because the feelings of reward derived from dopamine release within this system may be responsible for the symptoms associated with chemical and behavioral dependence. Dopamine regulates the salience of external stimuli. Pleasurable stimuli induce a tonic dopaminergic response in the nucleus accumbens. However, repeated stimuli induce the habituation phenomenon. In the brainstem, dopaminergic auto-receptors located in the substantia nigra provide feedback to regulate the synaptic dopamine concentration. The orbitofrontal and anterior cingulate cortices are responsible for weighing the relevance of reward and linking it to an adequate response. The prefrontal cortex inhibits goal-directed (reward) responses, thus having a balancing effect on the system. When all these elements work correctly, individuals are able to successfully adjust their behavior in constantly changing environments.

Among individuals with ICD, the midbrain tonic dopaminergic signals attribute more relevance to addictive stimuli. Following repetitive exposure, the stimulus-pleasure association becomes a stimulus-action directed to an addictive input signal. The descending cortical control seems to be impaired with less activation of the prefrontal cortex. Persistent D2 receptor activation may reduce the influence of the prefrontal cortex, thus reducing flexibility and not allowing specific goal-oriented behavioral changes. Continuous directional firing of hippocampal neurons makes the individual persevere with impulsive tasks.

The DSM-IV-TR formally recognizes the following as ICDs: intermittent explosive disorder, kleptomania, pyromania, trichotillomania, gambling disorder and other unspecified ICDs. Compulsive sexual behavior, compulsive buying and problematic Internet use are examples of unspecified ICDs. This classification was revised in the DSM-5. As a function of clinical and biological similarities with substance use disorders, gambling disorder was reclassified as a behavioral addiction. Compulsive sexual behavior, compulsive buying and other ICDs can also be considered addictive disorders.

According to estimates, 10% of the overall population meets the criteria for at least one episode of ICD in their life. Symptoms tend to appear at the end of adolescence or beginning of adult life. A few epidemiological studies have been performed on ICDs among older adults. One such study assessed patients over 60 years old and found a 22.4% prevalence of at least one ICD episode in life.

Approximately one-third of patients with psychiatric disorders exhibit one or more ICDs. As many as 96% of individuals with a lifetime gambling disorder also meet the criteria for at least one other lifetime psychiatric disorder, especially mood disorder (20% to 55.6%), substance abuse (35% to 76.3%), anxiety (41.3% to 60.3%) and personality disorders (68.8%). An ICD was also found among patients with pituitary adenoma, restless legs syndrome and Parkinson’s disease (PD), especially those under treatment with dopaminergic agents.

Gambling disorder

Patients experience intrusive and repetitive thoughts about gambling. They spend most of their time on activities related to gambling and neglect their daily responsibilities. They lose control of their finances and become manipulative and deceitful. They avoid friends and social events and lie and steal from relatives and acquaintances. Personal relationships deteriorate. They lose their jobs for lack of credibility and absenteeism. They develop tolerance and exhibit abstinence symptoms and relapses on attempts to quit the habit. They feel guilt and regret but become irritated and hostile when deprived of opportunities for gambling.

Compulsive buying

Patients have an exaggerated interest in buying, experiencing unnecessary debt. They purchase goods they do not need and spend a large part of their time shopping.

Binge eating

The most frequent clinical presentation of binge eating is an exaggerated increase of food intake within short intervals of time with cravings for sweets, fried savory snacks and carbohydrate-rich foods. Compensatory behaviors, such as self-induced vomiting, do not occur in this disorder. Involuntary and undesired weight gain is frequent.

Sexual compulsion (hypersexuality)

Compulsive sexual behavior involves preoccupation with sexual gratification beyond accepted personal and social limits. Patients exhibit intense and recurrent sexual behaviors, which cause distress and consume enough time to interfere with their social and occupational activities. Patients describe themselves as out of control.

IMPULSE CONTROL DISORDERS AMONG PATIENTS WITH PD

Prevalence

One relevant issue is whether ICDs are more common among patients with PD compared with the overall
population. In one cross-sectional, multicenter study carried out on 87 patients with early-onset PD and 87 matched healthy controls, ICDs were more common among patients with PD (58.3% vs. 32.9%, p = 0.001)\(^1\). However, most of the patients who displayed an ICD (91.8%) were medicated with a dopamine agonist (DA). Many authors argue that PD alone does not confer an increased risk for development of ICD symptoms\(^1,13\). According to some reports, patients with PD who had not yet started treatment with dopaminergic drugs exhibited a similar frequency of ICDs when compared with individuals without neurological diseases (18.5% vs 20.3%, p = 0.19)\(^1\). One study found that the frequency of ICDs among patients with PD before the onset of dopamine replacement therapy did not increase after one year of use of medication\(^1\). These results suggest that subclinical behavioral abnormalities are as common among patients with PD as in the overall population.

Impulse control disorders often go undiagnosed among patients with PD. Most patients do not spontaneously provide information on impulsive behaviors due to shame or unawareness that such symptoms may be related to PD and its treatment\(^9\). DOMINION, the largest cross-sectional multicenter study on the association of ICDs and PD conducted in North America, found that 13.6% of patients exhibited some ICD (5.7% buying compulsion, 5% gambling disorder, 3.5% impulsive sexual behavior and 4.3% binge eating)\(^9\). ICARUS, an Italian prospective multicenter study that assessed 1,069 PD patients treated with dopaminergic medication at three time-points over two years, found stable narrow-scope ICD prevalence rates: 28.6% at baseline, 29.3% at the one-year assessment and 26.5% after two years\(^9\). The most prevalent type was binge eating (9.9%; 11.4%; 10.6%) followed by compulsive sexual behavior (9.7%; 14.6%; 9%), gambling disorder (5.3%; 5.4%; 4.9%) and compulsive buying (6.5%; 6.1%; 10.1%). A study conducted in Korea assessed 1,167 patients and found a narrow-scope prevalence of 7.6%, corresponding to binge eating 3.4%; hypersexuality 2.8%; compulsive buying 2.5%; and gambling disorder 1.3%\(^16\).

The many similarities notwithstanding, the prevalence of ICD and its subtypes in PD exhibit considerable variability among studies, as shown in Table 1. The methods (multicenter versus single-center studies, cross-sectional versus prospective design), information sources (patients versus relatives), screening instruments, inclusion or non-inclusion of subclinical cases, population sample (community versus specialized services, patients with or without dementia), availability of dopaminergic medication, demographic and cultural conditions varied considerably among the studies; hence, the results were not systematically concordant\(^17\). Table 2 shows an overview of studies on ICDs in PD patients.

Two studies conducted in Spain reported increased prevalence rates compared with the DOMINION study but similar to the ones found in the ICARUS study (23.5%\(^18\) and 39%\(^19\)). In the first study, hypersexuality and binge eating were the most frequent ICDs\(^18\). The second study assessed only patients who had used dopaminergic agonists for at least six months\(^19\). A French study reported 25% prevalence of at least one ICD: 14% for binge eating, 10% for hypersexuality, 6% for compulsive buying and 3% for gambling disorder\(^20\). Another study, also performed in France, found 12% and 3% prevalence rates of gambling disorder and hypersexuality, respectively, among patients\(^21\). A study conducted in Italy reported a prevalence rate of 8.1%. This rate is less than those reported in the ICARUS and DOMINION studies, but similar to that obtained in the South Korean study\(^22\). This difference may be attributed to the inclusion of patients with dementia (26%), as the prevalence of ICDs in this subgroup was reduced compared with patients without severe cognitive dysfunction (3.8% versus 9.6%). In one study, performed in Finland, the prevalence of ICDs was increased, compared with other studies, at 34.8% for at least one disorder\(^23\). Compulsive sexual behavior was found in 22.8%, binge eating in 11.8%, compulsive buying in 10.1% and gambling disorder in 8.8% of patients. In a Danish cohort, ICDs occurred among 35.9% of patients at some time in the course of PD, although 14.9% of them exhibited symptoms at the time of the study, a frequency similar to the one reported in the DOMINION study\(^24\). Hypersexuality was reported by 9%, binge eating by 8.6%, compulsive buying by 7.3% and gambling disorder by 7.1% of the sample.

Very low prevalence rates of 3.53% for ICDs were reported in a Chinese study (hypersexuality 1.92%; gambling disorder 0.32%; binge eating 0.32%; problematic Internet use 0.32%)\(^25\). These results are not consistent with findings for Shanghai (global prevalence of ICDs of 31%; gambling disorder and binge eating being the most prevalent\(^26\)) and Hong Kong (global prevalence 7%; gambling disorder and hypersexuality being the most frequent types)\(^27\). In Taiwan, the reported prevalence of ICDs among patients with PD was lower, at 4.49% (hypersexuality 2.99%; gambling disorder 1.49%; binge eating 0.37%)\(^28\). A less widespread use of levodopa and DAs, methodological, cultural and demographic differences—even within the same country or ethnic group—may account for such discrepancies\(^26,27,28\).

Four epidemiological studies were conducted in Latin America. The Brazilian study, which was performed in Salvador, Bahia, investigated 152 patients with PD and reported an 18.4% prevalence of ICDs\(^29\). Hypersexuality was the most common type (11.8%) followed by compulsive buying (10.5%). An increased prevalence rate was reported in a study conducted at three South American centers: Argentina – 32.5%, Ecuador – 21.7%, and Colombia – 25.7% (binge eating – 45% of patients with ICD)\(^30\). Two studies performed in Mexico reported lower prevalence rates (12.6%\(^31\) and 10.6%\(^5\)), with the most common type of ICD being binge eating (8.6%)\(^2\).

Economic and sociocultural differences may account for how ICDs manifest in different populations\(^8\). A gambling disorder is more common in the United States and northern European countries\(^14,12,24\), and less frequent in Canada\(^9\), Asia and South America\(^25,27,29,30\). Availability of casinos, incentives and facilities for gambling seem to account for these
differences. Greater interest in gastronomy and the meaning attributed to meals as factors of social cohesion may explain the increased prevalence of binge eating in Latin European and American countries\textsuperscript{15,29,30,32,33}. Compulsive buying seems to occur more frequently in industrialized countries, where consumerism is more developed and credit is more easily available\textsuperscript{26}. Patients with low incomes, or residing in locations with scarce social security resources, may not have the economic conditions required to engage in gambling or shopping, thus they channel impulsivity to other types of behaviors\textsuperscript{32}.

### Table 1. Prevalence of different impulse control disorders and related conditions in Parkinson’s disease patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study (Year)</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Total PD patients</th>
<th>Total PD patients</th>
<th>ICD %</th>
<th>GD %</th>
<th>HS %</th>
<th>CB %</th>
<th>BE %</th>
<th>Multiple ICD %</th>
<th>Punding and related behaviors %</th>
<th>DDS %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weintraub et al. (2010) \textsuperscript{14}</td>
<td>United States and Canada</td>
<td>3090</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weintraub et al. (2013)\textsuperscript{12}</td>
<td>United States and Canada</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vela et al. (2016)\textsuperscript{11}</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>58.3</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antonini et al. (2011)\textsuperscript{13}</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antonini et al. (2017)\textsuperscript{19*}</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>1069</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee et al. (2010)\textsuperscript{16}</td>
<td>South Korea</td>
<td>1167</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sáez-Francàs et al. (2015)\textsuperscript{18}</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>23.48</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>5.22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garcia-Ruiz et al. (2014)\textsuperscript{19}</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>39.1</td>
<td>3.86</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6.87</td>
<td>2.58</td>
<td>15.88</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perez-Lloret et al. (2012)\textsuperscript{20}</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>De Chazeron et al. (2011)\textsuperscript{21}</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>2.61</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poletti et al. (2013)\textsuperscript{22}</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>805</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joutsa et al. (2012)\textsuperscript{23}</td>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>575</td>
<td>34.8</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Callesen et al. (2013)\textsuperscript{24}</td>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>490</td>
<td>35.9**</td>
<td>(14.9)***</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fan et al. (2009)\textsuperscript{25}</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>3.53</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhang et al. (2017)\textsuperscript{26}</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>11.27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auyeung et al. (2011)\textsuperscript{27}</td>
<td>China (Hong Kong)</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>3.76</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chiang et al. (2011)\textsuperscript{28}</td>
<td>Taiwan</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valença et al. (2013)\textsuperscript{29}</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramírez-Gómez et al. (2017)\textsuperscript{30}</td>
<td>Argentina, Colombia, Ecuador</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>27.45</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>3.92</td>
<td>12.94</td>
<td>6.27</td>
<td>6.27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isaís-Millán et al. (2016)\textsuperscript{31}</td>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rodríguez-Violante et al. (2014)\textsuperscript{32}</td>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ICD: Impulse control disorder; PD: Parkinson’s disease; GD: gambling disorder; HS: hypersexuality; CB: compulsive buying disorder; CE: compulsive binge eating disorder; DDS: dopamine dysregulation syndrome; *Two-year observational period: study visits: baseline, year 1, year 2; **Life time prevalence; ***Current prevalence.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Total PD patients</th>
<th>ICD screening instrument</th>
<th>Total PD patients (% ICD)</th>
<th>DA LEDD mean (SD) mg-day</th>
<th>DA LEDD mean (SD) mg-day</th>
<th>Male sex (%)</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vargas AP and Cardoso FE. Impulse control Parkinson</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Table 2. Overview of studies on ICD in PD patients.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weintraub et al. (2010)</td>
<td>United States and Canada</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>MIDI</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>210 (99-300)*</td>
<td>5 (2-11)</td>
<td>56.8 (5.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vela et al. (2016)</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>QUIP</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>73.8 (42.2)</td>
<td>202 (83.3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antonini et al. (2011)</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>MIDI</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>108 (83.4)</td>
<td>66 (8.3)</td>
<td>72.5 (9.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antonini et al. (2017)</td>
<td>South Korea and China</td>
<td>1,069</td>
<td>MIDI and QUIP</td>
<td>1,069</td>
<td>73.8 (42.2)</td>
<td>202 (83.3)</td>
<td>72.5 (9.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vela et al. (2016)</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>QUIP</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>7 (1)</td>
<td>67 (1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee et al. (2010)</td>
<td>South Korea and China</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>MIDI</td>
<td>1,167</td>
<td>73.8 (42.2)</td>
<td>202 (83.3)</td>
<td>72.5 (9.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garcia-Ruiz et al. (2014)</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>QUIP</td>
<td>710</td>
<td>72.5 (9.5)</td>
<td>67 (1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poletti et al. (2013)</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>805</td>
<td>QUIP</td>
<td>856</td>
<td>72.5 (9.5)</td>
<td>67 (1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joutsa et al. (2012)</td>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>575</td>
<td>QUIP</td>
<td>561</td>
<td>564 (392.2)</td>
<td>160 (105-210)</td>
<td>6.6 (2.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Callesen et al. (2013)</td>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>940</td>
<td>SOGS, Lejoyeux's</td>
<td>558</td>
<td>554 (392.2)</td>
<td>114 (86)</td>
<td>6.8 (2.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fan et al. (2009)</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>Compulsive Shopping</td>
<td>558</td>
<td>554 (392.2)</td>
<td>114 (86)</td>
<td>6.8 (2.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>DSM IV criteria</td>
<td>558</td>
<td>554 (392.2)</td>
<td>114 (86)</td>
<td>6.8 (2.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Inclusion criteria require treatment with a PD medication for at least 1 year.**

**PD duration mean (SD) years:**

- Male sex: %

- Remarks: Untreated PD patient.
Risk factors

Factors associated with the development of ICDs among patients with PD include: early onset of motor symptoms, novelty-seeking personality trait, being unmarried, personal or family history of alcohol or recreational drug abuse, smoking, depression, mania, anxiety, obsessive-compulsive symptoms, REM sleep behavior disorder and personal or family history of ICD14,15,16,17,29,30,33,34. Some studies have reported associations with the use of monoamine oxidase B inhibitors19, catechol-O-methyltransferase inhibitors20,22,33 and amantadine14. Associations with cognition, intensity of motor symptoms, laterality, fluctuations, tremor or the akinetic rigid syndrome were not identified, suggesting that these specific characteristics of PD do not contribute to the development of ICDs19,33.

Impulse control disorders are more common among patients treated with DAs16,17,19. Many studies suggest that ICDs are associated with the use of high doses of these agents11,14,16. As a rule, symptoms appear after the onset of medication or soon after dose adjustments19. A mean latency of 23 months after the onset of dopaminergic medication has been described36. The ICD may occur in the presence of stable doses or a long time after the onset of treatment. Prolonged treatment of six or more years was described as an independent risk factor for the appearance of ICDs among patients with PD in one study37 but not in others19.

The frequency of ICDs tends to be increased among patients subjected to DA monotherapy compared with levodopa20. The use of a DA as an adjuvant therapy seems to further increase the odds for developing an ICD16,44. In early-onset PD patients, treatment with DAs increased the risk for ICDs seven-fold11.

The association of ICDs and the use of DAs exhibits more of a class effect14. The ICDs were associated with use of pramipexole, pergolide, ropinirole, bromocriptine, apomorphine, rotigotine and piribedil14,16,19,24,25,26,27,30,35. The frequency of ICDs was similar for pramipexole and ropinirole in the DOMINION study (17.7% versus 15.5%)14. No difference in ICD development was noted between patients treated with immediate- or extended-release oral DAs19.

Recent studies suggest that the increased rate of ICDs among patients treated with oral versus transdermal DAs is associated with the medication formulation and route of administration15,19,38. Consistently increased plasma levels and delivery through a route that avoids erratic gastric emptying and other abnormalities of gastrointestinal motility associated with PD may account for the relatively low risk of ICDs found with transdermal rotigotine delivery.

An association of ICDs with the use of levodopa was not found16; however, a relationship with high doses of this drug has been described14. Concomitant use of levodopa increases the odds of developing ICDs by 50% among patients who use DAs14.

Efforts to identify the contribution of each factor for a specific ICD in PD showed that drug-induced mania, the use of DAs, early-onset of motor symptoms, severe depression and
anxiety are risk factors for the development of gambling disorder\(^3\). Male sex, psychotic symptoms, dyskinesias and early onset of motor symptoms are associated with hypersexuality\(^{11,17,36,39}\). Female sex and obesity are correlated with binge eating\(^{41,14}\). Obesity, female sex and dyskinesia showed a relationship with compulsive buying\(^{20}\). Multiple ICDs tend to occur more frequently among younger PD patients and patients with intense dyskinesia or with previous or current alcoholism\(^{31}\).

**Neuropsychological aspects**

Patients with PD and ICD tend to be described as shy with poor social skills, low self-esteem, beliefs based on a more negative perception of the disease, dysfunctional coping and paranoid ideation\(^{29}\).

Some studies reported poorer performance in tasks that assess the executive functions of the frontal lobes\(^{38}\). The most common abnormalities are seen in the go/no-go task and the Trail Making test, which assess the cortical areas more vulnerable to the influence of dopamine levels, such as the ventromedial and orbitofrontal cortices, and more susceptible to the effects of dopaminergic medications\(^{30,41}\). However, other studies have found that patients with ICDs perform better in tasks related to attention, verbal and semantic fluency\(^{41}\).

A common characteristic of the cognitive dysfunctions associated with ICDs among patients with PD is that they involve abnormalities related to cognitive flexibility, reversal learning and reinforcement, all of which are related to the frontal cortex and ventral striatum\(^{42}\). Patients with PD and ICDs exhibit a preference for more immediate rewards and a tendency to undervalue delayed rewards\(^{42}\). One study found that behavioral inhibition was almost absent among patients with ICD, with reductions in negative and increases in positive feedback learning\(^{43}\). Small rewards induced risk-taking behaviors. Stimulation by means of dopamine replacement therapy may counterbalance the normal effects of negative errors of prediction. The response persists despite punishment. Patients with PD and ICD seem unable to correctly predict the usefulness of inhibitory responses, especially when correct inhibition of a stimulus is not rewarded.

**Genetics and epigenetics**

The presence of ICDs in some patients with PD who use small doses of dopaminergic drugs suggests that a genetic predisposition may play a relevant role in the development of this condition\(^{10}\). Impulse control disorders have been reported in some types of hereditary PD (PINK1 and parkin) in which symptoms tend to be more intense and appear earlier\(^{44}\). Although the frequency of patients with at least one ICD is comparable between parkin-PD and nonmutated PD, a higher frequency of compulsive buying and binge eating is found in the parkin-PD group\(^{10}\).

Gene polymorphisms are involved in the susceptibility to ICDs\(^{10}\). Polymorphisms related to the dopamine and serotonin metabolism may predict the effect of levodopa in ICDs, including variants related to dopamine receptors DRD1, DRD2, DRD3 and DRD4; the kappa opioid receptor; HTR2A serotonin receptor; DOPA decarboxylase; NMDA-GRIN2B receptor and catechol-O-methyltransferase\(^{10,45}\). These polymorphisms are also associated with substance dependence disorders and ICDs in the overall population\(^{3,10}\).

In a study of 276 patients with PD, the hereditability of ICDs was estimated to be 57%\(^{35}\). This finding is comparable to previous estimations on hereditability for substance addiction and gambling disorder in the general population\(^{3,10,45}\). Genotypes from 13 candidate variants allowed improved predictability of ICDs compared with predictions based on clinical endpoints\(^{45}\). The combination of a genetic panel (OPRK1, HTR2A, and DDC) and clinical variables (age, gender, duration of follow-up, dopamine replacement therapy) further increased the accuracy of the model\(^{45}\).

Epigenetic mechanisms common to dyskinesia and ICDs have been suggested, such as the accumulation of transcription regulation factor delta-FosB\(^{30}\). The resulting dysfunction is associated with structural changes in synapses and neuronal spines, leading to abnormal plasticity. Pramipexole activates delta-FosB expression in the ventral striatum even after lesions in the dopaminergic system. Natural gratifications, such as those afforded by food or sex, increase delta-FosB expression in the nucleus accumbens, striatum, prefrontal cortex and ventral tegmental area. Elevated delta-FosB expression following chronic use of dopaminergic medication may contribute to the development of ICDs by increasing individual reward-driven motivation\(^{39}\).

**Pathophysiology**

An ICD likely arises from complex interactions between the medications used and predisposing pharmacological and nonpharmacological factors\(^{10}\). An underlying hyperdopaminergic state was suggested as the cause of ICDs among patients with PD (Figure). The anatomical substrate seems to be similar to the one for chemical dependency, which is related to abnormalities in the reward system involving the ventral striatal dopaminergic pathways\(^{10}\). Increased release of endogenous dopamine and potentially reduced density of D2/D3 receptors are characteristics of ICDs among patients with PD. Dopaminergic treatment may cause hyperstimulation of a relatively preserved ventral striatum, resulting in a lack of control of the reward perception system. In addition, through its connections with the nucleus accumbens, the amygdala and a hyperactive ventromedial subthalamic nucleus may induce symptoms of ICD among patients with PD\(^{35}\).

Repeated administration of levodopa to patients with PD increases the firing rate of dopaminergic neurons. Although levodopa increases the activity of dopaminergic neurons, dopamine release also limits the system’s ability to respond to new pharmacological stimuli\(^{10}\). Repeated administration of DAs followed by a period of abstinence increases the proportion of firing neurons in the ventral tegmental area via...
a method analogous to the effect of repeated administration of levodopa on the substantia nigra. The system thus begins to exhibit an increased firing rate of dopaminergic neurons (overdose hypothesis)\textsuperscript{10}.

The preferential abnormal activation of D2 and D3 dopamine receptors by DAs increases the activity of the ventral striatum during reward anticipation but reduces the interaction with the prefrontal cortex, thereby decreasing the processing of reward value and hindering reinforcement for perceptions of negative effects\textsuperscript{10,35}. Continuous stimulation of the hippocampus makes individuals persevere in impulsive behaviors.

Joint stimulation of serotonergic receptors by DAs may affect the impulse control system\textsuperscript{10,35}. Low serotonin levels increase the neuronal activity associated with negative outcomes in the dorsolateral cortex, whereas high levels decrease such activity. Dopaminergic and serotonergic dysfunctions, related to a multipolymorphism profile comprised of genes implicated in monoaminergic, glutamatergic and opioid signaling pathways, may explain the inability of patients with ICD to wait for gratification, thus contributing to dysfunctional behaviors\textsuperscript{10,35,45}.

**Treatment**

Patients and their relatives should be informed about the possibility of ICDs when levodopa or DAs are prescribed\textsuperscript{46}. Clinicians should proactively investigate symptoms of impulsivity at each medical visit. For patients with a history of alcoholism, substance dependence or previous ICD, levodopa monotherapy may represent the most appropriate initial treatment regardless of the patient’s age. Behavioral changes, such as an increasing tendency towards insomnia, not eating meals at the usual time, forgetting to take medication and missing days at work, should serve as warnings for ICDs\textsuperscript{46}.

Treatment should be individualized based on the intensity of symptoms, the patient’s neuropsychiatric profile, social support and motor symptoms\textsuperscript{46}. Even after attaining remission, patients should be closely monitored because relapse may occur\textsuperscript{20}. However, many patients rate their
behavior as nondeleterious. In addition, the degree of distress in patients and their relatives should be taken into account. Divergence of opinions among patients, their relatives and caregivers may represent a determinant for a more ethical therapeutic option\(^{17,46}\).

There is a remarkable paucity of high-quality evidence available to guide the management of ICDs in PD\(^{46}\). Indeed, there are few controlled clinical trials and the evidence is largely based on small-sized, low-quality studies. Recognizing the dominant role of the DA in inducing or triggering ICDs, management of the DA by dose reduction, discontinuation or replacement is the first therapeutic imperative\(^{46}\). Dose reduction should be based on the severity of symptoms, patient’s response and tolerability. When symptoms are sufficiently intense, the dose of the DA should be immediately reduced. If the patient does not rapidly improve over the following two weeks, the medication should be discontinued\(^{17,46}\). Reduction of the levodopa dose may be necessary.

However, patients with an ICD are often reluctant to decrease or discontinue the medication due to the reappearance of motor symptoms and occurrence of abstinence syndrome\(^{46}\). One study found that 19% of patients with an ICD developed abstinence syndrome following discontinuation of their DA\(^{46}\).

Deep-brain stimulation of subthalamic nuclei (STN-DBS) for treatment of ICDs is debatable\(^{46}\). The STN-DBS induces impulsive behaviors in some patients. However, discontinuation or reduction of the dose of DAs after STN-DBS resulted in remission of ICDs\(^{46}\). The appearance of impulsive disorders after surgery is correlated with younger age and borderline, schizoid or schizotypal personality traits. Hypersexuality and gambling disorder respond better to STN-DBS in contrast to compulsive buying and multiple ICDs\(^{46}\).

According to some suggestions, topiramate, zonisamide and opioid antagonists (nalmefene, naltrexone) may be effective for the treatment of gambling disorder among patients with PD\(^{17}\). The use of antipsychotics for patients with PD and ICD is controversial\(^{17,46}\). Quetiapine and clozapine are effective for the treatment of patients who need antipsychotics, with the advantage of not causing deleterious effects on the motor function. Some case reports suggest that clozapine may be used for hypersexuality\(^{46}\).

Although described as a risk factor for ICDs among patients with PD\(^{14,49}\), one study suggested that amantadine may be used for the treatment of gambling disorder\(^{46}\).

Cognitive behavioral therapy may be indicated for the treatment of ICD symptoms among patients with PD\(^{51}\). The focus of intervention is on adjustment issues related to the acceptance of this chronic disease. Additional participation in support groups may provide patients an opportunity to discuss and explore the personal history behind their behaviors and attenuate psychological and emotional problems. Ethical and religious support may be helpful. When discontinuation of DAs fails to provide relief for ICDs, patients and their relatives may find support in fellowships, such as “Gamblers Anonymous”\(^{17,51}\). Useful advice by clinical practitioners to patients and their relatives includes practices to avoid exposure to the temptation to gamble, such as installation of firewalls against Internet gambling pop-ups. Rigorous control of financial management by relatives is mandatory in many cases.

**Prognosis**

A few studies have analyzed the factors related to the prognosis of ICDs in patients with PD\(^{41,52}\). In one prospective cohort study, 40% of patients had prolonged remission\(^{41}\). This outcome was associated with better working memory and increased use of atypical antipsychotics and antidepressants. Gambling disorders had a better prognosis compared with other types of ICDs. High doses of DAs and male sex were associated with ICD persistence\(^{52,53}\).

**PUNDING**

Punding is a stereotyped motor behavior characterized by a strong fascination for excessively and repetitively collecting, organizing, disorganizing, examining and handling objects\(^{44}\). These behaviors are irresistible and may be simple or involve more complex actions associated with specific activities or hobbies, as in hobbyism\(^{54}\). Hoarding and walkabouts may be considered behavioral variants within the punding spectrum. Patients report their motivation to perform the stereotyped actions involves fascination and curiosity, even though the targeted activity may be purposeless. These behaviors are similar to the behaviors that occur in obsessive-compulsive disorder, although they are ego-syntonic, more idiosyncratic and less stressful\(^{54}\). Any interruption or interference makes the patient irritated, anxious and frustrated. Patients are aware of the inadequate nature of their actions but are unable to stop them. These behaviors interfere with medication intake, eating and sleeping, leading to social withdrawal and disintegration of family relationships. Sleep disorders, ICD and dopamine dysregulation syndrome (DDS) are commonly associated with punding. A correlation exists between the punding severity and dyskinesia\(^{54}\).

Diagnosis may be difficult because patients typically do not perceive their behaviors as problematic\(^{44,55}\). The prevalence of punding varies among different studies due to disparities in the assessed population, dose of dopaminergic medication, study methods and diagnostic criteria. Punding is estimated to affect 0.34% to 14% of patients with PD\(^{44}\). The prevalence of punding and related behaviors, such as hobbyism and walkabout, seems to be stable over time\(^{15}\).

Factors associated with punding and hobbyism include impulsivity, low disease-related quality of life, younger age at onset of PD, male sex, insomnia, smoking, substance abuse, anxiety, psychotic symptoms, collectionism, lascivious...
behavior and dyskinesia\textsuperscript{46,59}. Punding and related behaviors are more severe among patients with juvenile PD due to mutation in the parkin gene\textsuperscript{44}.

Numerous studies have reported a relationship between punding and a high dose and long duration of treatment with levodopa and DAs\textsuperscript{36,43,55}. A lack of dopaminergic terminals and intermittent levodopa administration results in abnormal dopamine release and hyperactivity of the ventral and dorsal striatal circuits, especially in D1 receptors\textsuperscript{10,54,56}. The imbalance represented by increased connectivity in the striatum and reduced connectivity with the prefrontal cortical regions involved in the control of executive functions and directed attention may explain the purposeless repetitive behavior that occurs in punding\textsuperscript{55,56}.

There is insufficient evidence for the efficacy of any treatment for the treatment of punding in PD. Symptoms may improve following reduction of the dose or discontinuation of levodopa or DAs\textsuperscript{55}. Caution is required to achieve a careful balance between punding control and worsening of motor symptoms. Atypical antipsychotics, such as quetiapine and clozapine, may potentially improve symptoms. Repetitive, low frequency transcranial magnetic stimulation with inhibition of the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex transiently reduced punding behavior in a manner similar to that for patients with levodopa-induced diskinesia\textsuperscript{67}.

**DOPAMINE DYSREGULATION SYNDROME**

Dopamine dysregulation syndrome involves the abuse of dopaminergic drugs, particularly levodopa and apomorphine\textsuperscript{58,59}. The syndrome meets the diagnostic criteria for substance dependence: compulsive and persistent use of medication in doses above the therapeutic needs, intoxication symptoms similar to those induced by cocaine and methamphetamine, and abstinence syndrome following reduction or discontinuation of the medication. Patients report a strong need to use levodopa, which gives a feeling of pleasure. Therefore, the medications are used to avoid the symptoms related to the ill feeling that appears in the off period. Patients exhibit intense and disabling, albeit well-tolerated dyskinesia, mania, euphoria, irritability, psychomotor agitation, excitability, low tolerance to frustration, auditory hallucinations and paranoia\textsuperscript{58}. Abrupt mood changes, dysphoria, depression, anxiety and irritability are common occurrences on each attempt to reduce the dose of the dopaminergic medication\textsuperscript{46,59}. The prevalence of DDS varies from 0.6\% to 7.7\%\textsuperscript{59}. No difference according to sex is noted.

Risk factors include: younger age at onset of PD symptoms, use of large amounts of dopaminergic drugs, long duration of antiparkinson treatment, previous history of alcoholism or drug abuse, previous history of mood disorders, family history of PD and impulsive personality\textsuperscript{46,59}. Repeated wearing off experiences at the end of the levodopa effect and coexistence of chronic depression increase the feeling of gratification afforded by levodopa to the point that the search for dopaminergic drugs becomes compulsive.

The pathophysiology of DDS is associated with sensitization of dopaminergic transmission in the ventral striatum, resulting in the feeling of craving. Functional imaging tests have shown evidence of a large dopamine release in the ventral striatum following dopamine administration among patients with DDS\textsuperscript{58,59}.

Most evidence reported on the management of ICDs comes from empirical data with limited clinical trials to identify effective treatment. The management of DDS is often unsatisfactory\textsuperscript{58}. Reduction of medication typically improves DDS, but relapse is frequent. Therapeutic optimization requires active monitoring by the patient’s relatives and doctors. Reduction of the levodopa dose has been associated with resolution of DDS in less than 10\% of patients\textsuperscript{58}. Disabling dyskinesia and off period dysphoria with distress symptoms may respond to continuous dopaminergic stimulation by means of subcutaneous apomorphine infusion. Low dose clozapine and quetiapine over short periods of time may help in selected cases, especially when accompanied by psychosis or mania\textsuperscript{58}. Antidepressants, lithium and psychotherapy are typically ineffective. Intestinal levodopa infusion and STN-DBS may improve DDS symptoms in some patients\textsuperscript{58,60}. Prolonged remission has been associated with the use of clozapine, duodenal levodopa infusion and STN-DBS, and less associated with apomorphine administered through an infusion pump\textsuperscript{58}.
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