Amplitude-integrated electroencephalography for neonatal seizure detection. An electrophysiological point of view

Electroencefalograma integrado por amplitud para la detección de convulsiones neonatales. Un punto de vista electrofisiológico
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The neonatal period has the greatest incidence of seizures in life, with 1.8-3.5 per 1,000 live births1. Seizures in the newborn are associated with high morbidity and mortality, which make their detection and treatment critical. Seizure activity in neonates is often clinically obscured, such that detection of seizures is particularly challenging. Amplitude-integrated EEG is a technique for simplified EEG monitoring that has found an increasing clinical application in neonatal intensive care. Its main value lies in the relative simplicity of interpretation, allowing nonspecialist members of the care team to engage in real-time detection of electrographic seizures. Nevertheless, to avoiding misdiagnosing rhythmic artifacts as seizures, it is necessary to recognize the electrophysiological ictal pattern in the conventional EEG trace available in current devices. The aim of this paper is to discuss the electrophysiological basis of the differentiation of epileptic seizures and extracranial artifacts to avoid misdiagnosis with amplitude-integrated EEG devices.
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RESUMO

Las convulsiones neonatales están asociadas a una alta morbi-mortalidad por lo que su correcto diagnóstico y tratamiento es fundamental. Las convulsiones en los recién nacidos son frecuentemente subclínicas lo que hace que su detección sea dificultosa. La electroencefalografía integrada por amplitud es una técnica de monitoreo electroencefalográfico simplificado que ha encontrado una creciente aplicación clínica en las unidades de terapia intensiva neonatales. Su principal ventaja es la relativa simplicidad de su interpretación lo que permite a personal no especializado del equipo neonatal diagnosticar convulsiones electrográficas en tiempo real. Sin embargo, para evitar diagnosticar erróneamente artefactos rítmicos como crisis epilépticas es necesario reconocer los patrones electrofisiológicos ictales en el EEG convencional disponible en los dispositivos actuales. El objetivo de este artículo es describir las bases electrofisiológicas para la diferenciación de convulsiones neonatales y artefactos extracraneanos para evitar errores diagnósticos con el uso de EEG integrado por amplitud.
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ABSTRACT

Seizures in the newborn are associated with high morbidity and mortality, making their detection and treatment critical. Seizure activity in neonates is often clinically obscured, such that detection of seizures is particularly challenging. Amplitude-integrated EEG is a technique for simplified EEG monitoring that has found an increasing clinical application in neonatal intensive care. Its main value lies in the relative simplicity of interpretation, allowing nonspecialist members of the care team to engage in real-time detection of electrographic seizures. Nevertheless, to avoid misdiagnosing rhythmic artifacts as seizures, it is necessary to recognize the electrophysiological ictal pattern in the conventional EEG trace available in current devices. The aim of this paper is to discuss the electrophysiological basis of the differentiation of epileptic seizures and extracranial artifacts to avoid misdiagnosis with amplitude-integrated EEG devices.
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The neonatal period has the greatest incidence of seizures in life, with 1.8-3.5 per 1,000 live births1. Seizures in the newborn are associated with high morbidity and mortality, which make their detection and treatment essential2,3. Seizure activity in neonates is often difficult to observe, making the detection of seizures particularly challenging. Clinical observation alone can lead to underdiagnosis of neonatal seizures, as nearly 80% of seizures can be occult1. It is for these reasons that effective methods for seizure detection are of fundamental importance in neonatal care.

Electrophysiological brain activity, as measured by electroencephalography (EEG), is well established as a tool for providing information regarding the functional and metabolic state of the brain and the occurrence of epileptic seizure episodes5. In neonatal care, EEG has been used extensively for estimation of the degree of cerebral maturation in preterm infants and for detection of abnormal patterns indicating focal and global cerebral lesions6,7,8. In the neonatal setting, as well as in intensive care in general, the EEG is most often recorded for a patient intermittently, at
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best serially and, on rare occasions only, continuously. A limiting disadvantage with intermittent conventional EEG during neonatal care is the difficulty in discriminating emerging trends of development of the electrocerebral activity over hours and days. This interpretation, if possible at all, requires specialized skills not usually available in the neonatal intensive care unit (NICU). Thus, the main disadvantage with intermittent conventional EEG during neonatal care is the inability to diagnose seizures when they occur.

Amplitude-integrated EEG (aEEG) is a technique for simplified EEG monitoring that has found an increasing clinical application in neonatal intensive care. Its main value lies in allowing real-time detection of electrographic seizures, providing the opportunity for treatment at the time they occur, and not requiring specialized staff for their interpretation.

Several studies have evaluated the sensitivity and specificity of aEEG for the diagnosis of neonatal seizures with variable results. However, these studies have not addressed the importance of electrophysiological interpretation of aEEG findings.

One advantage of aEEG over conventional EEG is that the former does not require specialized staff for its interpretation. Nevertheless, for its correct interpretation, and to avoid misdiagnosing rhythmic artifacts as seizures, it is necessary for members of the care team to recognize the electrophysiological ictal patterns in the conventional EEG trace available in current devices. Many false positives in the aEEG pattern can be easily recognized when reviewing the raw trace.

Despite the importance of the recognition of these patterns during the use of aEEG, electrophysiological diagnosis of seizures is seldom emphasized. Previous papers have not explored the electrophysiological basis of seizure detection using current aEEG devices. The aim of this paper is to discuss the electrophysiological basis of the differentiation of epileptic seizures and extracranial artifacts to avoid misdiagnosis with aEEG devices.

**ELECTROCLINICAL ASPECTS OF SEIZURES IN THE NEONATAL PERIOD**

The electrographic and clinical characteristics of seizures in the neonate are unique compared with older children and adults. In the neonate, electrographic seizure patterns vary widely, electrical seizure activity does not accompany all behaviors considered to be seizures, and electrographic seizures frequently occur without evident clinical seizures.

From the perspective of the neonatal EEG, neonatal seizures can be classified according to the temporal relationship between the electrical event and the clinical event:

- Electroclinical seizures (a clinical seizure with ictal electrographic correlation), clinical-only seizures (clinical seizure without concurrent electrographic correlate), and electrographic seizures (ictal electrographic abnormalities without concurrent clinical seizures).

Electroclinical seizures are characterized by a temporal overlap between clinical seizures and electrical seizure activity on the EEG. In many instances, the electrical seizure and clinical events are closely associated, with the onset and termination of both events coinciding.

Focal clonic, focal tonic, and some myoclonic seizures and spasms are associated with electrical seizure activity. These are epileptic in origin.

Electrographic seizures (electrical seizure activity with no clinical accompaniment) are very frequent in the newborn. Typically, no behavioral changes are associated with seizure discharges. One frequent cause of electrographic seizures is the use of antiepileptic drugs. The antiepileptic drugs may suppress the clinical component of the electroclinical seizure but not the electrical component, thus the clinical seizure may be controlled but electrical seizure activity may persist. However, electrographic seizures are frequent in the neonatal period even without antiepileptic treatment. These seizures will be undiagnosed without the use of EEG monitoring.

Some types of clinical seizures have no specific relation to electrical seizure activity. Those that occur in the absence of any electrical seizure activity include generalized tonic, motor automatisms, and some myoclonic seizures. These clinical events are initiated and elaborated by nonepileptic mechanisms. When these nonepileptic clinical episodes are erroneously diagnosed as epileptic seizures, there is a risk of unnecessarily treating newborns with antiepileptic drugs that are not required.

**AMPLITUDE-INTEGRATED ELECTROENCEPHALOGRAPHY**

**General information**

Amplitude-integrated EEG was first designed by Maynard as the cerebral function monitor in the 1960s and originally applied in adult intensive care by Prior. The method was first used in newborn babies in the late 1970s and early 1980s.

This method is based on a time-compressed (usually 6 cm/hour) semi-logarithmic (linear 0–10 mV, logarithmic 10–100 mV) display of the peak-to-peak amplitude values of EEG passed through an asymmetrical bandpass filter that strongly enhances higher over lower frequencies, with suppression of activity below 2 Hz and above 15 Hz. This approach minimizes artifacts from sources such as sweating, movement, muscle activity, and interference but may obscure some seizures. The current devices consist mainly of...
two independent channels of aEEG and their corresponding two-channel conventional EEGs available on the screen for simultaneous viewing.

The EEG trace of the neonate is characterized by intermittent bursts of high amplitude, intermixed with lower amplitude continuous activity. The bandwidth of the aEEG curve reflects variations in minimum and maximum EEG amplitude. The semi-logarithmic display enhances identification of changes in the low voltage range and avoids overloading the display at high amplitudes. The aEEG technique extracts the cerebral activity and transforms it into five basal activity patterns (interictal patterns) and one ictal (seizures) pattern. The background pattern is defined as continuous (normal pattern with sleep-wake cycle), discontinuous (moderate abnormal in full-term newborns), burst suppression (pathologic or drug induced), low voltage and flat/isolectric (Figure 1 A-D). This system is applicable to newborns of all ages and diagnoses. An ictal pattern (seizures) is usually seen as an abrupt increase of maximal and minimal aEEG amplitude, seldom only the minimal amplitude, often followed by a transient postictal amplitude depression (Figure 1 E). The aEEG devices show the conventional EEG trace simultaneously with the aEEG trace to confirm the aEEG findings.

The discovery that information displayed by the aEEG paradigm can predict outcome after perinatal asphyxia has attracted medical attention and been the subject of numerous publications each year. Currently, aEEG is being used as an inclusion criterion in studies of therapeutic hypothermia. However, an often-ignored value of aEEG is its utility for real-time detection of seizures.

There is controversy regarding the sensitivity and specificity of aEEG for electrographic detection of seizures, especially in comparison with conventional EEG. But even with less sensitivity and specificity, the aEEG has numerous advantages: it allows for continuous monitoring over long periods of time; the simplicity of its interpretation does not require extensive training; and its use permits immediate detection and treatment of seizures.

Although aEEG is easily interpreted by personnel who have not trained in electrophysiology, familiarity with some relatively simple electrophysiological characteristics is necessary for the correct diagnosis of seizures.

The electrophysiological diagnosis of epileptic seizures and its differentiation with extracranial artifacts

It is a challenge for all involved in neonatal care to accurately diagnose neonatal epileptic seizures, as nearly 80% of them are subclinical. The use of EEG monitoring permits detection of seizures, but there are many artifacts visible in both EEG and aEEG traces that can be misdiagnosed as seizures. Differentiating a nonepileptic rhythmic pattern from a seizure in the aEEG trace can also be challenging because both have a similar appearance: a sudden rise in minimal and maximal amplitude. To differentiate between them, it is necessary to review the conventional EEG tracing and to know the electrophysiological characteristics of epileptic seizures.

The hallmark of an electrographic seizure, visible in conventional EEG, is the sudden appearance of repetitive discharge events consisting of a definite beginning, middle and end, that evolve in frequency, morphological appearance and amplitude (Figure 2).

This pattern should be always reviewed in the conventional EEG trace available in current devices (Figure 3). Infrequently, the ictal pattern does not include evolution and instead manifests as regular repetitive spikes or regular rhythmic slowing.

The ictal pattern recorded from the scalp at the start of the seizure can take different forms. The predominant frequency in a given seizure can be in the delta (0–3 Hz), theta (4–7 Hz), alpha (8–13 Hz) or beta (14–30 Hz) frequencies, or be a mixture of these, with different morphology: spikes, sharp waves, slow waves, spike and waves, electrodecremental activity (a diffuse flattening of brain rhythms), then evolving in frequency, amplitude and/or morphology. The voltages of the activity may also vary, from extremely low (usually when faster frequencies are present) to very high (commonly seen when slow frequencies are present) and evolve within the seizure.

The evolving pattern helps us to distinguish electrographic seizures from the wide range of nonepileptic paroxysmal behavior that may occur in healthy or sick infants, especially from extracerebral artifacts produced by the neonate or neonatal care and procedures. Some of these extracerebral artifacts are rhythmic, so they may be confused with ictal activity. However, these artifacts are typically monomorphic and do not evolve in frequency, morphological appearance or amplitude (Figure 4).

Other characteristics that help to differentiate between a seizure and a rhythmic artifact are the electric field and the spread of the seizure to other areas of the brain, both visible only in the case of epileptic seizures (Figure 1). The cerebral activity should have an electric field: it should be reflected in physically-adjacent electrodes with less amplitude with respect to the electrode closest to the foci, and perhaps in synaptically-linked regions such as the contralateral hemisphere. The electric field will not be visible in current aEEG devices because it has only two independent (not chained) bipolar EEG channels, and the spread of the seizure will be evidenced only in the case of propagation to the contralateral hemisphere, where it will be recorded by the other (contralateral) EEG channel. However, the observation of the evolving pattern is sufficient to ensure the diagnosis of epileptic seizures in most cases.
Figure 1. (A) Continuous background pattern, with prominent sleep–wake cycle: upper–margin voltage is > 10 mV and lower margin voltage is > 5 mV (arrow). The sleep–wake cycle is characterized by smooth cyclic variations, mainly of minimum amplitude, with periods of broader bandwidth that represent quiet sleep (circle) and periods of narrow bandwidth that correspond to wakefulness or active sleep (arrowhead). (B) Discontinuous background pattern: upper margin is > 10 mV and lower margin is < 5 mV. The sleep–wake cycle is not present. (C) Burst suppression pattern: upper and lower margin voltages are < 10 mV and < 5 mV respectively reflecting voltage suppression, vertical lines (arrow) show periods of burst. Conventional EEG row shows a brief burst of cerebral activity (circle) between periods of voltage suppression. (D) Isoelectric or flat tracing; both margins are < 5 mV and prominent spikes are likely due to patient movement. (E) Ictal pattern: seizures are shown as a sudden rise in lower and upper margin (circle).
The role of aEEG in the neonatal intensive care unit

The rationale for detecting electrographic seizures rests on the assumption that detection and treatment will ultimately lead to improvement. It is currently unknown whether electrographic seizures independently damage the brain or whether they are mere biomarkers of an underlying brain injury, but evidence from animal studies suggests that seizures may alter brain development and lead to long-term deficits in learning, memory, and behavior. A growing body of literature demonstrates that the electrographic seizure burden is independently associated with worse outcomes, and suggests that electrographic seizures independently contribute to brain damage. It is well known that a significant association exists between seizure duration and severity of brain injury found on cerebral magnetic resonance images in newborns with hypoxic-ischemic encephalopathy.

If we assume that electrographic seizures are damaging the brain and anti-epileptic drugs stop seizures and improve outcomes, then real-time electrographic seizure detection is critical.

The aEEG performance depends on the degree of expertise and familiarity with aEEG reading, but evidence from animal studies suggests that seizures may alter brain development and lead to long-term deficits in learning, memory, and behavior. A growing body of literature demonstrates that the electrographic seizure burden is independently associated with worse outcomes, and suggests that electrographic seizures independently contribute to brain damage. It is well known that a significant association exists between seizure duration and severity of brain injury found on cerebral magnetic resonance images in newborns with hypoxic-ischemic encephalopathy.

If we assume that electrographic seizures are damaging the brain and anti-epileptic drugs stop seizures and improve outcomes, then real-time electrographic seizure detection is critical.

The aEEG performance depends on the degree of expertise and familiarity with aEEG reading, but is easier than conventional EEG and allows bedside seizure detection by practitioners with limited training in neurophysiology. This feature makes it the ideal resource for continuous monitoring within the NICU, even above the gold-standard conventional video EEG, which requires highly-specialized personnel, such that it is impractical for continuous monitoring in real time.

More studies are needed comparing both techniques (conventional EEG versus aEEG), strengths and weaknesses, reliability and reproducibility of the aEEG for the diagnosis of neonatal seizures, as well as the improvement of outcome of newborns with the use of aEEG to determine the real impact of its use in the NICU. But there is no doubt that those who use aEEG should know the basic principles of the electrophysiological diagnosis of seizures to optimize the correct diagnosis of these with aEEG.
Figure 3. A suspected seizure in the aEEG (circle in A) is reviewed. Each figure (A–E) shows three hours of aEEG trace in the upper row and the corresponding 15 seconds of conventional EEG in the lower row, both traces available simultaneously in current devices. The vertical line in the aEEG shows the part of the trace displayed in the conventional EEG. A repetitive high amplitude slow wave with a rhythmic pattern is noted in the beginning of the seizure (A). In B, C, D and E the ictal pattern evolves progressively in morphology, frequency and amplitude. F shows the end of the seizure (marked with the arrow in E). The spikes are of lower amplitude and lower frequency and at the end of the seizure, voltage flattening is observed (arrow). This figure shows the epileptic seizure typically evolving in frequency, amplitude and morphology.
BEST PRACTICE IN NEONATAL SEIZURE DETECTION WITH THE USE OF AEEG

For correct interpretation, the whole seizure observed in the aEEG trace must be reviewed in the conventional EEG row to confirm the evolving pattern (Figure 3). Most rhythmic artifacts do not show the typical evolving pattern and are thus easily identifiable in the conventional EEG but easily mistaken in the aEEG (Figure 4). Therefore, while the aEEG is useful to quickly visualize the possible ictal episode; concurrent use of the conventional EEG will allow confirmation of the epileptic or nonepileptic origin of the event.

Reviewing the conventional EEG row for each critical episode observed in the aEEG trace optimizes our recognition of seizures such that we can avoid misdiagnosis of artifacts and erroneous treatment of the nonepileptic neonate with antiepileptic drugs that may have neurotoxic effects56.

CONCLUSION

The main value of aEEG is that it permits real-time recognition of electrographic seizures without the need for interpretation by specialized providers.

The hallmark of an electrographic seizure is the sudden appearance of repetitive discharge events consisting of a definite beginning, middle and end, that evolve in frequency, morphological appearance, and amplitude. Rhythmic extracerebral artifacts are typically monomorphic and do not evolve in frequency, morphological appearance, or amplitude.

For proper interpretation of the aEEG, and to avoid misdiagnosing an artifact as a seizure, it is necessary to recognize the ictal pattern in the conventional EEG tracing.
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