INRODUCTION

Knowing the anatomical arrangement of a nervous root in its extraforaminal path is important in several situations, either resulting from a need to directly access a root, or other structures adjacent to it.

Its relative importance for lumbar spine is even more evident when addressing one of the most common affections of the axial skeleton: disc hernia. Among its possible locations, the end-lateral disc hernia causing compression to the nerve root next to intervertebral foramen is a common variable, however not less considerable.

Although most of the disc hernias may be accessed by a median port, a surgeon must be familiar to paramedian port and to the topography of anatomical structures found in it in order to reduce the risk of inherent iatrogenic injuries when this is required (1). And, in considering this issue, the authors proposed a careful study of the L4 root’s extraforaminal path at intertransversal L4-L5 space.

SUMMARY

End-lateral disc hernias account for 10% of the symptomatic disc hernias, most commonly localized at L3-L4 and L4-L5 levels. For many years, the surgical treatment of foraminal and extraforaminal lumbar hernias was made through median posterior port by hemilaminectomy and total or partial facetectomy. A number of variations to this technique have been proposed in order to avoid facetectomy and its biomechanical effects, which sometimes cause the onset of low lumbar pain as a result of vertebral instability. The surgical treatment of this pathology through paramedian port, between multifidus and longissimus muscles (Wiltse port), has the advantage of sparing the patient from bone losses and of allowing a more oblique view of the neuroforamen.

This port enables, with a minimal L4 root movement, access to L4-L5 disc and its occasional extraforaminal hernias. Our objective is to present a study of the extraforaminal path of L4 root at the intertransversal space L4-L5. For this purpose, 10 cadavers (20 sides) have been dissected for obtaining measurements based on 6 anatomical parameters. Data analysis enables us to conclude that end-lateral disc hernias at L4-L5 level may be accessed with a relative safety through paramedian port.

Keywords: Intervertebral disc displacement; Radiculopathy; Low back pain; Spinal cord; Laminectomy; Sciatic neuropathy.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Anatomic evaluation

For conducting this study, 10 cadavers (20 sides) were used, which were sourced by the São Paulo City Death Examination Service (SVOC) of the University of São Paulo, randomly selected. The extraforaminal path at intertransversal L4-L5 path of the fourth lumbar root was exposed and mapped.

Inclusion criteria:

- a - age above 18 years old (when skeletal maturity is completed);

Exclusion criteria:

- a - bone deformities on dorsal lumbar segment, visible after placing the cadaver at ventral decubitus;
- b - skin scars on lumbar region suggesting previous spinal surgery;
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c - vertebral malformations noticed during dissection;
d - fractures of transverse processes or other relevant bone protuberances revealed during dissection;

Access port
The cadaver was positioned at ventral decubitus. A paramedian longitudinal access port (3cm lateral to median line) approximately 8 cm long, having as a reference point the cadaver’s iliac crest (level L4-L5) with 4 cm proximal and 4 cm caudal to this anatomic parameter. The level was confirmed by means of palpation of the inter-spinous intervals from S1 spinous apophysis (first sacral vertebra). The intertransversal L4-L5 space was addressed through the plane between multifid and longissimus muscles (Wiltse’s port). The muscles and intertransversal membrane at the same level were removed for exposing L4’s root (Figure 1).

Mapping
Measurements were performed using a rule and a millimeter pachymeter (Figure 2). The following parameters were measured and used (Figure 3):
1 - L4’s root length at intertransversal L4-L5 space;
2 - L5 transverse process length;
3 - Distance between L5 transverse process base and the point where L4 root crosses it;
4 - Distance between L4 root proximal emergence and L5 transverse process base.
5 - L4 root depth in its emergence.
6 - L4 root depth when crossing L5 transverse process.

RESULTS
Measurements were assessed and recorded for each dissected root as shown on Table 1. From measurements obtained, we determined the following results for each parameter: maximum value, minimum value, average, median, and standard deviation (Table 2).
Clinical criteria supplied by SVOC have also been recorded.

DISCUSSION
It is estimated that approximately 10% of all symptomatic disc hernias are end-lateral variants. Most commonly located at levels L4-L5 and L3-L4, these affect a patient population within a more advanced age group than posterolateral hernias. As a colorário to its medial-lumbar location or even at higher levels, patients with sensitive changes on thigh’s anterior surface, quadriiceps paresis, femoral nerve’s passive strain test positivism, or abolished patellar reflex must be investigated because of their potential to present with extraforaminal disc hernia. Pain may also be more severe than the one experienced with posterolateral hernia due to its location and compression of the sensitive root ganglion.

Once a discectomy surgery is indicated, we enter in another dilemma: how to address this hernia? There are some surgical access possibilities to extraforaminal hernias, each one with its advantages and disadvantages. For many years, the surgical approach to foraminal and extraforaminal lumbar hernias was made through median access port followed by a total hemilaminectomy at the level addressed. Despite of the good root and hernia visualization achieved with this method, the emergence of low lumbar pain is frequent due to vertebral instability created. Numerous variations were proposed for this technique in order to avoid facetectomy and its biomechanical repercussions. Despite of the attempts, the “iatrogenic lumbalgia” remained as one of the significant concerns on postoperative evolution of those patients. The need to reduce per-operative damages to bone frame and to paravertebral muscles has led to the development of access ports and minimally invasive and less traumatic dissection techniques. The paramedian port, following the plane between multifid and longissimus muscles has the advantage of sparing the patient from bone losses, as in cases of laminotomies and facetectomies, as well as of enabling a more oblique view of the neuroforamen. Its versatility is more notorious at lower levels (L4-L5, L5-S1), where the end-lateral hernia is even less accessible through median port than it is at...
Table 1 – Anatomical Results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Height</th>
<th>Side</th>
<th>1 (mm)</th>
<th>2 (mm)</th>
<th>3 (mm)</th>
<th>4 (mm)</th>
<th>5 (mm)</th>
<th>6 (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>RIGTH</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>LEFT</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>RIGTH</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>LEFT</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>RIGTH</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>LEFT</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>RIGTH</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>LEFT</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>RIGTH</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>LEFT</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Descriptive statistical analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Clinical Parameters</th>
<th>Anatomical Parameters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>Weight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>64.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>48.5</td>
<td>66.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard deviation</td>
<td>16.23</td>
<td>10.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>75.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>36.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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