PROFILE OF PATIENTS WITH OSTEOPOROTIC FRACTURES AT A TERTIARY ORTHOPEDIC TRAUMA CENTER

PERFIL DE PACIENTES COM FRATURAS OSTEOPORÓTICAS NUM CENTRO TERCIÁRIO DE TRAUMA ORTOPÉDICO

Michael Minsu Shu¹, Andre Langes Canhos¹, Guilherme Pereira Ocampos¹, Perola Grimberg Plapler¹, Olavo Pires Camargo², Marcia Uchoa de Rezende¹

1. Osteometabolic Diseases Group, Instituto de Ortopedia e Traumatologia, Hospital das Clinicas HCFMUSP, Faculdade de Medicina, Universidade de São Paulo, SP, Brazil. 2. Department of Orthopedics and Traumatology, Faculdade de Medicina, Universidade de São Paulo, SP, Brazil.

ABSTRACT

Objective: To evaluate the profile of patients with osteoporotic fractures treated at a tertiary orthopedic hospital. Methods: Using questionnaires. 70 patients with osteoporotic fractures (OF) were compared with 50 outpatients with multiple osteoarthritis (OA) followed through an outpatient clinic. Results: The OF group was older (p < 0.001), less heavy (p=0.003), had lower BMI (p=0.006), was more likely to be white (p=0.011), was less likely to be married (p=0.008), and had previous falls, previous fractures, old fractures (>1 year), falls in the last 12 months, fractures due to falls, and needed more assistance (p<0.05). They also had lower Lawton & Brody Instrumental Activities of Daily Living scores (p < 0.05) and reported less lower limb disability, foot pathology, muscle weakness, hypothyroidism, and vitamin D intake than patients in the OA group. White race, previous falls, and previous fractures increase the risk of osteoporotic fractures by 10.5, 11.4, and 4.1 times, respectively. The chance of fracture dropped 29% for each one-unit increase in Lawton & Brody IADL score. Married participants had fewer fractures than participants with other marital status. Conclusion: Together, race, marital status, previous falls, foot pathologies, previous fractures, and IADL scores define the profile of patients with osteoporotic fractures. Level of Evidence III; Case control study.

Keywords: Osteoporotic fractures. Osteoporosis. Epidemiology. Diagnosis. Bone density. Prevalence.

RESUMO

Objetivo: Avaliar o perfil dos pacientes com fraturas osteoporóticas atendidos em hospital de atendimento terciário ortopédico. Métodos: Setenta pacientes com fraturas osteoporóticas (FO) foram comparados a 50 pacientes com acompanhamento ambulatorial de osteoartrite (OA) por meio de guestionários. Resultados: O grupo FO apresentou média de idade maior (p < 0,001), menor peso (p = 0,003), menor IMC (p = 0,006), maior frequência de pacientes brancos (p = 0,011), menor frequência de casados (p = 0,008), mais guedas prévias, fraturas prévias, fratura antiga (> 1 ano), gueda nos últimos 12 meses, fratura por causa da queda e necessitam de mais auxílio (p < 0,05); menor Lawton e Brody AIVD (Atividades instrumentais da vida diária, p < 0,05), reportando menos deficiência de membros inferiores, patologia nos pés, fragueza muscular, hipotireoidismo e consumo de vitamina D do que pacientes do grupo OA. Raca branca, quedas e fraturas prévias aumentam o risco de fraturas osteoporóticas em 10.5. 11.4 e 4.1 vezes respectivamente. A chance de fratura foi reduzida em 29% a cada aumento de uma unidade no Lawton e Brody AIVD. Casados fraturam menos que outros estados civis. Conclusão: Conjuntamente, a raça, estado civil, quedas prévias, patologias nos pés, fraturas prévias e AIVD definem o perfil dos pacientes com fraturas osteoporóticas deste centro. Nível de Evidência III; Estudo de caso-controle.

Descritores: Fraturas por osteoporose. Osteoporose. Epidemiologia. Diagnóstico. Densidade óssea. Prevalência.

Citation: Shu MM, Canhos AL, Ocampos GP, Plapler PG, Camargo OP, Rezende MU. Profile of patients with osteoporotic fractures at a tertiary orthopedic trauma center. Acta Ortop Bras. [online]. 2018;26(2):117-22. Available from URL: http://www.scielo.br/aob.

INTRODUCTION

Osteoporosis is a chronic disease characterized by progressive reduction of bone mass, leading to decreased bone strength and greater risk of fractures;¹ it is considered a public health problem worldwide. It has been estimated that 9 million osteoporotic fractures occur each year, the equivalent of one fracture every 3.5 seconds.²

Although this is the most common bone disease,³ many patients are not treated until the first fracture occurs. The Brazilian population is in the process of aging, as can be seen in the epidemiologic pyramids for the years 2017 and 2050.⁴ This aging is accompanied by an increase in the prevalence of osteoporosis and the incidence of falls and fractures.⁵ These fractures are associated with increased

All authors declare no potential conflict of interest related to this article.

Work conducted at the Osteometabolic Diseases Group, Instituto de Ortopedia e Traumatologia, Hospital das Clinicas HCFMUSP, Faculdade de Medicina, Universidade de São Paulo, SP, Brazil. Correspondence: Marcia Uchoa de Rezende. Rua Dr. Ovídio Pires de Campos, 333, Cerqueira Cesar, São Paulo, Brazil. 05403-010. murezende@icloud.com

Article received in 09/14/2017, approved in 09/15/2017.



mortality, decreased functional capacity and quality of life,⁶⁻⁹ and increased spending in the health system. It is estimated that approximately 50% of women and 20% of men 50 years of age or over will suffer an osteoporotic fracture during their lives.

Even though osteoporosis and osteopenia are a growing problem in older people, attempts to analyze the characteristics of osteoporotic patients in Brazil are rare.

The objective of this study was to evaluate the epidemiological profile of the population affected by osteoporotic fractures (fractures of the proximal femur, the proximal humerus, the distal radius, and the thoraco-lumbar spine) treated in a tertiary orthopedic hospital over a three-month period, with or without a previous diagnosis of osteopenia or osteoporosis, in an attempt to correlate the clinical characteristics present in patients treated for osteoarthritis during the same period.

Primary objective: To explore the epidemiological profile of patients with osteoporotic fractures treated in a tertiary orthopedic hospital, identifying factors potentially related to this fracture in relation to patients treated for osteoarthritis during the same period.

Secondary objective: To describe the types of osteoporotic fractures treated in a tertiary center, along with function and bone mineral density in these patients.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was conducted at the Osteo-Metabolic Diseases Group at the Instituto de Ortopedia e Traumatologia do Hospital das Clínicas da Faculdade de Medicina da Universidade de São Paulo (IOT-HC-FMUSP) with the approval of the institutional review board (number 76629217.3/0000.0068).

All participants were patients with osteoporotic fractures treated over a three-month period in 2017 and patients with osteoarthritis of the knee (of this group, only those treated in the osteometabolic disease group at a tertiary orthopedic hospital).

Inclusion criteria: Study group (osteoporotic fractures, OF): Patients above 45 years of age presenting any one or a combination of the following fractures: proximal femur, proximal humerus, distal radius, and thoraco-lumbar spine, with a mechanism of low-energy trauma. Patients with high-energy fractures were not included.

Control group (patients with osteoarthritis, OA): Patients above 45 years with clinical/radiographic diagnosis of osteoarthritis of the knee,¹⁰ isolated or not, with and without comorbidities.

Exclusion criteria: Age below 45 years; suspicion or confirmation of pathological fractures; patient unwilling to participate.

Interventions

The participants filled out a questionnaire (Table 1) collecting data on demographic profile, fracture type, race, patient level of education, habits, personal history, previous fractures, level of physical activity, aids for locomotion, place and time of the accident which caused the fracture, use of medications and behavioral measures to treat osteoporosis, and functional assessment [Katz and Lawton and Brody].^{11,12} Patients with proximal femur fracture completed the Harris Hip Score (HSS)¹³ and fragility score (SHARE) questionnaires.

Statistical analysis

Patient characteristics were described using absolute and relative frequencies according to groups for the qualitative variables, and association was verified using the chi-square or Fisher's exact tests. Summary measures (mean and standard deviation or median, minimum, and maximum) were calculated according to groups for quantitative variables and the groups were compared using Student's t-test or the Mann-Whitney test. The unadjusted odds ratio was estimated for each variable to approximate the chance of osteoporosis with the respective intervals, with 95% confidence.

The multiple logistic regression model was used to explain the osteoporosis group, selecting the variables that showed statistical significance in the bivariate tests and using backward stepwise selection with a 5% criterion for entry and exit of the variables (p<0.05). IBM SPSS for Windows software version 20.0 was used for these analyses, and Microsoft Excel 2003 was used to tabulate the data. The tests were performed at a 5% significance level.

RESULTS

The results of the questionnaires applied to 70 patients with osteoporotic fractures (OF) and 50 patients with osteoarthritis (OA) of the knee (or osteoarthritis of multiple joints including the knee) are summarized in Tables 2-4.

Table 2 shows that in isolation, patients with osteoporosis were statistically older on average (p<0.001), were less heavy and had lower BMI (p=0.003 and p=0.006, respectively), the frequency of white race was statistically higher in patients with osteoporosis (p=0.011), patients with osteoporotic fractures were statistically less likely to be married (p=0.008), and this group had more previous falls, previous fractures, old fractures (> 1 year), falls over the past 12 months, fractures from falls, and needed more assistance (p<0.05) than patients with OA. Patients with osteoporotic fractures reported less disability in the lower limbs, pathology in the feet, muscle weakness, hypothyroidism, and vitamin D consumption than patients with OA. Using the functional scale by Lawton and Brody,¹² their scores for instrumental activities of daily living (IADL) were lower (p<0.05).

Table 3 shows that together, race, marital status, previous falls, pathologies in the feet, muscle weakness, hypothyroidism, previous fractures, and Lawton and Brody IADL score¹² explained the patients with osteoporosis independent of the other characteristics we assessed (p<0.05). White patients were 10.48 times more likely to present osteoporosis than nonwhite patients, single patients and those with other marital status had a statistically greater chance of osteoporosis than married patients, patients who had previous falls were 11.39 times more likely to have osteoporosis than patients without previous falls, and patients with previous fractures were 4.13 times more likely to have osteoporosis than patients without previous fractures. Pathologies of the feet, muscle weakness, and hypothyroidism presented similar protections for osteoporosis, with the chance of osteoporosis approximately 86% less for each of these characteristics, and each one-unit increase in the Katz and Lawton IADL score¹² decreased the chance of osteoporosis by 29%. Table 4 shows the profile of patients with osteoporotic fractures treated in a tertiary trauma center, with an 81% incidence of patients with hip fractures, confirming that osteoporosis accompanies this fracture in mean bone densitometry values.

DISCUSSION

Osteoporosis is a chronic disease characterized by progressive decrease in bone mass, leading to decreased bone strength and greater risk of fractures.¹ This disease can be characterized as primary or secondary. Primary osteoporosis can occur in both sexes at any age, but often occurs after menopause in women and later in men.¹

In this study we observed that the patients with osteoporotic fractures were older, a greater number were women (similar to the group with OA), weighed less, had lower BMI, and whites were more prevalent (Table 2), consistent with findings in other studies.^{1,14,15} Perhaps because of the size and characteristics of the sample (older adults, Caucasians, and hip fractures were more prevalent) (Tables 2, 3 and 4),

Identification	
Age	
Sex	Male: 0 / Fem: 1
Weight	
Height	
Race	White: 0 / Nonwhite: 1
Marital status:	Married: 0 / Widowed: 1 / Single: 3 / Other = 4
Lives with	Number of people
Kinship	Alone: 0 / Companion: 1 / Child: 2 / Grandchild: 3 / Other: 4
Education	Illiterate: 0 / Literate: 1
Number of years of school	
Father or mother with hip fracture?	No: 0 / Yes: 1
Current smoker?	No: 0 / Yes: 1
Glucocorticoids	No: 0 / Yes: 1
Rheumatoid arthritis?	No: 0 / Yes: 1
Secondary osteoporosis?	No: 0 / Yes: 1
Alcohol: >3 drinks per day?	No: 0 / Yes: 1
Sedatives?	No: 0 / Yes: 1
Previous falls?	No: 0 / Yes: 1
Cognitive deficit?	No: 0 / Yes: 1
Visual impairment?	No: 0 / Yes: 1
Disability of lower limbs?	No: 0 / Yes: 1
Foot pathology?	No: 0 / Yes: 1 No: 0 / Yes: 1
Change in balance? Muscle weakness?	No: 0 / Yes: 1
	No: 0 / Yes: 1
Changes in gait? Postural hypotension?	No: 0 / Yes: 1
Dizziness?	No: 0 / Yes: 1
Depression/Apathy/Confusion?	No: 0 / Yes: 1
Diabetes?	No: 0 / Yes: 1
HBP?	No: 0 / Yes: 1
Hypothyroidism?	No: 0 / Yes: 1
Previous fractures?	No: 0 / Yes: 1
Old fracture (> 1 year)?	No: 0 / Yes: 1
Current fracture?	No: 0 / Yes: 1
Date of current fracture?	
Fractured limb	Spine: 0 / Lumbar Spine: 1 / R Hip: 2 / L Hip: 3 / R Wrist: 4 / L Wrist: 5 / R Shoulder: 6 / L Shoulder: 7
Physical activity before fracture?	No: 0 / Yes: 1
Type of activity	Weight training: 0 / Stretching 1 / Water or pool exercise: 2 / Walking: 3 / Cycling:
Physical activity after fracture?	No: 0 / Yes: 1
Type of activity	Weight training: 0 / Stretching 1 / Water or pool exercise: 2 / Walking: 3 / Cycling:
Frequency	1x month: 0 / 2X month: 1 / 3X month: 2 / 1X week: 3 / 2X
	week: 4 / 3X week: 5 / >4X week: 6 / Never: 7
Fear of falling?	No: 0 / Yes: 1
Fall in last 12 months?	No: 0 / Yes: 1
Number of falls?	
Where?	At home: 0 / Outside the home: 1
Factors	Dizziness: 0 / Tripped: 1 / Slipped: 2 / Weakness or lower limb instability: 3 / Other
Fracture from fall?	No: 0 / Yes: 1
Assistance	Cane: 0 / Crutches: 1 / Walker: 2 / Wheelchair: 3 / None: 4
Mechanism of trauma?	Fall from height: 0 / Same-level fall: 1 / Direct trauma: 2 / Twisting: 3 / Carrying weig
Time of Accident	7:00 -11:00: 0 / 11:01 - 15:00 1 / 15:01 - 19:00 2 / 19:01 - 22:00 3 / 22:01 - 7:00
Previous conduct related to current fracture?	Analgesic medication: 0 / Cast or vest: 1 / Surgery: 2 / Physical therapy: 3
Prior diagnosis of osteoporosis?	No: 0 / Yes: 1
Calcium supplementation?	No: 0 / Yes: 1
Sun exposure 3x week?	No: 0 / Yes: 1
Vitamin D supplementation?	No: 0 / Yes: 1
If yes, how many IU?	
Taking medication for osteoporosis?	No: 0 / Yes: 1
Katz ADL Lawton & Brody IADL	No: 0 / Yes: 1 - (Maximum: 6) No: 0 / Yes: 1 - (Maximum: 8)

	Gro	oup					
Variable	Control	Osteoporosis	Total	OR	IC (95%)	р
	(N = 50)	(N = 70)	(N = 120)		Below	Above	
Sex (female), n (%)	39 (78)	49 (70)	88 (73.3)	0.66	0.28	1.53	0.32
Age (years), mean SD	66.7 9.6	75.1 11.7	71.6 11.6	1.07	1.03	1.12	<0.00
Weight (Kg), mean SD	72.9 11.2	66 13.1	68.8 12.8	0.96	0.92	0.99	0.003
Height (cm), mean SD	162.3 7.3	161.4 8.8	161.8 8.2	0.99	0.94	1.04	0.601
BMI (Kg/m), mean SD	27.9 4.3	25.3 5.1	26.3 5	0.89	0.82	0.97	0.006
Education (years of school), median (min.; max.)	8 (0; 30)	8 (0; 18)	8 (0; 30)	0.98	0.91	1.05	0.648
Race (White), n (%)	35 (70)	62 (88.6)	97 (80.8)	3.32	1.28	8.61	0.01
Marital status, n (%)							0.00
Married	33 (66)	25 (35.7)	58 (48.3)	1.00			
Widowed	7 (14)	22 (31.4)	29 (24.2)	4.15	1.53	11.24	
Single	3 (6)	11 (15.7)	14 (11.7)	4.84	1.22	19.21	
Other	7 (14)	12 (17.1)	19 (15.8)	2.26	0.78	6.58	
Lives with, median (min.; max.)	1 (0; 3)	1 (0; 6)	1 (0; 6)	1.301	0.95	1.78	0.370
Father or mother with hip fracture, n (%)	3 (6)	4 (5.7)	7 (5.8)	0.95	0.20	4.44	>0.99
Current smoker, n (%)	6 (12)	8 (11.4)	14 (11.7)	0.95	0.31	2.92	0.92
Glucocorticoids, n (%)	3 (6)	5 (7.1)	8 (6.7)	1.21	0.27	5.29	>0.99
Rheumatoid arthritis, n (%)	0 (0)	2 (2.9)	9 (7.5)	2.71	0.04	0.91	0.75
Secondary osteoporosis, n (%)	7 (14)	4 (5.7)	11 (9.2)	0.37	0.10	1.35	0.198
Alcohol: >3 drinks per day, n (%)	3 (6)	2 (2.9)	5 (4.2)	0.46	0.07	2.87	0.648
Sedatives, n (%)	7 (14)	9 (12.9)	16 (13.3)	0.91	0.31	2.62	0.85
Previous falls, n (%)	13 (26)	38 (54.3)	51 (42.5)	3.38	1.54	7.43	0.00
Cognitive deficit, n (%)	3 (6)	9 (12.9)	12 (10)	2.31	0.59	9.01	0.21
Visual impairment, n (%)	25 (50)	30 (42.9)	55 (45.8)	0.75	0.36	1.56	0.43
Disability in lower limbs, n (%)	13 (26)	7 (10)	20 (16.7)	0.32	0.12	0.86	0.02
Foot pathology, n (%)	18 (36)	6 (8.6)	24 (20)	0.17	0.06	0.46	<0.00
Changes in balance, n (%)	19 (38)	25 (35.7)	44 (36.7)	0.91	0.43	1.92	0.79
Muscle weakness, n (%)	24 (48)	21 (30)	45 (37.5)	0.46	0.22	0.99	0.04
Changes in gait, n (%)	24 (48)	24 (34.3)	48 (40)	0.57	0.27	1.19	0.13
Postural hypotension, n (%)	10 (20)	13 (18.6)	23 (19.2)	0.91	0.36	2.29	0.84
Dizziness, n (%)	13 (26)	18 (25.7)	31 (25.8)	0.99	0.43	2.26	0.97
Depression/Apathy/Confusion, n (%)	11 (22)	17 (24.3)	28 (23.3)	1.14	0.48	2.70	0.77
Diabetes, n (%)	19 (38)	22 (31.4)	41 (34.2)	0.75	0.35	1.60	0.45
HBP, n (%)	30 (60)	35 (50)	65 (54.2)	0.67	0.32	1.39	0.27
Hyperthyroidism, n (%)	14 (28)	8 (11.4)	22 (18.3)	0.33	0.13	0.87	0.02
Previous fractures, n (%)	8 (16)	35 (50)	43 (35.8)	5.25	2.16	12.78	<0.00
Old fracture (> 1 year), n (%)	8 (16)	35 (50)	43 (35.8)	5.25	2.16	12.78	<0.00
Physical activity before fracture, n (%)	19 (38)	21 (30)	40 (33.3)	0.70	0.33	1.51	0.35
Fear of falling, n (%)	34 (68)	44 (62.9)	78 (65)	0.80	0.37	1.72	0.56
Fall in last 12 months, n (%)	17 (34)	41 (58.6)	58 (48.3)	2.74	1.29	5.83	0.00
Fracture from fall, n (%)	2 (4)	67 (95.7)	69 (57.5)	536.00	86.23	3331.95	<0.00
Assistance, n (%)	5 (10)	35 (50)	40 (33.3)	9.00	3.19	25.36	<0.00
Prior diagnosis of osteoporosis, n (%)	14 (28)	26 (37.1)	40 (33.3)	1.52	0.69	3.33	0.29
Calcium supplementation, n (%)	14 (28)	20 (28.6)	34 (28.3)	1.03	0.46	2.30	0.94
Sun exposure 3x week, n (%)	24 (48)	36 (51.4)	60 (50)	1.15	0.56	2.37	0.71
Vitamin D supplementation, n (%)	28 (56)	22 (31.4)	50 (41.7)	0.36	0.17	0.76	0.00
Taking medication for osteoporosis, n (%)	4 (8)	8 (11.4)	12 (10)	1.48	0.42	5.23	0.53
Katz ADL, median (min.; max.)	6 (2; 6)	6 (1;6)	6 (1; 6)	0.73	0.42	1.16	0.090
Lawton & Brody IADL, median (min.; max.)	8 (1; 8)	7.5 (0; 8)	8 (0; 8)	0.83	0.40	0.99	0.015

Chi-square test; * Fisher's exact test; ** Student's t-test; £ Mann-Whitney test.

Table 3. Result of the joint model	describing the osteoporosis group
according to evaluated variables.	

Variable	OR	IC (9		
variable	UR	Below	Above	р
Race (White)	10.48	1.61	68.20	0.014
Marital status				
Married	1.00			
Widowed	4.93	0.94	25.99	0.060
Single	57.15	2.81	1162.39	0.008
Other	10.85	1.80	65.56	0.009
Previous falls	11.39	2.18	59.45	0.004
Foot pathologies	0.13	0.02	0.74	0.022
Muscle weakness	0.15	0.03	0.77	0.024
Hypothyroidism	0.14	0.03	0.75	0.022
Previous fractures	4.13	1.12	15.23	0.033
Katz & Lawton IADL	0.71	0.53	0.95	0.020

Multiple logistic regression.

Table 4. Description of characteristics that were evaluated only in patients with osteoporosis.

ents with osteoporosis.	I	
Variable	Description	
Fractured limb, n (%)		
Lumbar Spine	2 (2.9)	
Нір	57 (81.4)	
Wrist	4 (5.7)	
Shoulder	7 (10)	
Physical activity after fracture, n (%)		
No	47 (67.1)	
Yes	23 (32.9)	
Ca Supplementation, n (%)		
No	35 (71.4)	
Yes	14 (28.6)	
SHARE FI exhaustion, n (%)		
No	29 (58)	
Yes	21 (42)	
SHARE FI Appetite, n (%)		
Reduced	8 (16)	
Maintained	37 (74)	
Increased	5 (10)	
HSS Pain	5(10)	
mean SD	32.2 12.6	
median (min.; max.)		
HSS Function	40 (8; 44)	
mean SD	26.3 12	
median (min.; max.)	27.5 (0; 47)	
HSS ADM mean SD	2.4 0.8	
median (min.; max.)	2.2 (0.9; 4)	
HSS ADM Deformity	0.0.10	
mean SD	3.3 1.3	
median (min.; max.)	4 (1; 4)	
HSS Total		
mean SD	65.1 19.6	
median (min.; max.)	72 (20.9; 97)	
DMO COL T-Score		
mean SD	-2 1.8	
median (min.; max.)	-2.1 (-4.8; 2.6)	
DMO FN T-Score		
mean SD	-2.7 0.6	
median (min.; max.)	-2.6 (-3.7; -1.7)	
DMO TH T-Score		
mean SD	-2.5 0.8	
median (min.; max.)	-2.8 (-3.8; -1.3)	
DMO Troc T-Score		
mean SD	-2.4 0.7	
median (min.; max.)	-2.4 (-2.9; -1.9)	

Although there were 70 patients with osteoporosis, some information was missing for all variables

consumption of glucocorticoids, and consumption alcohol and tobacco were not seen to have a large influence, as described in the literature,^{1,14-16} but we found a protective relationship against osteoporotic fractures in married patients in relation to those with other marital status. (Tables 2 and 3) Pluskiewicz et al.¹⁶ reported a tendency for more fractures in widows.

Patients with osteoporosis presented more previous falls and more falls in the past 12 months, which together with the bone fragility caused by osteoporosis explains the higher incidence of fractures resulting from falls, old fractures (>1 year), and previous fractures. The higher number of falls can be partially explained by greater age and occasional sarcopenia in the OF group,^{1,15,17} although these patients reported less disability of the lower limbs, feet pathologies, and muscle weakness than younger patients with OA. (Tables 2 and 3) This could be partially explained by patients with OA who receive outpatient care for arthritis of the knee (isolated or involving multiple joints) which includes an educational program and periodic evaluations of functionality, raising awareness among these patients of the functional loss and deformities they exhibit.^{18,19} This differs from the group receiving care for fracture, who still need to be assessed functionally and complete an educational program to develop awareness of what led to the osteoporotic fracture, the types of osteoporosis, the risks of their condition, and necessary treatment, along with consolidation of the fracture in question. Because a significant number of patients in the OF group did not report muscle weakness, muscle weakness was statistically indicated as a "protective factor" against osteoporotic fractures. (Tables 2 and 3) Muscle weakness was not assessed objectively. We believe that patients with fractures from fragility are not aware of muscle weakness, since these patients fall more often, have more previous fractures, and present lower scores for instrumental activities of daily living. (Tables 2 and 3) To explore this fact, a future prospective study in this group of patients will objectively explore muscle strength.

Lower vitamin D intake among the OF group in relation to the OA group associated with more previous fractures may indicate a failure in primary and secondary prevention of osteoporotic fractures. As mentioned, the OA group was monitored by a multidisciplinary team for OA and comorbidities.^{9,18}

Secondary osteoporosis occurs when an underlying illness, disability, or drug causes osteoporosis. We failed to ask specifically about hyperthyroidism, and found that the OF group showed less hypothyroidism than the AO group, indirectly corroborating the fact that hyperthyroidism tends to be more frequently associated with osteoporosis, among the endocrine diseases.²⁰

Considering the surgical treatment that the hip fracture requires, in this tertiary center we found a much greater number of hip fractures than other fractures caused by osteoporosis (spine, wrist, and shoulder). (Table 4) However, the patients had densitometric osteoporosis and most did not take calcium replacement, vitamin D, or medication for osteoporosis, (Tables 2 and 4) showing the need for an educational program and multidisciplinary treatment for these patients which takes into account the financial, physical, and psychosocial problems that affect the individual, family, and community.¹

CONCLUSIONS

Together, race, marital status, previous falls, foot pathologies, previous fractures, and IADL scores define the profile of patients with osteoporotic fractures in this center.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study is part of a project that could not have been conducted without help from Paulo Dallari, Miriam Damaris Di Maio, TRB Pharma, Suellen Lima, Natalia Borges, and Marco Antônio Carvalho dos Santos.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS: Each author made significant individual contributions to this manuscript. MMS (0000-0001-7462-1617)* and ALC (0000-0002-9115-2418)* designed the study, acquired the data, and drafted the text. GPO (0000-0003-0052-6769)*, PGP (0000-0003-2395-7545)*, OPC (0000-0002-1128-7292)*, and MUR (0000-0002-2020-9501)* developed the study, analyzed the data, drafted and revised the text. All authors contributed to the intellectual concept of the study and approved the final version of the manuscript. *ORCID (Open Researcher and Contributor ID).

REFERENCES

- NIH Consensus Development Panel on Osteoporosis Prevention, Diagnosis, and Therapy, March 7-29, 2000: highlights of the conference. South Med J. 2001;94(6):569-73.
- Johnell O, Kanis JA. An estimate of the worldwide prevalence and disability associated with osteoporotic fractures. Osteoporos Int. 2006;17(12):1726-33.
- Office of the Surgeon General (US). Bone Health and Osteoporosis: A Report of the Surgeon General. Rockville (MD): Office of the Surgeon General (US); 2004.
- IBGE: Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística. Projeção da população. [acesso em 2017 Jun 15]. Disponível em: http://www.ibge.gov.br/home/estatistica/populacao/projecao_da_populacao/2008/piramide/piramide.shtm
- Amin S, Achenbach SJ, Atkinson EJ, Khosla S, Melton LJ 3rd. Trends in fracture incidence: a population-based study over 20 years. J Bone Miner Res. 2014;29(3):581-9.
- Morin S, Lix LM, Azimaee M, Metge C, Caetano P, Leslie WD. Mortality rates after incident non-traumatic fractures in older men and women. Osteoporos Int. 2011;22(9):2439-48.
- Abrahamsen B, van Staa T, Ariely R, Olson M, Cooper C. Excess mortality following hip fracture: a systematic epidemiological review. Osteoporos Int. 2009;20(10):1633-50.
- Bliuc D, Nguyen ND, Milch VE, Nguyen TV, Eisman JA, Center JR. Mortality risk associated with low-trauma osteoporotic fracture and subsequent fracture in men and women. JAMA. 2009;301(5):513-21.
- Ioannidis G, Papaioannou A, Hopman WM, Akhtar-Danesh N, Anastassiades T, Pickard L, et al. Relation between fractures and mortality: results from the Canadian Multicentre Osteoporosis Study. CMAJ. 2009;181(5):265-71.
- Altman R, Asch E, Bloch D, Bole G, Borenstein D, Brandt K, et al. Development of criteria for the classification and reporting of osteoarthritis. Classification of osteoarthritis of the knee. Diagnostic and Therapeutic Criteria Committee of the American Rheumatism Association. Arthritis Rheum. 1986;29(8):1039-49.
- Duarte YA, de Andrade CL, Lebrão ML. O índex de Katz na avaliação da funcionalidade dos idosos. Rev Esc Enferm USP. 2007;41(2):317-25.

- Lawton MP, Brody EM. Assessment o folder people: self-maintaining and instrumental activities of daily living. The Gerontologist. 1969;9(3):179-86.
- Guimarães RP, Alves D, Azuaga TL, Ono NK, Honda E; Polesello GC, et al. Tradução e adaptação transcultural do "Harris Hip Score modificado por Byrd". Acta Ortop Bras. 2010;18(6):339-42.
- Kanis JA, Johnell O, De Laet C, Johansson H, Oden A, Delmas P, et al. A meta-analysis of previous fracture and subsequent fracture risk. Bone. 2004;35(2):375-82.
- Pinheiro MM, Reis Neto ET, Machado FS, Omura F, Szejnfeld J, Szejnfeld VL. Development and validation of a tool for identifying women with low bone mineral density and low-impact fractures: the São Paulo Osteoporosis Risk Index (SAPORI). Osteoporos Int. 2012;23(4):1371-9.
- 16. Pluskiewicz W, Adamczyk P, Czekaj o A, Grzeszczak W, Drozdzowska B. Influence of education, marital status, occupation, and the place of living on skeletal status, fracture prevalence, and the course and effectiveness of osteoporotic therapy in women in the RAC-OST-POL Study. J Bone Miner Metab. 2014;32(1):89-95.
- Amin S, Achenbach SJ, Atkinson EJ, Khosla S, Melton LJ 3rd. Trends in fracture incidence: a population-based study over 20 years. J Bone Miner Res. 2014;29(3):581-9.
- de Rezende MU, Hissadomi MI, de Campos GC, Frucchi R, Pailo AF, Pasqualin T, et al. One-Year Results of an Educational Program on Osteoarthritis: A Prospective Randomized Controlled Trial in Brazil. Geriatr Orthop Surg Rehabil. 2016;7(2):86-94.
- Rezende MU, Frucchi R, Pailo AF, Campos GC, Pasqualin T, Hissadomi MI. PARQVE: Project Arthritis Recovering Quality of Life Through Education: two-year results. Acta Ortop Bras. 2017;25(1):18-24.
- Mann GB, Kang YC, Brand C, Ebeling PR, Miller JA. Secondary causes of low bone mass in patients with breast cancer: a need for greater vigilance. J Clin Oncol. 2009;27(22):3605-10.