Evaluation of the mycoflora and aflatoxins from the pre-harvest to storage of peanuts: a case study
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ABSTRACT. Aflatoxins are carcinogens produced by Aspergillus flavus, A. parasiticus and A. nomius. In the present study, peanut samples were collected at different phenological stages of the plant during the 2007/2008 and 2008/2009 seasons and from stored peanuts harvested in 2007/2008. The mycoflora and aflatoxins in the peanuts were evaluated. The results showed the presence of Fusarium spp., Macrophomina spp., Trichoderma spp., Aspergillus spp. and Cladosporium spp. during the period of peanut maturation (39.8, 17.9, 8.2, 2.7 and 1.7%, respectively) and storage (49.8, 27.8, 12.5, 8.8 and 1.0%, respectively). However, aflatoxins were not detected in the samples. Of the 25 Aspergillus spp. isolates, 24 (96%) were producers of aflatoxin B₁ (96%), 10 (40%) of aflatoxin B₂, 17 (68%) of aflatoxin G₁, and 10 (40%) of aflatoxin G₂. The isolation of Aspergillus spp. during storage was not influenced by the temperature, relative humidity or water activity (p > 0.05). The detection of aflatoxin-producing Aspergillus spp. in the samples analysed at different phenological stages, aerial gynophore, pod filling (seeds), mature fruits (pod), and dry fruits (harvest), indicates the importance of good agricultural practices from the cultivation to storage of peanuts in southern Brazil.
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Avaliação da micoflora e aflatoxinas da produção ao armazenamento de amendoim: estudo de caso

RESUMO. Aflatoxinas são metabólitos carcinogênicos produzidos pelo Aspergillus flavus, A. parasiticus e A. nomius. No presente estudo, amostras de amendoim foram coletadas em diferentes estágios fenológicos da planta durante as safras de 2007/2008 e 2008/2009, bem como no período de armazenamento do amendoim referente à safra do 2007/2008. A micoflora e os níveis de aflatoxinas foram determinados. Os resultados demonstraram a presença de Fusarium spp., Macrophomina spp., Trichoderma spp., Aspergillus spp. e Cladosporium spp. no período de maturação (39,8; 17,9; 8,2; 2,7 e 1,7%, respectivamente) e no armazenamento (49,8; 27,8; 12,5; 8,8 e 1,0%). As aflatoxinas não foram detectadas nas amostras coletadas. Dos 25 isolados de Aspergillus spp., 24 foram produtores de aflatoxina B₁ (96%), 10 de B₂ (40%), 17 de G₁ (68%) e 10 de G₂ (40%). O isolamento de Aspergillus spp. durante o armazenamento não foi influenciado pela temperatura, umidade relativa e atividade de água (p > 0,05). A detecção de Aspergillus spp. produtores de aflatoxinas nos diferentes estágios fenológicos: ginóforo aéreo, granação (sementes), frutos maduros (pod), e frutos secos (colheita) demonstra a importância de boas práticas agrícolas da produção ao armazenamento do amendoim no sul do Brasil.

Palavras-chave: micotoxinas, fungos, Aspergillus spp., potencial toxigênico, Arachis hypogaea L.

Introduction

Peanuts (Arachis hypogaea L.) are rich in protein and a high-energy food. Peanut plants are currently grown on a large scale in China, India, Africa and the United States, with a world production of approximately 37.6 million tons (FAO, 2010). In Brazil, peanut production increased by 14.4% from 226,500 tons in 2010/2011 to 259,100 tons in 2011/2012, with this rise being a consequence of the expansion of the cultivated area (15.8%) (CONAB, 2012). Peanut crops are highly susceptible to fungal contamination, including toxin-producing fungi. Aflatoxins are secondary metabolites produced by different species of the genus Aspergillus, particularly A. flavus Link, A. parasiticus Speare and A. nomius Kurtzmann et al. These toxins are frequently isolated from peanuts grown in tropical and subtropical regions during storage, and the high incidence of aflatoxins in peanuts observed in Brazil is mainly due to the traditional practices of harvest, drying and storage used.
by the producers. The climatic conditions of high humidity and temperature found in Brazil also increase the probability of the growth of *Aspergillus* and production of aflatoxins, a situation that is aggravated during the rainy season and under conditions of stress, such as drought or high insect proliferation (SCUSSEL, 2005).

Aflatoxin B₁ is a potent carcinogen classified by the International Agency for Research on Cancer as a group I carcinogen, i.e., a potent hepatocarcinogen for animals and humans (IARC, 2002). It is estimated that 35% of human cancer cases are estimated that 35% of human cancer cases are associated with the consumption of aflatoxin-contaminated food (BRASIL, 2011). However, despite the current legislation, high levels of aflatoxins are frequently detected in peanut-based foods destined for human consumption in Brazil (MAGRINE et al., 2011; GONÇALEZ et al., 2008a; NAKAI et al., 2008; SCUSSEL, 2005).

In Brazil, maximum levels of aflatoxins in foods were established by legislation and is 20 μg kg⁻¹ for the sum of aflatoxins B₁, B₂, G₁ and G₂ in peanuts (BRASIL, 2011). However, despite the current legislation, high levels of aflatoxins are frequently detected in peanut-based foods. The climatic conditions of high humidity and temperature found in Brazil also increase the probability of the growth of *Aspergillus* and production of aflatoxins, a situation that is aggravated during the rainy season and under conditions of stress, such as drought or high insect proliferation (SCUSSEL, 2005).

Aflatoxin B₁ is a potent carcinogen classified by the International Agency for Research on Cancer as a group I carcinogen, i.e., a potent hepatocarcinogen for animals and humans (IARC, 2002). It is estimated that 35% of human cancer cases are estimated that 35% of human cancer cases are associated with the consumption of aflatoxin-contaminated food (BRASIL, 2011). However, despite the current legislation, high levels of aflatoxins are frequently detected in peanut-based foods destined for human consumption in Brazil (MAGRINE et al., 2011; GONÇALEZ et al., 2008a; NAKAI et al., 2008; SCUSSEL, 2005).

In Brazil, maximum levels of aflatoxins in foods were established by legislation and is 20 μg kg⁻¹ for the sum of aflatoxins B₁, B₂, G₁ and G₂ in peanuts (BRASIL, 2011). However, despite the current legislation, high levels of aflatoxins are frequently detected in peanut-based foods destined for human consumption in Brazil (MAGRINE et al., 2011; GONÇALEZ et al., 2008a; NAKAI et al., 2008; SCUSSEL, 2005).

In Brazil, maximum levels of aflatoxins in foods were established by legislation and is 20 μg kg⁻¹ for the sum of aflatoxins B₁, B₂, G₁ and G₂ in peanuts (BRASIL, 2011). However, despite the current legislation, high levels of aflatoxins are frequently detected in peanut-based foods destined for human consumption in Brazil (MAGRINE et al., 2011; GONÇALEZ et al., 2008a; NAKAI et al., 2008; SCUSSEL, 2005).

The elaboration of food safety programs for the control of aflatoxins in peanuts is not an easy task. Prevention through pre-harvest management is the best approach to control contamination with mycotoxins. However, the associated risks also depend on the post-harvest procedures, including the period of harvest, temperature, humidity, water activity, selection of the product for processing, decontamination conditions, use of chemical agents, storage, and transport (TOREGEANI-MENDES et al., 2011).

Peanuts can be colonised by a variety of fungi. The intimate contact with the soil during the stages of peanut growth and the storage period provides an ideal situation for fungal colonisation. The objectives of the present study were to identify the mycoflora in field samples of peanuts collected at different stages of maturity and during the period of storage to determine the presence of aflatoxins and to evaluate the aflatoxigenic potential of the *Aspergillus* isolates.

**Material and methods**

**Sampling site**

The study was conducted on a farm located in Maringá, Paraná State, Brazil, at a latitude of 23°19'38.14", longitude of 51°57'47.24" and elevation of 560 m. The size of the cultivated area was 73,200 m², with the plantings in rows. Hill cultivation, also known as weeding, was performed and consists of the accumulation of soil at the foot of the plants during the first cleaning or hoeing. *Virginia* peanut seeds (*Arachis hypogaea hypogaea*) were used because, even though they present a longer phenological cycle than the Valencia-type variety, the productivity of the former variety increases compared to the previous harvest. The botanical characteristics of *A. hypogaea hypogaea* include its creeping habit, extra-long seed size (approx. 90 g 100 seeds⁻¹), and large pods containing two seeds of beige colour. The side branches of the plant can reach 1.30 m, but the main stem does not exceed 15 cm. The samples corresponding to the different stages of maturity of the plant were collected during the 2007/2008 and 2008/2009 harvest, i.e., from a planting in October 2007 to the harvest in May 2008 and from a planting in September 2008 to the harvest in April 2009, respectively. Stored samples harvested in 2007/2008 were collected between May 2008 and January 2009.

**Sample collection**

Field samples of the plants at different stages of development (phenological stages) were collected for identification of mycoflora during the two harvest periods, as follows: stage I, aerial gynophore; stage II, gynophore penetrated into soil; stage III, pod filling (seeds); stage IV, mature fruits (pod); and stage V, dry fruits (harvest). A random block design was used. For this purpose, the plantation area was divided into 10 equal plots, with 10 samples containing approximately 50 g, corresponding to the stages I-III, and 500 g for stages IV and V. The 50 samples corresponding to the five peanut maturity stages were homogenised, and 50 g was used for the identification of mycoflora and the evaluation of the aflatoxigenic potential of the *Aspergillus* isolates A 450 g sample (stages IV and V) was used for the determination of aflatoxins using thin-layer chromatography (TLC). For the aflatoxin determination, the samples were ground in a blender model 51BL31 (Waring Co., Torrington, CT, USA), passed through a 20-mesh sieve, and homogenised. The samples were stored in plastic bags at -20°C.

For the evaluation of the stored samples, the peanut grains dried in-shell were divided into 350 sacks of 60 kg each, stacked on wooden pallets, and stored for a period of 8 months without the addition of fungicides. During this period, five samplings were collected at intervals of 2 months, as follows: I – the beginning of storage (May 2008); II – after 2 months of storage (July 2008); III – at 4 months (September 2008); IV – at 6 months (November 2008); and V – at 8 months (January 2009).
2009). During each sampling, 10 samples of 100 g each were randomly collected from various sacks in the storage shed to obtain a sample of 1,000 g. The samples were divided into parts of 50 g for the analysis of the water activity, 50 g for the identification of the mycobacteria and toxigenic potential, and 900 g for the aflatoxin analysis.

Isolation and identification of the mycoflora

The samples of the peanut fruits at the different stages of maturity were disinfected with 0.4% sodium hypochlorite solution for 3 min. and then washed with sterile water. For each sample, three gynophores and three peanuts grains each were directly seeded onto 10 Petri dishes containing potato-dextrose agar (PDA), as described by Pitt and Hocking (1997), 10 dishes containing Dichloran Rose Bengal Chloramphenicol agar (DRBC) according to Pitt et al. (1979), and 10 dishes containing Aspergillus flavus and Aspergillus parasiticus agar (AFPA), as described by Pitt et al. (1983). The plates were incubated for 5 days at 20°C for PDA, 5 days at 25°C for DRBC, and 2 days at 30°C for AFPA. The same procedure was adopted for the samples obtained during the period of storage.

The results were expressed as the percentage of the sample contaminated with fungi in relation to all of the samples. Colonies with different morphologies were isolated and identified according to Nelson et al. (1983) and Pitt and Hocking (1997).

Aflatoxin standards

The aflatoxin standards were purchased from Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, USA). Standard stock and working solutions were prepared according to the Manual of the Official Methods of Analysis of the Association of Official Agricultural Chemists International (AOAC, 2005). Individual stock solutions were prepared for each toxin, and the concentration was determined by ultraviolet spectrophotometry (Shimadzu UV-1601P, Tokyo, Japan) at 350 nm. The working solution was prepared in benzene-acetonitrile (98:2). For screening, 5 μL of the extract was applied to a TLC plate (Alugram® SIL G Silica gel 60, Macherey-Nagel, Duren, Germany), 2 cm from the baseline. The standards were applied separately. The plate was placed in an unsaturated chamber containing toluene-ethyl acetate-chloroform-formic acid (70:50:50:20, v:v:v:v). The aflatoxins were visualised under UV light (350 nm) and quantified by comparing the fluorescence of the samples with the aflatoxin standards. The quantification limit of the method was 2 μg kg⁻¹ for each aflatoxin. All of the reagents used were of p.a. grade (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany).

In this experiment, five spiked samples of 20 μg kg⁻¹ of AFB₁, and AFG₁, and 6 μg kg⁻¹ of AFB₂ and AFG₂ were used for the recovery test. The recovery average for aflatoxins B₁, B₂, G₁ and G₂ were 97.4, 97.5, 97.4 and 111.2%, respectively.

Evaluation of the toxigenic potential of A. flavus and A. parasiticus

For the evaluation of the aflatoxin-producing potential, the fungal isolates identified as A. flavus and A. parasiticus were inoculated into the centre of a coconut agar plate and incubated in a BOD oven at 25°C for 7 days. The growing colonies were monitored under ultraviolet light for the presence of the characteristic fluorescence of the genus. Next, the entire contents of the plate was transferred to a blender, and 30 mL chloroform was added. The extracts obtained were filtered through filter paper, concentrated in a water bath at 60°C, and resuspended in 200 μL chloroform. TLC was performed as described above.

Determination of the water activity

The water activity (A_w) was determined in triplicate using an Aqualab 3 TE water activity meter.
(Decagon Devices, Inc., Pullman, WA, USA) at a temperature of 25°C.

**Climatic data**

The temperature, relative air humidity and rainfall data for the period from September 2007 to April 2009 were obtained from the Maringá Climatological Station at State University of Maringá, Brazil.

**Statistical analyses**

The results were analysed using the SAS 9.1 statistical software. An analysis of variance (ANOVA) and linear regression were used to determine the influence of the variables of the 2007/2008 and 2008/2009 harvest and of storage on the frequency of the isolation of *Aspergillus* spp. Regression analysis was also used to select the dependent variables: frequency of isolation of *Aspergillus* spp., and aflatoxin production. The independent variables were the culture medium (PDA, AFPA, and DRBC), temperature, relative air humidity, rainfall, and water activity. The water activity was measured during the period of storage of the 2007/2008 harvest. The level of significance was set at 5% (p < 0.05) for all of the tests.

**Results and discussion**

The fungal population isolated during the different peanut maturity stages of the 2007/2008 harvest consisted of *Fusarium* spp. (38.4 to 62.4% depending on the culture medium, i.e., PDA, DRBC and AFPA), *Penicillium* spp. (28.6 to 33.3%), *Macrophomina* spp. (14.3 to 34.6%), *Chaetomium* spp. (0 to 70.0%), *Cladosporium* spp. (0 to 28.6%), *Trichoderma* spp. (0 to 23.4%), *Nigrospora* spp. (0 to 6.7%), and *Curvularia* spp. (0 to 5.3%). Similar results were obtained for the 2008/2009 production (p = 0.1457), with the fungal population consisting of *Fusarium* spp. (23.5 to 54.7% depending on the culture medium), *Penicillium* spp. (9.1 to 37.9%), *Alternaria* spp. (8.3 to 45.1%), *Macrophomina* spp. (8.2 to 31.3%), *Chaetomium* spp. (0 to 10.0%), and *Trichoderma* spp. (0 to 20.4%). An exception was that the *Aspergillus* spp. were detected in stages I, III, IV and V at a frequency of 5.3 to 36.4% (Table 1). The similar frequencies of isolation obtained for the two production periods can be explained by the presence of the same experimental and climatic conditions (Table 2). Similar results have been reported by Mphane et al. (2004), Gonçalez et al. (2008a) and Nakai et al. (2008) who isolated *Aspergillus* spp., *Fusarium* spp., *Penicillium* spp., *Cladosporium* spp., and *Trichoderma* spp. as the main contaminants of peanuts. These studies were conducted in Botswana and the Junqueiropolis and Tupã regions, São Paulo State, Brazil, respectively.

Three culture media described in the literature were tested for the isolation of *Aspergillus* spp.: PDA, as described by Pitt and Hocking (1997); DRBC, as described by Pitt et al. (1979); and AFPA, as described by Pitt et al. (1983). Our statistical analysis revealed no significant differences among the media (p = 0.7287).

**Table 1.** Relative frequency (%) of fungal species isolated from peanuts at different stages of maturity by culture on different media.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isolate</th>
<th>Harvest/medium</th>
<th>I (p = 0.7287)</th>
<th>II (p = 0.7287)</th>
<th>III (p = 0.7287)</th>
<th>IV (p = 0.7287)</th>
<th>V (p = 0.7287)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Alternaria spp.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H1</td>
<td></td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H1</td>
<td></td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cladosporium spp.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H1</td>
<td></td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Curvularia spp.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H1</td>
<td></td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fusarium spp.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H1</td>
<td></td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Macrophomina spp.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H1</td>
<td></td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nigrospora spp.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H1</td>
<td></td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Penicillium spp.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H1</td>
<td></td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Trichoderma spp.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H1</td>
<td></td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Harvest = H1 – 2007/2008; H2 – 2008/2009. Stages of H1 = I – aerial gynophore (02/18/08); II – gynophore penetrated into soil (03/11/08); III – pod filling (seed) (03/25/08); IV – mature fruit (pod) (04/08/08); V – dry fruits (harvest) (05/13/08). Stages of H2 = I – aerial gynophore (02/09/09); II – gynophore penetrated into soil (03/07/09); III – pod filling (seed) (03/20/09); IV – mature fruit (pod) (04/16/09); V – dry fruits (harvest) (04/27/09). Culture medium = 1, potato-dextrose agar; 2, Dichloran Rose Bengal Chloramphenicol agar; 3, *Aspergillus flavus* and *Aspergillus parasiticus* agar.
Table 2. Meteorological data referring to the period of collection of peanut samples at different stages of maturity during the 2007/2008 and 2008/2009 harvest in Maringá, Brazil.  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T_min (°C)</td>
<td>T_mean (°C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>27.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>27.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>26.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>27.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>27.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The fungal population isolated from the peanut samples collected during storage is shown in Table 3. The following species were isolated during our monitoring: Fusarium spp., Macrophomina spp., Trichoderma spp., Cladosporium spp., and Aspergillus spp. Fusarium spp. was the most frequent species due to the contact of peanuts with the soil (means of 59.3% at the beginning of pod filling, 66.7% after 2 months of storage, 61.5% after 4 months, 77.8% after 6 months, and 50% after 8 months). This soil fungus can survive for several months during storage (PITT; HOCKING, 1997), and results similar to the present findings have been reported by Nakai et al. (2008) for peanut varieties stored for 12 months. Aspergillus spp. was only isolated after 4, 6 and 8 months of storage, with frequencies of isolation of 15.4% (AFPA) and 16.7% (DRBC), 22.2% (AFPA) and 20% (DRBC), and 8.3% (PDA) and 50% (DRBC), respectively.

A clear decline in the isolation of Fusarium spp. was observed after 8 months of storage (18.8% on AFPA). Because this fungus is best adapted to substrates with a high water content (HORN, 2005), the low water content of the samples after 8 months of storage favoured the growth of Aspergillus spp. (50% on AFPA).

Table 3. Relative frequency (%) of fungal species isolated from samples of peanuts stored between May 2008 and January 2009.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isolate</th>
<th>Storage</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aspergillus spp.</td>
<td>Beginning</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cladosporium spp.</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fusarium spp.</td>
<td></td>
<td>70.0</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>41.2</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>55.6</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td>61.5</td>
<td>58.3</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>77.8</td>
<td>60.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macrophomina spp.</td>
<td></td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>47.1</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>38.5</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>71.4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>33.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trichoderma spp.</td>
<td></td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

= not detected. Culture medium = 1, potato-dextrose agar; 2, Dichloran Rose Bengal Chloramphenicol agar; 3, Aspergillus fumigatus and Aspergillus penicilliatus agar.
The mean water activity before drying was 0.989; similar values ranging from 0.90 to 0.99 were reported by Gonzalez et al. (2008b). Studies have shown that peanut fruits with a high water activity do not favour the growth of *A. flavus* or *A. parasiticus* (Dorner et al., 1989; Horn, 2005), as observed in the present study in which no Aspergillus spp. were detected. After drying, the Aw ranged from 0.430 to 0.637, values below the range of 0.78-0.80 established as optimal for the growth of Aspergillus spp. by Pitt and Hocking (1997). However, despite the low Aw, the growth of Aspergillus spp. was observed after 4 months of storage. This finding agrees with other studies reporting the isolation of Aspergillus from samples stored at a low Aw (Dorner et al., 1989; Horn, 2005; Nakai et al., 2008).

In the present study, the mean temperature ranged from 21 to 30°C, and the relative humidity ranged from 58 to 73% during the 8-month storage period (Table 4). According to Christensen et al. (1977), and Pitt and Hocking (1997), these temperature (<32-33°C) and humidity (<83-85%) conditions, respectively, are optimal for the growth of Aspergillus spp.

The ANOVA showed that the mycoflora detected during storage, particularly Aspergillus spp., were not correlated with the duration of storage (p = 0.12, r² = 0.0102) temperature (p = 0.999, r² = 0.0137), relative air humidity (p = 0.999, r² = 0.1532), or water activity (p = 0.999, r² = 0.1268). These results show no differences in the mean frequency of isolation between the different periods of storage of peanuts, in contrast to the studies of Gonzalez et al. (2008a and b) and Nakai et al. (2008).

### Table 4. Mean temperature, relative humidity and water activity recorded during the period of experimental storage.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>RU (%)</th>
<th>Aw</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Standard deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>73.0</td>
<td>0.989</td>
<td>0.0100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>67.0</td>
<td>0.637</td>
<td>0.0065</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>66.0</td>
<td>0.485</td>
<td>0.0480</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>58.0</td>
<td>0.430</td>
<td>0.0217</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>66.0</td>
<td>0.611</td>
<td>0.0234</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>61.0</td>
<td>0.504</td>
<td>0.0686</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

 ru = relative humidity; Aw = water activity.

Aflatoxins B₁, B₂, G₁ and G₂ were not detected in the samples collected at different maturity stages in 2007/2008 or 2008/2009 or during the storage of the 2007/2008 harvest. These results disagree with studies conducted in Brazil reporting a high prevalence of contamination with aflatoxins in peanuts and peanut products (Magrine et al., 2011; Caldas et al., 2002). More recently, Nakai et al. (2008) found that 33.3 and 28.3% of seeds were contaminated with aflatoxins B₁ and B₂, respectively.

The evaluation of the toxigenic potential of the isolates showed that 96% of the Aspergillus spp. isolated from peanut samples (n = 25) of the 2008/2009 harvest and from stored samples presented a toxigenic potential. Among the *A. flavus* (32%) and *A. parasiticus* (68%) isolates, 24 (96%) were producers of aflatoxin B₁, 10 (40%) of aflatoxin B₂, 17 (68%) of aflatoxin G₁, and 10 (25%) of aflatoxin G₂. In previous studies on peanuts, Nakai et al. (2008) showed that 93.8% of the isolates presented toxigenic potential, whereas Pildain et al. (2004) observed that 75% of the isolates of peanut samples from Argentina presented toxigenic potential.

### Conclusion

This study demonstrated that the production conditions applied in southern Brazil contribute to the susceptibility of peanuts to the colonisation with aflatoxicogenic fungi during different stages of maturity in the field and storage. The results showed that the storage period of peanut is a critical phase, as indicated by the high percentage of aflatoxicogenic isolates. However, no correlation was observed between the presence of the isolates and temperature, relative humidity or water activity. The results demonstrate the importance of good agricultural practices during the production, harvest and storage of peanuts in Brazil.
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