Synergism between Dietary Vitamin E and Exogenous Phytic Acid in Prevention of Warmed-Over Flavour Development in Chicken Breast Meat, *Pectoralis major* M.
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**ABSTRACT**

The effect of α-tocopheryl acetate (AT) supplementation and exogenous application of this vitamin E associated with phytic acid (PA) on chicken breast meat WOF development was assessed. Control group was fed with 7.7IU of AT/kg of ration and supplemented group was fed with 200.0IU of AT/kg of ration. Dietary vitamin E as measured by TBARS inhibited WOF development by 78.9; 69.0; 60.7 and 46.5% (p<0.05) during storage at 6°C for 0, 1, 3 and 5 days, respectively. This inhibition was significantly increased (p<0.05) by 86.1; 91.6; 92.9 and 95.3% during storage at 6°C for 0, 1, 3 and 5 days, respectively, when 2mM PA was added in supplemented breast meat. In the exogenous experiment, through Response Surface Methodology design it was found out AT did not have a significant role towards oxidation inhibition whereas PA inhibited partially in samples stored for 48h at 6°C. The results showed that dietary AT inhibited at initial stage, subsequently PA would act at propagation phase occurring synergetic reaction between both antioxidants.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Warmed-over flavour has long been recognised as one of the primary causes of quality deterioration during processing as cooling, refrigerating and pre-cooking meat products. The term warmed-over flavour (WOF) was first introduced by Tims and Watts (1958) to describe the rapid development of oxidised flavour in cooked meat upon subsequent heating. The oxidised or stale flavour becomes readily apparent within first 48 hr after refrigerating at 4°C. The development of WOF is associated with the polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA), as phospholipids, located within the cell membranes (Pearson et al., 1977). Meat from different animal species exhibit a greater tendency to develop WOF such as fish > poultry> pork > beef > lamb, in sequence, because of their relative PUFA content. Heating is believed to accelerate the oxidation of phospholipids by liberating catalytically active iron from myoglobin and other iron-containing proteins (Igene et al., 1979).

Phytic acid (PA) is a natural plant constituent, comprising of 1-5% by weight of legumes, cereals
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or oil seeds. It is a powerful inhibitor of iron-driven hydroxyl radical formation because of its ability to form a unique iron chelate that becomes catalytically inactive (Graf and Eaton, 1990). Vitamin E is a membrane-associated antioxidant and dietary vitamin E supplementation highly suppressed lipid oxidation (Morrissey et al., 1998, O’Neill et al., 1999, Ruiz et al., 1999) and delayed metmyoglobin formation (Jensen et al., 1998, Faustman et al., 1998). It stabilises PUFA and cholesterol in muscle against oxidative deterioration. This effect is primarily due to the incorporation of the vitamin E into the subcellular membranes, where it maximises the antioxidant capacity (Monahan, et al., 1994; Buckley et al., 1995). It is also believed to suppress the development of Pale, Soft and Exudative (PSE) in chicken breast meat thus improving meat functional properties (Olive et al., 2001).

The objective of this work was to evaluate the antioxidative activities role of dietary vitamin E on WOF breast chicken meat and by exogenously application. Moreover, PA was applied exogenously associated with vitamin E and Response Surface Methodology (RSM) was designed for this experiment.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Dietary vitamin E and exogenous phytic acid

Chicken and diets

Commercial hens originated from male Petersen x female Hubbard (n=240) were raised in two separated groups for 49 days with distinct amounts of AT (BASF) fed throughout their development: 1) Control lot (n=120) consisting of a basal diet of 150.0 IU/kg of ration from day 1 to day 21, 30 IU/kg of ration from day 22 to day 42 and 7.7 IU/kg of ration from day 43 to day 49 and 2) Vitamin E supplemented diet lot (n=120) consisting of 150.0 IU/kg of ration from day 1 to day 21 and 200.0 IU/kg from day 22 to day 49. The complete ration formulation and metabolised energy were described in Olive et al. (2001).

Addition of phytic acid

Breast meat samples from supplemented diet birds were dipped into 2 mM solution of PA solution (phytic acid dodecassium salt - Sigma). Three treatments were made: 1) Control group (C), 2) Supplemented group (S) and 3) Supplemented group with exogenous addition of Phytic Acid (S+PA).

WOF development

Breast meat samples were packed into unsealed pouches and stored at 6°C for 5 days. Samples from 0, 1, 3 and 5 days were vacuum packed and cooked in a water bath up to an internal temperature of 75°C. Subsequently, unsealed packaged samples were stored at 6°C for 48h under fluorescent light (400 lux). Then, samples were re-heated in a microwave (High Power level, Panasonic) for 4 minutes, cooled to room temperature and development of WOF was determined by thiobarbituric acid (TBARS) measurement following the technique described by Tarladgis et al. (1964).

Determination of Vitamin E

α-Tocopherol concentration in Pectoralis rigor muscle was determined by HPLC (Shimadzu, model LC-10AD) following the methodology described by Liu et al. (1996).

Statistical analysis

Data were analysed by ANOVA using the SAS (Statistical Analysis System). The main effects in analysis were treatments (Control group, Supplemented group and Supplemented group with exogenous addition of PA) without interaction. Tukey’s multiple range tests were used to determine significant difference (p<0.05) among groups.

Exogenous vitamin E and phytic acid

Experimental design

A 3-level-2-factor experimental design with three replicate at the center point was adopted. The variables and levels in terms of coded and uncoded are given in Table 1. Assays were conducted with addition of PA (0-4 mM) and AT (Roche) (0-0.40g/kg of samples) in fresh breast meat samples according to experimental design (Table 1).

Samples were vacuum packed and cooked in a water bath to an internal temperature of 75°C. Subsequently, unsealed packed samples were stored at 6°C for 48h under fluorescent light (400 lux). Then, samples were re-heated in a microwave for 4 minutes, cooled to room temperature and development of WOF was monitored by TBARS measurement (Tarladgis et al., 1964).

The response function was WOF development which was transformed into logarithmic to the base 10 to assure the normality and expressed in
log of µg TBARS/kg of samples (Box and Draper, 1987). The analysis of variance and regression were performed using the SAS (Statistical Analysis Systems) and graphics were plotted in Statistica software (Oklahoma, USA, 1996).

Table 1 - Experimental design with coded and uncoded variables and levels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assay</th>
<th>Coded variables</th>
<th>Uncoded variables</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$x_1$</td>
<td>$x_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$X_1$ = phytic acid (mM) and $X_2$ = vitamin E (g of tocopherol acetate / kg of samples)
* Assays were run in a random order

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Dietary vitamin E and exogenous phytic acid

Table 2 shows AT concentrations in fresh and cooked chicken Pectoralis major. Dietary vitamin E supplementation increased AT concentration by 2-3 fold (p <0.05) in breast meat than control group (C). No significant difference (p<0.05) was observed between fresh and cooked meat in AT concentration. Thus, heating treatment did not affect the AT intramuscular concentration. Similar results were reported for cooked beef by Liu et al. (1994).

Table 2 - Vitamin E concentration in breast chicken meat, Pectoralis major, fed with vitamin E supplemented diet

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>$\alpha$-tocopherol concentrations (µg/g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>$10.52^a \pm 1.09$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>$29.31^b \pm 5.97$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S + PA</td>
<td>$30.03^c \pm 3.17$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S cooked</td>
<td>$29.03^c \pm 1.57$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values represent means of three samples ± standard error. Means with different superscripts are significantly different (p<0.05) by test Tukey

Figure 1 - Effect of dietary vitamin E supplementation and exogenous phytic acid on WOF development of breast chicken meat Pectoralis major stored at 6°C for 5 days
Fig. 1 represents TBARS values of cooked meat from control group (C) which decreased after 5th day of storage from 4.941 (0 day) to 2.477 mg/kg of sample and conversely TBARS values of cooked meat from supplemented group (S), 1.043 (0 day) to 1.324 mg/kg of sample at 5th day of storage. When PA was added in supplemented samples (S + PA), TBARS values decreased from 0.658 (0 day) to 0.116 mg/kg of sample after 5th day of storage.

Dietary vitamin E supplementation inhibited WOF development by 78.9; 69.0; 60.7 (p<0.05) and 46.5% during storage for 0, 1, 3 and 5 days, respectively. This inhibition was significantly increased (p<0.05) by 86.1; 91.6; 92.9 and 95.3% during storage for 0, 1, 3 and 5 days, respectively, when 2mM PA was added in supplemented breast meat. These results apparently due to the presence of vitamin E through its cromanol ring position which were could be located at the cell membranes phospholipids polar portion leaving out its phytol chain to interact with unsaturated fatty acid chain offering protection against oxidation reaction. This fact was probably the initial step for avoiding lipid oxidation development (Schaeffer et al., 1995).

PA by its chelating properties would sequester iron ions and synergistically stops chemically the major WOF development.
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**Figure 2 -** Effect of vitamin E and phytic acid concentrations on WOF development of breast chicken meat *Pectoralis major* stored at 6°C for 48 hours

**Exogenous vitamin E and phytic acid**

The analysis of variance for WOF development is shown in Table 3. The model for WOF development presented satisfactory $R^2$ (0.977), coefficient of variation of 2.50%, indicating low variability of results and regression highly significant ($P = 0.0004$). However, the lack of fit was significant ($P = 0.0277$) and as pointed out by Box and Draper (1987), this condition would not be considered when sum of square of pure error very low (Table 3). Thus, the model was could be consider to be adequate for the present investigation:

$Y = 3.485 - 0.505x_1 - 0.034x_2 + 0.232x_1^2 - 0.012x_2^2 + 0.012x_1x_2$
Table 3 - Analysis of variance for WOF development

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Sum of square</th>
<th>Prob &gt; F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Model</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.6825</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linear</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.5391</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quadratic</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1428</td>
<td>0.0229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross product</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of fit</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.0397</td>
<td>0.0277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pure error</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total error</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.0405</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

R² = 0.977; cv = 2.50%

The relationship between factors and WOF development could be better understood by examining the three dimensional and contour plots according to RSM design in Fig. 2. Only the presence of phytic acid showed to be significantly relevant. The exogenous addition of vitamin E up to 0.40g/kg of sample did not affect WOF formation. Dietary supplementation with vitamin E efficiently inhibited WOF development (Shimokomaki et al., 1999) and also the dietary vitamin E supplementation highly suppressed lipid oxidation and delayed metmyoglobin formation. It stabilises polyunsaturated fatty acids and cholesterol molecules in muscle against oxidative deterioration. This effect is primarily due to the incorporation of the vitamin E into subcellular membranes, where it maximises the antioxidant capacity (Buckley et al., 1995). In our study, the exogenous vitamin E did not become an integral part of membrane, and consequently was not as effective as dietary incorporated vitamin E.

CONCLUSIONS

Poultry vitamin E dietary supplementation retarded WOF development at initial stage in chicken breast meat by avoiding free radicals formation. Phytic acid (PA) inhibited it subsequently by sequestering catalytically active iron mostly from myoglobin at propagation stage in a synergetic effect between both natural antioxidants. This phenomenon was not observed when Vitamin E and PA were added exogenously into meat samples.
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