Chromosomal Differentiation between Populations of *Oligosarcus hepsetus* (Teleostei, Characidae) from Small Tributaries at Opposite Margins of the Paraíba do Sul River (Brazil)
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ABSTRACT

Cytogenetic analyses were carried out in two populations of *Oligosarcus hepsetus* from tributaries at opposite margins of the Paraíba do Sul river. The same diploid number was observed in both populations (2n=50), but they showed remarkable differences related to karyotype formula and distribution of rDNA sites as revealed by silver nitrate staining and in situ hybridization with 18S probes. The results suggested that the main channel of the Paraíba do Sul river acted as barrier to gene flow between populations.
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INTRODUCTION

*Oligosarcus hepsetus* is a fish species distributed along Brazilian coastal rivers from Rio de Janeiro (Southeastern region) to Santa Catarina (Southern region) (Menezes, 1987). It represents one of the 16 species of the widespread *Oligosarcus* genus (Menezes, 1987), whose phylogenetic position in the family Characidae remains controversial (Lima et al., 2003). Cytogenetic analyses were previously carried out in *O. hepsetus* (Falcão and Bertollo, 1985; Hattori et al., 2002; Kavalco, 2003), *O. jenynsii* (Falcão and Bertollo, 1985; Veiga et al., 1998; Hattori et al., 2002), *O. longirostris* (Cestari, 1996; Cunha et al., 2001; Rubert and Margarido, 2004), *O. macrolepis* (Falcão and Bertollo, 1985; *O. paramensis* (Martinez and Julio Jr., 2001; Rubert and Margarido, 2004) and *O. pintoi* (Falcão and Bertollo 1985; Hattori et al., 2002; Rubert and Margarido, 2004). All these species have the same diploid number (2n=50) and an asymmetric karyotype, but they can be differentiated by karyotypic formulae and/or Ag-NOR patterns.

The present study describes a chromosomal differentiation in two *O. hepsetus* populations from tributaries at opposite margins of the Paraíba do Sul river.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Cytogenetic analyses were carried out in 540 metaphases of *Oligosarcus hepsetus* (10 females
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and 8 males) from Grande stream, a tributary on the left margin of the middle Paraíba do Sul river (22°46′2.98″S and 45°26′7.05″W), and in 590 metaphases of *Oligosarcus hepsetus* (11 females and 9 males) from Santo Antônio stream, a tributary on the right margin of the middle Paraíba do Sul river (22°35′14.8″S and 44°42′46.2″W). The chromosomal preparations were obtained according to Bertollo et al., (1978). Constitutive heterochromatin and nucleolar organizer regions (Ag-NORs) were detected according to Sumner (1972) and Howell and Black (1980), respectively. Sequential bandings followed the procedure suggested by Centofante et al. (2002). The chromosomal location of the 18S sites was obtained by fluorescent in situ hybridization, (FISH) as reported by Pinkel et al. (1986), using a 18S rDNA probe isolated from *Prochilodus argenteus* (Hatanaka and Galetti Jr., 2004) The chromosomal morphology was based on arm ratio (Levan et al., 1964).

**RESULTS**

The population of *O. hepsetus* from Ribeirão Grande stream presented a diploid number of 2n=50 chromosomes, with a karyotype formula composed by 6 metacentric chromosomes (M), 12 submetacentric chromosomes (SM), 14 subtelocentric chromosomes (ST) and 18 acrocentric chromosomes (A) (Fig. 1a). No heteromorphism related to sex was observed.

![Figure 1 - Karyotypes of *Oligosarcus hepsetus* from the Ribeirão Grande stream. (a) Giemsa stained and (b) C-banded. In the box the chromosomes bearing Ag-NORs. Bar= 5µm.](image-url)
Ag-NORs were observed in three chromosomes; one homologous from the 20th acrocentric pair at the telomeric region on the long arm, and both chromosomes from the 17th acrocentric pair at the telomeric region on the short arms (Fig. 1 box). FISH with 18S rDNA probe showed an additional site to those identified by silver nitrate staining (Ag-NORs) totaling four positive signals (Fig. 3a). The constitutive heterochromatin (C-bands) was observed at pericentromeric region of the entire chromosomal set, besides some conspicuous segments at telomeric region on both arms of the pairs 1, 18 and 22; at telomeric region on the short arms of the pairs 2, 17, and in one homologous from the pair 5; at telomeric region on the long arms of the pairs 4, 10, 12, 21 and 24; at interstitial region on the long arms of one homologous from the pairs 14 and 20; and at subterminal region on the long arms of the pairs 19 and 25 (Figs. 1b and 4a).

The population of *O. hepsetus* from Santo Antônio stream presented a diploid number of 2n=50 chromosomes, divided into 4M, 12SM, 16ST and 18A (Fig. 2a). Ag-NORs were observed at the telomeric region on the short arms of three chromosomes, one homologous from the pair 5 (submetacentric) and both chromosomes from the pair 17 (acrocentric) (Fig. 2 box). By using *in situ* hybridization with 18S rDNA probe, three additional NOR sites were identified, besides those previously observed by silver nitrate staining, comprising six positive signals (Fig. 3b).

**Figure 2** - Karyotypes of *Oligosarcus hepsetus* from the Santo Antônio stream. (a) Giemsa stained and (b) C-banded. In the box the chromosomes bearing Ag-NORs. Bar= 5µm.
C-bands were distributed on the pericentromeric region of all chromosomes, some conspicuous blocks were observed at telomeric region on both arms of the pairs 21; at telomeric region on the long arms of the pairs 1 and 24; at interstitial region of one homologous from the pair 20; and at subterminal region of the pair 19 (Figs. 2b and 4b).

Both populations of *O. hepsetus* herein analyzed presented the same diploid number (2n=50), but they could be differentiated by the karyotype formulae: 6M+12SM+14ST+18A for the population from Ribeirão Grande stream and 4M+12SM+16ST+18A for the population from Santo Antônio stream. These chromosomal differentiations were probably due to non-Robertsonian rearrangements concerning the metacentric and subtelocentric chromosomes.

DISCUSSION

The relevance of studies focusing headwater environment has been stressed by Lowe-McConnel (1969), stating that large tropical river systems allowed some species from tributary headwaters to become geographically isolated from each other by physical, chemical or biotic barriers.
With regards to Ag-NORs, both populations shared the location of one site at the telomeric region on the short arm of the pair 17. However, exclusive Ag-NOR sites to each population could be observed, at one homologue from the pair 20 (acrocentric) in the Ribeirão Grande population and at one homologue from the pair 5 (submetacentric) in the Santo Antônio population. After in situ hybridization with 18S rDNA probe, it was possible to observe four signals in the population from Ribeirão Grande stream (corresponding to pairs 17 and 20) and six signals in the population from Santo Antônio river (corresponding to pairs 5, 17, and probably to 24). Such differences in the number of ribosomal sites between populations can be ascribed to transpositions, often referred as the putative chromosomal rearrangement responsible for the dispersion of nucleolar organizer regions in fish (Galetti Jr. et al., 1995; Almeida-Toledo et al., 1996; Mantovani et al., 2000).

After C-banding, it was also possible to observe diagnostic bands to each population, spread out over telomeric, subtelomeric and interstitial chromosomal regions (Fig. 4), besides a heterozygous polymorphism in some chromosome pairs. Such C-band polymorphism was previously observed in other fish species (Mantovani et al., 2000; Vicari et al., 2003) coupled with the occurrence of homozygotes specimens for the presence and absence of C-bands. Differently, homozygotes were not detected in the populations of *O. hepsetus*. These results could be related to the sample size analyzed or could represent a recent chromosomal event. According to John (1980), the occurrence of chromosomal rearrangements is not widespread, being rare their simultaneous incidence in homologous chromosomes. Therefore, in natural populations, structural rearrangements are introduced in a heterozygous condition and homozygotes offsprings are established by random matings.

Populations of *O. hepsetus* from Paraitinga river and Jacuí stream, tributaries from the upper Paraíba do Sul river, showed similar chromosomal features: 2n=50 chromosomes and a karyotype composed by 6M+10SM+16ST+18A, with a single chromosome pair (17) bearing Ag-NORs, and two chromosome pairs (17 and 23) bearing 18S rDNA sites (Kavalco, 2003). However, when these populations were compared to that from the present study, significant differences related to number of biarmed chromosomes and location of rDNA sites were seen.

The present populations of *O. hepsetus*, as well as those previously analyzed (Kavalco 2003), shared the location of Ag-NORs on 17th pair, indicating the it was a major NOR site. This has been also observed in other fish populations, as in *Astyanax scabripinnis* (Mizoguchi and Martins-Santos, 1998a). Similarly, the number of acrocentric chromosomes also remained constant among *O. hepsetus* populations, while the number of biarmed chromosomes was variable due to non-Robertsonian rearrangements. An opposite situation was observed among *A. scabripinnis* populations, where the number of metacentric chromosomes was conserved and the number of acrocentric chromosomes were variable, leading to alterations in the diploid number. In this case, both Robertsonian and non-Robertsonian rearrangements were invoked to explain these differences (Moreira-Filho and Bertollo 1991). Apparently depending on the fish group, some chromosomes were not able to change their structural organization and, therefore, they remained constant among populations, as in the case of acrocentric chromosomes in *O. hepsetus* and metacentric chromosomes in *A. scabripinnis*.

Chromosomal differences between populations from a same hydrographic basin were also observed in *Trichomycterus paolence* (Torres et al., 1998), *A. scabripinnis* (Moreira-Filho and Bertollo, 1991; Mizoguchi and Martins-Santos, 1998b; Alves and Martins-Santos, 2002; Fernandes and Martins-Santos, 2003). Such populational variability seemed to be a recurrent event in distinct taxa that lived in small populations restricted to streams. Moreira-Filho and Bertollo (1991) has described the importance of these habitats for the fixation of chromosomal rearrangements in *A. scabripinnis*.

Studies carried out by Caramaschi (1986) about the ichthyofauna from Tietê and Paranapanema rivers (Paraná river basin) demonstrated that *Oligosarcus pintoi* and *O. paranensis* were distributed along streams of third order, avoiding the main river channel. Most likely, the populations of *O. hepsetus* might present the same distribution pattern, limited to its respective streams, and the main channel of Paraíba do Sul river would act as a barrier to gene flow between populations. If so, the formation of small isolates would favour the fixation of chromosomal
rearrangements leading to genetic differentiation between populations.
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RESUMO

Análises citogenéticas foram realizadas em duas populações de Oligosarcus hepsetus provenientes de riachos de margens opostas do rio Paraíba do Sul. O número diploide foi o mesmo para ambas as populações (2n=50), porém diferenças significativas foram observadas com relação à fórmula cariotípica e distribuição dos sítios de DNAr detectados pela impregnação por prata e pela hibridação “in situ” com sonda 18S. Estes resultados sugerem que a calha principal do rio Paraíba do Sul estaria atuando como uma barreira para o fluxo gênico entre essas populações.
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