Selection for Durable Resistance to Leaf Rust using Test-Crosses on IAPAR-59 and Tupi IAC 1669-33 cultivars of Coffea arabica
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ABSTRACT

The aim of this study was to identify plants of the IAPAR-59 and Tupi IAC 1669-33 coffee cultivars with less defeated resistance genes by the rust races present at IAPAR (Londrina, Paraná State, Brazil) using test-crosses. Eighteen test-crosses derived from hybridizations between ‘IAPAR-59’ or ‘Tupi IAC 1669-33’ with susceptible coffee to the rust disease were evaluated. Six hybrids were used as susceptible standards originated from hybridizations between two susceptible coffee plants. Many parental plants of the ‘IAPAR-59’ and ‘Tupi IAC 1669-33’ presented more defeated resistance genes against rust races present at IAPAR than others of these cultivars or the genes were in heterozygous, because of segregant susceptible plants observed in some test-crosses. The test-crosses were very efficient to identify plants with less defeated resistance genes to the H. vastatrix. Coffee plants considered resistent must be made test-crosses to verify which plants presented less and/or more defeated genes in homozygous.
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INTRODUCTION

The most important disease of Coffea arabica L. is coffee leaf rust, caused by Hemileia vastatrix Berk. et Br, because the majority of the cultivars are susceptible. According to Matiello et al. (2002), in Brazil, 95 % of the arabica coffee cultivated area is composed by cultivars of Mundo Novo and Catuaí germplasms, both susceptible to the coffee leaf rust. The remained area is constituted by resistant cultivars like ‘IAPAR-59’, ‘Tupi IAC 1669-33’ and ‘Obatã IAC 1669-20’. The chemical control for leaf rust is efficient, but it demands expenses with fungicides. The deficient control leads to the bad nourishment and defoliation at winter months, resulting in coffee trees predisposed for frost, because deficient plants freeze more quickly (Sera and Guerreiro, 1995). The defoliation, before the floral induction, reduces the flowering and, during the fruits development, leads to the formation of small grains and badly nourished, affecting significantly the yield and the quality (Godoy et al., 1997). Coffee leaf rust causes decreases in the yield that varies from 35 to 50 %, depending on the cultivar susceptibility, humidity, plant yield and nutritional state (Zambolim et al., 1997).

The use of resistant cultivars is the most efficient, economic and ecologically correct control for this
Many resistant cultivars to the rust disease already exist with $S_{H1}$, $S_{H5}$, $S_{H7}$, $S_{H8}$, $S_{H9}$ and $S_{H?}$ resistance genes like coffees of the Sarchimor and Catimor germplasms. These genes originated from $C. canephora$, one of the genitors of the “Híbrido de Timor” (“HDT”) and other interspecific hybrids like the “Icatu”. $S_{H1}$, $S_{H2}$, $S_{H4}$ and $S_{H5}$ genes, which confer resistance to some $H. vastatrix$ physiological races and were detected in pure arabica coffee accesses originated from Ethiopia. $S_{H3}$ gene supposedly is derived from $C. liberica$ (Bettencourt and Rodrigues Jr., 1988). Bettencourt (1981) reported that the resistance factors known to rust are $S_{H1}$ to $S_{H9}$ more $S_{H?}$, contrasting with the corresponding $H. vastatrix$ virulence factors $v1$ to $v9$ more $v?$. The existence of other resistance genes ($S_{H?}$) in derivatives of “HDT” and other interspecific hybrids have been confirmed due to defeated resistance by new rust races in some of these genotypes (Rodrigues Jr. et al., 2000).

Studies carried out in India (Mayne, 1932, 1935 apud Varzea et al., 2002) and in Portugal (Varzea et al, 1989; Rodrigues Jr. et al., 1993), differentiated forty physiological races of $H. vastatrix$, isolated from rust sampled on coffee trees originated from different regions. Six others new races were being characterized at Centro de Investigação das Ferrugens do Cafeeiro (CIFC) in Oeiras, Portugal (Varzea et al., 2002). The defeated resistance by new rust races in varieties of Catimor germplasm was observed. Probably, the resistance defeat in coffees of Icatu germplasms also occurred. In the case of the “Sarchimor” certain genotypes continued to present complete resistance for the new physiological races and the same occurred in some plants of the Colombia cultivar of germplasm Catimor (Varzea et al., 2002). The main cause of variation in $H. vastatrix$ has been related with genetic mutations (Varzea et al., 2002). To control the high capacity of this fungus to defeat the resistance, it would be necessary to develop the cultivars with many $S_{H}$ genes simultaneously aiming the durable resistance. The aim of this study was to identify coffee plants of the IAPAR-59 and Tupi IAC 1669-33 cultivars with less defeated resistance genes by the leaf rust using test-crosses.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

Two field assays, E0008 and E0102, respectively, were carried out in December 2000 and March 2001 on 2.5 m x 0.5 m plots. The altitude is 585 m, the annual average precipitation is 1610 mm, annual average temperature of 20.8 °C and relative humidity of air is 71 %. In these assays no have chemical control for rust disease were made.

The evaluation of field condition resistance was performed on local leaf rust population on coffee with 25 months (E0008) and 28 months (E0102) after planting. The resistance to $H. vastatrix$ by natural high infection condition was used a score scale varying from 1 to 5 (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scores</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Plants without chlorotic spots on the leaves.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Leaves with few chlorotic spots (1 to 5 spots) without spores.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Leaves with few chlorotic spots (1 to 5 spots) with spores and the injured leaf between 1 % and 9 %.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Number of spots with spores on leaves between 6 and 25 and injured leaf between 10 % and 35 %.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Number of spots with spores on leaves more than 25 and injured leaf more than 35%.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Eighteen test-crosses were evaluated derived from the hybridizations between some plants of the IAPAR 59 and Tupi IAC 1669-33 cultivars with susceptible coffee trees to rust. Six hybrids were used as susceptible standards originated from hybridizations of two susceptible parentals, being three of the E0008 and three of the E0102 (Table 2). For E0008, the pollen of several plants of the ‘IAPAR 59 UBS’ and ‘Tupi IAC 1669-33 UBS’ were used for test-crosses. For E0102, ‘Tupi IAC 1669-33 III-3’, ‘Tupi IAC 1669-33 II-7’ and ‘Tupi IAC 1669-33 I-10’ were individual plants of the ‘Tupi IAC 1669-33 UBS’ population. The genotypes ‘IAPAR-59 e9702 III-1-9’ and
‘IAPAR-59 e9701 I-1-5’ were individual plants of the
‘IAPAR-59 e9702’ and ‘IAPAR-59 e9701’
populations, respectively. The susceptible
genotypes named “Et. S1 x Catuai” I-1, “Et. S1 x
Catuai” I-3 and “Et. S1 x Catuai” I-11”, used in
the test-crosses at E0102 assay, were individual
plants originated from F2 population of “C.
arabica accession from Ethiopia carrying S21
gene” x “Catuai”. ‘IPR-100’ derived from “Catuai
S12, S13” but, probably, didn’t have the S2 and/
or S13 gene. The origin of “Catucá b. E9502” is
unknown, but this genotype is susceptible to rust.
The genotypes “IAPAR-89203 III-16-3”, “IAPAR-89203 I-4-11” and “IAPAR-89203 V21-
13” were individual plants derived from F2
population of “IAPAR-89203” (“IAPAR-59” x
“Mundo Novo”).

The percentage of susceptible plants of the F1
hybrids was used as parameter to identify plants of
‘IAPAR-59’ and ‘Tupi IAC 1669-33’ with less
defeated resistance genes by the local rust races
population. Plants with scores 1 and 2 of rust
incidence were considered resistant and with
scores 3, 4 and 5 as susceptible ones. The number
of assessed plants and origin of each test-cross,
with respective assays and identification number of
the treatments are presented in Table 2.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The average rust score incidence and percentage of
susceptible plants are presented in Table 2. Except
the standard (“Et. S11” x “Catuai” I-11) x ‘Icatu
IAC-3282’, which presented 94.12 % of
susceptible plants, all the other standards presented
100 % of susceptible plants. This indicated that the
standard hybrids were goods for comparisons. The
hybrids of the E0102 assay, where one of the
parentals used was the ‘IAPAR 59 III-1-9’ or the
‘IAPAR 59 I-1-5’, many susceptible plants to the
rust were observed. The average of susceptible
plants of five hybrids was 60.77 % when ‘IAPAR-
59 III-1-9’ was used as parental, while that for the
‘IAPAR-59 I-1-5’, the average was 9.72 %,
indicating that this presented less defeated S1 genes
and/or more resistance genes in homozygous to local population of leaf rust.

It was possible that the ‘IAPAR-59 UBS’
population presented more resistance genes not
defeated than plants of the ‘IAPAR-59 e9701’
and ‘IAPAR-59 e9702’ populations. This was because
in two test-crosses accomplished at E0008, 100 %
of resistant plants were observed when plants of
‘IAPAR-59 UBS’ were used as pollinators. All the
plants of the test-crosses where the plants ‘Tupi
IAC 1669-33 III-3’ and ‘Tupi IAC 1669-33 II-7’
were used, presented resistance to H. vastatrix.
Thus, these two plants had less defeated resistance
genes and/or more genes were in homozygous
than the plant ‘Tupi IAC 1669-33 I-10’, because in
the test-cross ‘Tupi IAC 1669-33 I-10’ x “Pacas”
were observed 70.37 % of susceptible plants.

At E0008, the average of susceptible plants of the
four test-crosses was 9 % when the ‘Tupi IAC
1669-33 UBS’ population was used as pollinator,
while the average of the test-crosses with the
‘IAPAR-59 UBS’ population was 0 %. This
indicated that the plants of ‘Tupi IAC 1669-33
UBS’ carried less not defeated resistance genes
than plants of the ‘IAPAR-59 UBS’.

The results presented in Table 2 indicated that
many plants of the ‘IAPAR-59’ and ‘Tupi IAC
1669-33’ had more defeated resistance genes than
others of these cultivars or the genes were in
heterozygous. Probably, these plants of the
‘IAPAR-59’ and ‘Tupi IAC 1669-33’ didn’t carry
S3 gene or others S3 genes originated from
“Híbrido de Timor” CIFC 832-2. Thus, it was
necessary to select in these cultivars the plants
with more resistance not defeated genes like the
plants ‘Tupi IAC 1669-33 III-3’ and ‘Tupi IAC
1669-33 II-7’, which presented 100 % of resistant
plants in the test-crosses. These two plants and
plants of ‘IAPAR-59 UBS’ population would be
used in hybridizations with coffee carrying S3
gene aiming to obtain more durable resistance to
the rust disease.

It could be concluded that the test-crosses were
efficient and even in plants apparently resistant to
all the world physiological rust races like IAPAR-
59 and Tupi IAC 1669-33 cultivars, presenting
complete resistance for more than 30 years,
segregation in some plants were observed.
Test crosse should also be made for coffee
considered resistant such as ‘IAPAR-59’, ‘Tupi
IAC 1669-33’, ‘Obatá IAC 1669-20’, ‘Colombia’,
‘Siriema’, ‘Oeiras MG 6851’, ‘Paraíso MG H419-
1’, Catucá’s cultivars and others which presented
less defeated resistance genes. After this analysis,
mother plants without susceptible segregants in
progenies derived from test crosses could be used
as pollinator plants or mother plants for
hybridizations with coffee trees carrying genes
like S3 aiming at more durable resistance. The
resistance genes S1, S2 and S4, alone or in
combinations, didn’t provide durable resistance (Eskes, 1983).
The $S_{H3}$ gene and certain genes of *C. canephora* like of the “Híbrido de Timor” and “Icatu” could be more efficient to get durable resistance, especially when used in combination with complete resistance (Bergamin-Filho, 1976; Eskes, 1983). Seed fields would have to be made starting from these plants with less broken resistance genes identified by test-crosses. The extensive planting of coffee cultivars with many resistance genes like genotypes derivatives of the group A (resistant to all the races), that presented susceptible plants in the test-crosses must be avoided. This would be because it was possible that these remained only one $S_{H}$ gene to be defeated. Thus, this would facilitate the formation of new races, like in India, where the race XXXIX was identified.

**Table 2** - Resistance to the rust disease in coffee hybrids with respective mean score, percentage of susceptible plants (% Sus. plants), number of assessed plants (nº pl.), experiment and treatment number (Treat nº) (IAPAR, Londrina, Paraná state, Brazil).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiments</th>
<th>Treat nº</th>
<th>Hybrids (1)</th>
<th>Mean score (2)</th>
<th>% Sus. plants (3)</th>
<th>nº pl</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E008</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>“Catuai b. E9502” x ‘IAPAR-59 UBS’</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>“Catuai b. E9502” x ‘Tupi IAC 1669-33 UBS’</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>8.70</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>‘Mundo Novo IAC 376-4’ x ‘IAPAR-59 UBS’</td>
<td>2.29</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>‘Catuai Vermelho IAC 81’ x ‘IAPAR-59 UBS’</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>‘Catuai V. IAC 81’ x ‘Tupi IAC 1669-33 UBS’</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>17</td>
<td>‘Icatu Precoce IAC 3282’ x ‘Tupi IAC 1669-33 UBS’</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>28</td>
<td>* ‘Mundo Novo IAC 376-4’ x “IAPAR-89203 I-16-3”</td>
<td>4.67</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>29</td>
<td>* ‘Mundo Novo IAC 376-4’ x “IAPAR-89203 I-4-11”</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>* ‘Mundo Novo IAC 376-4’ x “IAPAR-89203 V-21-13”</td>
<td>3.83</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E0102</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>(F$<em>2$ “Et. $S</em>{H1}$” x “Catuai I-1) x ‘IAPAR-59 e9702 III-1-9’</td>
<td>3.43</td>
<td>90.00</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>57</td>
<td>(F$<em>2$ “Et. $S</em>{H1}$” x “Catuai I-3) x ‘IAPAR-59 e9702 III-1-9’</td>
<td>2.29</td>
<td>35.48</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>58</td>
<td>(F$<em>2$ “Et. $S</em>{H1}$” x “Catuai I-11) x ‘IAPAR-59 e9702 III-1-9’</td>
<td>2.95</td>
<td>55.00</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>59</td>
<td>(F$<em>2$ “Et. $S</em>{H1}$” x “Catuai I-1) x ‘Tupi IAC 1669-33 III-3’</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>(F$<em>2$ “Et. $S</em>{H1}$” x “Catuai I-3) x ‘Tupi IAC 1669-33 III-3’</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>65</td>
<td>* (F$<em>2$ “Et. $S</em>{H1}$” x “Catuai I-11) x ‘Icatu Precoce IAC 3282’</td>
<td>4.82</td>
<td>94.12</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>67</td>
<td>* (F$<em>2$ “Et. $S</em>{H1}$” x “Catuai I-3) x “Catuai b. E9502”</td>
<td>4.29</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>82</td>
<td>* ‘Catuai V. IAC-81’ x “Catuai semperflorens”</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>91</td>
<td>‘IPR 100’ x ‘IAPAR-59 e9702 III-1-9’</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td>44.44</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>92</td>
<td>‘IPR 100’ x ‘Tupi IAC 1669-33 III-3’</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>98</td>
<td>‘Tupi IAC 1669-33 II-7’ x “Pacas”</td>
<td>3.04</td>
<td>70.37</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>99</td>
<td>‘IAPAR-59 e9702 III-1-9’ x “Pacas”</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td>78.95</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>‘IAPAR-59 e9701 I-1-5’ x “Pacas”</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>9.72</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Et. $S_{H1}$ = “*C. arabica* accession from Ethiopia carrying $S_{H1}$ gene”; Catuai V. = Catuai Vermelho.
(2) Score scale used in the field evaluation of the resistance to local rust races: 1 = Plants without chlorotic spots on the leaves; 5 = number of spots with spores on leaves more than 25 and injured leaf more than 35%.
(3) Plants with scores 3, 4 and 5 of rust incidence were considered resistant.
* Susceptible standards to local rust (*H. vastatrix*) population.
The susceptible genotypes to local rust (*H. vastatrix*) population are presented in **bold**.

This study also indicated that IAPAR could have new rust races that had defeated the $S_{H5}$, $S_{H6}$, $S_{H7}$, $S_{H8}$ and $S_{H9}$ genes, alone or in combination, like the race XXXXIX with seven virulence genes (v2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9) or race XXIX with genes v5, 6, 7, 8 and 9. It was possible that only the $S_{H?}$ resistance gene of the “Sarchimor” wasn’t defeated. Leaf samples with sporulation of these susceptible segregant plants could be sent to the CIFC to verify the appearance of new rust races. Clones of plants of ‘IAPAR-59’ and ‘Tupi IAC 1669-33’ that presented susceptibility to the rust must be sent to the CIFC. Therefore, it was possible to find new coffee differentials in these segregant populations. Varzea et al. (2002) reported that differential plants originated from
“Catimor” and “Sarchimor” did not exist, making it difficult the characterization of the virulence genotypes of some rust isolated originated from these germplasms.

CONCLUSIONS

- Many plants of the ‘IAPAR-59’ and ‘Tupi IAC 1669-33’ presented more defeated resistance genes by the rust races present at IAPAR than others of these cultivars or the genes were in heterozygous that ought to be avoided to use in future crossings.
- The test-crosses were very efficient to select plants with less defeated resistance genes by the *H. vastatrix*.
- In coffee plants considered resistant test-crosses must be made to verify which plants presented less defeated resistance genes and/or more genes in homozygous before crossing aiming durable resistance.
- The plants ‘Tupi IAC 1669-33 III-3’, ‘Tupi IAC 1669-33 II-7’ and plants of ‘IAPAR-59 UBS’ presented less defeated resistance genes and/ or resistance genes in homozygous to rust races present at IAPAR than others of these cultivars.
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