Chemical Composition of Flours Made of Residues from the King Palm (*Archontophoenix alexandrae*) Industry
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**ABSTRACT**

Residues from King palm (*Archontophoenix alexandrae*) processing were used for the production of flours, which were then chemically characterized. The protein content in these flours ranged from 3.62 to 9.75 g/100g and was higher in sifted leaf flour (SLF). The dietary fiber contents varied from 64 to 72 g/100g. These values were high when compared to those of flours used in human nutrition. Analysis of anti-nutritional factors showed phytate contents to be below the levels that affected the bioavailability of minerals in human diet. Tannin contents were compatible with those found in legumes, between 0 and 2000 mg/100g. These flours showed high mineral content, which suggested a possibility for them to be used as food supplement. However, the bioavailability of these minerals could be affected by high total dietary fibre concentrations and anti-nutritional components contained in the samples.
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**INTRODUCTION**

*Archontophoenix alexandrae*, commonly known as king palm, is endemic to the tropical forests of eastern Australia. King palm has been shown as an alternative species in heart-of-palm production, due to the exhaustion of natural reserves of *Euterpe edulis* Mart. in the Atlantic forest, or due to the continuous extraction of *Euterpe oleracea* Mart. from the Amazon forest (Ramos and Heck, 2003; Bovi and Spiering, 2004). *A. alexandrae* produces heart-of-palm (locally known as palmito) of the noblest type, with higher quality and superior flavour when compared to that of *Euterpe oleracea* Mart. (*açai* palm), which currently supplies more than 80% of the palmito traded in the international market (Bovi, 1998).

Residues from *palmito* processing, according to Ribeiro (1996), comprise 80-90% of total palm weight, with some variations depending on the species. In spite of increasing interest in the utilization of industrial residues as well as in residue valorisation studies (Silva et al., 2001; Oliveira et al., 2002), only two research studies on the utilization of king palm residues were published" (Vieira et al., 2008; Simas et al., 2009). The chemical composition of several plants has been studied for human or animal nutrition, chemical and pharmaceutical use, and also as an alternative for economic valuation of natural
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resources and preservation. However, since the 1990’s, several research groups have shown a growing interest regarding the composition of vegetable species, as can be seen by the significant increase in studies reported in literature in recent years (Amante et al., 1999; Almeida et al., 2002; Kawashima and Soares, 2003; Grupeta et al., 2004; Paduá et al., 2004; Matias et al., 2005; Porres et al., 2005).

The chemical composition of king palm residues is fundamental to know their potential as a new raw material. Despite the nutritional, environmental, and economical importance of *A. alexandrae*, there is no information available on its complete chemical analysis or on the presence of anti-nutritional factors and mineral contents.

The aim of this study was to evaluate the chemical properties of the flours prepared with residues from king palm (*A. alexandrae*) processing, enlarging the perspectives which determine their use, and to prospect new market segments for their potential uses - consequently reducing the residual load on the environment.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Production of flour**

In this work, fifteen organic *A. alexandrae* plants were used. After removing the heart-of-palm (*palmito*), the residues from organic king palm processing (leaf sheaths and leaves) were washed and oven-dried (60 °C, 48 h) in a forced air oven (Model 171, FABBE, São Paulo, Brazil). The dried raw material was milled in a hammer mill (Brameitar) to a dry 42 sieve size powder, producing leaf sheath flour (LSF) and leaf flour (LF). Part of these two flours was screened to pass through a 60 mesh sieve (British Standard Screen) to remove the most fibrous parts, producing sifted leaf flour (SLF) and sifted leaf sheath flour (SLSF).

Samples of LF, LSF, SLF and SLSF were packaged in an airtight plastic bag and stored in a freezer (-18 ± 2 °C) until required.

**Flour yield and chemical analysis**

The yield of flour made of king palm residues was determined gravimetrically through the relation between *in natura* residue weight and dry flour weight, expressed in percentages. Moisture, total ash, and lipid contents were analysed according to the methods of the Association of Official Analytical Chemists (1998); total nitrogen was determined by Kjeldahl method and crude protein by using the 6.25 conversion factor (AOAC, 1998). The soluble and insoluble dietary fibre content was analysed according to the methods of the American Association of Cereal Chemists (1999). The total carbohydrate was calculated by difference as 100 (% moisture + % ash + % lipid + % protein + % total dietary fibre). Energy values (Kcal) were calculated by applying the conversion factors of ATWATER which considered 4 Kcal/g for protein and carbohydrates, and 9 Kcal/g for lipid (Watt and Merrill, 1999). All the analyses were performed in triplicate.

**Anti-nutritional factors**

Phytic acid was determined according to the method of Thompson and Erdman (1982) by the measurement of residual iron remaining in solution after the precipitation of the Fe-IP6 complex, and tannin content was determined according to the method described by Price et al. (1978).

**Mineral determination**

Samples were reduced to ash at 580-600 °C for approximately 12h. The ash was solubilised in nitric acid (1N), heated on a plate for 2-3 minutes and transferred to volumetric balloons, with the final volume of 100mL supplemented with nitric acid (AOAC, 1990). Calcium, magnesium, iron, zinc, and manganese contents were determined through atomic absorption spectrophotometry by using a Perkin-Elmer Analyst 300 spectrophotometer. Lanthanum was added to calcium and magnesium samples to prevent interferences caused by phosphate ions. Potassium and sodium were determined through atomic emission spectrophotometry by using a Perkin-Elmer Analyst 300 spectrophotometer (AOAC, 1990). Phosphorus was determined through the AOAC (1990) method by using a UV- VIS spectrophotometer (Hitachi Model U-1800). Readings of these elements were made at the following wavelengths ($\lambda_\text{w}$) in nm: $\lambda_{Mg}=258.3; \lambda_{Zn}=213.9; \lambda_{Mn}=57.6; \lambda_{Ca}=422.7; \lambda_{K}=261.2$ and $\lambda_{P}=420.0$. 

Statistical analysis
Mean ± SD values were calculated and the data were subjected to analysis of variance. If a significant F-test was noted, averages were separated by using Tukey multiple range test. Significance was accepted at $P \leq 0.05$.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Yield and chemical analysis
Due to partial removal of the most fibrous parts from these flours during the sifting process, the yields of the sifted flours showed lower values when compared to those of the non-sifted flours (Table 1). The values obtained for the composition of the sifted and the non-sifted flours of King palm residues can be found in Table 2.

Flours moistures were in accordance with the current legislation standard, which established a maximum of 10.0g/100g (CNNPA, 1978).

High values of dietary fibres, proteins and total ash in flours of king palm residues were observed. The values for proteins, total lipids, and ash found in the sifted flours were higher than those of the non-sifted flours, while the values for soluble and insoluble fibres were lower. Therefore, the sifted flours showed a higher energetic and nutritional value when compared to the non-sifted flours. The sieving of king palm flours showed the development of flours with different properties and applications due to the differences in its composition.

Table 1 - Yield in g/100g of leaf flour (LF), sifted leaf flour (SLF), leaf sheath flour (LSF) and sifted leaf sheath flour (SLSF) made of king palm (Archontophoenix alexandrae) residues.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Flours</th>
<th>Mean ± standard deviation (g/100g$^{-1}$) (n=3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LF</td>
<td>40.3 ± 1.13$^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLF</td>
<td>37.3 ± 1.15$^b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSF</td>
<td>17.7 ± 1.15$^c$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLSF</td>
<td>10.7 ± 1.12$^d$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average values in the same row followed by different superscript letters are significantly different ($P \leq 0.05$).

Results are mean ± standard deviation of three determinations.

Table 2 - Chemical composition and energetic value of leaf flour (LF), sifted leaf flour (SLF), leaf sheath flour (LSF) and sifted leaf sheath flour (SLSF) of king palm (Archontophoenix alexandrae) residues.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Composition</th>
<th>Mean ± standard deviation (g 100 g$^{-1}$) (n=3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moisture</td>
<td>8.51 ± 0.08$^a$ 8.41 ± 0.03$^a$ 8.81 ±0.02$^b$ 7.63 ±0.09$^c$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total ash</td>
<td>5.75 ± 0.04$^a$ 6.35 ± 0.06$^b$ 4.28 ± 0.05$^c$ 4.54 ± 0.08$^d$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total lipids</td>
<td>2.15 ± 0.02$^a$ 2.71 ± 0.06$^b$ 0.981 ±0.08$^c$ 1.14 ± 0.09$^d$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude Protein (N x 6.25)</td>
<td>9.24 ± 0.03$^a$ 9.75 ± 0.05$^b$ 3.62 ± 0.09$^c$ 3.82 ± 0.08$^d$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total dietary fibre</td>
<td>68.2 ± 0.02$^a$ 64.9 ± 0.06$^b$ 72.9 ± 0.03$^c$ 65.9 ± 0.05$^d$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soluble fibre</td>
<td>2.76 ± 0.03$^a$ 2.71 ± 0.03$^a$ 3.65 ± 0.02$^b$ 2.07 ± 0.07$^c$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insoluble fibre</td>
<td>65.2 ± 0.02$^a$ 62.2 ± 0.09$^b$ 69.2 ± 0.03$^c$ 63.9 ± 0.04$^d$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total carbohydrates$^*$</td>
<td>6.15 ± 0.04a 7.88 ± 0.05b 9.41 ± 0.05c 16.97 ± 0.07d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kcal/100g</td>
<td>80.91 ± 0.04$^a$ 94.91 ± 0.05$^b$ 60.94 ± 0.07$^c$ 93.42 ± 0.08$^b$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average values in the same row followed by different superscript letters are significantly different ($P \leq 0.05$).

Results are mean ± standard deviation of three determinations.

$^a$Calculated by difference.
The king palm flours showed higher contents of dietary fibre than those reported for cereals and brans (Chaudhary and Weber, 1990; Filizette-Cozzi and Lajolo, 1991; Sudha et al., 2007). LF, SLF, LSF, SLSF showed higher amounts of soluble dietary fibre than those reported for cereals such as hard wheat (1.61 g/100g), corn (1.45 g/100g), sorghum (1.42 g/100g), and similar amounts to those reported for barley (2.56 g/100g) (Ragaee et al., 2006). The king palm flours were significantly higher in insoluble dietary fibre contents when compared with barley (22.07 g/100g), corn (13.50 g/100g), rye (14.07 g/100g), sorghum (19.59 g/100g) (Ragaee et al., 2006), wheat bran (42.49 g/100g), rice bran (35.67 g/100g), oat bran (11.5 g/100g), barley bran (34.2 g/100g) (Sudha et al., 2007). The consumption of 10 g per day of these flours would represent around 27% of the recommended daily requirement for dietary fibre (25 g day⁻¹), as recommended by FAO/WHO (1973).

Their elevated fibre content indicated necessity for its nutritional characterization, due to its possible importance in developing physiological roles in intestinal disease reduction, as well as in the reduction of cholesterol and serum triglycerides levels (Anderson, 1993; 1994, Terry, 2001; Ferguson and Harris, 2003; Peters et al., 2003; Bingham et al., 2003). The total ash values of LF, SLF, LSF and SLSF were higher than those reported for wheat flour (0.7 g/100g) (Giami et al., 2005), oat bran (4.00 g/100g), millet (1.82 g/100g), rye (1.96 g/100g), sorghum (1.87 g/100g) (Ragaee et al., 2006) and wheat bran (4.21 g/100g) (Bilgiçli et al., 2007).

Phytate levels in king palm flour are not nutritionally significant, as they could be considered low when compared with soy and its derivates, where concentrations of phytic acid from 1000 to 1500 mg/100g are found (Reddy et al., 1989). According to Davis (1981), diminished bioavailability of bi and trivalent minerals only occur after a 1000 mg/100g concentration of phytate. On the other hand, the most utilized sources of cereal fibre which are considered to be rich in phytate, such as barley (1000 mg/100g) and rice cereal (980 mg/100g), or rice grains (4900 – 6900 g/100g) (Reddy et al., 1989), show significantly higher values than those found in the flours studied in this work. Ingestion of high levels of phytate has been associated with adverse anti-

### Table 3 - Anti-nutritional factors content in leaf flour (LF), sifted leaf flour (SLF), leaf sheath flour (LSF) and sifted leaf sheath flour (SLSF) of king palm (Archontophoenix alexandrae) residues.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Flours</th>
<th>Phytate (mg/100g)</th>
<th>Tannins (mg/100g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LF</td>
<td>123.2 ± 2.5 an</td>
<td>750.2 ± 9.7 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLF</td>
<td>133.4 ± 3.5 ab</td>
<td>762.2 ± 8.09 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSF</td>
<td>692.1 ± 4.2 cd</td>
<td>251.5 ± 3.37 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLSF</td>
<td>762.5 ± 3.2 d</td>
<td>281.8 ± 7.41 d</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average values in the same column followed by different superscript letters are significantly different (P≤0.05). Results are mean ± standard deviation of three determinations.

* Expressed in catechin equivalents/mg.
nutritional effects in humans, since these compounds are known to reduce bioavailability of proteins and minerals and inhibit the action of amylolytic and proteolytic enzymes (Serraino et al., 1985; Farinu and Ingrão, 1991; Chung et al., 1998; Câmara and Madruga, 2001). However, these’s also a positive role for phytate in the human body, such as risk reduction of colon cancer, (Shamsuddin, 1992; Jenab and Thompson, 2002), and antioxidant action (Empson et al., 1991). When under favourable pH conditions, tannins form complexes with proteins, carbohydrates, and other polymers in foods, as well as with certain metallic ions such as iron. The greater tendency of tannins to form complexes with proteins rather than with carbohydrates and other polymers could explain the low digestibility of proteins originating from legumes (Empson et al., 1991). Tannin contents in legumes vary between 0 and 2000 mg/100g, which are values similar to those found in king palm flour. Generally speaking, the human diet consists of several foods that contain considerable quantities of tannin. Some examples of such foods are: dry beans, peas, cereals, green leaves and vegetables, coffee, tea and certain kinds of wines (Reddy et al., 1989). Therefore, the tannin levels obtained for the flours studied in this work suggest the need to further study their potential use in human diet.

Minerals

Mineral elements contents found in the samples were calculated from specific calibration curves for each element with a total of at least 5 points and showed a linear regression coefficient higher than 0.998. Mineral elements are important for the growth, development and preservation of body tissues, and perform specific functions in the human body. Mineral needs, such as calcium, magnesium, potassium, sodium, zinc, iron, and manganese are relatively elevated and the importance of their inclusion in diet has been discussed in nutrition reviews (Sgabieri, 1987; Velasquez-Melendez et al., 1997; Al-Awadi and Srikumar, 2000). Relation to inorganic elements was observed through the presence of eight elements: Na, K, Ca, Mg, Fe, Al, P, Mn, and Zn, which have been considered essential to the metabolism of living organisms (De Angels, 1997), (Table 4).

Table 4 - Mineral contents (mg/100g) in the leaf flour (LF), sifted leaf flour (SLF), leaf sheath flour (LSF) and sifted leaf sheath flour (SLSF) of king palm (Archontophoenix alexandrae) residues.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elements</th>
<th>LF</th>
<th>SLF</th>
<th>LSF</th>
<th>SLSF</th>
<th>Detection Limit (mg/ml)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>989 ± 2.5 a</td>
<td>1052 ± 2.6 b</td>
<td>892 ± 2.2 c</td>
<td>950 ± 2.0 d</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg</td>
<td>850 ± 7 a</td>
<td>859 ± 9 a</td>
<td>919 ± 6 b</td>
<td>959 ± 6.5 c</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>6.13 ± 0.09 a</td>
<td>6.89 ± 0.02 b</td>
<td>6.94 ± 0.07 c</td>
<td>7.58 ± 0.08 d</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>1.12 ± 0.03 a</td>
<td>1.14 ± 0.03 b</td>
<td>3.11 ± 0.15 b</td>
<td>3.38 ± 0.04 c</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>1.16 ± 0.04 a</td>
<td>1.39 ± 0.04 b</td>
<td>5.19 ± 0.04 c</td>
<td>5.41 ± 0.06 d</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na</td>
<td>3.69 ± 0.02 a</td>
<td>4.14 ± 0.01 b</td>
<td>3.87 ± 0.01 c</td>
<td>5.23 ± 0.01 d</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>44.1 ± 0.09 a</td>
<td>46.9 ± 0.02 b</td>
<td>31.5 ± 0.05 c</td>
<td>34.1 ± 0.03 d</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>647 ± 1.8 a</td>
<td>709 ± 2.2 b</td>
<td>695 ± 0.6 d</td>
<td>755 ± 0.9 d</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average values in the same row followed by different superscript letters are significantly different (P≤0.05).

The minerals found in highest quantity in these flours were calcium and magnesium, essential for human nutrition have an important role in the formation of bones, teeth, and tissue in the human body (Harper et al., 1982; Franco, 1999). The values for zinc and magnesium found, varied from 1.0 to 3.5 mg/100g and 1.0 to 5.5 mg/100g respectively; serve as essential activators in a series of metabolic reactions catalyzed by enzymes, and are, therefore, very important elements for reproduction and growth (Hazell, 1985, Cozzolino, 2005). The iron content ranged from 6 to 8 mg/100g. Iron is an important micronutrient for human nutrition and, due to the high indexes of anaemia in Brazil, has been largely used in food fortification programs (Hazell, 1985, Torres et al., 1996). The values found for sodium and potassium, varied from 3 to 5 mg/100g and 640 to 750 mg/100g, respectively. These minerals regularize...
muscular system function and heartbeat when found in association (Franco, 1999).

When compared with the daily mineral requirement values recommended by the RDA (1989) and the WHO (1996) (Table 5), king palm flour showed high mineral content. Consumption of 100 g of this flour could provide, from a minimum 12 % of Zn, to 100 % of the RDA of Ca, Mg and K. Other elements were between 12 and 100 %. However, the bioavailability of most minerals could be affected by high dietary fibre concentrations and other anti-nutritional compounds found in this product (Hazell, 1985; Cozzolino, 2005).

Table 5 - Daily requirements of some minerals recommended by the WHO and RDA.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elements</th>
<th>WHO (mg)*</th>
<th>RDA (mg)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>400-500</td>
<td>800-1200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>10(M), 20(W)</td>
<td>10(M), 15(W)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>350(M), 280(W)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>2-3</td>
<td>2-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>10-15</td>
<td>15(M), 12(W)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Recommended for young adults (19-24 years) (RDA, 1989, WHO, 1996) (M) recommended for men; (W) recommended for women.

Results are mean ± standard deviation of three determinations.

In spite of satisfactory results, the utilization of king palm flour requires studies on the bioavailability of minerals and toxicity in order to guarantee safety before its utilization in formulations for human nutrition could be suggested. The king palm residues studied in this work were a part of the raw material that required adequate manipulation to be used in flour production, converting residues into value in the food industry.
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