Spermatic Feasibility after 20 Years of Freezing and Refreezing – Case Study
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ABSTRACT

The aim of the present work was to study the spermatic feasibility after 20 years of freezing, thawing and refreezing. A young man affected by testicular cancer sought the sperm freezing service. After 20 years, the samples were thawed and frozen again. After two days, thawing and analysis of the material took place. Comparison of the findings showed activity reduction from the first thawing to the fresh semen 59.09%. For the second thawing it was 77.27%. Considering the morphology, first thawing showed a reduction of 44.64% in relation to fresh semen. In the second thawing, the reduction was of 75%. Spermatic motility decreased by 64.28% in relation to fresh semen and 92.85% at the level of second thawing. The patient had gone through in vitro fertilization in 2007, obtaining success in the first one attempt.
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INTRODUCTION

Semen freezing techniques are known since the 18th century through Spallanzani’s works in 1776 with observations on the survival of spermatozoa after freezing. It is generally accepted, however, that Christopher Polge, Andrey Smith and Alan Parkes were the first scientists to demonstrate the possibility of using, in a reproducible way, the technology of semen cryopreservation, showing that the glycerol possessed the properties and particularities as a cryoprotectant (Polge et al., 1949). The technological development of the semen cryopreservation is based on the need of the survival of cells of spermatogenic lineage for years and, many times, for decades. Thus, the main objective is to cryopreserve man’s fertilizing capacity in liquid nitrogen at -196°C and to maintain its feasibility after thawing. The addition of cryoprotector solutions diminishes the damages caused to the cells due to the formation of intracellular ice crystals. The most used cryoprotectants are glycerol, dimethylsulfoxide and 1-2 propanediol (Donnelly et al., 2001). Currently, there are several cryopreservation techniques the human semen to grow and enlarge their actual value, among which the freezing prior to chemotherapy and/or radiotherapy are important. Therefore, the seminal freezing should preced chemotherapeutic and radiotherapeutic treatments.
as they usually cause aplasia in the germinative cells. The asynchrony of the reproductive activity among the patients who undergo assisted reproduction techniques determines another indication with relative incidence (Anger et al., 2003; Taitson and Souza, 2008).

MATERIAL AND METHODS

In July / 1987, a 21-year-old man affected by testicular cancer sought the sperm freezing service, considering the indication of bilateral orchiectomy and chemotherapy. The semen was mixed with 6% of glycerol and a buffer solution containing (TES) N-tris (hydroxymethyl) methyl-2- aminoethanol-sulphonic acid and TRIS (hydroxymethyl) amino methane and warmed egg yolk (v/v) and homogenized. The final solution was inserted in 0.5 mL straws from IMV Technologies® (L’Aigle, France). The straws were put in aluminum racks. The solution was slowly cooled in liquid nitrogen at -80°C and stored in liquid nitrogen at -196°C. In December / 2007, 20 years and four months after the freezing, the patient, now 41 years old, searched for the same service as he no longer needed the maintenance of the frozen semen. The patient went through in vitro fertilization with the material frozen in September 2007, obtaining success in the first one attempt. After signing the disposal authorization term, the straws were submitted to room temperature for 10 minutes to be thawed. After that, 1.0 mL was frozen again using the freezing medium Test Yolk Buffer (TYB) with glycerol and gentamicin from Irvine Scientific® (Fountain Valley, USA) in 1:1 proportion. This material was sent to new cryopreservation in a liquid nitrogen. After two days, the thawing and the analysis of the material took place, assessing the feasibility of refreezing human semen using the technique of liquid nitrogen vapor.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The comparison of the findings showed 59.09% activity reduction from the first thawing to the fresh semen, which was from the second thawing to the fresh semen was 77.27%. Considering the morphology, the first thawing showed a reduction of 44.64% in relation to the fresh semen. In the second thawing, the reduction was 75%. The spermatic motility (A+B) decreased by 64.28% in relation to the fresh semen and 92.85% at the level of the second thawing (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Fresh semen</th>
<th>First thawing</th>
<th>Second thawing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Activity (%)</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morphology (ovars,%)</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motility A: 18%, B: 10%</td>
<td>A: 06%, B: 04%</td>
<td>A: 00%, B: 02%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

During the cryopreservation process, the cells go through morphological alterations which can cause their death. These alterations occur at the plasmatic membrane level of the head and the intermediate piece of spermatozoa. Therefore, the preservation state of the spermatic membranes is decisive for the success of cryopreservation. The success of this technique requires the cooling rates to be in general between 10 and 50°C/minute (Anger et al., 2003; Neal et al., 2007). The recrystallization invariably occurs during thawing, creating intracellular ice, which is lethal (the small ice crystals are converted into few bigger crystals, when the thawing is slow). For this reason, a high rate of thawing is usually used in order to diminish the level of recrystallization. When the thawing is rapid, there is not enough time for the dehydrated cells to absorb the quantity of water the lost during freezing (Ninhaus-Silveira et al., 2006a; Ninhaus-Silveira et al., 2006b).

In March / 2004, it was reported that a baby was born from semen frozen for 21 years and sent to the in vitro fertilization technique (Horne et al., 2004). In 2005, it was observed that semen of two individuals were frozen for 21 and 28 years and both sent to intrauterine insemination, resulting in the birth of two babies (Feldschuh et al., 2005). Another study in 2005 evaluated 238 individual semen samples collected from 34 patients (18 had cancer) between 1976 and 1989. The maximum cryopreserved sperm deposits took 21 years. All semen parameters were worse than the fresh ones.
and semen motility loss was 80%. The semen deterioration criteria were more directly related to the initial freezing than to the length of storage (Bolten et al., 2005; Taitson et al., 2008). Clarke et al (2006), studying six semen samples after more than 28 years of storage in liquid nitrogen showed recovery of human sperm motility and ability to interact with the human pellucid zone. Normal acrosomic reaction was established in four samples. A study showed that only 27% of men who stored semen prior to cancer treatment used the samples in ten years’ time. Another relevant point was the fact that many of the patients that asked for semen cryopreservation were young (mean age of 24). Consequently, the beginning of the formation of their family showed be awaited (Blackhall et al., 2002).

There are reports that the semen cryopreservation and thawing can lead to damage in the seminal DNA in sterile men (Donnelly et al., 2001), but there is no data suggesting that the damage is increased by the storage period. The present study, demonstrated the post-thawing spermatid feasibility in semen frozen for 20 years that was thawed and frozen – thawed again. Refreezing of human semen by the technique of liquid nitrogen vapor allows the retrieval of viable spermatozoa after thawing. This is probably the first work to compare long time of semen cryopreservation in Brazil.
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