Cefuroxime Axetil Loaded Gastroretentive Floating Tablets Based on Hydrophilic Polymers: Preparation and In Vitro Evaluation
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ABSTRACT

The aim of this work was to study the formulation and in vitro characterization of hydro dynamically balanced floating matrix tablets using Cefuroxime axetil (CA) as model drug. Different excipients such as hydroxy propyl methyl cellulose (HPMC) K15M, E5LV (gelling agent), sodium bicarbonate (gas generating agent) and sodium lauryl sulfate (SLS) (solubility enhancer) were used in order to optimize the drug release profile as well as floating property. Decrease in release characteristics with high viscous polymer were observed due to increased gel strength, tortuosity and length of drug diffusion path. Significant difference in release rate was found at different concentration of SLS. The release mechanisms were explored and explained with zero order, first order, Higuchi, Korsmeyer and Hixson-Crowell equations. The release rate, extent and mechanism were governed by the content of polymer. The polymer content and amount of floating agent significantly affected the time required for 50% of drug release (t50%), mean dissolution time (MDT), release rate constant, and diffusion exponent (n). Kinetic modeling of dissolution profile revealed that the drug release mechanism could range from diffusion controlled to case II transport, which was co-dominated by diffusion polymer erosion in the release mechanism.
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INTRODUCTION

Rapid gastrointestinal transit could result in incomplete drug release from the device above the absorption region, leading to diminished efficacy of the administered dose (Iannuccelli et al. 1998). Therefore different approaches have been proposed to retain the dosage form in the stomach. These include bioadhesive systems (Santus, et al. 1997), swelling and expanding systems (Deshpande et al. 1996) and floating systems (Menon et al. 1994). In some cases, gastro retention is achieved by concomitant administration of drugs or excipients which slow the motility of GIT (Moes 1994). Perhaps the most promising approach to achieving the gastro retention is that of creating a swelling or expanding system in situ. When the drug is formulated with a gel forming polymer such as semi synthetic derivative of cellulose, it swells in the gastric fluid with a bulk density less than one. It then remains buoyant and floats in the gastric
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fluid, affecting a prolonged gastric residence time (GRT). This floating dosage form is known as a hydrodynamically balanced system (HBS (Ozdemir et al. 2000). Hydrodynamically balanced systems can remain in the gastric region for several hours and hence significantly prolong the gastric residence time of drugs. Prolonged gastric retention improves bioavailability, reduces drug waste, and improves solubility for drugs that are less soluble in a high pH environment of small intestine. It has some applications also for local drug delivery to the stomach and proximal part of small intestine (Ponchel and Irache 1998). Instead of having lot of potential benefits, floating drug delivery is associated with certain limitations. Drugs that irritate the gastric mucosa, multiple absorption sites in the gastrointestinal tract, undergo significant first pass metabolism and those that are not soluble and stable at gastric pH are not suitable candidates to be formulated as floating dosage forms (Lauritsen 1990).

Cefuroxime axetil (CA) is a broad spectrum β-lactamase cephalosporin that has well defined pharmacokinetics after intramuscular and intravenous administration in the form of sodium salt (McEvoy 2003 and Wozniak and Hicks 1991). In human, gastrointestinal absorption of cefuroxime is negligible (Ridgway et al. 1991). Cefuroxim (Cefuroximaxetil) an oral prodrug shows a bioavailability of 30 to 40% when taken on fasting and 5 to 60% when taken after food (Sommers, et al. 1984; Finn et al. 1987; McEvoy 1994 and Williams and Harding 1984). The cefuroximacetel esterase can hydrolyze cefuroxime axetil to the nonabsorbable cefuroxim in the gut lumen and is therefore, suspected as a possible cause of incomplete bioavailability (Harding 1990) which suggests an absorption mechanism through the mucosa with limited capacity. CA has saturation kinetics that could be overcome by slow release of drug from the formulation, by incorporating the drug in a sustained drug delivery system. Moreover, Cefuroxime axetil has higher absorption in the proximal region of GI tract and poor absorption, as well as antibiotic associated colitis, when a large amount of drug entered the colon. This suggests it as an ideal candidate for a gastroretentive drug delivery system that prolong the gastric residence time of the dosage form, giving controlled drug release in the upper GI tract, where absorption of cefuroxime is well defined.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cefuroxime axetil was a generous gift sample from Alkem laboratories, Mumbai (India). Methocel K15M and E5LV were also gift samples from Mecleods lab, Mumbai. All other chemicals used were of analytical grade.

Preparation of floating tablets

Cefuroxime axetil loaded floating tablets were prepared by direct compression using Methocel K15M alone or in combination with E5LV as matrix former and sodium bicarbonate as floating agents. Lactose being water soluble filler was used to maintain the constant tablet weight as well as to counter balance the poor water solubility of drug. Various ingredients (in mg) used in different formulations of gastroretentive tablets are presented in the Table 1. Appropriate amounts of the mixture were accurately weighed for the preparation of each tablet. The powder blend was then lubricated with magnesium stearate (1%) and compressed by a 10 station rotary tablet punching machine (RimekMinipress I, Ahemedabad, India) using 12 mm flat face punch. All the prepared formulations were stored in airtight containers at room temperature for further studies.

In vitro buoyancy study

The in vitro buoyancy was determined by floating lag time, as per the method described by Rosa et al. (1994). The tablets were placed in a 100-mL beaker containing 0.1N HCl. The time required for the tablet to rise to the surface and float was determined.

Study of release profile

The release of CA from the floating tablets was determined by using Dissolution Tester USP XXII. The dissolution test was performed using 900 ml 0.1N HCl solution at 37 ± 0.5°C and the paddles were rotated at 100 rpm. At every 1 h interval, 1.0 ml of aliquot was withdrawn from the dissolution medium and it was replaced with fresh medium to maintain the volume as constant. The samples were filtered and diluted to suitable concentrations with 0.1 N HCl solutions. The absorbance of the solutions was measured at 277.6 nm for CA with a UV Visible double beam spectrophotometer (Thermo, USA). Cumulative percentage drug release was calculated using an equation obtained from standard curve. The times for 50 and 80% drug release were calculated based on the
Korsmeyer and Peppas model (Korsmeyer et al. 1983).

Release profile analysis
For theoretical analysis of dissolution profile of all the batches, the following mathematical model were used: zero order kinetics, first order kinetics (Wagner 1969), Higuchi’s square root of time equation (Higuchi 1961), Hixson-Crowell cube root equation (Hixson and Crowell 1931) Korsmeyer and Peppas equations to ascertain the kinetic modeling of drug release.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION
CA exhibits broad spectrum of activities against gram-positive and Gram-negative microorganism. CA in amorphous form (purities 95%) has a higher bioavailability than the crystalline form with adequate chemical stability (Somani, et al. 2001). To minimize conversion of amorphous drug into crystalline state, tablets were prepared by direct compression technology (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ingredients</th>
<th>AH1</th>
<th>AH2</th>
<th>AH3</th>
<th>AH4</th>
<th>AH5</th>
<th>AH6</th>
<th>AH7</th>
<th>AH8</th>
<th>AH9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cefuroxime axetil</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMC K15M</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMC E5LV</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium Bicarbonate</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnesium Stearate</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D.C.Lactose</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium Lauryl Sulfate</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Release Rate Analysis
After pre-formulary of dissolution study, the drug release rate was analyzed by various mathematical models.

The drug release rate from one component polymeric system (AH1) was slow and, only 64.45±1.78 drug was released in 12 h (Fig. 4). Hence, different ratio of HPMC K15M and HPMC E5LV were fabricated in order to optimize the release pattern. In two compartment polymeric system AH4 and AH7, drug release rate increased with increasing E5LV concentration. The lower release rate in one compartment system, (AH1) could be explained by the swelling of polymer after it absorbed water. Higher amount of HPMC K15M imbibed with water caused greater degree of swelling (thicker gel layer formulation). This, in turn, increased the tortuosity and length of drug diffusion path, thus decreasing the amount of drug release (Fig. 1). The polymeric system with higher concentration of HPMC E5LV yielded faster drug release rate due to gradual decrease in viscosity, caused erosion rather than swelling and thus, decreasing the diffusion pathlength (Dortunc and Gunal 1997).

To counter balance the poor solubilization of CA, 0.5 and 1% concentration of anionic surfactant, sodium lauryl sulfate was used in different polymeric blend. Significant difference (p<0.5) in drug release rate was found in different concentration of SLS of same polymeric concentration (Fig. 2 and 3).

Drug dissolution was increased with the increased concentration of SLS up to 0.5%; further increasing the SLS concentration lowered the dissolution at 1% level (Fig. 4). This might be due to the solubilization effect of SLS at 0.5% level, which was not observed at 1% level, because of the formation of micelle.
Figure 1 - Relationship between release rate of CA and content of HPMC (solid line release rate $k_2$, dashed line MDT).

Figure 2 - Relation between release rate and blend of SLS at 9% HPMC K15MHPMC (solid line release rate $k_2$, dashed line MDT).

Figure 3 - Relation between release rate and blend of SLS content at 8% HPMC K15M.

Figure 4 - Release of CA from various systems.
A. Release of CA from various formulations containing 10% Methocel K15M with 0%, 0.5%, 1% SLS.
B. Release of CA from various formulations containing 9% Methocel K15M with 0%, 0.5%, 1% SLS.
C. Release of CA from various formulations containing 8% Methocel K15M with 0%, 0.5%, 1% SLS.
Release mechanism analysis

Based on the kinetics model, discussed previously, the best linear relation was shown to be Higuchi’s square root of time equation. The value of release exponent (n) were calculated from Korsemeyer and Peppas equation. The “n” value all formulation within 0.49 to 0.59 (Table 2), indicated anomalous transport, which was co-dominated by both diffusion and polymer erosion in the release mechanism.

Table 2 - Floating lag time and various release parameters for floating table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Batches Code</th>
<th>Floating Lag time (Minute)</th>
<th>t50% (hrs)</th>
<th>Zero order</th>
<th>Higuchi</th>
<th>Korsmeyer</th>
<th>MDT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Kn</td>
<td>R²</td>
<td>Kn</td>
<td>R²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AH1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>4.879</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>19.39</td>
<td>0.995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AH2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>5.921</td>
<td>0.957</td>
<td>23.28</td>
<td>0.992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AH3</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>4.971</td>
<td>0.934</td>
<td>19.79</td>
<td>0.992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AH4</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>5.991</td>
<td>0.961</td>
<td>23.48</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AH5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>6.982</td>
<td>0.953</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AH6</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>6.944</td>
<td>0.969</td>
<td>27.03</td>
<td>0.984</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AH7</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>7.12</td>
<td>0.974</td>
<td>27.79</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AH8</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>7.48</td>
<td>0.931</td>
<td>28.43</td>
<td>0.996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AH9</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>4.05</td>
<td>7.208</td>
<td>0.967</td>
<td>28.09</td>
<td>0.984</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Selection of optimized batch

The comparative dissolution results of the different batches were analyzed so as to get the optimized formulation. Dissolution profile of formulations contained only HPMC K15M, such as, AH1, AH2, AH3, were less in desired time periods. Dissolution could be enhanced by incorporation of low viscosity polymer HPMC E5LV along with K15M from AH4 to AH9. Release profile of AH4 was slower (less than 80%) even if 1% HPMC was replaced with E5LV. Solubility increased with increased SLS up to 5% then decreased. Thus, the drug release rate was increased in AH5 up to 15 to 20%, but decreased the release rate in case of 1% SLS level of AH6. Similar type of release pattern was observed in case of formulation containing 8% HPMC E5LV; but at 5% level of SLS, 97.54±0.68 drug was released in 11 h (Fig. 4). The time required for 50% drug release (t50%) and mean dissolution time (MDT) (Mockel, et al. 1993) of formulation AH4 to AH9 was determined and compared to get the optimized formulation (Table 2). Batch AH6 had highest t50% and MDT value.

When dissolution data of AH6 was plotted in terms of the Hixon-Crowell cube root law, the compliance of this formulation to the equation indicated a change in surface area and diameter of tablets, due to the progressive dissolution of the matrix as a function of time (Fig. 5).

Drug excipient interaction study

Drug excipient interaction plays a vital role in the release of drug from formulation. FTIR techniques have been used study the physical and chemical interactions between the drug and excipient used. In the present study, no chemical interaction between CA and excipients used was found (Fig. 6).

![Figure 5 - Hixon Crowell release profiles of formulation AH6 (n = 6, mean ± SD).](image-url)
CONCLUSION

From the results, it could be concluded that for the development of controlled release dosage from poorly water soluble drug, polymer blends of different viscosity grade of HPMC and presence of surfactant were useful which imparted hydrophilic environment and wettability to molecules of drug which led more uniform drug release.
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