Ractopamine as a Metabolic Modifier Feed Additive for Finishing Pigs: A Review

Vivian Vezzoni de Almeida¹, Amoracyr José Costa Núñez², Valdomiro Shigueru Miyada¹

¹Escola Superior de Agricultura “Luiz de Queiroz”; Universidade de São Paulo; Av. Pádua Dias, 11; C. P.: 9; 13418-900; Piracicaba - SP - Brasil. ²Faculdade de Zootecnia e Engenharia de Alimentos; Universidade de São Paulo; Av. Duque de Caxias Norte, 225; 13635-900; Pirassununga - SP - Brasil

ABSTRACT

The β-adrenergic agonist ractopamine is increasingly used in the swine industry due to higher consumer demand for leaner pork products. Redirecting nutrients to favor leanness rather than fat deposition, ractopamine improves growth and carcass traits of finishing pigs. However, the impact of this agonist on pork quality is not clearly defined. Understanding the biological effects of dietary ractopamine dose, treatment period, lysine levels, and the lysine to metabolizable energy ratio will help pork producers achieve improvements in animal performance, carcass leanness, and economic efficiency in swine production systems.
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INTRODUCTION

Pork is the most widely consumed form of animal protein in the world, but the consumption of pork products remains low in Brazil. Brazilian per capita pork consumption was only 14.24 kg in 2009 (ABIPECS 2011), which is much lower than in the European Union, where it was 42.80 kg in the same year (USDA 2011). The main reason for low Brazilian pork consumption may be related to lingering myths and beliefs concerning the possible negative effects of pork consumption on human health.

Consumer demand for leaner and healthier pork products has risen steadily each year; thus, improvements in animal health, genetics, and especially nutritional technologies, such as the utilization of β-adrenergic agonists in diets, have become important in helping pork producers to meet this demand. In addition to their use in human and veterinary medicine as bronchodilatory and tocolytic agents (Kuiper et al. 1998), β-adrenergic agonists increase both lean growth rate and carcass lean percentage (Boler et al. 2010), and improve feed efficiency of finishing pigs (Rikard-Bell et al. 2009; Hinson et al. 2011).

Ractopamine is classified as a β-adrenergic agonist that acts as a repartitioning agent, redirecting nutrients away from adipose tissue and towards greater muscle deposition. Its use has obtained regulatory approval as a growth-promoting feed additive for swine in the United States, Canada, and Brazil. However, ractopamine is not authorized for use in the European Union or China for growth promotion in farm animals.

The objectives in this review are to highlight the current state of knowledge regarding the modulation of animal growth by ractopamine and to define strategies for optimizing finishing pig responses.

¹Author for correspondence: vv.almeida@hotmail.com
Chemical structure of ractopamine
Ractopamine is a phenylethanolamine with β-adrenergic agonist properties similar to the natural catecholamines epinephrine and norepinephrine. The presence of an aromatic ring with a hydroxyl group bound to the β-carbon, positively charged nitrogen in the ethylamine side chain, and bulky substituent (butyl-phenol group, Fig. 1) on the aliphatic nitrogen makes the molecule more specific to the β-adrenergic receptor (βAR) (Smith 1998). Two conformations can arise at each chiral carbon (R or S); therefore, the commercial preparation of ractopamine is an equimolar mixture of four stereoisomers (RR, RS, SR, and SS) (Ricke et al. 1999).

β-adrenergic receptor tissue distribution and ractopamine isomers
Most mammalian cell types have βAR embedded in the plasma membrane. These receptors can be classified into three subtypes: β₁AR, β₂AR, and β₃AR (Lands et al. 1967). The predominant subtype expressed in pig tissues is β₁AR, representing 73% of the total βAR in adipose tissue (McNeel and Mersmann 1999) and 59% in skeletal muscle (Liang and Mills 2002). Porcine skeletal muscle contains 41% β₂AR (Liang and Mills 2002), while 20% appears in porcine adipocytes (McNeel and Mersmann 1999). However, there is a limited pattern of β₂AR expression in most tissues except adipose, where it constitutes 7% of βAR mRNA abundance (McNeel and Mersmann 1999).

Ractopamine is a mixture of four stereoisomers, but not all isomers may be biologically active, and the correct kinetics of the active isomer may be confounded by the presence of competing isomers (Ricke et al. 1999). The RR isomer is likely the functional ligand of ractopamine, but its effectiveness may be compromised by the presence of the RS stereoisomer (Mills et al. 2003a). Moreover, in the cloned receptor model, RR stereoisomers may couple more efficiently to adenyl cyclase through β₂AR rather than β₁AR, even though it exhibits equal affinity to both subtypes (Mills et al. 2003a).

β-adrenergic receptor signaling pathway
The transmembrane signaling pathway is initiated by ractopamine binding to βAR, which activates the guanine nucleotide-binding protein (G protein). G proteins are heterotrimers that consist of the Gα and the tightly associated Gβγ subunits; in its inactive form, the Gα subunit is coupled with guanosine diphosphate (GDP) (Benovic 1988). Binding of β-agonists to βAR leads to the activation of the G protein-coupled receptor; the Gα subunit releases GDP and binds to guanosine diphosphate (GDP) to activate the subunit. The Gα subunit then dissociates from the Gβγ dimer and activates adenyl cyclase (AC), which catalyzes the conversion of adenosine triphosphate (ATP) into cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP) (Alberts et al. 2004). The cAMP then binds to the regulatory subunits of cAMP-dependent protein kinase A (PKA), causing a conformational change that releases and activates the catalytic subunits (Benovic 1988). These activated subunits can then phosphorylate various enzymes to mediate cellular responses (Fig. 2).

With prolonged exposure of the cells to ractopamine, the intensity of the receptor-mediated response can be reduced despite the continued presence of the agonist. This diminished responsiveness, termed desensitization, can occur by three main processes: (1) uncoupling of the...
receptors from its G protein, (2) temporary sequestration and internalization of uncoupled receptors, and (3) degradation of internalized receptors (Alberts et al. 2004). Desensitization can be divided into uncoupling and down-regulation responses (Mills 2002b).

Uncoupling is initiated within seconds to minutes following agonist exposure and involves phosphorylation of βAR by PKA, β-adrenergic receptor kinase 1 (βARK1), or both (Johnson 2006). Upon agonist stimulation, the βARK1 association with the plasma membrane is facilitated by binding to Gβγ subunits (Mills 2002b). The receptor-agonist complex has a high affinity for βARK1, resulting in the phosphorylation of activated βAR, which facilitates the inhibitor protein β-arrestin binding and uncoupling from G protein (Ungerer et al. 1996). Subsequently, βAR bound to β-arrestin is sequestered away from the plasma membrane surface and internalized into cytoplasmic vesicles (Lhose et al. 1990). The receptor is then dephosphorylated, β-arrestin dissociates, and βAR is recycled back to the membrane (Fig. 3). Depending upon the duration of agonist exposure, which can last for hours to days, internalized βAR are destroyed, resulting in a net loss of available membrane receptors. During this process, which is called down-regulation, βAR is ubiquitinated by E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase which activates the process of receptor degradation in the lysosomes (Johnson 2006). Both processes, uncoupling and down-regulation, contribute to a reduction in total βAR in the plasma membrane (Mills 2002b).
Metabolic responses induced by ractopamine

The main effect of feeding ractopamine to pigs is muscle cell hypertrophy that enhances lean mass, which may result from increased muscle protein synthesis, decreased protein degradation, or both (Mersmann 1998). Bergen et al. (1989) observed daily increases in fractional protein synthesis rates from 4.4 to 6.1% in muscle of pigs fed 20 ppm ractopamine. Similar results were reported by Grant et al. (1993), in which α-actin mRNA abundance was increased by feeding ractopamine to pigs. Ractopamine also increased the proliferation of chick satellite cells in culture (Grant et al. 1990), but the recruitment of additional satellite cell nuclei does not appear to be a prerequisite for the accelerated accretion of skeletal muscle because DNA concentrations were not enhanced with ractopamine supplementation (Grant et al. 1993). On the other hand, the hypertrophic effect of ractopamine may be the result of a decreased rate of muscle protein degradation, as calpain I activity was reduced in the muscles of pigs fed 20 ppm ractopamine for three weeks prior to slaughter (Sainz et al. 1993). Moreover, the repartitioning of energy by ractopamine can increase blood flow to skeletal muscle, supporting the idea that β-adrenergic agonists could readily enhance the process of hypertrophy by increasing the provision of substrates and energy sources for protein synthesis (Mersmann 1998).

Reductions in plasma urea concentrations have also been reported in pigs fed ractopamine. According to See et al. (2004), a decline in the circulating plasma urea concentration results from an increase in muscle protein synthesis promoted by ractopamine, which consequently elevates nitrogen utilization. The reduction in plasma urea occurred within 30 hours of ractopamine feeding, and its withdrawal caused a marked increase (21%) circulating plasma urea nitrogen (Dunshea and King 1994). Pigs receiving 5 ppm ractopamine showed a decrease of 12% in plasma urea concentrations within 14 days of feeding, but not within 28 days; thus, the agonist may act more efficiently on protein metabolism during the first 14 days of supplementation (Cantarelli et al. 2009a).

In adipocytes, stimulation of βAR by ractopamine promotes an increase in lipolysis and an inhibition of fatty acids and triacylglycerol synthesis (Mersmann 1998), the opposite of insulin action, which stimulates lipogenesis in swine adipose tissue. Under appropriate in vitro conditions, Liu and Mills (1990) found that ractopamine can inhibit insulin binding in approximately 10%; ractopamine therefore antagonizes insulin action in pig adipocytes. Additionally, ractopamine supplementation at 20 ppm interacts in vivo with the βAR of swine adipocytes, suppressing the activity of lipogenic enzymes and the capacity for de novo fatty acid synthesis (Mills et al. 1990). Direct activation of βAR in pig adipose tissue by

---

**Figure 3** - β-adrenergic receptor desensitization and resensitization. β, β-adrenergic receptor; α, α subunit of the G protein; γ/β, γ and β subunits of the G protein; GTP, guanosine triphosphate; cAMP, cyclic adenosine monophosphate; PKA, cAMP-dependent protein kinase A; βARK1, β-adrenergic receptor kinase 1; P, phosphorylation; and β-Arr, β-arrestin (Modified from Barros et al. 1999).
ractopamine stimulated the in vitro release of glycerol and free fatty acids through increased lipolysis (Peterla and Scanes 1990).

The oral administration of ractopamine to pigs promoted lower fat accretion due to an attenuation of lipogenesis (Bergen 2001). Likewise, recent studies focusing on lipogenic gene expression have indicated that ractopamine reduces the expression of lipid synthesis genes, such as sterol regulatory element binding protein-1 (SREBP-1) and fatty acid synthase (FAS), in the adipose tissue of finishing pigs (Reiter et al. 2007; Halsey et al. 2011). Furthermore, the expression of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ2 (PPARγ2), a transcription factor involved in adipogenesis, was decreased when pigs were fed ractopamine for 28 and 42 days (Halsey et al. 2011). Thus, lipolysis may not be the primary contributor to decrease fat deposition in ractopamine-fed pigs. Ractopamine can also trigger the apoptotic process in mouse adipose tissue (Page et al. 2004), which may partially explain the reduction in carcass fat of pigs (Weber et al. 2006).

Ractopamine has been evaluated for possible effects on animal welfare. As suggested by Schaefter et al. (1992), the utilization of ractopamine in swine finishing diets did not cause marked changes in animal behavior even though pigs spent more time lying and less time walking during a 4-hour period. In contrast, Marchant-Forde et al. (2003) observed that pigs fed 10 ppm ractopamine for four weeks were more active and had elevated heart rates and circulating catecholamine levels, making them more difficult to handle and potentially more susceptible to stress during handling and transport. Gilts demonstrated increased aggressiveness, and ractopamine appears to intensify this behavior (Poletto et al. 2010).

Modulation of lipid metabolism by ractopamine
Ractopamine may have a direct effect on porcine lipid metabolism due to both increased lipolysis (Fain and García-Sáinz 1983; Peterla and Scanes 1990) and reduced lipogenesis (Bergen 2001; Reiter et al. 2007; Halsey et al. 2011). However, reductions in fat deposition induced by ractopamine have not been clearly observed (Dunshea et al. 1993, 1998; Carr et al. 2005b; Fernández-Dueñas et al. 2008; Moore et al. 2009; Boler et al. 2010; Kutzler et al. 2010). Because literature reports regarding the effects of ractopamine on fat accretion are inconclusive, in vitro assays were developed to explain the possible irresponsiveness of ractopamine in adipose tissue metabolism. Mills (2000) suggested that β1AR is the major regulator of lipolysis in pigs and that β2AR may not be linked to the lipolytic cascade, possibly due to intracellular compartmentalization. Despite β1AR being the predominant subtype in swine adipocytes, the RR isomer might preferentially target β2AR, and ractopamine may therefore have limited effectiveness in reducing fat accretion in pigs (Mills et al. 2003a). Mills et al. (2003b) demonstrated that stimulation of lipolysis by the RR isomer was mediated predominantly through β2AR, thus making it a full agonist through this receptor. However, both β1AR and β2AR contributed to the stimulation of lipolysis by RR in swine adipocytes, because β2AR intracellular compartmentalization was not confirmed. As a result, a molecule with full agonist activity through β1AR could likely promote greater reductions in fat accretion (Mills et al. 2003b).

Besides the distribution patterns of βAR subtypes in porcine adipose tissue as previously mentioned, chronic ractopamine feeding can compromise the reduction in adipose deposition. Prolonged exposure to ractopamine progressively decreased βAR in the subcutaneous adipose tissue of pigs by 28% within one day and 53% within eight days because of the down-regulation of βAR that limits ractopamine response in this tissue (Spurlock et al. 1994). On the other hand, Smith (1989) failed to detect down-regulation of βAR in the skeletal muscle of ractopamine-fed pigs. This difference may indicate that muscle tissue can be a more responsive target for ractopamine than adipose tissue (Liu et al. 1994), or, perhaps, that ractopamine stimulates the synthesis of new βAR protein in skeletal muscle, which effectively masks down-regulation (Mills 2002b). Choosing a more potent agonist, increasing ractopamine dose, or using treatment regimens that circumvent agonist-induced receptor down-regulation could have an enhanced capacity to reduce lipid accretion (Liu et al. 1994).

Ractopamine: growth performance and carcass traits of finishing pigs
Ractopamine is able to produce maximum lean gain at a low energy intake by repartitioning energy for maximal protein deposition (Williams et al. 1994). These authors further observed that an energy intake above 8.3 Mcal of metabolizable energy per day (ME/d) for barrows and 7.7 Mcal
of ME/d for gilts was deposited as fat. However, a recent study demonstrated that ractopamine supplementation resulted in enhanced lean deposition regardless of dietary energy levels (Hinson et al. 2011). Furthermore, the lysine to metabolizable energy ratio (Lys:ME) may impact performance and carcass characteristics in ractopamine-fed pigs more than absolute energy intake values (Apple et al. 2004). These authors concluded that 3.30 Mcal of ME/kg are enough to optimize lean tissue deposition in pigs fed 10 ppm ractopamine and that both performance and carcass traits improved as the Lys:ME ratio increased from 1.7 to 3.1 g/Mcal. Likewise, the addition of 10 ppm ractopamine to pig diets containing 3.1 g/Mcal Lys:ME and 3.48 Mcal of ME/kg improved rate and efficiency of gain, carcass leanness, and meat quality (Apple et al. 2008).

It has been repeatedly shown that including ractopamine in swine finishing diets improves feed efficiency (Webster et al. 2007; Apple et al. 2008; Main et al. 2009; Poletto et al. 2009; Rikard-Bell et al. 2009; Edmonds and Baker 2010; Hinson et al. 2011). Because less dietary energy is required to deposit muscle tissue in comparison with adipose tissue, ractopamine-treated pigs present enhanced growth rates and efficiencies of gain (Schinckel et al. 2003).

In a meta-analysis involving dietary levels of ractopamine (0, 5, 10, and 20 ppm), Kiefer and Sanches (2009) observed quadratic improvements in average daily gain and feed to gain ratio as the inclusion of ractopamine increased in the diets of finishing pigs, concluding that 15 ppm ractopamine optimized animal performance. However, performance enhancements in modern high-lean-gain pigs are achieved efficiently with just 5 ppm ractopamine (Schinckel et al. 2002). One of the most important things to consider regarding the utilization of ractopamine is that the response is not constant over time. Williams et al. (1994) showed that maximum growth response occurred during the first three weeks of ractopamine feeding, while Mills (2002a) observed that the agonist response was greater during the first week of utilization and decreased as the program extended from four to six weeks. This effect occurs when ractopamine is fed at a constant level over long periods, as a result of down-regulation, desensitization of βAR, or both. Therefore, implementing feeding strategies may enhance the ability of response to ractopamine supplementation (Table 1).

Implementation of a ractopamine step-up feeding regimen (i.e., ractopamine concentrations increasing over time) enhances average daily gain and feed efficiency of pigs (Poletto et al., 2009). Similarly, previous reports from See et al. (2004) indicated that ractopamine step-up or constant feeding programs resulted in greater benefits in live-animal performance, and yielded more lean pork in comparison with a step-down feeding regimen (i.e., decreasing dietary concentrations of ractopamine throughout the feeding period). Moreover, Jacela et al. (2009) proposed that feeding ractopamine to pigs at a constant level of 4.5 ppm during the last 21 days prior to market is ideal for growth performance, but if pigs could not be marketed in a timely manner, then it is recommended to increase dietary levels of ractopamine.

Because ractopamine increases protein deposition, the percentage of protein accretion deposited in muscle tissues increases from 54.0 to 59.4, 60.3, and 61.65%, and the lysine content of the protein accretion increases from 6.80 to 7.05, 7.09, and 7.15% as ractopamine concentration increases from 0 to 5, 10, and 20 ppm, respectively (Schinckel et al. 2003). Consequently, pigs receiving ractopamine require increased amounts of limiting amino acids, especially lysine. Protein tissue deposition is the only major function of lysine in the animal body, thus its requirement is not influenced by other metabolic roles.

Finishing pigs fed ractopamine require at least 0.88% dietary digestible lysine to optimize growth performance, carcass traits, and tissue accretion (Webster et al. 2007). Marinho et al. (2007) reported improved performance of finishing pigs fed ractopamine with diets containing 0.67% digestible lysine; however, with 0.87% digestible lysine, pigs showed decreased backfat thickness and increased carcass lean percentage, loin depth, and lean meat deposition. Pigs receiving diets containing low crude protein (12.5%) and lysine (0.49%) levels for 14 days, followed by a subsequent 21-day period receiving higher crude protein (20.33%) and lysine (0.99%) levels, can recover and present similar growth performance and carcass merit in comparison with those in a conventional protein feeding regimen (Edmonds and Baker 2010). When developing a model of dietary lysine requirements for ractopamine-fed
pigs, Schinckel et al. (2001) concluded that the predicted lysine requirement for pigs quickly increases during the first week of ractopamine feeding and then declines; thus, pork producers should consider phase feeding with two or three diets containing different lysine levels. According to Schinckel et al. (2006), step-up programs can enhance the duration of ractopamine response, but only if dietary lysine levels are increased. In addition, the greatest economic benefit from ractopamine step-up programs is achieved when producers receive carcass premiums (Canchi et al. 2009).

### Table 1 - Effects of ractopamine feeding strategies on growth performance and carcass traits of finishing pigs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Ractopamine Feeding Strategies</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Performance</th>
<th>Carcass Trait</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FBW (kg)</td>
<td>ADFI (kg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>See et al. (2004)</td>
<td>0 ppm for 41 d</td>
<td>barrows and gilts</td>
<td>109.60</td>
<td>2.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 ppm for 14 d, 10 ppm for 14 d and 20 ppm for 13 d</td>
<td></td>
<td>111.80</td>
<td>2.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armstrong et al. (2005)</td>
<td>0 ppm for 35 d</td>
<td>barrows and gilts</td>
<td>102.70⁰</td>
<td>2.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 ppm for 35 d</td>
<td></td>
<td>105.30⁰</td>
<td>2.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 ppm for 14 d and 10 ppm for 21 d</td>
<td></td>
<td>106.50⁰</td>
<td>2.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 ppm for 21 d and 10 ppm for 14 d</td>
<td></td>
<td>106.90⁰</td>
<td>2.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacela et al. (2009)</td>
<td>0 ppm for 28 d</td>
<td>barrows and gilts</td>
<td>114.76</td>
<td>2.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0 ppm for 7 d and 4.5 ppm for 21 d</td>
<td></td>
<td>118.98</td>
<td>2.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.5 ppm for 14 d and 6.75 ppm for 14 d</td>
<td></td>
<td>118.71</td>
<td>2.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moore et al. (2009)</td>
<td>0 ppm for 26 d</td>
<td>immunocastrated males</td>
<td>115.00⁰</td>
<td>3.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 ppm for 26 d</td>
<td></td>
<td>116.30⁰</td>
<td>3.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 ppm for 14 d and 10 ppm for 12 d</td>
<td></td>
<td>117.40⁰</td>
<td>3.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poletto et al. (2009)</td>
<td>0 ppm for 28 d</td>
<td>barrows and gilts</td>
<td>117.84⁰</td>
<td>2.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 ppm for 14 d and 10 ppm for 14 d</td>
<td></td>
<td>122.58⁰</td>
<td>2.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rikard-Bell et al. (2009)</td>
<td>0 ppm for 31 d</td>
<td>immunocastrated males</td>
<td>108.40⁰</td>
<td>3.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 ppm for 14 d and 10 ppm for 17 d</td>
<td></td>
<td>109.90⁰</td>
<td>3.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neill et al. (2010)</td>
<td>0 ppm for 35 d</td>
<td>barrows and gilts</td>
<td>120.40⁰</td>
<td>2.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 ppm for 21 d and 0 ppm for 35 d</td>
<td></td>
<td>120.70⁰</td>
<td>2.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 ppm for 21 d and 10 ppm for 14 d</td>
<td></td>
<td>123.40⁰</td>
<td>2.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0 ppm for 35 d and 10 ppm for 21 d</td>
<td></td>
<td>123.80⁰</td>
<td>2.83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹FBW, final body weight; ADG, average daily gain; ADFI, average daily feed intake; G:F, gain:feed ratio (feed efficiency); F:G, feed:gain ratio (feed conversion).

²LMA, Longissimus muscle area.

a,b,c Within a column, means with different superscripts differ (P < 0.05).

In the past, pork producers were paid strictly on a live-weight basis; thus, little attention was given to the composition of gain, which often resulted in carcasses with excessive amounts of fat. Today, the relative value of carcass lean to carcass fat becomes an economically important factor because carcass merit pricing and evaluation systems have been adopted in the pork industry.

Cantarelli et al. (2009b) observed a 3.9% increase in carcass premiums for pigs supplemented with 5 ppm ractopamine in comparison with control animals. These results are in agreement with those obtained by Corassa et al. (2009), who reported that pigs receiving 5 ppm ractopamine produced 4.9% more meat and had a 2.2% increase in carcass premiums when compared to those without supplementation. According to Li et al. (2003), the positive impact is defined by the payments that pork producers receive carcass premiums (Canchi et al. 2009).
management of ractopamine concentrations, feeding duration, and lysine levels are therefore determined by the economic return for increased leanness, including costs of feed and feed additives.

**Impact of ractopamine on pork quality**

The effects of ractopamine on meat quality in pigs have been reported in the literature, but the results remain inconsistent and inconclusive. Feeding ractopamine to finishing pigs does not have an adverse effect on initial pH (45 min postmortem), ultimate pH (24 h postmortem), or drip loss (Carr et al. 2005a). Other studies have reported that drip loss percentage and redness and yellowness of the *Longissimus dorsi* decreased in response to dietary ractopamine (Webster et al. 2007; Apple et al. 2008; Almeida et al. 2010; Kutzler et al. 2010; Leick et al. 2010), but Carr et al. (2009) did not observe any difference in subjective color score when pigs were fed 0, 5, and 20 ppm ractopamine. The available literature has established that supplementing finishing pig diets with 5, 10, or 20 ppm ractopamine increases Warner-Bratzler shear force values (Carr et al. 2005a, b; Xiong et al. 2006; Fernández-Dueñas et al. 2008). One possible explanation is that ractopamine increases muscle calpastatin activity and reduces calpain activity; in other words, the reduction in postmortem proteolysis of myofibrillar protein provides higher shear force values, or reduced meat tenderness (Xiong et al. 2006). The accretion of fast white fibers, which have larger diameters, may contribute to the reduced meat tenderness observed with dietary ractopamine supplementation (Carr et al. 2005b). Despite the fact that ractopamine feeding increased meat toughness, the effects may be reduced if pig carcasses or carcass cuts are aged for a prolonged period, or submitted to other suitable postmortem handling and storage conditions (Xiong et al. 2006).

**Ractopamine residues in animal tissues**

The presence of drug residues in the tissues of treated animals, especially when the compound has been used illegally or in an off-label manner, is a concern for food quality and safety. Ractopamine has obtained regulatory approval as a feed additive in swine production in more than 20 countries, including the United States, Canada, and Brazil. However, this repartitioning drug is forbidden in the European Union and China due to several reported cases of clenbuterol food poisoning (Kuiper et al. 1998; Qiang et al. 2007). Ractopamine residues found after the discontinuation of exposure have been reported for cattle and sheep (Smith and Shelver 2002). This study showed that ractopamine may be detected for at least seven or five days after the last exposure in the urine of sheep and cattle, respectively. In a depletion study, Qiang et al. (2007) measured ractopamine residues in the tissues of pigs after administration of 20 ppm dietary ractopamine for 28 days. These authors reported that while residues in muscle and fat were not detectable 24 hours after the last agonist exposure, residues in kidney remained higher than those in liver throughout the withdrawal period. Ractopamine concentrations in liver, kidney, muscle, and fat tissues of pigs during the withdrawal period were lower than the tolerance values established by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and the Maximum Residue Levels (MRL) listed by the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives (JECFA).

In order to monitor the illegal use of ractopamine, it is necessary to develop proper residue detection methods in swine tissues, feeds, and urine. Recent researches have suggested instrumental methods to detect these residues (Sakai et al. 2007; Shen et al. 2007; Zhang et al. 2009; Zhai et al. 2011), but no mention of ractopamine-withdrawal period for finishing pigs were made. In this way, further studies are required to determine whether consumer safety can be assured without applying a ractopamine-withdrawal period.

**CONCLUSION**

The β-adrenergic agonist ractopamine has been increasingly used in the swine industry due to its ability to improve performance and carcass leanness, resulting in enhanced opportunities for improving the profitability of producers. In comparison with constant and step-down programs, greater animal responses to ractopamine step-up programs have been reported; however, pork producers should consider implementing this feeding strategy when lean carcasses are rewarded.
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