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ABSTRACT

The aim of this work was to study the effects of sub-lethal exposure of lead acetate on the histopathology of the gills, liver, kidney and muscle and its accumulation in these organs of Clarias gariepinus. Results showed that lead accumulation in the tissues of C. gariepinus was dependent on the exposure period and lead concentration. Gills and liver were the predominant storage tissue and the order of accumulation in tissues was gill > liver > kidney > muscle. Some structural changes were observed in different organs, especially in the gills of the fishes exposed to lead acetate. Epithelial hypertrophy and epithelial lifting were apparent in the gills of exposed fishes. The degeneration of cytoplasm and secondary lamellae was also observed. Necrosis of hepatocytes was apparent. Glomerular expansion and gaps between the muscular bundles were found in the fishes exposed to lead acetate.
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INTRODUCTION

Lead has the potential to adversely affect the human and animal health. It causes physiological, biochemical, and neurological dysfunctions in humans (Nordberg et al. 2007). Mobarak (2008) reported that low level of lead exposure during the early development produced long-lasting cognitive and neurobehavioural deficit, persistent immune changes, reduced fertility, a delay in sexual maturity, irregular estrus and reduced number of corpora lutea in human and experimental animals. Notable and recent reports have indicated that lead can cause neurological, gastrointestinal, reproductive, circulatory, immunological, histopathological and histochemical disorders in the animals (Park et al. 2006; Berrahal et al. 2007; Reglero et al. 2009; Abdallah et al. 2010; Mobarak and Sharaf 2011). Fishes accumulate lead from the polluted environments and the metal is then distributed in their tissues. Lead accumulation among the tissues varies depending on the source, food or waterborne (Sorensen 1991). Whatever be the exposure route, lead gets accumulated significantly higher in the gills, liver and kidneys (Sivaprasad et al. 2004). Because fishes are an important food resource and a major aquatic ecosystem component, it is important to assess the adverse effects of lead on fishes. Bioaccumulation patterns of metals in fish tissues can be utilized as effective indicator of environmental contamination of metal (Larsson et al. 1985). Moreover, tissue-specific accumulation of metals was proposed as a key indicator of chronic exposure (Bergman and Dorward-King 1997). Thus, the kinetics of lead accumulation in
fish tissues is of great importance. Lead acetate and mercuric chloride have genotoxic and cytotoxic damage in the gills and fin epithelial cells of Aurattue auratus (Cavas 2007). The optimum limits for lead in the food of animal origin ranges from 0.01-1.00 mg/kg. Dietary uptake of metals is a major cause of long-term contamination in wild fish (Dallinger et al. 1987), and there is a renewed interest in the nutritional and toxicological effects of metals in the food of fish (Handy 1996). Heavy metals are an important class of pollutants with both lethal and sub-lethal effects on the organisms. The latter are receiving increased attention, as these may produce harmful ecological outcomes (Boyd 2010).

*C. gariepinus* is an economically important freshwater, commonly cultured fish in Saudi Arabia, but little information is available on the effects of lead, particularly through the sub-lethal exposure on its metabolic activities. Therefore, the aim of this study was to investigate lead accumulation in *C. gariepinus* through the sub-lethal exposure and effects on the histopathological alteration in liver, kidney, gills and muscle tissues.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The healthy and active specimens of *C. gariepinus* (total body weight 50-60 g and total length 21-23 cm) were obtained from a fish farm run by King Abdul Aziz City for Science and Technology (KACST), Kingdom of Saudi Arabia and acclimated to lab conditions in big tanks for one month. Fishes were fed a lead-free diet at a rate of 3% body weight daily (as two meals, 1.5% bw/day). After one month of acclimation, fishes were randomly transferred to small fish aquaria. Then the fishes were exposed to three different concentrations (5, 10, and 20% of the LC$_{50}$ which was equivalent to 6.1, 12.2 and 24.4 mg/l, respectively) of lead acetate (Mol. Wt. 325.29). A control set was also run for the comparison. Each of the four groups of *C. gariepinus* was fed a commercial fish food, 3% of the body weight for six weeks. The experiment was run with three replicates. The experimental fishes were maintained and tested under a 12 h light/dark cycle (06–18 h). Water quality was measured after every three days during the experimental periods (Table 1).

### Table 1 - Water chemistry of tanks during the experimentation period.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Average ± SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Temperature (ºC)</td>
<td>26.53 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l)</td>
<td>4.9 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH</td>
<td>7.3 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardness (mg/l)</td>
<td>210 ± 3.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDS (ppm)</td>
<td>550 ± 3.27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Lead Analysis**

Fishes were not fed 24 h prior to sampling to allow all feed to be excreted. They were sampled after every two weeks to determine lead concentration in the gills, kidney, liver and muscle. Five fishes were removed from each test concentration and control. Tissue samples were dried at 75 ºC and kept in desiccators until digestion. Known weight of dry tissue was kept in muffle furnace at 550 ºC for 8 h, and then cooled to room temperature. Then 2 ml of concentrated HNO$_3$ was added to each sample and heated on a heating plate till dry. The samples were again kept in muffle furnace at 550°C for one hour, cooled to room temperature, dissolved in 10 ml of 1N HCl and filtered. The clean samples were used to quantify the lead using atomic absorption spectrophotometer. Lead concentration of tissues was expressed as µg/g dry weight.

**Histochemistry**

At the end of exposure period, the fishes were sacrificed and the liver, gills, kidney and muscle were excised. They were rinsed with physiological saline (0.75% NaCl) to remove the blood and to clean the mucus attached to the tissues. The tissues were then fixed in SUSA for 24 h, sectioned and stained by standard methods. The main steps involved were dehydration, clearing, and infiltration, embedding, sectioning and staining. Haematoxylin was used for staining of the various tissues.
RESULTS

The accumulation of lead in the gill, kidney, liver and muscle of *C. gariepinus*, as a function of exposure time and concentration are shown in Figures 1-4. In the first two weeks, lead accumulation in different organs did not vary significantly. After six weeks of exposure, lead accumulation increased and seemed to depend upon the lead concentration (Figures 1-4). Lead concentration increased sharply reaching two to four folds higher in the treated groups (12.2 and 24.4 mg/l) compared to the control. The concentrations in the kidney were approximately an order of magnitude lower than for the liver and gills, and increased significantly (p< 0.05) after four and six weeks in higher concentrations (12.2 and 24.4mg/l) of exposure. Lead concentration in liver increased significantly (P< 0.05) with time and level of concentration (Figures 1-4). During the first two weeks, the level of lead increased to 49.84 µg/g (a four-folds increase as compared to the control) at 24.4 mg/l dose. Lead concentration increased significantly (P<0.05) after the four week at all concentrations tested. Finally, after six weeks, lead concentrations in the liver were increased about seven-folds compared to the control in all the treatments. Low lead accumulation was observed in the muscles. After six weeks lead exposure to the fishes, the order of lead accumulation was in the gills >liver >kidney >muscles.

Figure 1 – Concentration of lead in the muscle of exposed *Clarias gariepinus*

Figure 2 – Concentration of lead in gills of exposed *Clarias gariepinus*
Figure 3 – Concentration of lead in the liver of exposed *Clarias gariepinus*

Figure 4 – Concentration of lead in kidney of exposed *Clarias gariepinus*

Figures 5-8; C, T1, T2 and T3 show the control and lead acetate exposure of the fishes at the concentration of 6.1 mg/L, 12.2 mg/L and 24.4 mg/L, respectively. There were some cases of blood congestion found in *C. gariepinus* gills (T1–T3), epithelial hypertrophy, epithelial lifting (T1–T3), vacuolar degeneration of the cytoplasm and degeneration of secondary lamella were also observed (T3). The main alterations found in the liver of *C. gariepinus* (Fig. 6) were vacuolar degeneration of the cytoplasm (T1 and T3), hyaline degeneration (T1), necrosis of hepatocyte nucleus (T2 and T3), hepatocytes with double nuclei (T3). The alterations found in the kidney are shown in Figure 7. The most important change was found in the glomerulus of *C. gariepinus*. There was glomerular expansion, resulting in the reduction of Bowman's space (T1 and T2). In the tubules, the most frequent alterations were tubular necrosis (T2 and T3) and blood congestion (T3). The main alteration in *C. gariepinus* muscles found (Fig. 8) was gaps between the muscular bundles (T1-T3).
Figure 5 – Gill tissue of *C. gariepinus* (C) Control, HandE 100X - Primary lamellae(a), secondary lamellae(b), epithelial cell (white arrow), Red blood cell (black arrow). (T1) Exposed to 6.1 mg, HandE 200X- Blood congestion (white arrow). (T2) Exposed to 12.2 mg HandE 200X- epithelial hypertrophy (white arrows), epithelial lifting (arrow head), Blood congestion (BC). (T3) Exposed to 24.4 mg, 200X - epithelial hypertrophy (black arrows), epithelial lifting arrow head), blood congestion (BC), vacuolar degeneration of cytoplasm (V), degeneration of secondary lamellae (white arrows).

Figure 6 – Liver tissue of *C. gariepinus* (C) Control, HandE 200X – Hepatocyte (black arrow) glycogen fibers (arrow heads), red blood cell (white arrow). (T1) Exposed to 6.1 mg, HandE 400X – Vacuolar degeneration of cytoplasm (V), hyaline degeneration (black arrow), Red blood cells (R). (T2) Exposed to 12.2mg, HandE 400X –Necrosis of hepatocyte nucleus (N). (T3) Exposed to 24.4 mg, HandE 400X- Double nucleolus hepatocyte (circle), Necrosis of hepatocyte nucleus (N), Vacuolar degeneration (white arrow).
Figure 7 – Kidney tissue of C. gariepinus (C) Control, HandE 200X- Glamorous (G), Bowman space (white arrow), Tubule (T). (T1) Exposed to 6.1 mg, HandE 200X – Glamorous started to expand (G), Vacuolar necrosis (N). (T2) Exposed to 12.2 mg, HandE 200X – Glamorous expand (G), Tubular Necrosis (N). (T3) Exposed to 24.4 mg, HandE 200X- Blood congestion (BC), Tubular necrosis (N).

Figure 8 – Muscle tissue of C. gariepinus (C) Control, HandE 200X- Muscular bundles (MB). (M1) Exposed to 6.1 mg, HandE 200X- Gaps between muscular bundles (G). (M2) Exposed to 12.2 mg, HandE 200X – Muscular bundles (MB), Wider gaps between muscular bundles (G). (M3) Exposed to 24.4 mg, HandE 200X- Muscular bundles (MB), More expansion in gaps between muscular bundles (G).
DISCUSSION

Metals accumulation in fish tissues depends on the exposure concentration and time as well as other factors such as temperature, age, interaction with other metals, water chemistry and metabolic activity of the fish (Heath 1995). The present study showed that the lead was accumulated in the different organs of the fish. Figures 1-4 indicated the lead concentration in different organs of *C. gariepinus*. These results were higher than those reported by Seddek et al. (1996), Marouf and Dawoud (2006) and Kaoud and El-Dahshan (2010), whose recorded levels ranged from 0.42 to 1.52 ppm. This result was much higher than those recorded by Suppin et al. (2005) and Celik and Oehlenschlager (2007), whose recorded levels varied from 0.04 ppm to 76.1 ppb. The distribution of heavy metals in all the fish organs studied were in the order of gills>liver>kidney>muscles. The highest concentration of lead (597.7 µg/g) was detected in the gill tissue of *C. gariepinus*, while the lowest was found in the muscle tissue (1.39 µg/g). These findings were in agreement Brooks and Rumsey (1974), Annune and Lyaniwura (1993) and Gbem et al. (2001) who studied the bioaccumulation of trace metals in several fishes species, including *C. gariepinus*. Oladimeji and Offem (1989) reported that in *O. niloticus*, the gills consistently accumulated higher amount of lead as lead nitrate. Crepso et al. (1981) found Zn to be highly accumulated in dog-fish gills. Okoye (1991) reported the level of accumulated Pb to be 2.28 µg/g fresh weights. Earlier studies in the Nigerian coastal waters reported 6.40 µg/g as maximum level of lead in finfish from the Warri area of Niger Delta (Kakulu and Osibanjo 1992). The high accumulation of lead in the gills tissues was probably due to the fact that the gills remained in direct contact of metal or it might be due to the fact that freshwater fish gills might be the primary route for the uptake of water borne pollutants (Allen and Wilson 1991). Similar findings were reported by Buhler et al. (1977) who found that highest concentrations were in the gills in rainbow trout. The gill is an important site for the entry of heavy metals that provokes lesions and gill damage (Bols et al. 2001). The present study found the liver to have accumulated metals significantly higher (*P*<0.05) than kidney and muscular tissue. Beveridge et al. (1985) reported highest amounts of iron in haemoglobin-rich livers. Annune and Lyaniwura (1993) also reported the liver of *O. niloticus* and *C. gariepinus* to have accumulated Zn and Cd more than other tissues. The high accumulation of these metals in the liver could be related to the fact that the liver played an important role in accumulation and detoxification. Kidney is the gateway for heavy metal detoxification in the body (Vinodhini and Narayanan 2008). Present study showed that considerable amounts of heavy metals were accumulated in kidney tissues. Flesh is one of the ultimate parts for heavy metal accumulation. The heavy metals were uniformly spread over the body muscles. Hence, the observed values were relatively lower than the other potential organs. The presence of higher amounts of heavy metals in any parts of the body will definitely induce changes in biochemical metabolisms and other induced stresses. Studies on the accumulation of heavy metals in various organs of the fresh water fish exposed to sub-lethal concentrations were very much important. Also, the accumulation of lead in fish tissue increased with increasing lead concentration in water. There were significant differences in tissue concentrations among the treatment groups. The result of this study agreed with that of James et al. (1996) who observed that the fishes exposed to sub-lethal levels of lead produced dose–dependent significant increases in the concentration of lead in the liver and muscle of *Oreochromis mossambicus*. Acute exposure to some chemicals can cause rapid destruction of gill lamellae within a few hours (Heath 1987). In fact, gills of *C. gariepinus* exposed to lead acetate for six weeks presented a higher occurrence of histopathological lesions such as epithelial lifting, hyperplasia and lamellar aneurism. Epithelial lifting and hyperplasia of the lamellar epithelium could be interpreted as defense responses of the fish, as these alterations increased the distance across which waterborne irritants must diffuse to reach the bloodstream (Mallat 1985). These changes in the gill epithelia were also observed in *P. scrofa* acutely exposed to copper (Mazon et al. 2002). Epithelial lifting and hyperplasia of undifferentiated epithelial cells are known to be nonspecific alterations, which can be caused by heavy metals (Randy et al. 1996). On the other hand, heavy metals were often associated with lamellar aneurism. Mallat (1985) suggested that pillar cells could be more resistant to metals than to most other kinds of irritants. However, the present study and the one by Mazon et al. (2002) showed that lead and copper, respectively, could
cause lamellar aneurism in *C. gariepinus* and *Prochilodus* gills.

The liver showed degeneration of the hepatocytes, congestion of central vein and nuclear pyknosis in the majority of hepatic cells. These findings were apparent as the liver considered the organ of detoxification, excretion and binding proteins such as metallothionein (MTs). The metal-binding proteins were present in the nuclei of hepatocytes, which suggested that there was increase in the cell damages (Desmet and Blust 2001). Similar results were observed by Van-Dyk (2003) and Mela et al. (2007). Liver of the fishes is sensitive to environmental contaminants because many contaminants tend to accumulate in the liver at much higher levels than in the other organs (Heath 1995). Pandey et al. (1994) described the alterations in the liver and intestine of *Liza parsia* exposed to Hg Cl2 (0.2 mg Hg/l) for 15 days. Similarly, Oliveira- Ribeiro et al. (2002) reported serious injuries in the gills and olfactory epithelium of *Salvelinus alpinus* exposed to 0.15 mg Hg/l. Although, according to Friberg et al. (1971), fishes are known to possess sequestering agent (metallothionein), the bioaccumulation of these trace element in the liver tissue reaches a proportion in which the function of the liver is impeded, thus resulting in gradual degeneration of the liver cells syncytial arrangement. The surface area of the liver cell is also decreased, which may be due to the increase in the intrafibri-ble connective tissue. The intercellular spaces seen are zones of total cell degeneration. Thus, cirrhosis, the outcome of prolonged hepato-cellular injury is manifested by the fibrosis of hepatic cords and peribiliary connective tissue. Alterations in the muscles and kidney of Tilapia and in several other species of the fishes exposed to heavy metals have been described by Oliveira- Ribeiro et al. (2002), Jiraungkoorskul et al. (2003), Thophon et al. (2003), Gupta and Srivastava (2006) and Kaoud and El-Dahshan (2010), which were in line with the present investigation.

**CONCLUSION**

It could be concluded that the accumulation of lead in the fish was dependent on the exposure period and concentration. Gills and liver were predominant storage and most affected organs in the *C. garipenus*. Some structural changes specifically in the gills were observed under the stress of lead acetate. It is proposed that further investigation should be carried to investigate the extent of damage caused by lead acetate in the fish.
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