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ABSTRACT

This study was designed to investigate the protective effects of sodium selenite and/or vitamin E against mercuric chloride-induced cardiotoxicity. Male Wistar rats (n=48, 310±10 g) were administered mercuric chloride (1.0 mg/kg bw), sodium selenite (0.25 mg/kg bw), vitamin E (100 mg/kg bw), sodium selenite plus mercuric chloride, vitamin E plus mercuric chloride and sodium selenite plus vitamin E plus mercuric chloride daily via gavage for four weeks. Malondialdehyde (MDA) level, antioxidant enzyme activities [total superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase (CAT), total glutathione peroxidase (GPx) and total glutathione-S-transferase (GST)], and histopathological changes in the heart tissue were evaluated. Results showed that mercuric chloride exposure resulted in an increase in the MDA level and a decrease in the SOD, CAT, GPx and GST activities, with respect to the control. Light microscopic investigations revealed that mercuric chloride induced histopathological changes in the heart tissue. A significant decrease in the MDA level and a significant increase in the SOD, CAT, GPx and GST activities were observed on the supplementation of sodium selenite and/or vitamin E to mercuric chloride-treated rats, which showed that, sodium selenite and/or vitamin E significantly reduced mercuric chloride induced cardiotoxicity, but not protected completely.
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INTRODUCTION

Heavy metals are highly persistent and can bioaccumulate and biomagnify in the food chain, thus becoming toxic to living organisms (Deepmala et al. 2013). Mercury, a well-known toxicant, is a heavy metal that comes from natural as well as artificial sources (Zhang et al. 2013). Mercury can be found in three basic forms (elemental, inorganic mercury and organic mercury) with various toxicological profiles (Oliveria et al. 2012). Inorganic mercury compounds are known to induce toxicity in a number of different biological systems, including the reproductive system (Kalender et al. 2013), central nervous system and urinary system (Patrick 2002). Souza de Assis et al. (2003) reported that inorganic mercury was capable of producing profound cardiotoxicity. The general toxic effect of heavy metals is considered to be a result of the inactivation of enzymes and/or functional proteins by directly binding to them (Tsuiji et al. 2002). This may be partly due to oxidative damage by formation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) (Stohs and Bagchi 1995). Oxidative stress developing with the production of ROS can lead to the development of many pathological changes (Morakinyo et al. 2012). For example, mercury has been attributed to the formation of ROS (Bharathi et al. 2012).

*Author for correspondence: babatuhan@gmail.com
Antioxidant enzymes such as SOD, CAT and GPx protect cellular homeostasis from oxidative damage by ROS generated through the reduction of molecular oxygen (Sanz et al. 2002). Antioxidants are known to reduce ROS-induced damage (El-Demerdash 2004). Selenium is an essential trace element for animals and humans, which protects the cells against oxidative damage by the expression of selenoprotein genes and through anti-inflammatory mechanisms (Saïd et al. 2014). It is an integral component of the cytosolic enzyme GPx and facilitates the action of vitamin E in reducing peroxyl radicals (Kaneko 1989). Selenium has detoxification effect on various heavy metals (Diplock et al. 1986). Vitamin E (α-tocopherol) is a naturally occurring, potent lipid-soluble, chain-breaking antioxidant. It protects cellular membranes and lipoprotein surfaces from lipid peroxidation (Al-Othman et al. 2011). Its protective role has been reported against the heavy metal toxicity in experimental animals (Agarwal et al. 2010). Synergistic effect of antioxidants such as selenium and vitamin E is the most powerful in reducing storage and toxicity of ROS (Schwenke et al. 1998; Aslam et al. 2010). Antioxidant supplementation has been beneficial in metal toxicity. The aims of the present study were: (i) to evaluate the effect of mercuric chloride on lipid peroxidation and antioxidant enzyme activities, such as SOD, CAT, GPx and GST of heart tissues, (ii) to examine of histopathological changes in the heart tissues, and (iii) to investigate the possible protective role of sodium selenite and/or vitamin E against mercuric chloride.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chemicals
Mercuric chloride (HgCl₂; 99% purity) and sodium selenite (Na₂SeO₃; 99% purity) were obtained from Sigma Aldrich (Germany). Vitamin E (DL-α-tocopherol acetate; 500 mg DL-α-tocopherol acetate per ml) was supplied by Merck (Germany).

Animals
Healthy male Wistar rats of weighing between 310±10 g were used for this study. Rats were obtained from the Gazi University Laboratory Animals Growing and Experimental Research Center. Animals were housed in plastic cages, with six animals per cage and allowed to acclimatize to the laboratory environment for 10 days. Animals were maintained under controlled conditions at 22±3°C and 12:12 h light-dark cycle. The animals were fed with standard rat pellet food and water ad libitum. The experimental protocols were approved by the Gazi University Committee on the Ethics of Animal Experimentation (Approval number: G.U. ET-10.026).

Experimental Procedure
Rats were treated orally with the tested compounds for four weeks. They were randomly divided into eight groups, each consisting of six rats. Group 1, labeled as control group, was treated with 1.0 ml/kg bw corn oil per day; Group 2 was treated with sodium selenite (0.25 mg/kg bw per day in distilled water) (Koyuturk et al. 2007); Group 3 was treated with vitamin E (100 mg/kg bw per day in corn oil) (El-Demerdash et al. 2004); Group 4 was treated with sodium selenite plus vitamin E (0.25 mg/kg bw+100 mg/kg bw per day); Group 5 was treated with mercuric chloride (1.0 mg/kg bw per day in distilled water) (Ramalingam et al. 2002); Group 6 was treated with sodium selenite plus mercuric chloride (0.25 mg/kg bw+1.0 mg/kg bw per day); Group 7 was treated with vitamin E plus mercuric chloride (100 mg/kg bw+1.0 mg/kg bw per day); Group 8 was treated with sodium selenite plus vitamin E plus mercuric chloride (0.25 mg/kg+100 mg/kg bw+1.0 mg/kg bw per day). None of the rats died during the experimental period. The substances were administrated in the morning (between 09:00 and 10:00 h) to non-fasted rats. The first day of exposure to test compounds was considered as experimental day 0. At the end of the 4th week (28 days) of treatment, all the animals were sacrificed and dissected. The heart tissues were quickly excised to light microscope investigations and biochemical examinations.

Biochemical Estimation
Tissue Homogenate Preparation
The heart tissues were dissected and washed with sodium phosphate buffer at pH 7.2. Tissue samples were stored at -80°C until the analysis. The tissues were homogenized with a Teflon homogenizer (Heidolph Silent Crusher M). The
homogenates were centrifuged. Antioxidant enzyme activities and MDA level were specified by measuring the absorbance of the samples with spectrophotometer (Shimadzu UV 1700, Kyoto, Japan). Protein content of the supernatant was determined according to the method of Lowry et al. (1951) using bovine serum albumin (BSA) as standard.

**Lipid Peroxidation Assay**
MDA level was determined using the thiobarbituric acid (TBA) test described by Ohkawa et al. (1979). The heart tissues were incubated at 95°C with TBA under aerobic conditions (pH 3.4) and absorbance was measured at 532 nm to assay the MDA level. The results were expressed as nmol MDA formed per milligram of protein.

**Antioxidant Enzyme Activities Assays**
Total SOD activity was measured according to the method of Marklund and Marklund (1974) by analysing the autooxidation and illumination of pyrogallol at 440 nm for 3 min. One unit of total SOD activity was calculated as the amount of protein that caused 50% pyrogallol autooxidation inhibition. The total SOD activity was expressed as U/mg protein. A blank without homogenate was used as a control for non-enzymatic oxidation of pyrogalol in Tris-EDTA buffer (50 mM Tris, 10 mM EDTA, pH 8.2).

CAT activity was determined according to the method of Aebi (1984), based on the hydrolysis of hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) and the resulting decrease in the absorbance at 240 nm over a 3 min period at 25°C. Before determination of the CAT activity, the samples were diluted 1:9 with 1% (v/v) Triton X-100. CAT activity was expressed as milimoles of H₂O₂ reduced per minute per milligram of protein using an extinction coefficient of 0.0394 mM⁻¹ cm⁻¹. A blank without homogenate was used as a control for non-enzymatic hydrolysis of peroxide in phosphate buffer (50 mM, pH 7.0).

Total GPx activity was determined according to the method of Paglia and Valentine (1967), using H₂O₂ as substrate. The reaction was monitored indirectly as the oxidation rate of NADPH at 240 nm for 3 min. Enzyme activity was expressed as micromoles of NADPH consumed per minute per milligram of protein, using an extinction coefficient of 6.220 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹. A blank without homogenate was used as a control for non-enzymatic oxidation of NADPH upon addition of hydrogen peroxide in 0.1 M Tris buffer, pH 8.0. Total GST activity was assayed by measuring the formation of GSH (Glutathione) and the 1-chloro-2, 4-dinitrobenzene (CDNB) conjugate (Habig et al. 1974). The increase in absorbance was recorded at 340 nm for 3 min. The specific activity of GST is expressed as nanomoles GSH-CDNB conjugate formed/min/mg protein using an extinction coefficient of 9.6 mM⁻¹ cm⁻¹. All assays were corrected for non-enzymatic conjugation using a corresponding substrate 25 mM CDNB and 20 mM GSH in in 50 mM phosphate buffer, pH 7.0.

**Histopathology**
For histopathological examination, parts of the cardiac tissue obtained from each animal were fixed in Bouin solution. Then the tissue samples were processed using a graded ethanol series, and embedded in paraffin. Paraffin sections were cut into 6-7 μm-thick slices and stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) for histological examination. The sections were examined and photographed using an Olympus light microscope (Olympus BX51, Tokyo, Japan) with an attached digital photograph machine (Olympus E-330, Olympus Optical Co. Ltd., Japan).

**Statistical Analysis**
Data of the present study were evaluated by SPSS 11.0 for Windows. The significance of differences in the values of the control and treated animals was calculated using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), followed by Tukey’s procedure for multiple comparisons. All values were expressed as means ± SD. A value of P<0.05 was considered to be significant.

**RESULTS**

**Evaluation of Biochemical Parameters**
At the end of the study period, there were no statistically significant changes in MDA level (Fig. 1) and SOD, CAT, GPx and GST activities in the sodium selenite-, vitamin E- and sodium selenite plus vitamin E-treated groups compared to the control group (Table 1).

**MDA Level**
MDA is the most abundant individual aldehyde resulting from lipid peroxidation breakdown in
biological systems and is commonly used as an indirect index of lipid peroxidation. When the mercuric chloride-, sodium selenite plus mercuric chloride-, vitamin E plus mercuric chloride- and sodium selenite plus vitamin E plus mercuric chloride-treated groups were compared with the control group at the end of 4th week, a significantly increase in the MDA level in the heart tissues was observed. The MDA level decreased statistically significantly in the sodium selenite plus mercuric chloride-, vitamin E plus mercuric chloride- and sodium selenite plus vitamin E plus mercuric chloride- treated groups compared to mercuric chloride-treated group (P<0.05, Fig. 1).

Table 1 - Effects of exposure to mercuric chloride on the antioxidant enzyme activities in heart tissue of male Wistar rats.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>SOD (U/mg protein)</th>
<th>CAT (mM/mg protein)</th>
<th>GPx (µM/mg protein)</th>
<th>GST (nM/mg protein)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>11.16±0.673</td>
<td>4.22±0.251</td>
<td>3.00±0.134</td>
<td>0.039±0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium selenite</td>
<td>10.05±0.853</td>
<td>3.96±0.230</td>
<td>2.96±0.145</td>
<td>0.036±0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin E</td>
<td>10.17±0.733</td>
<td>4.03±0.250</td>
<td>2.95±0.136</td>
<td>0.037±0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium Selenite+Vitamin E</td>
<td>9.52±0.828</td>
<td>3.80±0.303</td>
<td>2.81±0.132</td>
<td>0.036±0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercuric chloride</td>
<td>5.17±0.821</td>
<td>1.18±0.319</td>
<td>0.97±0.127</td>
<td>0.014±0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium selenite+Mercuric chloride</td>
<td>7.36±0.884</td>
<td>2.450±0.300</td>
<td>2.06±0.138</td>
<td>0.023±0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin E+Mercuric chloride</td>
<td>7.45±0.802</td>
<td>2.46±0.257</td>
<td>2.10±0.121</td>
<td>0.021±0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium selenite +Vitamin E+Mercuric chloride</td>
<td>7.34±0.783</td>
<td>2.42±0.246</td>
<td>2.07±0.146</td>
<td>0.023±0.003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean ± Standard Deviation (SD) of six rats in each group. Significance at P<0.05.

aComparison of control and other groups. bComparison of sodium selenite-treated group and other groups. cComparison of vitamin E-treated group and other groups. dComparison of sodium selenite plus vitamin E-treated group and other groups. eComparison of mercuric chloride-treated group and other groups.

Figure 1 - Effects of subacute treatment of mercuric chloride on MDA level in the heart tissue of male Wistar rats. Each bar represents mean±Standard Deviation of six animals in each group. Significance at P<0.05. aComparison of control and other groups. bComparison of sodium selenite-treated group and other groups. cComparison of vitamin E-treated group and other groups. dComparison of sodium selenite plus vitamin E-treated group and other groups. eComparison of mercuric chloride-treated group and other groups.
Antioxidant Enzyme Activities
A significant decrease was observed in SOD, CAT, GPx and GST activities at the end of the 4th week in mercuric chloride-, sodium selenite plus mercuric chloride-, vitamin E plus mercuric chloride- and sodium selenite plus vitamin E plus mercuric chloride-treated groups compared to the control group (P<0.05, Table 1). However, SOD, CAT, GPx and GST activities significantly increased in the sodium selenite plus mercuric chloride-, vitamin E plus mercuric chloride- and sodium selenite plus vitamin E plus mercuric chloride-treated groups compared to the mercuric chloride-treated group at the end of the 4th week (P<0.05, Table 1).

Histopathological Changes
The results of the histopathological examination is shown in Figure 2. Light microscopy showed no cardiac injury in the control group (Fig. 2A) and antioxidant groups (sodium selenite-, vitamin E- and sodium selenite plus vitamin E-treated groups) at the end of the 4th week.
Histopathological changes were observed in the heart tissue of the mercuric chloride-, sodium selenite plus mercuric chloride-, vitamin E plus mercuric chloride- and sodium selenite plus vitamin E plus mercuric chloride- treated groups. There were inflammatory cell infiltration, disorganization of myocardial fibers, edema in interstitial tissue of heart, degeneration of cardiac myocytes and necrosis (Figs. 2B-D).
Edema in interstitial tissue of heart, vacuolar degeneration and mild disorganization of myocardial fibers were observed in the sodium selenite plus mercuric chloride-, vitamin E plus mercuric chloride- and sodium selenite plus vitamin E plus mercuric chloride-treated groups (Figs. 2E-G).

Figure 2- (A) Heart section of control rats, ×400. (B-D) Heart sections of mercuric chloride-treated rats showing inflammatory cell infiltration (▲), ×200 (B), disorganization (❖) of myocardial fibers, ×400 (C), degeneration (❖) of cardiac myocytes, edema (◆) in interstitial tissue of heart and necrosis (●), ×400 (D). (E) Heart section of sodium selenite plus mercuric chloride-treated rats showing edema (◆) in interstitial tissue of heart and vacuolar degeneration (❖) of cardiac muscle cells, ×400. (F-G, respectively) Heart section of vitamin E plus mercuric chloride, sodium selenite plus vitamin E plus mercuric chloride-treated rats showing mild disorganization (❖) of myocardial fibers, ×400.
DISCUSSION

It is well known that heavy metals are commonly found in environment such as in the soil, plants and animals (Jayakumar et al. 2009). Some heavy metals can cause physiological, biochemical and histological alterations (Al-Attar 2011). Several risk factors, including the industrial pollution of the environment with metal compounds are associated with cardiovascular diseases (Soudani et al. 2011). Mercury is one of the most toxic metals. It promotes the generation of ROS such as superoxide and hydrogen peroxides, which induce oxidative stress, resulting in cell injury (Bharathi et al. 2012).

The cardiovascular effects of mercury toxicity have not been attentively evaluated until recently. Yoshizawa et al. (2002) reported that mercury exposure was associated with developing cardiovascular disease. Houston (2011) found that mercury toxicity was associated with cardiovascular disorders, including hypertension, coronary heart disease, myocardial infarction, cardiac arrhythmias, reduced heart rate variability and generalized atherosclerosis. Oxidative stress plays an important role in the pathogenesis and development of cardiovascular diseases (Prince et al. 2011).

Oxidative stress is defined as a serious imbalance between generation of free radical and antioxidant capacity (Halliwell 2011). It has been previously reported that mercuric chloride increased the formation of ROS, which could lead to lipid peroxidation and cause oxidative stress (Durak et al. 2010; Haibo et al. 2011). Lipid peroxidation, a free radical-generating system, has been suggested to be closely related with mercury-induced tissue damage (Tunali-Akbay et al. 2007). MDA is one of the major oxidation products of peroxidized polyunsaturated fatty acids (Durak et al. 2010) and increased MDA level is an important indicator of the degree of lipid peroxidation (Tunali-Akbay et al. 2007). In the present study, the elevated lipid peroxidation level was observed in the heart tissue of mercuric chloride-treated rats. Enhanced MDA level observed was in agreement with the study of Tunali-Akbay et al. (2007) who observed an increase in MDA level of rat heart after acute administration of mercuric chloride. The elevated level of MDA could be due to an increase in free radicals resulting from the induction of oxidative stress in the heart tissue. MDA level could be used as a indicator of tissue damage induced by mercuric chloride. Several studies have shown that intoxication with mercury causes increased lipid peroxidation level in various animal tissues (Su et al. 2008; Bharathi et al. 2012; Kalender et al. 2013).

Biological systems, under normal physiological conditions are protected from oxidative damage of the ROS by the antioxidative defense systems, including enzymatic and non-enzymatic scavengers (Priya et al. 2011). Free radical scavenging enzymes such as SOD, CAT, GPx and GST are the first line of cellular defense against the toxic effects of ROS (Priscilla and Price 2009) and they are widely used as biomarkers of oxidative stress (Uzun et al. 2010). The myocardium has antioxidative defense systems to neutralize free radicals. But, the heart has been reported to be more vulnerable to oxidative damage as it has less endogenous antioxidant enzyme activity compared to some tissues (Kumar and Gupta 2011). The mechanism by which mercury mediates cardiovascular toxicity is not fully elucidated (Azevedo et al. 2012). Several mechanisms have been proposed, including an increase in oxidative stress via production of free radicals and a reduction in the activity of antioxidant enzymes (Azevedo et al. 2012; Amara et al. 2014). SOD and CAT mutually function as important enzymes in the elimination of ROS (Boujbiha et al. 2011). SOD catalyzes the conversion of superoxide radicals to hydrogen peroxide and molecular oxygen, while CAT catalyzes the breakdown of toxic H₂O₂ to water and oxygen (Boujbiha et al. 2011). Glutathione peroxidases are main enzymes in the antioxidant defence system of living organisms, and protect organisms against oxidative stress (Wang et al. 2014). All glutathione peroxidases reduce hydrogen peroxide and alkyl hydroperoxides at the expense of GSH (Brigelius-Flohé 1999). Glutathione S-transferases are major phase II detoxifying enzymes and play an important role in the detoxification and excretion of xenobiotics (Boyer et al. 1984). They catalyze the conjugation of a variety of electrophilic substrates to the thiol group of GSH, producing less toxic substances (Mansour and Mossa 2009). Mercuric chloride has been reported to significantly inhibit the antioxidant enzyme activities in various rat tissues (Kalender et al. 2013; Aslanturk et al. 2014). In this study, all assayed antioxidant enzyme activities significantly decreased in the heart tissue of mercuric chloride-
treated rats. This decrease in the SOD, CAT, GPx and GST activities could be the result of increased in ROS production. Decreased SOD activity in the mercuric chlorid-treated rats could be due to an excessive formation of superoxide anions which would affect enzyme structure (Bharathi et al. 2012). The inhibition of CAT and GPx activities occurred probably as a defense response used against hydrogen peroxide generated by mercuric chloride (Bharathi et al. 2014). In addition, decreased GST activity may indicate insufficient detoxification of mercury in rat heart tissue (Mansour and Mossa 2009). The decrease in the antioxidant enzyme activities and increase in lipid peroxidation could explain the induction of free radicals in mercuric chloride-treated rats.

Antioxidants provide protection against injurious effect of free radical attack in the biological system (Al-Othman et al. 2011). Selenium and vitamin E are important components of the antioxidant defense system that helps to protect cell from oxidative damage (Aslanurk et al. 2014). Selenium is an essential dietary trace element, which is an integral part of many proteins with catalytic and structural functions (Hatfield et al. 2014). It also facilitates the action of vitamin E in reducing peroxyl radicals through permitting higher levels of vitamin E to be absorbed (MacLinn 1991). The interaction between mercury and selenium in the body of mammals has been well reported (Jureša et al. 2005). Selenium has been proposed to sequentially bind to mercury and selenoprotein P in the bloodstream, to form a non-toxic complex (Perottoni et al. 2004). Formation of mercury-selenium complex with selenoprotein P induces redistribution of mercury in the body or reduces the absorption of mercury (Su et al. 2008). In the present study, the protective effect sodium selenite against mercuric chloride-induced toxicity could be due to its antioxidant effects or to render a stable form and biologically inert complex with mercury. Vitamin E is a lipid-soluble vitamin that is present in cell membranes. Its main antioxidant function is to protect the cell membrane integrity against lipid peroxidation through its chain-breaking antioxidant activity (Brigelius-Flohé 2009). In the present study, vitamin E showed protective effect against mercuric chloride. This effect could be due to impaired absorption of mercury in the gastrointestinal tract and/or its antioxidant effect (Kalender et al. 2013). Selenium and/or vitamin E protect various tissues against damages induced by heavy metals (Rana et al. 1996; Perottoni et al. 2004; Kalender et al. 2013). Recently, Kalender et al. (2013) showed that the supplementation of sodium selenite and/or vitamin E to mercury-treated rats declined lipid peroxidation, increased SOD, CAT and GPx activities along with milder histopathological lesions in testis tissues. Selenium and vitamin E have a synergetic effect (Aslam et al. 2010). Vitamin E prevents oxidative damage to sensitive membrane lipids by destroying hydroperoxide formation, acting in conjunction with selenium, and protects cellular membranes and lipid containing organelles from peroxidative damage by oxidative stress (Gupta et al. 2005). In the present study, supplementation of sodium selenite and/or vitamin E to mercuric chloride-treated rats increased SOD, CAT, GPx and GST activities and thereby improved the antioxidant/prooxidant balance of the heart tissue. The enhanced antioxidant capacity along with the decreased levels of MDA in antioxidant groups reflected the decreased oxidative damage in the heart tissue. The protective effects of sodium selenite and/or vitamin E could be related to the formation of a selenium-mercury complex and impaired absorption of mercury in the presence of vitamin E in the gastrointestinal tract and also their antioxidant effects.

Toxic metals can lead to histopathological changes in the myocardium (Soudani et al. 2011; Ansaria et al. 2013). In this study, mercuric chloride treatment caused histopathological changes such as inflammatory cell infiltration, edema, vacuolar degeneration, disorganization of myocardial fibers and necrosis in heart tissue. It is known that mercury produces ROS (Mahboob et al. 2001). The ROS attack the cell membrane and lead to destabilization and disintegration of cell membrane as a result of lipid peroxidation (Stajn et al. 1997). Histopathological changes in the heart tissue could be due to increased lipid peroxidation and ROS generation, which in turn, induces oxidative stress. The light microscopic findings support the result of the biochemical assays. Soudani et al. (2011) reported that chromium (VI) treatment caused histopathological changes such as myonecrosis, vacuolization, hemorrhage and fibrosis in the cardiac tissues of rats. In this study, the necrosis observed in the heart tissue of the mercuric chloride-treated rats did not exist in the rats that received sodium selenite and/or vitamin E co-administration. Moreover, inflammatory cell infiltration, disorganization of myocardial fibers
and edema were observed in the mercuric chloride-treated groups; these changes were less severe in the sodium selenite and/or vitamin E plus mercuric chloride-treated groups. Thus, vitamin E and/or sodium selenite could ameliorate the heart tissue damage induced by mercuric chloride exposure.

CONCLUSIONS

The present study showed that mercuric chloride intoxication induced oxidative stress in heart tissue of rats through the generation of free radicals and alteration of the cellular antioxidant defense system. The supplementation of sodium selenite and/or vitamin E proved beneficial in reducing the toxic effects of mercuric chloride induced in the heart tissue.
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