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ABSTRACT

Key words: In recent years, applications of Bessel functions have been effectively used in the modelling of chemical engineering processes and theory of univalent functions. In this paper, we study a new class of analytic and univalent functions with negative coefficients in the open unit disk defined by Modified Hadamard product with Bessel function. We obtain coefficient bounds and exterior points for this new class.
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INTRODUCTION

Many important functions in applied sciences are defined via improper integrals or series (or infinite products). The general name of these important functions are called special functions. Bessel functions are important special functions which are playing the important role in studying solutions of differential equations. Especially, the linear PDE describing various chemical transfer processes, allow the exact solution expressed in terms of one special kind of Bessel’s functions and they are associated with a wide range of problems in important areas of mathematical physics, modelling of transfer processes in chemical engineering as well as in the related fields like hydrodynamics, heat transfer, diffusion, bioprocesses and so on. By using the method of separation of variables, exact solution in terms of Bessel function can be used to calculate several important parameters which are needed in design and construction of chemical engineering apparatuses and equipment like heat exchangers and their components. Typical example for the efficiency calculation is applied in Brazilian powdered milk plant [11]. In another case when the Bessel functions arises is heat transfer modelling which considered in [6]. Here the problem of cross-flow streaming of heated object with large value of length to diameter ratio (like thermoanemometer) is solved for small Pe numbers using the theory of analytic functions. Recently Deniz [9] has studied the following: The generalized Bessel function of the first kind of order \(u\), is defined as function \(\omega_{u,b,c}(z)\), has the familiar representation as follows

\[
\omega_{u,b,c}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-c)^n}{n! \Gamma(u+n+\frac{b+1}{2})} \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{2n+u}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}
\]  

(1.1)

Where \(\Gamma\) stands for the Euler gamma function. The series (1.1) permits the study of Bessel function in a unified manner. It is called the particular solution of the following second-order linear homogeneous differential equation (see for details[5]):

\[ z^2 \alpha''(z) + b z \alpha'(z) + \left[c z^2 - u^2 + (1-b)u\right] \alpha(z) = 0, \quad u, b, c \in \mathbb{C}. \]  

(1.2)

Also in Deniz et al. [7] and Deniz [8] (see also[1, 3, 4, 10] studied the function \(\varphi_{u,b,c}(z)\) defined, in terms of the generalized Bessel function \(\omega_{u,b,c}(z)\) by the transformation

\[ \varphi_{u,b,c}(z) = 2^n \Gamma \left(u + \frac{b+1}{2}\right) z^{1/2} \omega_{u,b,c}(\sqrt{z}). \]  

(1.3)

By using the well known Pochhammer symbol (or the shifted factorial) \((\lambda)_\mu\) is defined in terms of the Euler \(\Gamma\) function, by

\[ (\lambda)_\mu = \frac{\Gamma(\lambda + \mu)}{\Gamma(\lambda)} = \begin{cases} 
1, & \mu = 0 \\
\lambda(\lambda + 1) \cdots (\lambda + n - 1), & \mu \in \mathbb{N}
\end{cases} \]

it being understood conventionally that \((\lambda)_0 = 1\). We obtain the following series representation for the function \(\varphi_{u,b,c}(z)\) given by (1.3):

\[ \varphi_{u,b,c}(z) = z + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-c)^n}{4^n (\kappa)_n n!} z^{n+1}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \]  

(1.4)

where \(\kappa = u + \frac{b+1}{2} \in \mathbb{Z}_0^-\), \(N = \{1, 2, \ldots\}\) and \(\mathbb{Z}_0^- = \{0, -1, -2, \ldots\}\). For convenience, we write \(\varphi_{\kappa,c}(z) = \varphi_{u,b,c}(z)\). Next, we introduce a operator \(B_{\kappa}^c : S \rightarrow S\), which is defined by the Hadamard product
\[ B^c_\kappa f(z) = \varphi_{\kappa, c}(z) \ast f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(-c)^{n-1}a_n}{4^n(\kappa)_n} z^n \]  
\[ = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(-c)^{n-1}a_n}{4^n(\kappa)_n(n-1)!} z^n = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} D(c, \kappa, n)a_n z^n. \]  

where \( D(c, \kappa, n) = \frac{(-c)^{n-1}}{4^n(\kappa)_n(n-1)!} \) 

It is easy to verify that from (1.5) \( z[B^c_{\kappa+1} f(z)]' = \kappa B^c_\kappa f(z) - (\kappa - 1)B^c_{\kappa-1} f(z) \) 

where \( \kappa = p + \frac{b+1}{2} \notin \mathbb{Z}_0^- \). In fact the function \( B^c_\kappa \) given by (1.5) is an elementary transformation of the generalized hypergeometric function. Hence, it is easy to see that \( B^c_\kappa f(z) = z_0 F_1(\kappa; -\frac{c}{4} z) * f(z) \) and also \( \varphi_{\kappa, c}(\frac{-c}{4} z) = z_0 F_1(\kappa; z) \). Let \( A \) be the class of all analytic functions 
\[ f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \]  
in the unit disk \( U = \{ z : |z| < 1 \} \). Let \( S \) be the subclass of \( A \) consisting of univalent functions. Suppose for \( 0 \leq \eta < 1 \), \( S^*(\eta) \) and \( C(\eta) \) denote the subclasses of \( A \) consisting of functions which satisfy the following inequalities: 
\[ \Re \left( \frac{z' f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) > \eta, \text{ and } \Re \left( 1 + \frac{zf'''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) > \eta, \]  

are, respectively, starlike and convex of order \( \eta \) in \( U \). In particular, we set \( S^*(0) = S^* \) and \( C(0) = C \). Let \( T \) denote the subclasses of \( S \) consisting of functions \( f(z) \) given by 
\[ f(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad a_n > 0 \]  

with negative coefficients. Silverman[13] introduced and investigated the following subclasses of the function class \( T : T^*(\eta) = S^*(\eta) \cap T \) and \( C^*(\eta) = C(\eta) \cap T, \quad 0 \leq \eta < 1 \)  

(1.8) 

For \( f \in A \) given by (1.6) and \( g \in A \) is given by 
\[ g(z) = z + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n+1} z^{n+1}, \]  

the Hadamard product (or convolution) of \( f(z) \) and \( g(z) \) is given by \( (f \ast g)(z) = z + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n b_{n+1} z^{n+1} = (g \ast f)(z), \quad z \in U \) 

Recently Shanmugam et al.[12] and [15] have studied the following: 

**Definition 1.1** Let \( c > 1, \quad 0 \leq \lambda < 1, \quad k \geq 0, \quad 0 \leq \eta < 1 \) and \( z \in U \), a function \( f \in T \) is said to be in the class \( UB(\lambda, \eta, k, c) \) if it satisfies the following inequality: 
\[ \Re \left[ \frac{zF''(z)}{F'(z)} \right] > k \left| \frac{zF''(z)}{F'(z)} - 1 \right| + \eta \]  

(1.9)  

where \( F(z) = (1 - \lambda)(B^c_\kappa f(z)) + \lambda z(B^c_\kappa f(z))' \). 

**Lemma 1.2** [2] Let \( w = u + iv \). Then \( \Re(w) > \sigma \) if and only if \( |w - (1+\sigma)| \leq |w + (1-\sigma)| \)
Lemma 1.3 [2] Let \( w = u + iv \) and \( \sigma, \gamma \) are real number. Then \( \text{Re}(w) > \sigma |w - 1| + \gamma \) if and only if \( \text{Re}\left[ w(1 + \sigma e^{i\theta}) - \sigma e^{i\theta} \right] > \gamma \).

2 Coefficient Bounds and Extreme Points

We obtain the necessary and sufficient condition and extreme points for the function \( f(z) \) in the class \( \text{UB}(\lambda, \eta, k, c) \).

Theorem 2.1 Let \( c > 1 \), \( 0 \leq \lambda < 1 \), \( k \geq 0 \), \( 0 \leq \eta < 1 \) and \( z \in U \). The function \( f(z) \) defined by equation (1.6) is in the class \( \text{UB}(\lambda, \eta, k, c) \) if and only if

\[
k + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n+1)(\eta + 2k)(1 - \lambda + n\lambda)D(c, \kappa, n) < 1 - \eta
\]

Proof. From the definition, we have

\[
\text{Re}\left\{ \frac{(B^e_k f(z))' + (1 + 2\lambda)z(B^e_k f(z))'' + \lambda z^2(B^e_k f(z))'''}{(B^e_k f(z))' + \lambda z(B^e_k f(z))''} (1 + ke^{i\theta}) - 2ke^{i\theta} \right\} \geq \eta
\]

\(-\pi \leq \phi \leq \pi\), or equivalently

\[
\text{Re}\left\{ \frac{(B^e_k f(z))' + (1 + 2\lambda)z(B^e_k f(z))'' + \lambda z^2(B^e_k f(z))'''}{(B^e_k f(z))' + \lambda z(B^e_k f(z))''} (1 + ke^{i\theta}) - 2ke^{i\theta} \right\} \geq \eta
\]

(2.2)

Let

\[
F(z) = (B^e_k f(z))' + (1 + 2\lambda)z(B^e_k f(z))'' + \lambda z^2(B^e_k f(z))'''
\]

and \( E(z) = (B^e_k f(z))' + \lambda z(B^e_k f(z))'' \) By Lemma 1.2, equation (2.2) is equivalent to

\[
|F(z)| \geq |F(z) - (1 - \eta)E(z)|, \quad 0 \leq \eta < 1. \text{But}
\]

\[
|F(z)| = \left| 1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n+1)(\lambda + n\lambda)D(c, \kappa, n) a_n b_n z^{n-1} \right|
\]

\[
|F(z) + (1 - \eta)E(z)| = \left| -ke^{i\theta} \left( 1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(2-n)(1 - \lambda + n\lambda)D(c, \kappa, n) a_n b_n z^{n-1} \right) 
\]

\[
+ (1 - \eta) \left( 1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n+1)(\lambda + n\lambda)D(c, \kappa, n) a_n b_n z^{n-1} \right) \right| 
\]

\[
\geq (2 - \eta) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n+1-\eta)(\lambda + n\lambda)D(c, \kappa, n) a_n b_n z^{n-1}
\]

\[
-k \left( 1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(2-n)(1 - \lambda + n\lambda)D(c, \kappa, n) a_n b_n |z|^{n-1} \right)
\]
Univalent Function defined by Bessel Function

\[
\begin{aligned}
|F(z) - (1 + \eta)E(z)| &= 
\left|1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^2 \left(1 - \lambda + n\lambda\right)D(c, \kappa, n)a_n b_{n} z^{n-1} \right|
\leq \eta + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n+1-\eta)(1-\lambda+n\lambda)D(c, \kappa, n)a_n b_{n} |z|^{n-1}
\end{aligned}
\]

\[
+k \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-2)(1-\lambda+n\lambda)D(c, \kappa, n)a_n b_{n} |z|^{n-1}
\]

Hence, \(|F(z) + (1 - \eta)E(z)| - |F(z) - (1 + \eta)E(z)|\)

\[
\geq (2(1-\eta))a_n b_n |z|^{n-1} - 2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-\eta)(1-\lambda+n\lambda)D(c, \kappa, n)a_n b_{n} |z|^{n-1}
\]

\[
-2k \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-2)(1-\lambda+n\lambda)D(c, \kappa, n)a_n b_{n} |z|^{n-1} \geq 0.
\]

or \(
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-\eta)(1-\lambda+n\lambda)D(c, \kappa, n)a_n b_{n} + k \left[1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-2)(1-\lambda+n\lambda)D(c, \kappa, n)a_n b_{n} \right] \leq 1-\eta.
\)

which is equivalent to \(
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [(1-\lambda+n\lambda)(n(n-\eta)+k(n(n-2)))+k]D(c, \kappa, n)a_n b_{n} \leq 1-\eta
\)

conversely, suppose that the equation (2.1) holds good, then we have to prove that

\[
\Re \left\{1 + \frac{zF''(z)}{F'(z)}(1+ke^{i\phi}) - 2ke^{i\phi} \right\} \geq \eta
\]

Now choosing the values of \(z\) on the positive real axis where \(0 \leq |z| = r < 1\), the above inequality reduces to

\[
\Re \left\{(1-\eta) - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[n^2(1+ke^{i\phi})(1-\lambda+n\lambda) - n(\eta+2ke^{i\phi})(1-\lambda+n\lambda)\right]D(c, \kappa, n)a_n b_n r^{n-2} + ke^{i\phi} \right\} \geq 0
\]

Since \(\Re(e^{i\phi}) \geq -|e^{i\phi}| = -1\), the above inequality reduces to

\[
\Re \left\{(1-\eta) - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n^2(1+k)(1-\lambda+n\lambda) - n(\eta+2k)(1-\lambda+n\lambda))D(c, \kappa, n)a_n b_n r^{n-2} + k \right\} \geq 0
\]

Letting \(r \to 1\), we get the desired result.

**Corollary 2.2** Let \(c > 1\), \(0 \leq \lambda < 1\), \(k \geq 0\), \(0 \leq \eta < 1\) and \(z \in U\), if \(f \in UB(\lambda, \eta, k, c)\), then
\[ a_n \leq \frac{1-\eta}{k + [n(n(1+k) - (\eta + 2k))(1-\lambda + n\lambda)]D(c,\kappa,\eta)b_n}, \]

**Theorem 2.3** Let \( n \geq 2, n \in \mathbb{N}, 0 \leq \eta < 1, c > 1, 0 \leq \lambda < 1, k \geq 0 \) and \( z \in U \). If \( f(z) = z \) and \( f_n(z) = z - \frac{1-\eta}{k + [n(n(1+k) - (\eta + 2k))(1-\lambda + n\lambda)]D(c,\kappa,\eta)b_n}z^{n-1}, \)

Then \( f \in U(\lambda,\eta,k,a,\delta) \) if and only if it can be expressed in the form

\[ f(z) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sigma_n f_n(z) \sigma_n \geq 0 \text{ and } \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sigma_n = 1 \text{ or } 1 = \sigma_1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sigma_n. \]

**Proof.** Let \( f(z) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sigma_n f_n(z) \), where \( \sigma_n \geq 0 \) and \( \sigma_n = 1 \) or \( 1 = \sigma_1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sigma_n \). Then

\[ f(z) = z - \frac{1-\eta}{k + [n(n(1+k) - (\eta + 2k))(1-\lambda + n\lambda)]D(c,\kappa,\eta)b_n}z^{n-1}. \]

But

\[ f(z) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{k + [n(n(1+k) - (\eta + 2k))(1-\lambda + n\lambda)]D(c,\kappa,\eta)b_n}{1-\eta} \right\} \left\{ \frac{1-\eta}{k + [n(n(1+k) - (\eta + 2k))(1-\lambda + n\lambda)]D(c,\kappa,\eta)b_n} \sigma_n \right\} \]

\[ = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sigma_n = 1 - \sigma_1 \leq 1. \]

Using Theorem 2.1, we have \( f \in U(B(\lambda,\eta,k,c)). \)

Conversely, Let us assume that \( f(z) \) is of the form (1.6) belongs to \( U(B(\lambda,\eta,k,c)). \). Then

\[ a_n \leq \frac{1-\eta}{k + [n(n(1+k) - (\eta + 2k))(1-\lambda + n\lambda)]D(c,\kappa,\eta)b_n}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 2 \]

Setting

\[ \sigma_n = \frac{k + [n(n(1+k) - (\eta + 2k))(1-\lambda + n\lambda)]D(c,\kappa,\eta)n}{1-\eta}a_n b_n \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_1 = 1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sigma_n \]

we have

\[ f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_n f_n(z) = \sigma_1 f_1(z) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sigma_n f_n(z). \]

Hence the proof.

3 Growth and Distortion Theorem

**Theorem 3.1** If \( f \in U(\lambda,\eta,k,c) \) and \( |z| = r < 1 \) then

\[ r - \frac{1-\eta}{k + 2(2-\eta)(1+\lambda)(\frac{c}{4\kappa})} r^2 \leq |f(z)| \leq r + \frac{1-\eta}{k + 2(2-\eta)(1+\lambda)(\frac{c}{4\kappa})} r^2. \] (3.1)

Equality in (3.1) holds true for the function \( f(z) \) given by
f(z) = z - \frac{1-\eta}{k + 2(2-\eta)(1+\lambda)\left(\frac{-c}{4K}\right)} z^2. \quad (3.2)

Proof. we only prove the second part of the inequality in (3.1), since the first part can be derived by using similar arguments. If \( f \in \text{UB}(\lambda, \eta, k, c) \), by using Theorem 2.1, we find that

\[
k + 2(2-\eta)(1+\lambda)\left(\frac{-c}{4K}\right) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} k + 2(2-\eta)(1+\lambda)\left(\frac{-c}{4K}\right) a_n
\]

\[
\leq k + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n(1+k) - (\eta + 2k))(1-\lambda + n\lambda)D(c, \kappa, n)a_n \leq 1 - \eta.
\]

which readily yields the following inequality

\[
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n \leq \frac{1-\eta}{k + 2(2-\eta)(1+\lambda)\left(\frac{-c}{4K}\right)}. \quad (3.3)
\]

Moreover it follows that

\[
|f(z)| = \left| z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} D(c, \kappa, n)z^n \right| \leq |z| + |z|^2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n \leq r + r^2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n \leq r + \frac{1-\eta}{k + 2(2-\eta)(1+\lambda)\left(\frac{-c}{4K}\right)} r^2.
\]

which proves the second part of the inequality in (3.1).

**Theorem 3.2** If \( f \in \text{UB}(\lambda, \eta, k, c) \) and \(|z| = r < 1\) then

\[
1 - \frac{2(1-\eta)}{k + 2(2-\eta)(1+\lambda)\left(\frac{-c}{4K}\right)} r \leq |f'(z)| \leq 1 + \frac{2(1-\eta)}{k + 2(2-\eta)(1+\lambda)\left(\frac{-c}{4K}\right)} r \quad (3.4)
\]

Equality in (3.1) holds true for the function \( f(z) \) given by (3.2)

Proof. Our proof of Theorem 3.2 is much akin to that of Theorem 3.1. Indeed, since \( f \in \text{UB}(\lambda, \eta, k, c) \), it is easily verified from (1.7) that

\[
|f'(z)| \leq 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} na_n \ |z|^{n-1} \leq 1 + r \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} na_n, \quad (3.5)
\]

and

\[
|f'(z)| \geq 1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} na_n \ |z|^{n-1} \geq 1 - r \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} na_n. \quad (3.6)
\]

The assertion (3.4) of Theorem 3.2 would now follow from (3.5) and (3.6) by means of a rather simple consequence of (3.3) given by

\[
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} na_n \leq \frac{2(1-\eta)}{k + 2(2-\eta)(1+\lambda)\left(\frac{-c}{4K}\right)}. \quad (3.7)
\]

The completes the proof of Theorem 3.2.

4 Hadamard Product

**Theorem 4.1** Let \( f(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \) and \( g(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n \) belongs to \( \text{UB}(\lambda, \eta, k, c) \). Then the Hadamard Product of \( f(z) \) and \( g(z) \) given by
\[(f * g)(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n \text{ belongs to } UB(\lambda, \eta, k, c).\]

**Proof.** Since \(f(z)\) and \(g(z)\) belongs to \(UB(\lambda, \eta, k, c)\), we have
\[
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{k + [n(n(1+k) - (\eta + 2k))(1 - \lambda + n\lambda)]D(c, \kappa, n)b_n}{1 - \eta} \right\} a_n \leq 1.
\]
and
\[
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{k + [n(n(1+k) - (\eta + 2k))(1 - \lambda + n\lambda)]D(c, \kappa, n)a_n}{1 - \eta} \right\} b_n \leq 1.
\]
and by applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have
\[
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{(n(1+k) - (\eta + k))(1 - \lambda + n\lambda)D(c, \kappa, n)b_n}{1 - \eta} \right\} a_n \sqrt{a_n b_n} \leq \left( \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{(n(1+k) - (\eta + k))(1 - \lambda + n\lambda)D(c, \kappa, n)b_n}{1 - \eta} \right\} a_n \right)^{1/2} \left( \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{(n(1+k) - (\eta + k))(1 - \lambda + n\lambda)D(c, \kappa, n)b_n}{1 - \eta} \right\} b_n \right)^{1/2}.
\]
However, we obtain
\[
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{(n(1+k) - (\eta + k))(1 - \lambda + n\lambda)D(c, \kappa, n)b_n}{1 - \eta} \right\} a_n b_n \leq \left( \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{(n(1+k) - (\eta + k))(1 - \lambda + n\lambda)D(c, \kappa, n)b_n}{1 - \eta} \right\} a_n \right)^{1/2} \left( \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{(n(1+k) - (\eta + k))(1 - \lambda + n\lambda)D(c, \kappa, n)b_n}{1 - \eta} \right\} b_n \right)^{1/2}.
\]
Now we have to prove that
\[
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{(n(1+k) - (\eta + k))(1 - \lambda + n\lambda)D(c, \kappa, n)b_n}{1 - \eta} \right\} a_n b_n \leq 1.
\]
\[
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{(n(1+k) - (\eta + k))(1 - \lambda + n\lambda)D(c, \kappa, n)b_n}{1 - \eta} \right\} a_n b_n = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{(n(1+k) - (\eta + k))(1 - \lambda + n\lambda)D(c, \kappa, n)b_n}{1 - \eta} \right\} a_n b_n.
\]
Hence the proof.

5 Application of the Fractional Calculus

Various operators of fractional calculus (i.e fractional derivative and fractional integral) have been rather extensively studied by many researchers (see for example [14]). Each of these theorems would involve certain operator of fractional calculus which are defined as follows.

**Definition 5.1** The fractional integral operator of order \(\delta\) is defined for a function \(f(z)\) by
\[
D_{z}^{\delta}(f(z)) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\mu)} \int_{0}^{z} \frac{f(t)}{(z - t)^{1-\mu}} dt, \quad \mu > 0.
\]
where \(f(z)\) is analytic function in a simply connected region of \(z\)-plane containing the origin and the multiplicity of \((z-t)^{\mu-1}\) is removed by requiring \(\log(z-t)\) to be read when \((z-t) > 0\).
Definition 5.2 The fractional derivative of order $\mu$ is defined for a function $f(z)$ by

$$D_z^\mu (f(z)) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\mu)} \frac{d}{dz} \int_0^z \frac{f(t)}{(z-t)^{\mu}} \, dt, \quad 0 \leq \mu < 1.$$ (5.2)

where $f(z)$ is analytic function in a simply connected region of $z$-plane containing the origin and the multiplicity of $(z-t)^{\mu-1}$ is removed by requiring $\log(z-t)$ to be read when $(z-t) > 0$.

Definition 5.3 The fractional derivative of order $k + \mu$ is defined by

$$D_z^{k+\mu} (f(z)) = \frac{d^k}{dz^k} D_z^\mu (f(z)), \quad 0 \leq \mu < 1.$$ (5.3)

From definition (5.1) and (5.2), after a simple computation we obtain

$$1 = 2(1) (2) (2)(1)(1).$$ (2) (2)

From definition (5.4) and (5.5), Let us prove the following theorems:

**Theorem 5.4** Let $f \in UB(\lambda, \eta, k, c)$. Then

$$\left| D_z^{-\mu} f(z) \right| \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(2+\mu)} \left| z \right|^{\mu+1} \left[ 1 + \frac{2(1-\eta)}{(2+\mu)[k+2(2-\eta)(1+\lambda)(\frac{c}{4\kappa})b_2]} \left| z \right|^{\mu-1} \right].$$ (5.6)

$$\left| D_z^{-\mu} f(z) \right| \geq \frac{1}{\Gamma(2+\mu)} \left| z \right|^{\mu+1} \left[ 1 - \frac{2(1-\eta)}{(2+\mu)[k+2(2-\eta)(1+\lambda)(\frac{c}{4\kappa})b_2]} \left| z \right|^{\mu-1} \right].$$ (5.7)

The inequalities (5.6) and (5.7) are attained for the function $f$ given by

$$f(z) = z - \frac{1-\eta}{k+2(2-\eta)(1+\lambda)(\frac{c}{4\kappa})b_2}.$$ (5.8)

**Proof.** From Theorem 2.1, we obtain

$$\sum_{n=2}^\infty a_n \leq \frac{1-\eta}{k+2(2-\eta)(1+\lambda)(\frac{c}{4\kappa})b_2}.$$ (5.9)

Using equation (5.5), we obtain

$$\Gamma(2-\mu)z^\mu D_z^\mu f(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^\infty \psi(n, \mu) a_n z^n.$$ (5.10)

such that

$$\psi(n, \mu) = \frac{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(2+\mu)}{\Gamma(n+1+\mu)}, \quad n \geq 2.$$ (5.11)

where $\psi(n, \mu)$ is a decreasing function of $n$ and $0 < \psi(n, \mu) \leq (2, \mu) = \frac{2}{2+\mu}$.

Using equation (5.9) and (5.10), we obtain

$$\left| \Gamma(2-\mu)z^\mu D_z^\mu f(z) \right| \leq \left| z \right| + \left| \sum_{n=2}^\infty a_n z^n \right| z + \frac{2(1-\eta)}{(2+\mu)[k+2(2-\eta)(1+\lambda)(\frac{c}{4\kappa})b_2]} \left| z \right|^{\mu-1}.$$ (5.12)

Which is the equation (5.6). Similarly we can get equation (5.7).
Theorem 5.5 Let \( f \in UB(\lambda, \eta, k, c) \). Then

\[
|D_\z^\mu f(z)| \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(2-\mu)} |z|^{-\mu} \left[ 1 + \frac{2(1-\eta)}{(2-\mu)[k+2(2-\eta)(1+\lambda)(-c/4\kappa)]b_2} |z|^{\mu-1} \right].
\] (5.11)

\[
|D_\z^\mu f(z)| \geq \frac{1}{\Gamma(2-\mu)} |z|^{-\mu} \left[ 1 - \frac{2(1-\eta)}{(2+\mu)[k+2(2-\eta)(1+\lambda)(-c/4\kappa)]b_2} |z|^{\mu-1} \right].
\] (5.12)

The inequalities (5.11) and (5.12) are attained for the function \( f \) given by

\[
f(z) = z - \frac{1-\eta}{k+2(2-\eta)(1+\lambda)(-c/4\kappa)b_2}.
\] (5.13)

Proof. Using equation (5.5), we obtain

\[
\Gamma(2-\mu)z^\mu D_\z^\mu f(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^\infty \zeta(n, \mu) a_n z^n
\] (5.14)

such that

\[
l(n, \mu) = \frac{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(2-\mu)}{\Gamma(n+1-\mu)}, \quad n \geq 2.
\]

where \( \zeta(n, \mu) \) is a decreasing function of \( n \) and \( 0 < \zeta(n, \mu) \leq \zeta(2, \mu) = \frac{2}{2-\mu} \).

Using equation (5.9) and (5.114), we obtain

\[
\left| \Gamma(2-\mu)z^\mu D_\z^\mu f(z) \right| |z| + |\zeta(2, \mu)| |z| \sum_{n=2}^\infty |a_n| \leq |z| + \frac{2(1-\eta)}{(2+\mu)[k+2(2-\eta)(1+\lambda)(-c/4\kappa)]} |z|^{\mu-1}.
\]

Which is the equation (5.11). Similarly we can get equation (5.12).

Corollary 5.6 For every \( f \in UB(\lambda, \eta, k, c) \), we have

\[
\frac{|z|^2}{2} \left[ 1 - \frac{2(1-\eta)}{3(k+2(2-\eta)(1+\lambda)(-c/4\kappa)b_2)} |z|^{\mu-1} \right] \leq \left| \int_0^z f(t)dt \right|
\]

and

\[
\frac{|z|^2}{2} \left[ 1 + \frac{2(1-\eta)}{3(k+2(2-\eta)(1+\lambda)(-c/4\kappa)b_2)} |z|^{\mu-1} \right] \leq \left| f(z) \right| \leq \frac{|z|^2}{2} \left[ 1 - \frac{(1-\eta)}{k+2(2-\eta)(1+\lambda)(-c/4\kappa)b_2)} |z|^{\mu-1} \right].
\]
Proof. By Definition 5.1 and Theorem 5.4 for \( \mu = 1 \), we have \( D_z^{-1} f(z) = \int_0^z f(t) dt \), the result is true.

Also by Definition 5.2 and Theorem 5.5 for \( \mu = 0 \), we have \( D_z^0 f(z) = \frac{d}{dz} \int_0^z f(t) dt = f(z) \)
Hence the result is true.

6 Radii of close-to-convexity Starlikeness and Convexity Theorem

**Theorem 6.1** Let the function \( f(z) \) defined by \( f(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \) be in the class \( UB(\lambda, \eta, k, c) \). Then \( f(z) \) is close to convex of order \( \delta(0 < \delta < 1) \) in \( |z| < r_1(\lambda, \eta, k, c, \delta) \) where

\[
r_1(\lambda, \eta, k, c, \delta) = \inf \left\{ \frac{k + z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n(n(1+k) - (\eta + 2k)(1-\lambda + n\lambda)]D(c, \kappa, n)b_n]{1 - \delta}}{n(1-\eta)} \right\}.
\]

The result is sharp for the function \( f(z) \) given by

\[
f(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n .
\]

**Proof.** It is sufficient to show that \(|f'(z) - 1| \leq 1 - \delta\), for \(|z| < r_1(\lambda, \eta, k, a, \delta) \).
Hence

\[
|f'(z) - 1| = \left| - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} na_n z^{n-1} \right| \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n| |z|^{n-1} \leq 1 - \delta.
\]

and if

\[
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{n}{1-\delta} |a_n| |z|^{n-1} \leq 1.
\]

Thus by Theorem 2.1, (6.1) will holds true if

\[
\frac{n}{1-\delta} |z|^{n-1} \leq \left\{ \frac{k + z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n(n(1+k) - (\eta + 2k)(1-\lambda + n\lambda)]D(c, \kappa, n)b_n}{1 - \delta} \right\}.
\]

(or) if

\[
|z| \leq \left\{ \frac{k + z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n(n(1+k) - (\eta + 2k)(1-\lambda + n\lambda)]D(c, \kappa, n)b_n(1-\delta)}{n(1-\eta)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{n-1}}.
\]

The theorem follows easily from previous equation.

**Theorem 6.2** Let the function \( f(z) \) defined by \( f(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \) be in the class \( UB(\lambda, \eta, k, c) \). Then \( f(z) \) is starlike of order \( \delta(0 < \delta < 1) \) in \( |z| < r_2(\lambda, \eta, k, c, \delta) \) where
\[ r_2(\lambda, \eta, k, c, \delta) = \inf \left\{ \left[ k + z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n(1+k) - (\eta + 2k))(1 - \lambda + n\lambda)D(c, \kappa, n)b_n \right] (1 - \eta) \right\}^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \].

The result is sharp for the function \( f(z) \) given by

\[ f(z) = z - \frac{1 - \eta}{k + z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n(n(1+k) - (\eta + 2k))(1 - \lambda + n\lambda)]D(c, \kappa, n)b_n} z^{n-1}. \]

**Proof.** If \( f(z) \) is starlike it is sufficient to show that \( \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| \leq 1 - \delta \), for \( z \leq r_2(\lambda, \eta, k, a, \delta, ...) \).

Since

\[ \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| = \left| \frac{z(1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} na_n z^{n-1})}{z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n} - 1 \right| \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n-1)a_n |z|^{n-1} \leq 1 - \delta. \]

Since

\[ \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| \leq 1 - \delta \]

if

\[ \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{n-\delta}{1-\delta} a_n |z|^{n-1} \leq 1 \] (6.2)

Thus by Theorem 2.1, (6.2) will holds true if

\[ \frac{n-\delta}{1-\delta} |z|^{n-1} \leq \left\{ k + z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n(n(1+k) - (\eta + 2k))(1 - \lambda + n\lambda)]D(c, \kappa, n)b_n \right\} \left( 1 - \eta \right) \]

(\text{or} if \( |z| \leq \left\{ k + z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n(n(1+k) - (\eta + 2k))(1 - \lambda + n\lambda)]D(c, \kappa, n)b_n (1 - \delta) \right\} \left( n-\delta \right)(1-\eta) \left( \frac{1}{n-1} \right) \)).

The theorem follows easily from previous equation.

**Theorem 6.3** Let the function \( f(z) \) defined by \( f(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \) be in the class \( \text{UB}(\lambda, \eta, k, c, ...) \). Then \( f(z) \) is convex of order \( \delta (0 < \delta < 1) \) in \( |z| < r_2(\lambda, \eta, k, c, \delta) \) where

\[ r_2(\lambda, \eta, k, c, \delta) = \inf \left\{ \left[ k + z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n(1+k) - (\eta + 2k))(1 - \lambda + n\lambda)D(c, \kappa, n)b_n \right] (1 - \eta) \right\}^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \].

The result is sharp for the function \( f(z) \) given by
Proof. Since \( f(z) \) is convex it is enough to show that \( \left| \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right| \leq 1 - \delta \), for \( |z| < r_3(\lambda, \eta, k, c, \delta, \tau) \). Since
\[
\left| \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right| \leq \frac{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1) a_n |z|^{n-1}}{1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n |z|^{n-1}} \leq 1 - \delta
\]
Thus
\[
\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| \leq 1 - \delta.
\]
if
\[
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{n(n-\delta)}{1-\delta} a_n |z|^{n-1} \leq 1
\]
(6.3)
Hence by Theorem 2.1, (6.3) will holds true if
\[
\frac{n(n-\delta)}{1-\delta} |z|^{n-1} \leq \left\{ \frac{k + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n+1+k) - (\eta + 2k))(1-\lambda + n\lambda)]D(c, \kappa, n)b_n}{1 - \eta} \right\} \frac{1}{n-1}.
\]
(or) if
\[
|z| \leq \left\{ \frac{k + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n+1+k) - (\eta + 2k))(1-\lambda + n\lambda)]D(c, \kappa, n)b_n(1-\delta)}{n(n-\delta)(1-\eta)} \right\} \frac{1}{n-1}.
\]
The theorem follows easily from previous equation.
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