Piper gaudichaudianum Kunth: Seasonal Characterization of the Essential Oil Chemical Composition of Leaves and Reproductive Organs

Bianca Schindler¹, Berta Maria Heinzmann²*

¹Universidade Federal de Santa Maria - Departamento de Ciências Florestais, Santa Maria, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil; ²Universidade Federal de Santa Maria – Departamento de Farmácia Industrial, Santa Maria, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil.

ABSTRACT

This study describes a comparative analysis of the essential oil (EO) chemical composition of leaves and reproductive organs (inflorescences and fruits) of Piper gaudichaudianum during the seasons of a year in order to determine the best collection time and the most suitable plant organ to obtain this extractive. The chemical composition of EO obtained from fresh leaves was compared to the dried ones, to verify if the drying process interferes in the extractive quality. The leaves were collected from a native population of Santa Maria, RS, Brazil, twice in each season, in triplicate, while inflorescences and fruits were sampled when they were present. The EO was obtained by hydrodistillation of the different plant organs for 3 h. The 20 EO samples were analyzed by gas chromatography (GC) coupled to mass spectrometry and GC with flame ionization detector, in triplicate. Hierarchical cluster analysis (HCA) and principal components analysis (PCA) were performed to verify a possible formation of chemical groups (CG) and the cohesion among them. The phenylpropanoid dillapiole was the major constituent of the EO in all seasons and in all plant organs, and myristicin was observed only in reproductive organs. The EO samples of this population were divided into two CG by HCA and PCA, showing the variability in chemical composition between different plant organs, however there was no chemical variability due to seasonality and phenophases. Since the drying of the leaves did not alter the EO chemical composition, this post-harvest procedure can be used without compromising the extractive quality.
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INTRODUCTION

Piperaceae species are quite common in Brazilian forests and have commercial, medicinal and ecological importance. The genus Piper is classified in this family and it is known for its wide variety of chemical constituents, among which monoterpenoids and sesquiterpenoids stand out. Alcaloids, flavones, and phenylpropanoids as myristicin, asaricin, dilapiolle and safrole also occur. Piper gaudichaudianum Kunth, known as pariparoba or jaborandi, is found in all Brazilian regions, and also in Paraguay and Argentina. Regarding the bioactive secondary metabolites reported for this species, chromone and prenylated derivatives of benzoic acid isomers were obtained from the leaves ethanolic extract, which showed antimicrobial activity against strains of Staphylococcus aureus, Bacillus subtilis and Candida tropicalis. Ethyl acetate extracts obtained from the leaves of P. gaudichaudianum in different developmental stages of the species showed changes in chemical composition as a result of metabolic alterations. In 12 months seedlings the major constituents were apiole and dilapiolle, but at 15 months gaudichaudianic acid was detected as main compound, which remained as major one in leaf extracts of adult plants. In addition, the leaves essential oil (EO) having as its major component the sesquiterpene α-humulene, followed by β-caryophyllene, viridiflorene, β-selinene, seychellene and δ-cadinene showed antifungal activity against Candida neoformans and C. krusei. Additionally, for the EO from leaves having as its major constituent the viridiflorol and also aromadendrene, β-selinene and selin-1-en-4-α-ol, larvicidal activity against Aedes aegypti L. (IC₅₀ = 121 µg.mL⁻¹) was described. Studies concerning a comparative analysis of the seasonality effect in the EO chemical composition of leaves and reproductive organs were not performed for Piper gaudichaudianum to date. There are also no studies about the evaluation of the possible influence of post-harvest processing on the EO quality of leaves. Therefore, the aim of this study was to perform a comparative analysis of the EO chemical composition of leaves and reproductive organs (inflorescences and fruits) of Piper gaudichaudianum Kunth considering the four seasons of a year, in order to determine the best collection time and the most suitable plant organ to obtain such extractive. Furthermore, chemical composition of the EOs obtained from fresh and dried leaves were compared, to verify whether the drying process interferes or not in extractive quality.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Plant material and collection site

The leaves and reproductive organs were randomly collected from individuals in a native population of P. gaudichaudianum, located in the understory of a forest remnant in Santa Maria, state of Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil, under the coordinates S 29°40'11.3" and W 53°46'15.8", and at altitude of around 229 m. The samples were collected in the morning, between 8:30 and 9:30 am, two times in each season (spring, summer, autumn and winter), from January to December 2013. A total of eight collections were carried out. The species was identified by Daniele Ferreira Monteiro, and voucher specimens were deposited in the Herbarium of the Department of Forest Science (HDCF) at the Federal University of Santa Maria (UFSM) (Nr. 6,514) and a duplicate was sent to the Herbarium of Rio de Janeiro Botanical Garden (RB) (Nr. 584,729). The term used in plant morphology to the
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The vegetation in Santa Maria is classified as Seasonal Deciduous Forest\textsuperscript{13}. In order to characterize the collection site, the new proposal made by Oliveira-Filho\textsuperscript{14} was chosen. According to this proposal, the forestry formation is classified as Broadleaved Forest Seasonal Rocky. According to Heldwein et al.\textsuperscript{15} the climate of this region is classified as mesothermal and humid from Cfa type, characterized as humid subtropical with hot summers without a defined dry season. The average annual temperature is 19.1 °C and monthly rainfall lies between 120.1 mm and 126.8 mm. The region is subjected to low and moderate frost, with mild winter, but subject to cold waves caused by the frequent displacement of migratory polar anticyclones. Meteorological data were obtained from the INMET (National Institute of Meteorology) to characterize the year of study (Table 1). The soil of Santa Maria sits on lithology of the formations Santa Maria, Caturrita, Botucatu and Serra Geral\textsuperscript{16}. The collection site has soil type Litholic Neosol\textsuperscript{17}.

| Table 1 – Meteorological data from 2013 for Santa Maria, RS, Brazil. |
|---------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| **Min. temp. (°C)** | Summer | Autumn | Winter | Spring |
| J | F | M | A | M | J | A | S | O | N | D |
| 18.2 | 19.1 | 16.4 | 14.4 | 11.0 | 9.5 | 8.7 | 8.1 | 12.7 | 14.2 | 17.5 | 19.7 |
| **Max. temp. (°C)** | Summer | Autumn | Winter | Spring |
| J | F | M | A | M | J | A | S | O | N | D |
| 30.3 | 29.9 | 26.6 | 26.4 | 21.4 | 18.9 | 20.0 | 19.3 | 23.1 | 26.2 | 28.7 | 32.1 |
| **Precip. (mm)** | Summer | Autumn | Winter | Spring |
| J | F | M | A | M | J | A | S | O | N | D |
| 145.3 | 97.7 | 188.6 | 147.4 | 71.6 | 81.6 | 113.5 | 163.8 | 69.2 | 108.7 | 294.5 | 92.8 |
| **RH (%)** | Summer | Autumn | Winter | Spring |
| J | F | M | A | M | J | A | S | O | N | D |
| 75.8 | 80.9 | 83.8 | 83.5 | 87.2 | 89.7 | 86.8 | 83.1 | 78.0 | 74.0 | 72.7 | 70.1 |


**Essential oil extraction and chemical composition analysis**

The EOs were obtained from fresh leaves and reproductive organs as well as from dried leaves, which were dried for 15 days at room temperature and away from light. Plant material was fragmented and separately submitted to hydrodistillation for the extraction of the EOs of different plant organs, using a modified Clevenger type apparatus for 3 hours. In this method, the distillate oil is retained in a graduated glass tube, and the aqueous phase returns automatically to the distillation flask to be reused\textsuperscript{18}. The extraction from fresh and dried leaves was performed in triplicate, while the reproductive organs were extracted only once in each collection, due to the small amount of material available. As the EO mixed with hidrolate, this was subjected to liquid-liquid extraction in a separator funnel using previously distilled hexane\textsuperscript{19}. After drying through the hexane fraction with anhydrous sodium sulfate (Na\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4}), the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure at 30 °C to obtain the EOs. These EOs were stored in sealed amber glass bottles, at 4 °C. For chemical composition analysis samples were prepared by diluting the EO in hexane HPLC grade at 2%.

The 20 EO samples obtained from plant material of all the collections are represented by abbreviations, which were made according the seasons (Su: summer, Au: autumn, Wi: winter and Sp: spring), the plant organ (FL: fresh leaves; DL: dried leaves; INF: inflorescences and F: fruits), and the collection in the same season (1 or 2). Thus, the essential oil samples were named: SuFL1, SuFL2, SuDL1, SuDL2,
AuFL1, AuFL2, AuDL1, AuDL2, AuINF2, WiFL1, WiFL2, WiDL1, WiDL2, WiINF2, SpFL1, SpF1, SpDL1, SpFL2, SpDL2 and SpF2.

The EOs chemical analyses were carried out by gas chromatography coupled to mass spectrometry (GC-MS) on a hyphenated Agilent 7890A System equipped with a mass selective detector 5975C. The analysis parameters were: split mode (1: 100 v/v); carrier gas: He (flow of 1mL/min); Capillary column HP-5MS (Hewlett Packard, 5% phenylmethylsiloxane, 30 m x 0.25 mm, film thickness: 0.25 μm); oven temperature program: 40 °C (Ti) for 4 min, 40-320 °C, at 4 °C/min; injector temperature: 250 °C; interface temperature: 250 °C; Ionization energy: 70 eV. The EOs components were identified based on the comparison of their retention index (RI) determined through a calibration curve of a n-alkanes homologous series (C8-C32) injected under the same chromatographic conditions of the samples and based on the mass spectra obtained from the literature\textsuperscript{20,21}.

The EOs constituents were quantified by a gas chromatography Agilent 7890A system with a flame ionization detector (GC-FID) in triplicate. The analysis parameters were equivalent to the ones previously mentioned, except for splitless mode; injector and detector temperature: 300 °C.

**Multivariate analysis**

To investigate the possible seasonal variability in the chemical composition of the EOs and any differences arising from the extracted plant organ, as well as the drying process, multivariate techniques were used. Furthermore, the Hierarchical Cluster Analysis (HCA) with the Euclidean distance as a measure of dissimilarity and the average linkage UPGMA\textsuperscript{22} to obtain the cophenetic correlation coefficient (CCC) which indicates the adequacy of the grouping formed by the proximity of 1.0 were chosen\textsuperscript{23}. The Principal Component Analysis (PCA) was also performed to determine which variables (constituents) affected the formation of chemical groups of EOs\textsuperscript{24}. The data matrix analysis was composed by 20 samples (objects) and nine EO constituents (descriptors) at concentrations >3.0% in at least one sample. Both analyses were performed using the statistical program R version 2.15.2, and packages "vegan"\textsuperscript{25} and "stats"\textsuperscript{26}.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

In the 20 EO samples obtained during the studied period, 24 components were identified and quantified, comprising 92.8 to 100% of their total chemical composition (Table 2). The phenylpropanoid dillapiole was the predominant constituent of the EO samples obtained from different plant organs in all seasons of the year, while myristicin was observed only in reproductive organs (6.2 to 11.9%) (Fig. 1). Considering the fresh leaves, they have the highest percentage of dillapiole (68.4 to 69.2%) when collected in winter and summer. Comparing the extracted plant organs, the inflorescences under development (in the winter) and fruits (in the spring) had the highest proportions of this constituent, with 87.5 to 87.8%, while during the flowering initial period (in the autumn) and final fruit ripening (in the spring) the levels of dillapiole found were 83.1 and 85.2%, respectively.

The sesquiterpene hydrocarbons comprised 22.5 to 36.4% from the total of the EO samples, and oxygenated hydrocarbons amounted 0.2 to 5.8%, where the latter class was only in one of the EO samples obtained from inflorescences (autumn) with 0.2%. The monoterpene hydrocarbons were identified in trace amounts only in the EO resulting from the first collection of fruits (spring) in a total of 0.3%. It is noteworthy that the oxygenated monoterpenoids were not identified in any of the EO samples of this population.
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Among the major sesquiterpenoids, α-humulene, β-caryophyllene, iswarane, germacrene B, δ-cadinene and E-nerolidol stand out. The components α-copaene, β-bourbonene and humulene epoxide II were observed in EO samples of fresh and dried leaves collected during summer and autumn, although in small proportions. Moreover, β-copaene, α-bulnesene, τ- and δ-cadinol were detected only in fresh and dried leaves obtained during summer (Table 2).

The EOs from fresh and dried leaves (Fig. 2) and reproductive organs presented a different chemical profile from those found in literature for this species, due to the strong presence of the phenylpropanoid class. Accordingly, a low concentration and diversity of other classes of volatile constituents previously described for *P. gaudichaudianum* was observed<sup>27,28,29,30</sup>. In the group of the major constituents verified for EOs of leaves and inflorescences of *P. gaudichaudianum* growing in some cities of Rio Grande do Sul state, α-humulene (13.3-37.5%), β-caryophyllene (10.4-19.3%), β-pinene (5.6-7%), *E*-nerolidol (5.32-22.4%), *E*-caryophyllene (8.9%), bicyclogermacrene (7.4%), β-selinene (3.7-15.7%), α-selinene (8.9-16.6%), allo-aromadendrene (7.7%) and linalool (4.8%) are the most frequently cited<sup>27,28,29</sup>. However, in a seasonal study in the city of Atalanta (SC), β-caryophyllene (10.4-12.5%), α-humulene (8.2-10.4%), δ-selinene (5.4-6.9%), δ-cadinene (6.0-7.3%); *E*-nerolidol (3.0-7.2%) and valencene (4.0-5.6%) were observed<sup>30</sup>. The EO chemical composition of *P. gaudichaudianum* leaves found in this study is similar to those described for the EO of leaves obtained from *Piper permucronatum* Yunck. collected in Rondônia and *Piper aduncum* L. in Pará and Distrito Federal. The dillapiole levels observed in these EOs ranged from 54.7% and 31.5-97.3%, respectively<sup>31,11,32,33</sup>.

![Dillapiole and Mynstucin](image_url)

**Figure 1** - Chemical structure of dominant constituents of *Piper gaudichaudianum* essential oils obtained from leaves and reproductive organs.

![Chemical classes detected](image_url)

**Figure 2** – Chemical classes detected in the essential oils from leaves (fresh and dried) of *Piper gaudichaudianum* Kunth in the four seasons (Autumn, Winter, Spring, Summer) in 2013. Subtitle – plant organ (FL: fresh leaves; DL: dried leaves); collection in the same season (1 or 2).
Table 2 – Chemical composition of essential oils obtained from leaves (fresh and dried) and reproductive organs (inflorescences and fruits) of *Piper gaudichaudianum* Kunth, in the four seasons of 2013. C: constituent; *: constituents included in PCA; INF: inflorescences; F: fruits; FL: fresh leaves; DL: dried leaves; collection in the same season: 1 or 2; Exp.: experimental; Lit.: literature.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constituents</th>
<th>Kovats Index</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Autumn</th>
<th>Winter</th>
<th>Spring</th>
<th>Summer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Exp.</td>
<td>FL1</td>
<td>FL2</td>
<td>DL1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1 α-Pinene</td>
<td>932 939</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2 Sabinene</td>
<td>974 972</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3 α-Copaene</td>
<td>1376 1375</td>
<td>0.4 0.4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4 β-Bourbonene</td>
<td>1385 1384</td>
<td>0.5 0.4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5 β-Caryophyllene</td>
<td>1420 1420</td>
<td>1.2 3.7</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C6 β-Copaene</td>
<td>1424 1432</td>
<td>0.6 0.6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C7 Aromadendrene</td>
<td>1439 1439</td>
<td>0.4 2.5</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C8 α-Humulene</td>
<td>1455 1454</td>
<td>2.7 11.8</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C9 Ishwarene</td>
<td>1463 1466</td>
<td>0.4 3.9</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C10 Germacrene D</td>
<td>1482 1482</td>
<td>2.1 0.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C11 α-Bolnesene</td>
<td>1487 1489</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C12 α-Selineine</td>
<td>1487 1485</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C13 Germacrene B</td>
<td>1498 1500</td>
<td>2.5 6.9</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C14 δ-Cadinene</td>
<td>1508 1505</td>
<td>0.5 1.3</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C15 Myristicin</td>
<td>1523 1523</td>
<td>6.2 0.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C16 Elemicin</td>
<td>1559 1558</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C17 E-Nerolidol</td>
<td>1565 1564</td>
<td>0.9 0.9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C18 Spathulenol</td>
<td>1579 1576</td>
<td>0.2 0.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C19 Caryophyllene oxide</td>
<td>1585 1583</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C20 Epoxy Humulene II</td>
<td>1611 1608</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C21 Dillapiole</td>
<td>1626 1620</td>
<td>83.1 63.6</td>
<td>63.4</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>57.8</td>
<td>87.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C22 δ-Cadinol</td>
<td>1643 1636</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C23 τ-Cadinol</td>
<td>1643 1648</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C24 τ-Muurolol</td>
<td>1657 1661</td>
<td>0.2 0.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total identified 96.4 96.0 96.0 95.8 96.1 100 95.8 93.6 94.1 92.8 100 100 96.2 95.0 94.4 93.3 96.7 96.7 96.3 93.3
This difference in chemical composition of the EOs of the studied population compared to the other works carried out with the same *P. gaudichaudianum* extractive may occur due to environmental, genetic and biotic factors. The chemical variability may result from environmental and/ or ecological selection pressure, featuring a chemical adjustment to prevailing environmental conditions. In a study with the EOs from leaves of *Myrciaria cauliflora* (Mart.) O. Berg. variability in the chemical composition could also be observed. Furthermore, chemical variations of EOs by genetic influence may occur together with environmental factors, as reported to *Eugenia dysenterica* DC. According to these studies, evolutionary pressure resulted in selection of a specialized phenotype, also called ecotype, which is more effective and better adapted to local environmental conditions. This was also observed for some individuals of *Thymus pulegoides* L., for which the stability of the EOs chemical composition was associated with their genetically predetermined characteristics. Additionally, phenylpropanoids are often considered chemical responses to local abiotic and biotic stress as the attack of pathogens, mechanical damage, low temperatures, and nutritional deficiencies, among others. Thus, the amount of dillapiole in the EOs of leaves and reproductive organs suggests a defense function for this constituent. The concentrations of safrole, another phenylpropanoid and major component in *Piper mikanianum* (Kunth) Steudel leaves EO, were elevated in all seasons. However, in undamaged leaves, its percentage was slightly lower than in damaged leaves (72 and 76% respectively). The possible defense function for dillapiole is supported by the biological activities described for *Piper aduncum* L. leaves EOs, as antifungal and insecticide. The EO of this species has also dillapiole as the major component. Moreover, dillapiole isolated from *Piper holtonii* C. DC. also showed antifungal activity. In addition, a study of the chemical composition of extracts obtained from leaves of *Piper gaudichaudianum* at different stages of development, detected the presence of apiole and dillapiole as major components in 12 month seedlings. The fact that these components have been replaced by acid gaudichaudianic in leaves of adult plants, led the authors to the conclusion that the apiol and dillapiole have protective function of the seedlings against biotic factors.

The differences in the chemical composition of the EO analyzed samples (FL, DL, INF, FR) were particularly observed in the results of Hierarchical Cluster Analysis (HCA) and Principal Component Analysis (PCA) (Fig. 3 and 4, respectively). The analysis showed a clear separation of the EOs extracted from different plant organs in different chemical groups (CG), as can be observed for the reproductive organs (CG I) and fresh and dried leaves (CG II). CG I is formed by samples of inflorescences EOs collected in winter and autumn, as well as fruits harvested in spring. CG II consists of all leaf samples, regardless of the collection season and state (fresh or dried). The HCA cophenetic correlation was 0.95, indicating that the degree of grouping setting is appropriate. The location of the storage structures for the EOs inside the leaves tissues in *Piper* species is a probable explanation for the fact that the drying process did not significantly alter their chemical composition. This can be evidenced in the HCA, since the EOs of dried and fresh leaves were classified in the same CG (Fig. 3).
Figure 3 – Dendrogram with Hierarchical Cluster Analysis of the chemical composition of all essential oil samples of *Piper gaudichaudianum* Kunth, obtained from different plant organs in the four seasons of 2013, by the average linkage and the Euclidean distance as a measure of dissimilarity, showing the division into two chemical groups (CG I and II).

Subtitle – Abbreviations order: season (Wi: Winter; Sp: Spring; Au: Autumn; Su: Summer), plant organ (INF: inflorescences; F: fruits; DL: dried leaves; FL: fresh leaves), collection in the same season (1 or 2).

Figure 4 – Biplot of Principal Component Analysis (PCA), based on the chemical composition of 20 essential oils samples, obtained from leaves (fresh and dried) and reproductive organs (inflorescences and fruits) of *Piper gaudichaudianum* Kunth in the four seasons in 2013.

Subtitles – Abbreviations Order: **Objects** - season (Au: Autumn, Wi: Winter, Sp: Spring, Su: Summer), plant organ (INF: inflorescences; F: fruits; DL: dried leaves; FL: fresh leaves), collection (1 or 2); **Descriptors** - constituents: C5: β-caryophyllene; C7: aromadendrene; C8: α-humulene; C9: ishwarene; C13: germacrene B; C14: δ-cadinene; C15: myristicin, C17: *E*-nerolidol and C21: dillapiole).

The PCA generated nine principal components (PC), and out of these, two explain 95% of the accumulated variation of the EOs chemical composition data of fresh and dried leaves, and also of reproductive organs (data not shown). The results of the multivariate techniques used in this work are in agreement with each other, since the number of representative principal components in the PCA (Fig. 4) is similar to the number of chemical groups formed in HCA (Fig. 3). The results obtained from the HCA and PCA indicate chemical variability of EOs among *P. gaudichaudianum* studied organs. However, this variation is small when comparing the EOs of fresh
and dried leaves and between both reproductive organs analyzed (inflorescences and fruits), since the PC 2 is 2.45%.

According to the PCA (Fig. 4), myristicin and dillapiole are related to EO samples of reproductive organs. Although dillapiole is the major component in all EO samples of this study, it is not directed to the EO samples of fresh and dried leaves, possibly because the reproductive organs presented low diversity of constituents in their composition. Thus, the chemical composition of EOs from fresh and dried leaves form the CG with greater number and variability of constituents.

In this study, the chemical groups formed by multivariate analysis HCA and PCA were independent from the collection period and phenophases, indicating absence of seasonal variability in the EO chemical composition from leaves (fresh and dried) and reproductive organs (inflorescences and fruits) of *P. gaudichaudianum*. This EOs chemical composition stability throughout the seasons of the year was also reported to *Lantana camara* L. in Madagascar, where the seasons are very distinct and extreme\(^45\). To *Myrcia obtecta* (O. Berg) Kiaersk. var. *obtecta* the variability in the EOs composition of leaves due to seasonality was also not observed, but it occurred due to physiology/plant phenology, since a different chemical composition was detected in the flowering period\(^46\). Differences among the EOs composition of the leaves and reproductive organs are expected, since the aroma emitted by the inflorescences are associated to the attraction of specific pollinators, still acting to protect the reproductive organs against possible enemies\(^47,48\). The fruit bats as *Carollia perspicillata* that feed from the fruits of various *Piper* species, use the smell as the main sense for the location of ripe fruits\(^49\). These previous studies indicate a possible explanation for the detection of myristicin, which has spicy and musky odor, only in the reproductive organs, suggesting the role of attracting pollinators and dispersers. In this respect, the fact that this substance showed a higher concentration in the seasons where the inflorescences were in transition to fruiting (9.3 to 11.9%) is also noteworthy. The metabolic pathways and the genes, which regulate the synthesis of enzymes for the biosynthesis of odoriferous constituents, are primarily those that produce volatile terpenoids, phenylpropanoids, or volatile fatty acid derivatives\(^48\).

The EOs chemical composition is genetically determined and can be altered by many factors, which may be related to the physiology of the plant, reproductive or vegetative cycle, defense or pollinators attraction, extraction method and sampling technique, environmental pollution, among others\(^50,51,52\). Researches about the genetic aspects of different populations of *P. gaudichaudianum* should be performed considering ecology and phenology as well as comparing different collection times of plant material for extraction. Such works would determine the unchangeability in the EOs chemical composition as it happened with this population during the months of the observed year.

**CONCLUSION**

The investigation of the EOs chemical variability of *Piper gaudichaudianum* revealed a constant composition regarding the seasons for the year and population sampled, and only little variability between the EOs obtained from different plant organs. Thus, the plant material can be collected in any period of the year. Dillapiole, an interesting constituent because of its already reported biological activities, was detected in these extractives as major component. The chemical composition of the EOs from leaves remains unchanged during drying. This way,
This plant organ can be subjected to such post-harvest processing prior to extraction because it did not compromise the quality of the extractive.
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