Antimicrobial Effect of 2% Sodium Hypochlorite and 2% Chlorhexidine Tested by Different Methods
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The objective of this study was to analyze the antimicrobial effect of 2% sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl) and 2% chlorhexidine (CHX) by agar diffusion test and by direct exposure test. Five microorganisms: Staphylococcus aureus, Enterococcus faecalis, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Bacillus subtilis, Candida albicans, and one mixture of these were used. These strains were inoculated in brain heart infusion (BHI) and incubated at 37°C for 24 h. For the agar diffusion test (ADT), 18 Petri plates with 20 ml of BHI agar were inoculated with 0.1 ml of the microbial suspensions, using sterile swabs that were spread on the medium, obtaining growth in junction. Fifty-four paper disks (9 mm in diameter) were immersed in the experimental solutions for 1 min. Subsequently, three paper disks containing one of the substances were placed on the BHI agar surface in each agar plate. The plates were maintained for 1 h at room temperature, and then incubated at 37°C for 48 h. The diameter of microbial inhibition was measured around the paper disks containing the substances. For the direct exposure test, 162 sterile absorbent paper points were immersed in the experimental suspensions for 5 min, and were then placed on Petri plates and covered with one of the irrigant solutions, or with sterile distilled water (control group). After intervals of 5, 10 and 30 min, the paper points were removed from contact with the solutions and individually immersed in 7 ml of Letheen Broth, followed by incubation at 37°C for 48 h. Bacterial growth was evaluated by turbidity of the culture medium. A 0.1 ml inoculum obtained from the Letheen Broth was transferred to 7 ml of BHI, and incubated at 37°C for 48 h. Bacterial growth was again evaluated by turbidity of the culture medium. Gram stain of BHI cultures was used for verification of contamination and growth was determined by macroscopic and microscopic examination. The best performance of antimicrobial effectiveness of NaOCl was observed in the direct exposure test, and of CHX was observed in the agar diffusion test. The magnitude of antimicrobial effect was influenced by the experimental methods, biological indicators and exposure time.
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INTRODUCTION

The success of endodontic treatment is directly influenced by elimination of microorganisms in infected root canals. With apical periodontitis, effective antimicrobial agents are necessary. The irrigant solutions are very important during root canal preparation because they aid in the cleaning of the root canal, lubricate the files, flush out debris, and have an antimicrobial effect and tissue dissolution, without damage to periapical tissues. The selection of an ideal irrigant depends on its action on microorganisms and periapical tissues.

Sodium hypochlorite and chlorhexidine are antimicrobial agents frequently used in the treatment of endodontic and periodontal infections (1-5). Estrela et al. (2) discussed the mechanism of action of sodium hypochlorite based on its antimicrobial and physico-chemical properties. The antimicrobial effectiveness of sodium hypochlorite based on its high pH (hydroxyl ions action) seems similar to the mechanism of action of calcium hydroxide (6). The high pH of sodium hypochlorite interferes in cytoplasmic membrane integrity with irreversible enzymatic inhibition, biosynthetic alterations in cell metabolism and phospholipid destruction observed in lipidic
The amino acid chloramination reaction forming chloramines interferes in cell metabolism. Oxidation promotes irreversible enzymatic inhibition of bacteria replacing hydrogen with chlorine. Enzyme inactivation can be observed in the reaction of chlorine with amino groups (NH₂) and an irreversible oxidation of sulphhydryl groups (SH) of bacteria enzymes (cystein). Thus, sodium hypochlorite presents antimicrobial activity with action on bacterial essential enzymatic sites promoting irreversible inactivation originated by hydroxyl ions and chloramination action. Dissolution of organic tissue can be verified in the saponification reaction when sodium hypochlorite destroys fatty acids and lipids resulting in soap and glycerol.

Chlorhexidine is a cationic agent (biguanide group; 4-chlorophenyl radical), which exhibits antibacterial activity. The cationic nature of the compound promotes connection with anionic compound at the bacterial surface (phosphate groups from teicoic acid at Gram-positive and lipopolysaccharide at Gram-negative bacteria) capable of altering its integrity. The potassium ion, being a small entity, is the first substance to appear when the cytoplasmic membrane is damaged. The alteration of the cytoplasmic membrane permeability promotes precipitation of cytoplasmic proteins, alters cellular osmotic balance, interferes with metabolism, growth, cell division, inhibits the membrane ATPase and inhibits the anaerobic process (3,4,7-9).

Although NaOCl and CHX present antibacterial activity, both substances have distinct characteristics. Various research results have shown disagreement when comparing the antimicrobial effect of these solutions (10-17). Different experimental methods, biological indicators, concentrations, or the period of analysis may have caused these differences.

Considering these facts, the purpose of this study was to evaluate the antimicrobial effect of sodium hypochlorite and chlorhexidine (at 2%) on microorganisms with different structural characteristics (futalactiva aerobic Gram-positive coccus; facultative aerobic Gram-negative rods; aerobic Gram-positive rods, spore-forming and one yeast) by two methods (agar diffusion test and direct exposure test).

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

Five standard microorganism strains obtained from the American Type Culture Collection were used for this study: *Staphylococcus aureus* (ATCC 6538), *Enterococcus faecalis* (ATCC 29212), *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (ATCC 27853), *Bacillus subtilis* (ATCC 6633) and one yeast, *Candida albicans* (ATCC 10231). One mixture of these was also included in the study.

The strains were inoculated in 7 ml brain heart infusion (BHI; Difco Laboratories, Detroit, MI, USA) and incubated at 37°C for 24 h. The 5 biological indicators were cultivated on the surface of brain heart infusion agar (BHIA; Difco Laboratories) following the same incubation conditions. Microbial cells were re-suspended in saline to give a final concentration of about 3 x 10⁶ cells/ml, similar to that of tube #1 of the MacFarland scale. One ml of each of these pure suspensions was used to obtain a mixture of the test microorganisms.

The irrigant solutions tested in this experiment were 2% sodium hypochlorite (Soda Clarada, Biodinâmica, Ibiporá, PR, Brasil), 2% chlorhexidine digluconate (F.G.M., Joinville, SC, Brazil), and sterile distilled water.

For the agar diffusion test, 18 Petri plates with 20 ml of BHIA were inoculated with 0.1 ml of the microbial suspensions, using sterile swabs that were spread on the medium, obtaining growth in junction. Fifty-four paper disks (9 mm in diameter) were immersed in the experimental solutions for 1 min and then 3 paper disks were placed over the BHIA surface in each agar plate. The plates were maintained for 1 h at room temperature, and then incubated at 37°C for 48 h. The diameter of microbial inhibition was measured around the paper disks containing the substrates. Positive and negative controls were done, maintaining the plates inoculated and without inoculum, for the same time periods and under identical incubation conditions. All assays were carried out under aseptic conditions.

For the direct exposure test, one hundred and sixty-two #50 sterile absorbent paper points (Tanari, Tanariman Indústria, Lda., Manacaru, AM, Brazil) were immersed in the experimental suspensions for 5 min and were then placed on Petri plates and covered with one of the 2 irrigant solutions, or with sterile distilled water (control group). At intervals of 5, 10 and 30 min, 54 absorbent paper points were removed from contact with the substances, individually transported, and immersed in 7 ml of Letheen Broth (LB, Difco Laboratories), a medium containing neutralizers or added with neutralizers lecithin, Tween 80 and sodium thiosul-
fate (P.A., Art Laboratories, Campinas, SP, Brazil) in appropriate concentrations, and subsequently incubated at 37°C for 48 h. Microbial growth was analyzed by turbidity of the culture medium. Subsequently, a 0.1-ml inoculum obtained from Letheen Broth was transferred to 7 ml of BHI, under identical incubation conditions.

Microbial growth was also evaluated by turbidity of the culture medium. Gram stain of BHI cultures was used for verification of contamination and growth was determined with macroscopic and microscopic (Gram stain) examination. All assays were carried out in triplicate under aseptic conditions.

RESULTS

The results of the agar diffusion test are shown in Table 1 and of the direct exposure test in Table 2. The data showed that 2% sodium hypochlorite and 2% chlorhexidine digluconate have antimicrobial effects against the biological indicators tested. The magnitude of antimicrobial effect was influenced by the experimental methods, biological indicators and exposure time. The best performance of antimicrobial effectiveness of NaOCl was observed in the direct exposure test, and of CHX was observed in the agar diffusion test.

DISCUSSION

All in vitro experimental methods have advantages and disadvantages. In the agar diffusion test, the size of the microbial inhibition zone depends upon the solubility and diffusibility of the test substance and, therefore, may not express its full effective potential. The direct exposure test is correlated to substance effectiveness and its direct contact with the microorganism; it seems to be independent of the other variables and appears to be a practical laboratory test (20). Facultative bacteria were used in the present study because they have been identified in teeth with failure of endodontic treatment.

The interpretation of re-

Table 1. Means of the diameters (in mm) of the inhibition zones by the agar diffusion test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>S. aureus</th>
<th>E. faecalis</th>
<th>P. aeruginosa</th>
<th>B. subtilis</th>
<th>C. albicans</th>
<th>Mixture</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AGPC</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2% NaOCl</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distilled water</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2% NaOCl: 2% sodium hypochlorite; 2% CHX: 2% chlorhexidine. AGPC: aerobic Gram-positive coccus; AGNR: aerobic Gram-negative rods; AGPR*: aerobic Gram-positive rods, spore-forming.

Table 2. Antimicrobial effect of irrigant solutions by the direct exposure test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>5 min</th>
<th>10 min</th>
<th>30 min</th>
<th>5 min</th>
<th>10 min</th>
<th>30 min</th>
<th>5 min</th>
<th>10 min</th>
<th>30 min</th>
<th>5 min</th>
<th>10 min</th>
<th>30 min</th>
<th>5 min</th>
<th>10 min</th>
<th>30 min</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S. aureus (AGPC)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. faecalis (AGPC)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. aeruginosa (AGNR)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. subtilis (AGPR)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. albicans (Yeast)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixture</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2% CHX</td>
<td>S. aureus (AGPC)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. faecalis (AGPC)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. aeruginosa (AGNR)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. subtilis (AGPR)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. albicans (Yeast)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixture</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distilled water</td>
<td>S. aureus (AGPC)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. faecalis (AGPC)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. aeruginosa (AGNR)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. subtilis (AGPR)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. albicans (Yeast)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixture</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

+++, presence of growth; ---, absence of growth.

2% NaOCl: 2% sodium hypochlorite; 2% CHX: 2% chlorhexidine. AGPC: aerobic Gram-positive coccus; AGNR: aerobic Gram-negative rods; AGPR*: aerobic Gram-positive rods, spore-forming.
search results must be careful. Careless analyzes may be responsible for classic errors. It is very important to treat extrapolations of in vitro to in vivo studies with care. Others properties beyond antimicrobial activity must also be investigated before the final choice of an irrigant solution for clinical use, such as minimum inhibitory concentration, tissue dissolution capacity, detoxification of endotoxin (lipid A) and acceptable biologic compatibility.

Vahdaty et al. (5) analyzed the efficacy of 2% CHX and 2% NaOCl on dentinal tubules infected with *E. faecalis*. Dentine was removed from the canal wall with sterile burs of increasing diameter to give samples of 100, 100-300 and 300-500 µm deep. The results indicated that CHX and NaOCl were equally effective antibacterial agents at similar concentrations against the test microorganism. They significantly reduced the bacterial counts in the first 100 µm of dentinal tubules, however up to 50% of dentine samples remained infected following use of both agents. Jeansonne and White (9) compared 2% CHX and 5.25% NaOCl as antimicrobial endodontic irrigants in freshly extracted human teeth with pulp pathosis. The results showed that the number of post-irrigant positive cultures and the number of colony-forming units in positive cultures obtained from CHX-treated teeth were lower than the numbers obtained from NaOCl-treated teeth, but the differences were not statistically significant. Heling and Chandler (10) investigated the antimicrobial effect of irrigant combinations within dentinal tubules and concluded that 0.12% CHX and 1% NaOCl were similarly effective. Silva (16) verified *in vivo* the antimicrobial action of 1% NaOCl and 2% CHX as endodontic irrigants. Using 1% NaOCl as irrigant, 16.7 and 83.3% of the canals appeared to be positive in the microbiological test, immediately and after 7 days of therapy; with 2% CHX, the percentages of positive cultures were 8.3 and 41.7%, taking into account the immediate and residual effects, indicating that both irrigants possess the same effect immediately after biochemical treatment. However, irrigation with 2% CHX has shown more efficient than 1% NaOCl when 7-day residual effectiveness is considered.

Estrela (17) determined the minimum inhibitory concentration of 1% NaOCl and 2% CHX for inhibiting *S. aureus, E. faecalis, P. aeruginosa* and *C. albicans* and a mixture of these microorganisms, with a serial dilution of 10. The results showed that the minimum concentration of 1% NaOCl required for inhibiting *S. aureus, E. faecalis, P. aeruginosa* and *C. albicans* was 0.1%, and 1% for *B. subtilis* and the mixture. 2% CHX showed minimum inhibitory concentration of 0.000002% for *S. aureus*, 0.002% for *P. aeruginosa*, and 0.02% for *E. faecalis, B. subtilis, C. albicans* and the mixture.

NaOCl has an excellent capability of tissue dissolution. Spanò et al. (18) studied *in vitro* the solvent effect of four concentrations of NaOCl solutions (0.1%, 1.0%, 2.5% and 5.0%) on bovine pulp tissue, the level of residual chlorine pH and surface tension before and after tissue dissolution. The results showed that all concentrations of NaOCl reduced the pH and the surface tension, and the higher concentrations of the solutions had the least consumption of chlorine during tissue dissolution. The residual chlorine was directly proportional to the concentration in the process of pulp tissue dissolution and there was residual chlorine at all concentrations used in this study.

Buck et al. (19) evaluated the detoxification of endotoxin by endodontic irrigants (CHX, NaOCl, chlorhexidine chloride, ethanol, EDTA, water) and Ca(OH)₂. The results showed that the biologically active portion of endotoxin, lipid A, is hydrolyzed by highly alkaline chemicals, namely Ca(OH)₂ or the mixture of CHX, NaOCl and ethanol. EDTA, NaOCl, CHX, chlorhexidine chloride, ethanol and water (control) showed little or no detoxifying ability for lipid A.

The use and indication of NaOCl by the majority of dentists occur because this irrigant presents important properties, such as antimicrobial effect, tissue dissolution capacity and acceptable biologic compatibility in less concentrated solutions (0.5-1%). Although CHX showed antibacterial effects, the ability of tissue dissolution is still unknown. CHX may be indicated when a patient is allergic to NaOCl or to combat specific bacteria susceptible to this substance. Further studies are required to determine the antimicrobial effectiveness of irrigant solutions in infected root canals with apical periodontitis.

We conclude that 2% NaOCl and 2% CHX have antimicrobial effects against the biological indicators tested (*Staphylococcus aureus, Enterococcus faecalis, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Bacillus subtilis, Candida albicans* and a mixture). The magnitude of this effect was influenced by the experimental method, characteristics of the microorganisms and the exposure time.
RESUMO

O objetivo deste estudo foi testar o efeito antimicrobiano do hipoclorito de sódio a 2% e da clorhexidina a 2% por meio de dois métodos: difusão em agar e exposição direta. Cinco microorganismos: *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Enterococcus faecalis*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Bacillus subtilis*, *Candida albicans*, e uma mistura foram utilizados. As cepas foram inoculadas em BHII e incubadas a 37°C por 24 h. Para o teste de difusão em agar, 18 placas de Petri com 20 ml de BHII foram inoculadas com 0,1 ml das suspensões microbianas, com auxílio de swab esterilizado, de modo a se obter um crescimento confluente. 54 discos de papel com 9 mm de diâmetro foram imersos nas soluções experimentais durante 1 min. A seguir, em cada placa, 3 discos de papel contendo uma das soluções irrigantes foram colocadas sobre a superfície de BHII. As placas foram mantidas por 1 h em temperatura ambiente, e incubadas a 37°C por 48 h. Os diâmetros dos halos de inibição microbiana foram medidos sobre os discos de papel contendo as substâncias, valendo-se de duas medidas de forma perpendicular entre si, sendo obtido a média de seus tamanhos. Para o teste de exposição direta, 162 pontas de papel absorbente esterilizadas foram inmersas nas soluções experimentais durante 1 min. A seguir, em cada placa, 3 discos de papel foram removidas do contato com as soluções testes e colocadas sobre uma placa de Petri e cobertas com 10 ml de uma das soluções irrigantes, ou com a água destilada. Em intervalos de 5, 10 e 30 min, as pontas de papel foram removidas do contato com as soluções, sendo incubadas 37°C por 48 h. O crescimento microbiano foi avaliado pela turbidez do meio de cultura. Um inóculo de 0,1 ml obtido do Petri Forth Broth foi transferido para 7 ml de BHII, e incubado nas mesmas condições descritas. O crescimento microbiano foi novamente avaliado pela turbidez do meio de cultura. Os resultados mostraram efetividade antimicrobiana para as duas soluções irrigadoras testadas. A magnitude do efeito antimicrobiano foi influenciada pelo método experimental, pelos microrganismos e pelo tempo de exposição.
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