Influence of Water Storage Time on the Bond Strength of Etch-and-Rinse and Self-Etching Adhesive Systems
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The purpose of this study was to evaluate comparatively the shear bond strength (SBS) of etch-and-rinse (Adper Scotch Bond Multi Purpose - ASBMP and Adper Single Bond 2 - ASB2) and self-etching (AdheSe - AD and Adper Prompt - AP) adhesive systems after short- and long-term water storage. Eighty bovine teeth were randomly assigned to 4 groups (n=20, 10 teeth for 24 h and 10 for 6 months). After surface treatment, composite resin cylinders were made with Tetric Ceram using a bisected metallic matrix. The specimens were stored in distilled water at 37°C for either 24 h or 6 months. After these periods, shear strength was assessed in a universal testing machine (0.5 mm/min). Data were submitted to ANOVA and F test at 5% significance level. Mean SBSs (MPa) for ASBMP (10.03 ± 3.78) and ASB2 (6.10 ± 2.67) showed no statistically significant differences (p>0.05) between times. The self-etching systems did not differ significantly from each other within the 24-h period, but significant difference was found for AD (1.37 ± 0.64) after 6 months of water storage. In conclusion, the tested etch-and-rinse systems had a better performance in terms of bond durability over time than the self-etching systems.
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INTRODUCTION

Bonding to dental substrate can be obtained by means of three different strategies: total etch, self-etching or the bond strategy using ionomer glass cement (1). Adhesive systems are available as three-, two- and one-step materials, depending on how the etching, priming and bonding phases are performed (2). Self-etching systems have been developed with the aim of eliminating phosphoric acid etching and reducing the number of steps during the restorative procedure (3). However, in spite of the advances of self-etching adhesive systems, a lasting bond is still a challenge to Dentistry (4). The artifices used to simplify the self-etching adhesive technique may become self-limiting factors of their durability.

The great majority of in vitro tests are performed within 24 h following the tooth-adhesive-resin composite bonding procedure (5). These immediate tests, although well established in the literature (6), do not consider bond degradation over time. The 24-hour time interval is adequate for testing the adhesive ability, but fails to provide information concerning the changes occurring on a long-term basis. Laboratory studies investigating the long-term durability of the adhesive interface are important because failures in its bond...
strength contribute to the destruction of the collagen network due to hydrolysis. Water is ubiquitous in the mouths of healthy individuals and routinely interferes with efforts to bond restorations to dental tissues. (7) Microleakage at the tooth-adhesive interface is another important factor to be considered as far as bond long-term durability of is concerned (8). The presence of demineralized zones not infiltrated or poorly infiltrated by the resin monomers has recently been attributed to the occurrence of the nanoleakage phenomenon (9), which is directly related to permeability of the adhesive system. It is possible to observe the hydrophilic sites of these materials, which are represented by thin channels left by the passage of water during the phenomenon of adhesive permeability, providing morphological evidence of fluid permeation through these adhesives, which follow ramification patterns similar to those found in trees, and for this reason are called water-trees (10).

The effect of water on the degradation process of adhesive systems is remarkable, since its capacity to permeate the polymerized adhesive is directly related to its hydrophilic characteristic. Because of this, adhesive systems become more susceptible to water absorption, capable to accelerate the hydrolytic degradation in the course of time (9).

Considering the development of current adhesive systems, particularly with regard to the simplification of recent formulations, it is extremely important to assess the stability of the tooth-adhesive-resin interface, when restorations are submitted to storage in a degrading medium. This study tested the null hypothesis that self-etching and etch-and-rinse adhesive systems have the same behavior when stored in a degrading medium. The purpose was to evaluate comparatively the shear bond strength (SBS) of etch-and-rinse and self-etching adhesive systems after short- and long-term water storage.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

Eighty sound bovine incisors were cleaned of gross debris and stored in distilled water at 4°C, replaced weekly, for the maximum storage period of 6 months until the experiment started. All roots were sectioned transversally with water-cooled double-faced diamond disks (KG Sorensen, São Paulo, SP, Brazil).

The crowns were individually embedded in acrylic resin (Jet Classico, São Paulo, SP, Brazil) using PVC cylinders as molds (2 cm diameter; 1 cm height) and ground wet with 180- to 320-grit sandpapers (Carborundum Abrasivos, Recife, PE, Brazil) until a flat dentin surface was obtained. The tested materials with their compositions, specifications and manufacturers are given on Table 1. The specimens were randomly assigned to 8 groups (n=10), according to the etch-and-rinse (Adper Scotch Bond Multi Purpose - ASBMP and Adper Single Bond 2 - ASB2) and self-etching (AdheSe-AD and Adper Prompt - AP) and storage times - short-term (24 h) and long-term (6 months).

The adhesive systems were applied according to the manufacturers’ instructions. Dentin surface were coated with Tetric Ceram (Ivoclar/Vivadent, Shaan, Liechtenstein) composite resin forming a cylinder (6

---

### Table 1. Adhesive systems.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Composition*</th>
<th>Batch number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Adper ScotchBond Multi Purpose** | Primer: HEMA, PAMA, GPDM, polyalkenoic acid.  
Adhesive: Bis-GMA, HEMA, EMAB, polyalkenoic acid copolymers | Primer: 2AB  
Adhesive: 3NL |
| Adper Single Bond 2**     | Bis-GMA, HEMA, dimethacrylates, polyalkenoic acid, initiators               | 4BC          |
| AdheSE***                 | Dimethacrylate, phosphonic acid acrylate, initiators and stabilizers, HEMA, silicon dioxide | Primer: F35621  
Adhesive: F35219 |
| Adper Prompt**            | Methacrylate phosphoric ester, stabilizers, parabeno, fluoride complexes, initiators | 187204       |

*Informed by the manufacturers; **= 3M/ESPE, St. Paul, MN, USA; ***= Ivoclar/Vivadent, Liechtenstein.
mm height; 3 mm diameter). The composite resin cylinders were built with three 2-mm-thick increments. Each increment was light-cured for 40 s using a visible light-curing unit (Clean Line Light 2000 n 04344, São Paulo, SP, Brazil), with intensity of 500 mw/cm², checked with a curing radiometer (Demetron Research Corp., Orange, CA, USA). Thereafter, all the specimens were stored in distilled water in a biological autoclave (ECB 1.3, Odontobras, Ribeirão Preto, SP, Brazil) at 37°C for 24 h or 6 months.

After the storage periods, the specimens were taken to an universal testing machine (Kratos K2000 – Equip. Ind. Ltda. / N. M98D301, São Paulo, SP, Brazil), and tested in shear strength at a crosshead speed of 0.5 mm/min. SBS data were obtained in kgf, transformed into MPa and analyzed statistically by ANOVA and F test at a significance level of 5%.

RESULTS

The following descriptive statistics was obtained, for the data from the shear test, as shown in Table 2. By the p values obtained from the F test (ANOVA), the hypothesis of equality among the groups with regard to the mean SBSs was rejected. Analysis by Turkey’s pairwise comparison test showed statistically significant difference (p<0.05) between self-etching and etch-and-rinse adhesive systems in the 24-h storage period. In the 6-month period, significant difference (p<0.05) was found between AD and AP, but no significant difference (p>0.05) was detected between ASBMP and ASB2.

The mean SBSs were higher 24 h. The etch-and-rinse systems had significantly higher (p<0.05) mean SBSs than the self-etching systems at both periods.

### Table 2. Mean shear bond strength (MPa) for each adhesive system after short-term and long-term storage periods.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>24 h</th>
<th>6 months</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ASB Multi Purpose*</td>
<td>10.03 ± 3.78 a</td>
<td>4.05 ± 0.98 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adper Single Bond 2*</td>
<td>6.10 ± 2.67 a</td>
<td>5.05 ± 1.10 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AdheSE**</td>
<td>1.89 ± 0.87 c</td>
<td>1.37 ± 0.64 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adper Prompt**</td>
<td>3.41 ± 1.56 c</td>
<td>2.77 ± 0.61 c</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Different letters in the rows indicate statistically difference at 5%. * = Etch-and-rinse and ** = Self-etching adhesive system.

DISCUSSION

The effect of water on the degradation process of adhesive systems is an important factor to be assessed. Slow water absorption by the constituents of the adhesive systems could contribute to the degradation of the adhesive interface. Some authors have found a significant reduction on resin-dentin bond strength after 6 months of storage in water (11,12). Studies on the degradation of bond strength as a function of the system and storage time have claimed that incomplete penetration of the adhesive into the demineralized collagen network could result in a thin porous zone between the hybrid layer and the unaltered dentin (13,14).

SEM and TEM (15) investigations of collagen fiber network after storage in water had demonstrated that the top of the hybrid layer contained disorganized collagen fibrils coming from the smear layer, which were degraded at longer periods. The intact fibrils that had been demineralized below the smear layer were not denatured during acid etching. The authors theorized that the collagen fibers could be structurally unstable, contributing to poor resin infiltration, or loss of this protection within the hybrid layer in the course of time, because these fibers being unprotected within the hybrid layer are responsible for compromising the longevity of restorations. The presence of these fibers could be avoided by means of treatment with EDTA, which provides a better preservation of the collagen mesh after demineralization (16).

The results of this study showed significant differences when the bond strengths of etch-and-rinse and self-etching adhesive systems were compared as for the storage times. ASBMP had its bond strength decreased, though without significant difference between the periods. These results are consistent with those of previous studies (17,18).

The strong interaction observed for ASBMP, as regards storage times can perhaps be explained by the formulation of this system, which has a water-based solvent in its composition. It is known that after demineralization, the collagen fibrils must remain expanded, preserving the interfibrilar spaces for infiltration of the adhesive agent. This is achieved by using the wet technique, but the removal of water could occur due to the dehydration caused by the chemical solvent itself, which rapidly mixes with the water in the dentin substrate, removed with evaporation (9). For the
described process occurs, an interval of waiting is required. However, the time recommended by the manufacturer is insufficient for allowing complete evaporation of the solvent, particularly because, differently from acetone and ethanol, water has a much slow evaporation process, which probably led to a reduction in bond strength after storage in water for a long period.

There was no significant difference for ASB2 when stored for either the short- or long-term period, demonstrating a favorable behavior and a potential preservation of bond stability in a degrading medium. This result can be explained by the fact that this material contains ethanol as a solvent, which favors its use in the wet bonding technique, and by the active form of application, since this organic solvent rapidly mixes with water and facilitates evaporation within the time recommended by the manufacturer (19).

From the results obtained in this study, it may be assumed that the application of a hydrophobic layer after using a hydrophilic primer is not much relevant, if one considers that ASB2 etch-and-rinse system and AP self-etching system presented a stable behavior over time and seemed not to undergo chemical degradation, even after being stored in water for a long time.

On the other hand, an increase in the thickness and compactness of the smear layer has been directly related to lower bond strength values obtained by self-etching adhesive systems, especially 2-step materials (5), which could have contributed to the mean SBSs obtained, particularly for AD.

In view of the issues discussed herein, it is clear that the etch-and-rinse and self-etching adhesive systems still raise several questions. Further research is needed to assess other variables that interfere directly on bond stability. Based on the obtained results, it may be concluded that the tested etch-and-rinse adhesive systems had a better performance in terms of bond durability over time than the self-etching adhesive systems.
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