Measurements of Jaw Movements and TMJ Pain Intensity in Patients Treated with GaAlAs Laser
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The aim of this study was to evaluate the effectiveness of low-level laser therapy (LLLT) on the improvement of the mandibular movements and painful symptoms in individuals with temporomandibular disorders (TMD). Forty patients were randomly divided into two groups (n=20): Group 1 received the effective dose (GaAlAs laser λ 830 nm, 40 mW, 5J/cm²) and Group 2 received the placebo application (0 J/cm²), in continuous mode on the affected condyle lateral pole: superior, anterior, posterior, and posterior-inferior, twice a week during 4 weeks. Four evaluations were performed: E1 (before laser application), E2 (right after the last application), E3 (one week after the last application) and E4 (30 days after the last application). The Kruskal-Wallis test showed significant more improvements (p<0.01) in painful symptoms in the treated group than in the placebo group. A significant improvement in the range of mandibular movements was observed when the results were compared between the groups at E4. Laser application can be a supportive therapy in the treatment of TMD, since it resulted in the immediate decrease of painful symptoms and increased range of mandibular movements in the treated group. The same results were not observed in the placebo group.

Key Words: jaw movements, GaAlAs laser, articular pain.

INTRODUCTION

Temporomandibular disorder (TMDs) present signs and symptoms that affect the masticatory muscles, temporomandibular joint (TMJ) or both (1). The patient may report signs and symptoms such as muscle and TMJ pain (2), tenderness to palpation on the TMJ and face, restriction of mandibular movement and joint sounds (3).

The majority of patients suffering from TMD obtain relief of symptoms with different treatments (4). The use of low-level laser therapy (LLLT) for the treatment of musculoskeletal pain syndromes has become common (5-9) and the affected region is usually irradiated to cause attenuation of symptoms (10-12). LLLT presents biologic effects as increased pain tolerance due to changes in cellular membrane potency, vasodilatation, reduction of edema, increase in intracellular metabolism and acceleration of wound healing (13). The antiinflammatory (14), analgesic, and biomodulatory effects of lasers are recognized.

The gallium-aluminum-arsenide laser (GaAlAs) is an infrared ray with wavelength of 830 nm (15) that is used for treating a wide array of conditions (16) and its effect depends on dose application (17), target tissue, and immunological system conditions (18). This study evaluated the influence of GaAlAs (λ 830 nm) application in subjects with TMJ pain by palpation of the lateral pole of the condyle, use of the visual analogue scale (VAS) and assessment of the range of mandibular movements.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Forty patients with articular symptoms were selected from the TMJ Disorders Service at Ribeirão Preto Dental School, University of São Paulo based on a standardized and comprehensive clinical examination (12). The exclusion criteria were: use of medications for pain control, use of occlusal splint, and clinical conditions in which LLLT could be contra-indicated such as aggressive tumor and infections. The subjects were informed about the research purposes and signed
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an informed consent form approved by the Research Ethics Committee (Process #2002.1.689.58.4). They were randomly divided into an treatment and a placebo group with 20 subjects each.

TMJ pain was quantified by the VAS, where 0 indicated “no pain” and 10 indicated “the worst possible pain”, during palpation of the lateral pole of the condyle, and measurements of mouth opening, right and left lateral excursions were obtained in all evaluations by only one operator who was previously calibrated to do these procedures of same manner. The measurement of the mouth opening was made by use of a millimeter ruler that was placed at the incisal edge of the maxillary central incisor that is the most vertically oriented and measured vertically to the labioincisal edge of the opposing mandibular incisor. For measurement of the right and left lateral excursions, the patient was asked to bite, and a line corresponding to the midline between the upper incisors was drawn on the labial surface of the opposite lower incisor. Then, the patient was asked to move his/her mandible towards the right or left shoulder as far as possible. The examiner measured the point corresponding to the maximum lateral excursion of the lower midline (19). These evaluations were performed at the following time points: E1, before laser application; E2, immediately after each of 8 applications; the laser was applied twice a week during 4 weeks, as recommended by the World Association of Laser Therapy; E3, 7 days after the last application; and E4, 30 days after the last application.

The LLL used in the study was a GaAlAs laser source (Physiolux Dual P.5040; Bioset Industry of Electronic Technology Ltda., São Carlos, SP, Brazil) emitting a continuous laser beam (830 nm wavelength; 40 mW power output). The treated group received the effective dose (5 J/cm² per point, 10 s) and the other group received a placebo application (0 J/cm² per point, 15 s) on the affected points - superior, anterior, posterior, and posterior-inferior of the lateral pole of the condyle - which were demarcated bilaterally with a pencil, with the aid of a guide with standardized circular perforations (12) (Fig. 1).

After completion of the study, the patients of the placebo group were treated according to their individual needs and disorders, so that these subjects would not leave without treatment.

The mouth opening was registered before (E1), immediately after laser applications (E2), after 7 days (E3) and 30 days (E4).

Data obtained were subjected to parametric (ANOVA) and non-parametric (Kruskal-Wallis) statistical analysis. A significance level of 1% was set for all analyses.

RESULTS

Table 1 presents the mean values from VAS for pain symptoms of the pressured regions in the evaluations for the groups that received active and placebo doses, corresponding to right and left sides. This experimental design was submitted to statistical analysis by the Kruskal-Wallis test, which indicated significant difference (p<0.01) between the groups and among the evaluations on both the right and left condyles.

Table 2 presents the mean values from the mouth opening measurements. This experimental design was submitted to statistical analysis by ANOVA and Tukey’s

Table 1. Mean VAS values for pain symptoms of the pressured regions in the evaluations for the groups that received either an active laser dose or a placebo dose.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluations</th>
<th>Right lateral pole condyle</th>
<th>Left lateral pole condyle</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>Placebo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E1</td>
<td>5.40</td>
<td>5.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E2</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>4.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E3</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>5.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E4</td>
<td>2.95</td>
<td>5.60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
test, which indicated no significant difference among the
evaluations ($p>0.01$), but showed significant difference
($p<0.01$) between the groups at the E4.

Table 3 shows the mean values of measurements of
right and left lateral mandibular excursions. This
experimental design were submitted to statistical analysis
by Kruskal-Wallis test, which indicated statistically
significant difference ($p<0.01$) between the groups.

DISCUSSION

The initial treatment of TMD frequently focuses
on the use of noninvasive pain control methods (20).
LLLT was applied in this study as a noninvasive auxiliary
therapy for pain control in patients with TMD. It has
been employed as an agent that have biomodulatory,
antiinflammatory and analgesic effects on physiological,
cellular and systemic responses (12). LLLT has been
considered effective in reducing pain and muscular
tension, thus, improving the life quality of patients (12).

Analyzing the painful symptoms evaluations at
the right and the left sides in the treated group, there
was a significant difference from E1 to E2, suggesting
the immediate effect of laser applications. However,
no significant differences were observed between
the following evaluations, confirming that LLLT was
effective in attenuating the painful symptoms only
during the 4-week application period. In the same way
as observed in previous studies (9,11), this positive result
reinforces the biologic effects of laser therapy, such
as increased pain tolerance due to changes in cellular
membrane potency, vasodilatation, reduction of edema,
increase in intracellular metabolism and acceleration of
wound healing (12). However, in spite of being used for
treating several conditions (15), LLLT effects depend
on dosimetry and systemic corporal conditions (17).

In the group of patients that received the placebo
dose, there was no significant reduction of painful
symptoms from E1 to E2, and neither among E2, E3
and and E4. Therefore, the power of the placebo effect
has not been demonstrated in the present study. The
maintenance of pain at the later evaluations (E3 and
E4) indicates that no placebo effect occurred in this
research. These results differ from those of a previous
investigation (10), which demonstrated significant pain
reduction in both treatment and placebo groups, though
with increased mandibular movement in the treated
group only. In our study, the significant difference
between the groups indicates that the active laser therapy
promoted suitable effects.

Before the start of the applications, both groups
received explanations about the study, as specified in the
informed consent form. Thus, the placebo effect, widely
discussed in another study (11) may have occurred even
during the application period in the treated group. The
same should be considered with respect to the effect of
the examiner/patient relationship and the psychological
effect of LLLT.

In this research, a significant improvement in
the range of right and left mandibular movements was
observed in the treated group when the results were
compared between the groups at the final evaluation
(E4). This suggests that the laser therapy was efficient in
promoting an increase of mandibular movements in the
patients that received the active laser dose following the
proposed protocol. Similar results were found by others
authors (4,10). This is probably due to the analgesic effect
of low-intensity lasers (3), which was demonstrated
in this study by the decrease in the VAS scores (11).
On the other hand, the placebo group did not show
significant differences among the four evaluation time
points, indicating the inefficacy of placebo applications
for decrease of pain and improvement in the range of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluations</th>
<th>Active laser dose</th>
<th>Placebo dose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E1</td>
<td>48.25</td>
<td>47.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E2</td>
<td>50.60</td>
<td>47.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E3</td>
<td>50.25</td>
<td>47.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E4</td>
<td>50.55</td>
<td>46.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Mean values of measurements of right and left lateral excursion mandibular movements (mm) in the evaluations for the groups that received either an active laser dose or a placebo dose.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluations</th>
<th>Active laser dose</th>
<th>Placebo dose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>Left</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E1</td>
<td>7.20</td>
<td>6.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E2</td>
<td>8.95</td>
<td>9.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E3</td>
<td>8.65</td>
<td>9.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E4</td>
<td>9.25</td>
<td>9.70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
mandibular movements.

The LLLT was applied on the selected points considering the presence of nociceptors in the periarticular tissues (discal ligaments, capsular ligaments and retrodiscal tissues), because these structures are involved in the TMJ pain. Similar points were evaluated in other studies by some authors (5,7,8). Internal derangement of TMJ is frequently associated with pain due the inflammatory disorders that occur when the collateral ligaments are extended or ruptured. Consequently, any mandibular movement that extends or compresses these tissues will induce an increase of pain, especially during muscular and articular palpations (3). The typical clinical finding in patients with TMJ dysfunction is the tenderness of the TMJ during palpation and mandibular lateral excursion (4). A considerable part of population groups studied present at least one sign or symptom of TMD (3), and the main complaint of patients with this dysfunction or the reason why they seek treatment is some type of joint or muscular pain (2).

Regarding the evaluation of TMD pain, much attention has been given to measuring the intensity of pain upon palpation of the masticatory muscles and TMJ. The VAS is also commonly used to measure pain in subjects with TMD (4,5,8,14), and it was employed in this study to quantify the pain in the lateral pole of the condyle. The measurements of mandibular movements were applied as a functional tool to observe the outcomes on articular pain after effective and placebo laser applications.

The importance of investigating the actual analgesic efficacy of LLLT lies on the fact that TMD symptoms have been treated by a wide array of methods separately, such as interocclusal splint, medication, physical therapy and transcutaneous electric nerve stimulation (TENS); in most cases, however, better outcome is achieved when therapies are associated (3), and lasers can be of great value.

The successful treatment of pathologies in the maxillofacial region using LLLT has been demonstrated (6). Its use in the treatment of muscular and joint dysfunctions is due to its recognized analgesic effect, explained by the increase of beta endorphin level, increase of pain discharge threshold, decrease of bradykinin and histamine release, increase of lymphatic flow, decrease of edema and algesic substances, increase of blood supply, time reduction of inflammation, and promotion of muscle relaxation (4).

Like in any therapy, patients respond similarly to LLLT. Patient response depend not only on the type of laser, but also on the target tissue and immunological system conditions. An unsatisfactory outcome can be due to very low doses, very high doses, incorrect diagnosis, small number of sessions, inadequate energy density, among others (17).

In this study, the range of mandibular lateral movements and the painful symptoms on the lateral pole of the condyle were evaluated in patients with TMD after LLLT to verify the efficacy of this therapy. The results showed that the laser therapy was effective in the improvement of the range of mandibular lateral movements and promoted a significant reduction of pain symptoms.

**RESUMO**

O objetivo deste estudo foi avaliar a eficácia da terapia com laser de baixa intensidade na melhora dos movimentos mandibulares e dos sintomas dolorosos em pacientes com disfunção temporomandibular (DTM). Quarenta pacientes foram aleatoriamente divididos em dois grupos (n=20): Grupo 1 recebeu a dose efetiva (laser de AsGaAl 830 nm, 40 mW, 5 J/cm²) e Grupo 2 recebeu a aplicação placebo (0 J/cm²), no modo contínuo no pólo lateral do cóndilo afetado: superior, anterior, e posterior-posterior e inferior, 2 vezes por semana, por 2 meses. Quatro avaliações foram feitas: A1 (antes da aplicação), A2 (imediatamente após a última aplicação), A3 (um mês após a última aplicação) e A4 (um mes após a última aplicação). O teste estatístico de Kruskal-Wallis mostrou melhoras significativas (p<0,01) nos sintomas dolorosos no grupo 1, diferentemente do grupo 2. Uma melhor significativa na extensão dos movimentos mandibulares foi observada quando os resultados foram comparados entre os dois grupos na A4. A aplicação do laser é uma terapia de suporte no tratamento da DTM, uma vez que resultou em imediata redução dos sintomas dolorosos e aumento na extensão dos movimentos mandibulares no grupo experimental. Os mesmos resultados não foram observados no grupo placebo.
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