Adhesive Bonding of Resin Cements to Cast Titanium with Adhesive Primers
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The purpose of this study was to evaluate the effects of adhesive primer applications on the bond strength of resin cements to cast titanium. Four adhesive primers - Metaltite, Metal Primer II, Alloy Primer and Ceramic Primer - and their respective resin cements - Bistite II DC, Link Max, Panavia F 2.0, RelyX Unicem and RelyX ARC - were tested. Cast plates were prepared from titanium ingots (n=6 specimens/cement) and had their surfaces airborne-particle abraded with Al2O3 (50 μm). Three resin cement cylinders were built on each bonded titanium surface, using a cylindrical translucent tubing mold and were subjected to micro-shear testing. Data were analyzed statistically by two-way ANOVA and Tukey’s post-hoc test (α=0.05). The application of Metal Primer II and Ceramic Primer resulted in significant higher bond strength for Link Max and RelyX Unicem resin cements, respectively, than nonuse of adhesive primers. Panavia F 2.0 and RelyX ARC yielded high bond strength means with or without adhesive primers. The use of adhesive primers might increase the bond strength to cast titanium depending on the resin cement used.
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INTRODUCTION

Pure titanium or titanium alloys have been used in dentistry for complete crowns, and metal frameworks for fixed partial, implant-supported and removable dentures. The increasing use of this metal in clinical Prosthodontics is due to the development of new casting machines and techniques (1-3).

Although titanium has many advantages, such as, biocompatibility, high corrosion resistance and good mechanical properties (4-6), there are concerns regarding the weak bonding between luting materials and cast titanium when adhesive luting is indicated (7). In an attempt to enhance the bonding to titanium, some materials and techniques have been suggested (2,3,8-19). However, the problems of achieving a durable and predictable bond between titanium and luting material still remain.

Chemical and mechanical bonding techniques have been proposed to treat titanium surface before cementation. Chemical bonding systems using functional monomers or silane-couplers have been suggested for bonding to titanium (9,11,18,20,21) while surface modification by Silicoater or Rocatec techniques and micro-mechanical retention produced by air abrasion or electrolytic etching are other treatments suggested for adhesive bonding (11,22).

The purpose of this study was to evaluate the effects of adhesive primers on the shear bond strength of dual-cured resin cementing systems to cast titanium. The null hypothesis tested was that bond strength is not influenced by the adhesive primer application regardless
the type of dual-cured resin cement used.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

Sixty commercially pure titanium (Tritan; Dentaurum J.P. Winkelstroeter KG, Pforzheim, Germany) plates (10 mm long x 5 mm wide x 1 mm thick) were cast and randomly divided into 10 groups (n=6). Acrylic resin patterns (Pattern Resin LS; GC Corp., Tokyo, Japan) with the plate dimensions were invested using titanium investment material (Rematitan; Dentaurum, Baden-Württemberg, Germany) with a ratio of 250 g of powder to 40 mL of liquid. The mixing was carried out under vacuum at a speed of 425 rpm for 30 s using a mechanical mixer (Multivac 4; DeguDent, GmbH, Hanau, Germany). The investment blocks (Rematitan; Dentaurum) were heated according to the manufacturer’s instructions in an electric furnace (Vulcan 3.550; DeguDent) with a heating rate of 5°C/min, following the cycle: 250°C/90 min, 900°C/40 min, and afterwards, cooling within the furnace (Vulcan 3.550; DeguDent) at a rate of 5°C/min for the target temperature of 430°C, which was maintained for 90 min. Castings were performed in an arc-melting titanium vacuum casting machine (Dentaurum) using 31 g ingots and 0.95 bar argon pressure.

After casting, the investment blocks were immediately quenched in cold water and castings were recovered with the aid of an airborne-particle abrasion unit (Microetcher IITM; Danville Engineering Inc., San Ramon, CA, USA) using 50 µm-aluminum oxide particles (Pasom Inc., São Paulo, SP, Brazil) for 5 s at 5.5 bar. Sprues were removed with a separating disk (Dentaurum) and the external surfaces of the frameworks were finished with tungsten carbide burs (Dentaurum) at low speed. The titanium plates were airborne-particle abraded with 50 µm aluminum oxide for 5 s at 80-psi pressure with the nozzle 5 mm from the surface (11), one day before the bonding procedures.

Materials used in the bonding procedures are described in Table 1. Four adhesive primers - Metaltite (Tokuyama Dental Corp., Tokyo, Japan), Metal Primer

| Table 1. Compositions of resin cements and adhesive primers. |
|------------------|----------------------------------|
| Material         | Composition                      |
| Bistite II DC    | Base: neopentyldimethacrylate, silica-zirconia, activators. |
| (Resin cement)   | Catalyst: MAC-10, neopentyldimethacrylate, silica-zirconia, initiators. |
| LinkMax          | Flurorolaminosilicate glass, urethane dimethacrylate, HEMA, silica. |
| Panavia F 2.0    | Paste A: MDP, hydrophobic aromatic dimethacrylates, hydrophobic aliphatic dimethacrylates, hydrophilic aliphatic dimethacrylates, silanated silica filler, silanated colloidal silica, dl-camphoroquinone, initiators. |
| (Resin cement)   | Paste B: hydrophobic aromatic dimethacrylates, hydrophobic aliphatic dimethacrylates, hydrophilic aliphatic dimethacrylates, silanated barium glass filler, initiators, accelerators, pigments. |
| RelyX Unicem     | Catalyst: glass powder, substituted dimethacrylate, silane-treated silica, sodium p-toluenesulfinate, calcium hydroxide. |
| (Resin cement)   | Base: glass powder, methacrylated phosphoric acid esters, triethylene glycol dimethacrylate, silane-treated silica, sodium persulfate. |
| RelyX ARC        | Paste A: silane treated ceramic, triethylene glycol dimethacrylates, BisGMA, silane treated silica, functionalized dimethacrylate polymer, photoinitiators, amine, pigments. |
| (Resin cement)   | Paste B: silane treated ceramic, triethylene glycol dimethacrylates, BisGMA, silane treated silica, functionalized dimethacrylate polymer, benzoic peroxide. |
| Metaltite (primer) | 6-methacryloxyhexyl-2-thiouracil-5-carboxylate (MTU-6), ethanol, initiator. |
| Metal Primer II (primer) | Thiophosphoric methacrylate (MEPS), methylmethacrylate (MMA). |
| Alloy Primer (primer) | 6-(4-vinylbenzyl-N-propyl) amino-1,3,5-triazine-2,4-dithione (VBATDT), MDP, acetone. |
| Ceramic Primer (primer) | Gama-methacryloxypropyl trimethoxysilane, ethanol, water. |
II (GC Corp.), Alloy Primer (Kuraray Medical Inc., Kurashiki, Japan) and Ceramic Primer (3M ESPE, St. Paul, MN, USA) - and their respective resin cements - Bistite II DC (Tokuyama Dental Corp.), LinkMax (GC Corp.), Panavia F 2.0 (Kuraray Medical Inc.), RelyX Unicem (3M ESPE) and RelyX ARC (3M ESPE) - were evaluated, using the adhesive primer and resin cement from the same company. Ceramic Primer (3M ESPE) was used with RelyX Unicem and RelyX ARC. Adhesive primers and resin cements were applied and handled according to manufacturers’ instructions. All light-activation was performed with the XL 3000 light curing unit (3M ESPE), under standard irradiation mode and 650 mW/cm². Light output was monitored throughout the course of the experiment with a curing radiometer (Optilux; Kerr-Sybron, GmbH, Karlsruhe, Germany).

The methodology developed by Shimada et al. (21) was used to prepare specimens for the micro-shear test. Three cylindrical translucent molds (Tygon tubing TYG-030; Saint-Gobain Performance Plastic, Miami Lakes, FL, USA) were positioned over the titanium surface plate that was primed or not and freshly mixed dual-cure resin cements were inserted into the molds, using a modified composite spatula (Duflex #3; SS White, Juiz de Fora, MG, Brazil). The resin cement cylinders were irradiated from the top for 40 s.

Specimens were stored in distilled water at 37°C for 24 h. The tube molds were removed to expose the resin cement cylinders (0.75 mm diameter x 0.5 mm high) bonded to the metal surface. Three bonded resin cement cylinders were obtained for each titanium plate. Prior to testing, all resin cylinders were evaluated under an optical microscope (×30 magnification) (Nikon Measurescope, Tokyo, Japan) for bonding defects.

Each titanium plate was attached to the testing device with cyanoacrylate glue (Super Bonder; Loctite, Itapevi, SP, Brazil) and tested in a universal testing machine (4411; Instron Corp., Canton, MA, USA). A shear load was applied to the base of the resin cement cylinder with a thin wire (0.20 mm diameter) at a crosshead speed of 0.5 mm/min until failure. Shear bond strengths were calculated and expressed in MPa. Three bond strength measurements were recorded for each titanium plate and the mean bond strength was determined for each experimental unit. Results were statistically analyzed by two-way (adhesive primer application and resin cement factors) analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Tukey’s post hoc test (α=0.05) using the SAS analytical software (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA).

RESULTS

The mean shear bond strength values and standard deviations are presented in Table 2. Two-way ANOVA revealed that there were statistically significant differences for the factor “adhesive primer” (p=0.00007), for the factor “resin cement” (p=0.00002) and for the interaction between factors (p=0.00525).

According to Tukey’s post-hoc test, the application of Metal Primer II and Ceramic Primer increased significantly (p<0.05) the bond strength of LinkMax and RelyX Unicem resin cements to cast titanium, respectively, when compared with non-application of adhesive primers. For the other resin cements, adhesive primer application had no effect on bond strength to titanium (p>0.05).

With primer applications, Panavia F 2.0, LinkMax and RelyX Unicem showed significantly higher (p<0.05) bond strength to cast titanium than RelyX ARC resin cement. Without primer, Panavia F 2.0 resin cement yielded significantly higher (p<0.05) bond strength than Bistite II DC, LinkMax and RelyX ARC, but it was not significantly different from RelyX Unicem (p>0.05).

DISCUSSION

The results of this study indicate that two primed surfaces yielded higher bond strength of resin cements to titanium than non-use of adhesive primers. Although the bond strength of two resin cements tested was significantly influenced by the use of the adhesive primers before resin cement application, the null hypothesis was rejected, since the adhesive primers did not affect the bond strength for other three products.

Studies have shown that some resin monomers from luting agents or alloy primers are able to react
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Resin cements have been developed to form chemical bonds directly to surfaces or are part of cementing systems that include hydrofluoric acid, silane coupling agents, alloy primers and other materials to improve the quality of adhesion of resin cements to the surface of fixed dental prostheses (11,14).

The results obtained in the present study indicate that the bond strengths of Bistite II DC, Panavia F 2.0 and RelyX ARC resin cements to titanium were not increased by adhesive primer applications; however no reduction on bond strength was observed. Thus, the priming step suggested in this study is recommended for all resin cements tested in this study.

RESUMO

O objetivo deste estudo foi avaliar o efeito da aplicação de primers na resistência de união de cimentos resinosos ao titânio. Quatro primers - Metalite, Metal Primer II, Alloy Primer and Ceramic Primer - e seus respectivos cimentos resinosos - Bistite II DC, Link Max, Panavia F 2.0, RelyX Unicem e RelyX ARC - foram testados. Placas de titânio foram preparadas a partir da fundição de lingotes (n=6 espécimes/cimento) e as superfícies dessas placas foram jateadas com partículas de Al2O3 (50 μm). Três cilindros de cimento resinoso foram construídos em cada placa de titânio, utilizando moldes transparentes com formato de cilindro e foram submetidos ao teste de microcisalhamento. Os dados foram analisados pela ANOVA (dois fatores) e testes de Tukey (α=0,05). A aplicação do Metal Primer II e do Ceramic Primer resultou no aumento significativo da resistência de união para os cimentos resinosos Link Max e RelyX Unicem.
respectivamente. Os cimentos Panavia F 2.0 e o RelyX ARC mostraram altos valores de resistência de união com ou sem o uso dos primers. A aplicação dos primers pode aumentar a resistência de união dos cimentos resinosos ao titânio, dependendo do tipo de cimento resinoso utilizado.
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