Effect of Carbamide Peroxide Bleaching Gel on Composite Resin Flexural Strength and Microhardness
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This study investigated the effect of 16% carbamide peroxide (Whiteness Perfect/FGM) on the Vickers microhardness and flexural strength of the restorative composites Filtek Z100 (hybrid), Filtek Z350 (nanofill), Brilliant (micro-hybrid) and Opallis (micro-hybrid). Disc-shaped (4x2 mm; n=5) and bar-shaped (12x2x1 mm; n=10) specimens of each restorative material were randomly divided into 2 groups: (G1) 16 weeks stored in distilled water; (G2) 16 weeks stored in distilled water, with 16% carbamide peroxide application during 6 h per day for the last 4 weeks. The mechanical properties were evaluated using a Vickers microhardness tester and a mechanical testing machine. Data were analyzed by two-way ANOVA and Tukey’s (HSD) post-hoc test ($\alpha=0.05$). Filtek Z100 presented the highest microhardness value, followed by Filtek Z350 and finally by Brilliant and Opallis ($p=0.00$). Filtek Z100 and Brilliant exhibited the highest flexural strength value, followed by Filtek Z350 and Opallis ($p=0.00$). Bleaching treatment decreased significantly microhardness of Brilliant and Opallis ($p=0.00$). The flexural strength of all studied materials was not affected by the home bleaching ($p=0.28$).
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Introduction

Dental bleaching has been widely used in dentistry as an effective and non-invasive aesthetic dental treatment (1). Different techniques may be employed for brightening discolored teeth, such as: home bleaching, in-office bleaching or a combination of both (2). Since its introduction by Haywood and Heymann (3), home bleaching has become an attractive treatment modality for patients and dentists due to its excellent clinical effectiveness, easy application, lower cost and safety of the used materials (1,4). In general, as cited by Attin et al. (5), this technique uses low-concentration peroxide-containing agents generally with a low cost individual tray for 2-4 weeks and application intervals of 4-8 h per day. A 10-17 years follow-up study (4) showed that home bleaching provided patient satisfaction with minimal side effects.

One of the products used for this procedure is the carbamide peroxide at 10-16% concentrations, which degrades into free radicals (OH-) when in contact with saliva. The breakdown of large pigmented molecules into smaller ones by these free radicals modifies the reflected wavelength of light and consequently changes the teeth color and translucency (6). As the bleaching agents are not able of influencing the optical properties of restorative materials, they must be replaced on the anterior teeth if aesthetically unsatisfactory (5). However, after dental bleaching, it is not necessary to replace functionally acceptable posterior restorations. Thus, studies have investigated the effects of bleaching agents on several restorative materials used in the posterior region of oral cavity, such as amalgam (7), composite resins (8-13), glass ionomer cement (11,13,14) and feldspathic porcelain (11,14). According to these studies, bleaching agents are able to modify the porosity (14), hardness (15), flexural strength (13) and color stability (16) of restorative composites.

Considering the increasing use of aesthetic restorative materials on posterior teeth, as well as the dental bleaching procedures, it is important to evaluate the effect of bleaching agents on the mechanical properties of composite resin restorations. Thus, the aim of this study was to investigate the effect of 16% carbamide peroxide for 4 weeks by 6 h per day on the Vickers microhardness and flexural strength of 4 restorative composites.

Material and Methods

The restorative materials evaluated in this study are summarized in Table 1. Photoactivation was performed with a visible light-curing unit (Optilight Plus; Gnatus Equipamentos Médico-Odontológicos Ltda, Ribeirão Preto, SP, Brazil) at an irradiance of 500 mW/cm², which was assessed with a radiometer (DMC Equipamentos Ltda, São Carlos, SP, Brazil) prior to each use.

To prepare specimens for the microhardness test (n=5), composite resins were inserted in a single increment into circular autopolymerizing acrylic resin matrices (4.0 mm diameter and 2.0 mm deep). A glass slab and a metal disc
of 1.0 kg were placed on top of the materials during their photoactivation. The composite resins were polymerized for 40 s by the metal disc opening/aperture. During this procedure, the tip of the light-curing unit was in contact with the glass slab surface. After that, all specimens were smoothed with 600- and 1200-grit silicon carbide sandpapers in a polishing unit (Metaserv 2000; Buehler UK Ltd, Coventry, UK).

For the flexural strength test (n=10), bar-shaped specimens (12 mm long x 2 mm wide x 1 mm high) were produced by a custom-made metal split matrix. The restorative composites were inserted as previously mentioned and light-cured for 120 s (40 s in each length of approximately 4 mm).

The specimens of each restorative material were randomly divided into 2 groups: (G1) 16 weeks stored in distilled water, without bleaching agent application; (G2) 16 weeks stored in distilled water, with 16% carbamide peroxide (Whiteness Perfect; FGM Produtos Odontológicos, Joinville, SC, Brazil) application during 6 h per day for the last 4 weeks. The bleaching gel was applied directly on the top of the bleaching surfaces with a 0.5 mm thickness. Throughout the experiment, all specimens were kept inside a lightproof recipient at 37 ± 1 ºC.

For the surface microhardness measurements, a Vickers microhardness tester (model 1600-6300; Buehler, Lake Bluff, IL, USA) was used with a 0.98 N load and 30 s dwell time. Eight microhardness measurements were obtained on the top surface of each specimen. Three-point flexural strength test was performed with a mechanical testing machine (EMIC DL2000; EMIC Equipamentos e Sistemas de Ensaio Ltda, São José dos Pinhais, PR, Brazil) at a crosshead speed of 0.5 mm/min.

Data were analyzed statistically by two-way ANOVA and Tukey’s (HSD) post-hoc test at a significance level of 5%.

Results

The microhardness and flexural strength data are presented in Tables 2 and 3, respectively.

Filtene Z100 presented the highest hardness value, followed by Filtene Z350 and finally by Brilliant and Opallis, which showed statistically similar hardness values (p=0.00). Bleaching treatment adversely affected only Brilliant and Opallis (p=0.00).

Filtene Z100 and Brilliant exhibited the highest mean flexural strength value, followed by Filtene Z350 and Opallis (p=0.00). The bleaching agent did not produce any statistically significant influence on the flexural properties of the tested restorative materials (p=0.28).

Discussion

The results of the present study showed statistical differences among the restorative materials. The mechanical properties of composite resins are determined by the interaction of several factors, such as composition, degree of conversion of polymer chains, filler volume-fraction, filler particle size and distribution, and the interfacial properties between the filler and resin matrix (17, 18). Thus, the higher filler content (71%) of Filtene Z100 hybrid composite may have contributed to the higher hardness performance (81.7 VHN/81.5 VHN) of this composite in relation to the other materials.

Table 1. Materials evaluated

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Matrix</th>
<th>Filler</th>
<th>Shade</th>
<th>Batch#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Filtene Z100</td>
<td>Hybrid</td>
<td>Bis-GMA/TEGDMA</td>
<td>Zirconia/silica</td>
<td>A 3.5</td>
<td>7EP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3M/ESPE, St. Paul, MN, USA</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.5 µm (maximum size) 71 vol%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filtene Z350</td>
<td>Nanofill</td>
<td>Bis-GMA/UDMA Bis-EMA/TEGDMA</td>
<td>Zirconia/silica 0.005-0.02 µm (cluster size 0.6-1.4 µm) 59.5 vol%</td>
<td>A 3.5</td>
<td>9AK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3M/ESPE, St. Paul, MN, USA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brilliant</td>
<td>Micro-hybrid</td>
<td>Methacrylates</td>
<td>Silica</td>
<td>A 3.5</td>
<td>0126172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coltène Whaledent AG, Alstätten, Switzerland</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.5 µm (mean size) 58.5-59 vol%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opallis</td>
<td>Micro-hybrid</td>
<td>Bis-GMA/UDMA Bis-EMA/TEGDMA</td>
<td>Silica</td>
<td>A 3.5</td>
<td>211107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FGM Odontological Products, Joinville, SC, Brazil</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.5 µm (mean size) 57-58 vol%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

tested restorative materials. However, among the other composites, which present filler volume-fraction around 60%, was observed a higher hardness value of Filtek Z350 nanofilled composite (55.5 VHN/52.9 VHN) when compared to Brilliant (47.9 VHN/43.5 VHN) and Opallis (45.3 VHN/40.7 VHN) micro-hybrid composites. This better behavior of Filtek Z350 is possibly related to the effect of composition and degree of conversion of the polymer matrix on hardness, as well as the resin matrix greater mechanical strength, as reported by Ferracane et al. (18). Another important factor that might have contributed to the higher hardness value of Filtek Z350 nanofilled composite was the higher resistance of the aggregated zirconia/silica cluster filler to the Vickers indenter.

For the flexural strength of the evaluated materials, the filler volume-fraction does not seem to be a decisive factor, as Filtek Z100 hybrid composite (71%) and Brilliant micro-hybrid composite (around 60%) exhibited statistically similar mean flexural strength values (141.7 MPa/127.7 MPa and 145.7 MPa/119.2 MPa, respectively). Assuming that during the flexural strength tests, the crack propagation in the specimen is intergranular (11), probably the chemical bonds promoted by silane coupling agent at resin-filler interface may also have influenced this mechanical property (19), promoting a balance between the composite resins, despite their different volume of filler particles. Filtek Z350 nanofilled and Opallis microhybrid composites showed significantly different flexural strength values between them (84.1 MPa/106.2 MPa and 85.2 MPa/83.7 MPa, respectively) and lower than Brilliant (145.7 MPa/119.2 MPa), although these three composite resins contain similar filler volume fraction. This fact demonstrates that the composition and degree of conversion of the resin matrix, as well as the amount of filler particles are not the only factors affecting the mechanical strength of restorative composites. The lower flexural strength value of Filtek Z350 nanofilled composite when compared to Filtek Z100 hybrid and Brilliant microhybrid composites was the result of a possible negative effect of the aggregated zirconia/silica cluster filler, which favored the crack propagation (11).

The literature has shown contradictory results for the effect of bleaching agents on microhardness of composite resins (20). Some studies reported an increase (9,21), others a decrease (8,22,23) or no change (10,24) in composite surface hardness after application of carbamide peroxide agents, reflecting, aside the composition of composite resins, the effect of specimen aging during the moment of bleaching procedure (18).

Finally, it should be taken into account the bleaching treatment protocol, as home bleaching uses low-concentration peroxide-containing agents. Studies that evaluate more intensive protocols, such as the combination between home bleaching and in-office bleaching, as well as the association with light and heat, should be conducted, since these methods may enhance the adverse effects of the bleaching agents over the mechanical strength of composite resins.

Under the conditions of this study, the following conclusions can be drawn: 1. Filtek Z100 presented the highest hardness value, followed by Filtek Z350 and finally by Brilliant and Opallis, which showed similar behavior; 2. Filtek Z100 and Brilliant exhibited the highest flexural strength value, followed by Filtek Z350 and Opallis; 3. Home

Table 2. Mean microhardness values (VHN), standard deviations (±) and statistical results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Composite resin</th>
<th>G1</th>
<th>G2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Filtek Z100</td>
<td>55.5±1.6</td>
<td>52.9±1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filtek Z350</td>
<td>47.9±0.4</td>
<td>43.5±2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brilliant</td>
<td>45.3±1.5</td>
<td>40.7±3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opallis</td>
<td>85.2±14.9</td>
<td>83.7±12.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Standard error = 0.70. Critical value (5%) = 3.15. Different lowercase superscripted letters indicate statistically significant differences in rows (p<0.05). Different uppercase superscripted letters indicate statistically significant differences in columns (p<0.05).

Table 3. Mean flexural strength values (MPa), standard deviations (±) and statistical results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Composite resin</th>
<th>G1</th>
<th>G2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Filtek Z100</td>
<td>141.7±19.8</td>
<td>127.7±32.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filtek Z350</td>
<td>84.1±15.8</td>
<td>106.2±21.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brilliant</td>
<td>145.7±18.3</td>
<td>119.2±21.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opallis</td>
<td>85.2±14.9</td>
<td>83.7±12.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Standard error = 6.45. Critical value (5%) = 28.13. Different lowercase superscripted letters indicate statistically significant differences in rows (p<0.05). Different uppercase superscripted letters indicate statistically significant differences in columns (p<0.05).
bleaching treatment showed negative effects on hardness of Brilliant and Opallis; 4. The flexural strength of bleached materials remained unchanged.

Resumo
Este estudo investigou o efeito do peróxido de carbamida a 16% (Whiteness Perfect/FGM) na dureza Vickers e resistência à flexão dos compósitos restauradores Filtek Z100 (híbrida), Filtek Z350 (nanoparticulada), Brilliant (micro-híbrida) e Opallis (micro-híbrida). Espécimes em forma de disco (4x2 mm; n=5) e de barra (12x2x1 mm; n=10) de cada material restaurador foram distribuídos aleatoriamente em 2 grupos: (G1) 16 semanas em água destilada; (G2) armazenamento em água destilada durante 16 semanas, com aplicação do peróxido de carbamida a 16% por 6 h diárias nas últimas 4 semanas. As propriedades mecânicas foram avaliadas em microdurômetro Vickers e máquina de ensaios mecânicos. Os dados foram analisados por ANOVA a 2 critérios e teste de Tukey (α=0,05). Filtek Z100 e Brilliant mostraram o maior valor de dureza, seguido por Filtek Z350 e Opallis (p=0,00). O clareamento diminuiu significativamente a dureza das resinas Brilliant e Opallis (p=0,00). A resistência à flexão dos materiais estudados não foi afetada pelo clareamento caseiro (p=0,28).
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