Effect of Replacing a Component of a Self-Etch Adhesive by Chlorhexidine on Bonding to Dentin
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The purpose of this study was to evaluate the effect of replacing a component of the self-etch adhesive Adper Scotchbond SE (liquid A + liquid B) by 2% chlorhexidine (CHX) on bond strength to dentin after 1 day, 3 months or 6 months of water storage. Eight human teeth were sectioned to expose a flat dentin surface and were then randomly assigned to 2 groups. In the control group, the dentin surfaces were treated with the adhesive according to the manufacturer’s instructions. In the experimental group, liquid A was replaced by 2% CHX. Next, a 6-mm-high resin composite block was incrementally built on the bonded surfaces. The restored teeth were then sectioned to produce stick-shaped specimens (cross-sectional area - 0.8 mm²). The microtensile bond strength (µTBS) was recorded, and the failure modes were assessed. Data were analyzed by two-way repeated measures ANOVA (α=0.05). Four additional teeth were processed in order to conduct a micromorphological analysis of the resin-dentin interface. The µTBS values did not significantly decrease after water storage in either the control or the experimental group, whose values did not differ significantly irrespective of storage time. The morphological aspect of the bonding interface appears not to have been affected by CHX. A higher incidence of cohesive failures within the adhesive and mixed failures (cohesive within adhesive and resin composite) was observed for both groups. It may be concluded that dentin pre-treatment with 2% CHX did not influence significantly the bonding performance of the evaluated adhesive.

Introduction

Degradation phenomena occur within resin-dentin interface in vitro and in vivo (1,2). Water sorption, solubility and hydrolysis can affect the resin component, causing a decrease of mechanical properties (3,4). In addition, poorly infiltrated collagen fibrils can be degraded by endogenous enzymes, such as matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) (1,2).

In the etch-and-rinse approach, etched dentin is not fully infiltrated by the adhesive monomers, thereby creating a zone of exposed collagen fibrils that is susceptible to enzymatic degradation (1,2,5). The use of 2% chlorhexidine digluconate (CHX) as a therapeutic primer prior to the resin monomers’ infiltration has been reported to stabilize the resin-dentin bonding due to the inhibition of the MMPs’ activity (1,2,6).

On the other hand, the demineralization/infiltration process is simultaneous in the self-etching approach (7). The self-etch adhesives (SEAs) are basically composed of acidic monomers, methacrylate co-monomers, and solvents (8). Water is indispensable for ionizing the acidic monomers, allowing the formation of hydronium ions (H₃O⁺), which is essential for this bonding strategy (7). Nevertheless, nanoleakage tests demonstrated that SEAs also produce resin-dentin bonds with permeable zones, in which collagen fibrils remain exposed, thus creating favorable conditions for enzymatic degradation (9,10).

According to the number of operative steps for bonding, SEAs can be classified in two-step adhesives, in which primer and adhesive are individually applied, and one-step adhesives, which consist of a single primer/adhesive application step (7). In the composition of one-step SEAs and in the primer solution of two-step SEAs, blends of water and acidic monomers may produce acidified solutions due to the ionization of functional groups prior to the adhesive application. This condition can gradually activate the hydrolysis of the ester portion of the methacrylated monomers, leading to a decrease in monomer concentration over time, as well as reducing the shelf life of the adhesive (11). In order to minimize this drawback, an innovative one-step SEA was introduced, represented by Adper Scotchbond SE (3M ESPE, St. Paul, MN, USA). Liquid A of this adhesive, which is basically composed by water, is mixed with the acidic monomers and co-monomers of the liquid B just at the moment of hybridization on the dental tissue, thereby avoiding acid-catalyzed hydrolysis of methacrylated monomers prior to the application of the adhesive.

As little is known about the influence of CHX on dentin bonding performance using SEAs, the purpose of the present...
study was to evaluate the effect of replacing liquid A of Adper Scotchbond SE by a 2% CHX aqueous solution on bonding to dentin. The research hypotheses were that 1) the immediate bond strength is not affected and 2) the bond strength after 3 and 6 months is preserved.

**Material and Methods**

**Tooth Preparation**

Eight caries-free human third molars were obtained and used in accordance with the guidelines presented by the local Institutional Review Board (#144/2009). Occlusal enamel and roots were severed to expose a flat dentin surface. Each tooth was then longitudinally sectioned into halves and assigned to one of two groups – the control or the experimental group. Prior to the bonding procedures, dentin surfaces were wet ground with #600-grit silicon carbide paper to standardize a smear layer (12).

**Bonding Procedures**

The one-step SEA Adper Scotchbond SE was used. Its composition and application mode are presented in Table 1. In the control group, bonding was performed according to the manufacturer's instructions; in the experimental group, the liquid A of the SEA was replaced by 2% CHX. Next, a 6-mm-thick resin block (Filtek Z250; 3M ESPE) was incrementally built on the bonded surfaces, with each 2 mm-thick increment being light cured for 20 s using an LED curing unit (Elipar Freelight 2; 3M ESPE) operating at 900 mW/cm². Restored half-teeth were then stored in distilled water for 24 h at 37 °C.

**Microtensile Bond Strength Testing**

The samples were sectioned longitudinally in both "x" and "y" directions across the bonded interface using a diamond wafering blade (Buehler Ltd., Lake Bluff, IL, USA) at low-speed mounted in precision cutting machine (IsoMet 1000; Buehler Ltd.) with water cooling. A series of 0.8 mm² (±0.2) stick-shaped specimens were produced. Eight to ten sticks were obtained from each hemi-block and were randomly assigned to three sub-groups according to storage time: 1 day, 3 months, or 6 months. Each specimen was tested in tension at a crosshead speed of 0.5 mm/min until failure in an Instron 4411 machine (Instron Corporation, Canton, MA, USA).

**Statistical Analysis**

A bond strength mean value was obtained for each level evaluated in each tooth. As all levels of both main factors (C vs. CHX [treatment], and 1 day vs. 3 months vs. 6 months [storage time]) were analyzed for each tooth, bond strength values were subjected to two-way repeated measures ANOVA at a pre-set alpha of 0.05. The repeated measures were treatment and storage time, and the experimental unit (n=8) was the teeth.

**Failure Mode Classification**

All fractured surfaces were sputter-coated with gold/palladium (SCD050 Sputter Coater; Bal-Tec AG, Balzers, Liechtenstein) and analyzed with a scanning electron microscope (JSM-5600LV; Jeol Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) operating at 15 kV. Failure modes were classified according to the following categories: Type I - Cohesive failure within the resin composite; Type II - Cohesive failure within the adhesive resin; Type III - Mixed failure: cohesive within the hybrid layer and adhesive resin; and Type V - Cohesive failure within the hybrid layer.

**Bonding Interface Analysis**

Four teeth were selected and distributed into two groups (the control and the experimental group). Each tooth was sectioned to obtain two 1.5±0.5 mm-thick dentin discs. The adjacent dentin surfaces of the discs were subjected to the treatment described above, and then laminated...
into disc-pairs using a flowable composite (Filtek Flow; 3M ESPE) light-cured (Elipar Freelight 2) for 160 s in four different directions, similar to the sandwich technique first described by Inokoshi et al. (12). Next, the sandwiches were sectioned to expose the resin-dentin interface and then processed according to the procedures outlined by Vaz et al. (13) for scanning electron microscopy (SEM) analysis, operating at 20 kV.

**Results**

**Microtensile Bond Strength**

Mean bond strength values are summarized in Table 2. The independent effects of both main factors (treatment and storage time) on bond strength were not significant (p=0.18 and p=0.29, respectively). The cross-interaction effect of both factors on bond strength was also found not to be significant (p=0.59).

**Failure Mode Analysis**

The failure pattern distribution (%) is exhibited in Figure 1. Types II and III failure modes were predominant in both the control and the experimental groups, regardless of storage time. Representative SEM micrographs of predominant failure modes are presented in Figure 2.

**Bonding Interface**

SEM micrographs of the resin-dentin interfaces from both the control and the experimental groups showed similar micromorphological aspects (Fig. 3), exhibiting thin hybrid layers (less than 1.0 µm) and resin tags with lateral branches.

**Discussion**

In the present study, the replacement of liquid A of Adper Scotchbond SE by 2% CHX did not affect the immediate

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Storage time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>46.43 (11.46)A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experimental</td>
<td>37.22 (10.45)A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Same letter indicate no statistically significant difference (p>0.05).

![Figure 1. Failure mode distribution (%).](image1)

![Figure 2. Representative SEM images of the fractured specimens. A: Type II failure mode of specimen of the control group that was stored for 1 day. B: Higher magnification of the area limited by a rectangle in image “a” showing the groove pattern of cohesive failure within the adhesive (arrow). C: Type III failure mode in specimen of the experimental group that was stored for 6 months. D: Higher magnification of the area limited by a rectangle in image “C”, showing a darker and more homogeneous zone that represents cohesive failure within the adhesive resin (+) and a typical granular aspect of cohesive failure within the resin composite (*). AD: adhesive resin. RC: resin composite.](image2)

![Figure 3. Representative SEM image of the resin-dentin interface from the control group. A thin hybrid layer with less than 1.0 µm was created (white arrow). Resin tags with lateral branches could also be identified (black arrow). AD: adhesive resin.](image3)
bond strength (p>0.05); thus, the first research hypothesis was accepted. The authors believe that interference from water in the bonding performance is minimized using this hybridization protocol, since the effect of CHX is the focus of the study. The immediate bond strength is most likely preserved because the aqueous solution of 2% CHX had a similar ionizing effect as liquid A, which is composed of water and HEMA (approximately 80% and 20%, respectively). Additionally, there was no evidence of negative interactions between CHX and the acidic monomers in the liquid B. Actually, previous studies have investigated the incorporation of CHX into the composition of other dental adhesives, as well as the application of CHX as a therapeutic primer, demonstrating that there is no significant interference in terms of immediate bond strength to dentin (14,15).

Liquid B of Adper Scotchbond SE is basically composed of acidic monomers, methacrylated co-monomers, and chemically combined fillers in the absence of solvent, giving the material a hydrophobic character (Table 1). Previous studies demonstrate that solvent-free hydrophobic adhesive layers result in lower permeability, improved mechanical properties, and enhanced resistance to degradation (16). Another relevant aspect is the continuous scrubbing of liquid B with moderate pressure against the dentin surface, which may have contributed to a better and more homogeneous hybridization (17). In addition, air-drying for 10 s to evaporate water and further application of the hydrophobic liquid B seems to improve the adhesive performance of SEAs (18). The role of HEMA in liquid A remains unclear from the results of the present study, since the CHX aqueous solution performed similarly. The authors assume that HEMA in liquid A is not necessary to dissolve monomers in water and prevent phase separation; probably, di-HEMA phosphates in liquid B carried out this function. Indeed, it was demonstrated that the influence of HEMA on bond strength to dentin is material-dependent (19). Another speculation in attempting to better understand the results is related to specific properties of CHX, which presents an amphiphatic character at high concentrations that may have favored the resin infiltration in the dentin during hybridization (20). However, this last characteristic requires further investigation in future studies.

The inhibitory effect of MMPs by CHX, which thus improves long-term resin-dentin bonding stability, has been described in previous studies (1,2,6). In the present study, there was no decrease in bond strength after 3 and 6 months of water storage, regardless of the treatment group (p>0.05). Thus, as replacing liquid B by 2% CHX preserved bond strength after 3 and 6 months, the second hypothesis was accepted. Furthermore, the predominant failure modes were not modified (Figs. 1 and 2). Nevertheless, such adhesion stability cannot be attributed exclusively to CHX. Recently, De Munck et al. (15,20) found enzymatic bond degradation to be minimized by the use of mild SEAs. While released and activated MMPs are active for only a few hours, the degradation effect in resin-dentin bonds created with mild SEAs is most prominent between 6 and 12 months of storage. The amount of MMPs released by mild SEAs is below the picogram sensitivity of the zymographic test; additionally, this low quantity of released enzymes can be de-activated by the SEA itself (15,20). This finding agrees with the results of the present study, since there was no bond strength reduction in either the control or the experimental group after 6 months of water storage. It is also worth noting that despite the fact that the pH of Adper Scotchbond SE is <1 (considered a strong SEA) (21), the acidic reaction initiates with the mixture of both liquid components A and B in cavity preparation. Thus, the concentration of acidic functional monomers (pKa of functional groups) and short mixture time are probably factors that can contribute to a superficial demineralization, simulating a mild SEA behavior. SEM evaluation of the resin-dentin interfaces of both the control and the experimental groups (Fig. 3) revealed a hybrid layer less than 1.0 µm, resembling those produced by mild SEAs (22).

It is also important to consider the aging protocol used for bonded specimens, especially regarding the frequency with which the storage solutions were changed. When specimens are stored in water, sorption by the adhesive results in the swelling and softening of the polymeric network, reducing frictional forces between polymeric chains – a phenomenon known as plasticization (23). Swelling facilitates the process by which unreacted monomers trapped in the polymeric network are released into the storage solution. This condition saturates the storage solution, creating a dynamic equilibrium between any undissolved solute and the solution (24). Thus, degradation produced by the solubility of unreacted monomers can be arrested or slowed (24). In the present study, the water was not changed during storage periods. This factor may have contributed to the bond strength stability observed, as the hydrophobicity of Adper Scotchbond SE makes the adhesive region more resistant to degradation, since the polarity of monomers is positively related to water sorption, solubility and decrease of mechanical properties (4,16). Longer periods of water storage, higher frequencies of change of solution, and/or other aging methods may be used in future studies evaluating the bonding stability of SEAs, especially when examining SEAs with such promising bonding performance and using innovative concepts to improve bonding to dentin (25).

Based on the results of the present study, it may be concluded that dentin pre-treatment with a 2% CHX
Use of chlorhexidine with a self-etch adhesive

solution did not influence significantly the immediate bond strength of Adper Scotchbond SE and preservation of bonding was observed after 3 and 6 months.

Resumo

O objetivo deste estudo foi o de avaliar o efeito da substituição de um componente do adesivo autocondicionante Adper Scotchbond SE (líquido A + líquido B) por clorexidina (CHX) a 2% na resistência da união à dentina após 1 dia, 3 meses ou 6 meses de armazenamento em água. Oito dentes humanos foram secionados para expor uma superfície plana de dentina e, em seguida, foram aleatoriamente divididos em dois grupos. No grupo controle, as superfícies de dentina foram tratadas com o adesivo de acordo com as instruções do fabricante. No grupo experimental, o líquido A foi substituído por CHX a 2%. Em seguida, um bloco de resina composta com 6 mm de altura foi construído sobre a superfície de união. Os dentes restaurados foram então secionados para produzir espécimes em forma de palito (área transversal - 0,8 mm²). A resistência da união à microtração (µT) foi mensurada e os padrões de fratura avaliados. Os dados foram analisados por meio de teste de ANOVA dois critérios de medidas repetidas (α=0,05). Quatro dentes adicionais foram processados a fim de realizar uma análise micromorfológica da interface resina-dentina. Os valores de µT não diminuíram significativamente após armazenamento em água em ambos os grupos controle e experimental, cujos valores não diferiram significativamente entre si independentemente do tempo de armazenamento. O aspecto morfológico da interface adesiva parece não ter sido afetado pela CHX. Uma maior incidência de falhas coesivas no adesivo e falhas mistas (coesivas no adesivo e na resina composta) foi observada em ambos os grupos. Pode-se concluir que o pré-tratamento da dentina com CHX a 2% não influenciou significativamente o desempenho do adesivo avaliado.

Acknowledgments

This study was supported, in part, by grants from CNPq (#3307540/2009-06).

References


Received April 29, 2013
Accepted July 13, 2013