This study was designed to assess the ability to prevent glucose penetration of a bioceramic putty ready-to-use repair cement comparing to white mineral trioxide aggregate (WMTA). After root canal instrumentation, the apical 3 mm of maxillary incisors were resected and root-end cavities with depth of 3 mm were prepared with ultrasound and filled with the tested materials (15 roots per group). All roots were mounted in a double chamber system to assess glucose penetration using 15 psi pressure application. After 1 h, glucose concentrations in the lower chamber were measured following an enzymatic reaction. Four roots were used as controls. The Mann-Whitney test verified differences in glucose leakage between groups and the Tukey’s test was used for multiple comparisons. Significance level was set at 5%. There was no significant difference in glucose leakage between iRoot BP Plus and White MTA groups. iRoot BP Plus had a similar ability to that of white MTA in preventing glucose leakage as a root-end filling material.

Introduction

Mineral trioxide aggregate (MTA) can be considered the repair cement closest to the ideal that has been yet developed due to its intrinsic remarkable biological and physical properties (1,2). Much evidence support MTA as the gold-standard material for a series of clinical procedures like root-end filling, pulp capping, pulpotomy, apexogenesis, apical barrier formation in teeth with open apexes, repair of root perforations, and root canal filling (2,3). Nevertheless, a couple of drawbacks can still be regarded to MTA, especially those related to manipulation and handling properties (4,5).

White ProRoot MTA (WMTA; Dentsply Tulsa Dental Specialties, Tulsa, OK, USA) was introduced in 2002 to improve MTA clinical handling properties (6) by changing particle size. White ProRoot MTA contains smaller particles with a narrower range of size distribution compared with grey MTA (7,8). Moreover, WMTA was also aimed at overcoming the noticeable dentine and gingival pigmentation caused by grey MTA (2). However, WMTA are not free from handling and setting-time drawbacks.

A fully labor-synthesized water-based bioceramic cement has been recently developed (iRoot BP Plus, Innovative BioCeramix Inc. Vancouver, BC, Canada) claiming to present improved handling properties over the traditional MTA. iRoot BP Plus is a putty ready-to-use cement developed for permanent root canal repair and filling applications. Unlike MTA, iRoot BP Plus claims to be a convenient, user-friendly reparative material as it is available ready to be used. According to the manufacturer, iRoot BP Plus does not shrink during setting and is an insoluble, radiopaque and aluminium-free material based on a calcium silicate composition, which requires the presence of moisture to set and harden (www.ibioceramix.com) (9).

To date, the sealability of iRoot BP Plus used as retrofilling material has not been assessed. The present study was designed to investigate the root-end sealing ability of iRoot BP Plus. WMTA was used as a reference material for comparison. The recently developed glucose leakage under high-pressure model (10) was used to test the null hypothesis that there is no significant difference on the root-end sealability between WMTA and iRoot BP Plus.

Material and Methods

Specimen Selection and Preparation

Forty human maxillary incisors were selected, autoclaved and kept in 0.2% sodium azide for 30 days. After preparation of access cavities and location of canal orifices, apical patency was confirmed with a K-file size 15 (Maillefer Instruments, Ballaigues, Switzerland). The working length was established at 1 mm from the apex.

Instrumentation of the cervical and middle thirds of the canal was carried out using a crown-down flaring technique with sizes 5 to 3 Gates Glidden drills (Maillefer Instruments). The apical third was instrumented using K-Flexofiles sizes 60, 55, 50, 45 and 40 (Maillefer Instruments). After each instrument, 1 mL of freshly prepared 5.25% sodium hypochlorite (pH 10.8) was used at a flushing rate of 1 mL/1 min. A total amount of 8 mL/8 min of NaOCl flushing
was used during instrumentation. A 3 mL of distilled water was used. Smear layer was removed using 17% EDTA for 3 min, followed by a final flush with 3 mL of distilled water drying of the canals with paper points. Crowns were then removed to standardize roots length in 15 mm.

**Apicoectomy**

The apical 3 mm was resected perpendicularly to the root long axis with a diamond disc (#7020; KG Sorensen, São Paulo, SP, Brazil). A ML accessory gutta-percha cone was inserted into the canal space and through the apical opening until tug-back was achieved. The apically extruded gutta-percha was sectioned. The root-end cavities were prepared with an ultrasonic device (NSK – Nakanishi Inc., Tokyo, Japan) and a 3-mm-long retro tip E32D (NSK – Nakanishi Inc.). This way, 3-mm-deep standardized cavities were prepared.

The roots were randomly distributed with the aid of a computer algorithm (http://www.random.org) into 2 experimental groups (n=15), 2 control groups (n=2) and 3 pilot groups (n=2).

**Root-end Filling Procedures**

The prefitted gutta-percha cone served as a barrier for the condensation of the root-end filling material. After cement setting, gutta-percha was removed from each root canal. WMTA was prepared according to the manufacturer’s recommendations. Two experimental groups were formed, which received either iRoot BP Plus or WMTA.

Both materials were placed into root-end cavities using a #5 spatula, inserted by means of the same ultrasonic tip used for preparations and further compacted with a specific plugger (Paiva compactor, Odous de Deus, MG, Brazil). After root-end filling, all samples were stored in 100% humidity for 72 h to allow sealers to set. Apicoectomy, preparation of root-end cavities and retrograde fillings were made by a single operator.

**Pilot Tests Assessing Glucose Reactivity**

Bernabé et al. (15) used vacuum to solve this potential drawback and to facilitate the penetration of the marker in case of presence of a void in the mass of the obturation. Based on the previous report by Shemesh et al. (11), a glucose reactivity pilot test with the 2 cements tested (iRoot BP Plus and WMTA) was performed in the present work. It is well-known that after 24 h contact the tracer react chemically itself with MTA-based materials (11). The goal of this pilot was to verify whether shorter periods of contact would also be influencing glucose concentrations and this way, determine the most appropriate pressure application time for this study.

Four human maxillary incisors roots were retrofilled with the materials tested (2 per material). Two additional roots remained unfilled. The apical portion of each sample was immersed into small flacons containing 4 mL of 0.2 mg/mL glucose solution, one flacon per root. Additional 2 flacons were used as controls containing only 4 mL of glucose standard (0.2 mg/mL) solution. All flacons were kept at 37ºC and a sample of 0.1 mL was taken after 30 min, 60 min, and 120 min of contact from each flacon. Each sample collected was analyzed using a glucose kit (Megazyme, Wicklow, Ireland) in a spectrophotometer (Camspec M 330, Leeds, United Kingdom) at a wavelength of 340 nm and the optical density (OD) statistically compared with the aid of the SPSS 17.0 software. Univariate analysis of variance was used to verify the effect of time of contact and cement on the OD of the glucose solution. Tukey’s post-hoc test was used to compare the time points and Dunnett post-hoc used to compare the OD’s between cements and glucose standard.

The test demonstrated that neither time of contact nor cements had influenced the OD of glucose solution (p>0.05). There was no difference in OD between time frames (p>0.05) and no difference between cements and glucose standard (p>0.05). Based on the results of the pilot study, even though the two hours contact had demonstrated no significant glucose reduction in both tested cements, an experimental time of 60 min was chosen, in order to make it sure that no glucose reaction would occur.

**Assembled Double-Chamber and Glucose Leakage Measuring**

Teeth were placed into a device designed to measure glucose leakage (12,13) (Fig. 1). Teeth were individually

![Figure 1. Sequence of assembled double-chamber dispositive. A: Maxillary chamber. B: Syringe connector. C: Lower chamber.](image-url)
inserted into an Eppendorf tube (1.5 mL) with apical 7 mm protruding through the end. The maxillary portion of the Eppendorf tube was connected to a plunger-less syringe containing 0.75 mL of 1 M\text{ol/L} glucose solution (p\text{H} = 7.0 / density = 1.09 \times 10^3 \text{g}\cdot\text{L}^{-1} / \text{viscosity} = 1.18 \times 10^{-3} \text{Pa}\cdot\text{s} \text{ at } 37 \degree\text{C}). The lower portion of the Eppendorf was inserted to another Eppendorf tube containing 0.75 mL of deionized water in such a way that 3 mm of the sample apex was immersed into the water. Low viscosity cyanoacrylate adhesive was used to seal all the interfaces and connections.

In the negative control group, a cover of two layers of nail polish was applied over the root surface of teeth with intact crowns. In the positive control group, root-end cavities were not filled nor covered. Before the beginning of the experiment, all samples were sterilized in ethylene oxide (BIOXXI Sterilization Services Ltd., Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil).

The maxillary part of the syringe containing glucose solution was connected to a pressure source to create a headspace pressure of 103 kPa per 60 min. The present experimental set-up was constructed to run 30 experimental samples plus 2 controls simultaneously (Fig. 2). After that, a 10 \mu L aliquot of solution was drawn from the inferior Eppendorf using a micropipette and then analyzed using a glucose kit (Megazyme) in a UV-VIS spectrophotometer (Camspec) following a kinetic assay at 340 nm wavelengths to obtain the specific optical density (OD) value for each sample. OD values were converted into glucose concentrations (g/L). All readings were carried out in duplicate and the mean value was considered for statistical analysis.

**Statistical Analysis**

The preliminary analysis of the raw pooled data from the experimental groups did not show a normal distribution (D'Agostino and Person omnibus normality test), therefore statistical analysis was performed using non-parametric methods. The Mann-Whitney test was used to compare the two groups. The alpha-type error was set at 0.05 and Prisma 5.0 (GraphPad Software Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA) was used as the analytical tool.

**Results**

After 1 h of pressure application, no sign of glucose was detected in the inferior Eppendorfs in the negative control groups, whereas the samples in the positive control group displayed great volumes of glucose leakage after the same time period.

Mean and standard deviation, median and rank of glucose concentrations from the cements studied were respectively 0.8 g/L (±0.8), 1.4 (0-3.6) for the iRoot BP Plus group and 1.2 g/L (±1.1), 1.3 (0-2.5) for the ProRoot MTA group. There was no significant difference between glucose values observed in the iRoot BP Plus and white MTA (p>0.05).
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*Figure 3. Column chart of the leakage results, illustrating the median and standard deviation in each experimental group.*
Mann–Whitney). The median and standard deviations in each experimental group are illustrated in Figure 3.

Discussion

Glucose leakage model (GLM) is considered as a high-sensitive and clinical relevant sealability test (12) compared to other leakage models. However, the extended experimental period, the difficulty in keeping a bacteria-free system to avoid glucose consumption and the risk of water evaporation are still of concern while using GLM. Souza et al. (10) amplified pressure in the maxillary chamber of the GLM system to accelerate glucose leakage (from weeks to hours), reducing the risk of bacterial growth and long-term water evaporation with no reduction in the capability of detecting leaking samples.

In the current study, an appropriate pressure/time ratio enough to provide the passage of glucose by a through- and-through void (14) was adjusted performing a pilot test. Based on the pilot test results, it was decided to apply 103 kPa of pressure per 1 h, as no reduction in glucose concentration was observed (p>0.05). Here, the root-end filled teeth were in contact with glucose solution instead of the large disc of the tested material used by Shemesh et al. (11). Thus, this considerably smaller quantity of material might explain the non-significant reduction even after the 2 h contact period.

The choose for a three times higher pressure than the pressure applied in the 24-h experiment originally performed by Souza et al. (10), can be explained by the reduced experimental time of 1 h. Considering that a 120 kPa pressure application has been not shown to damage the integrity of the root filling (12), the 103 kPa used in the present study was probably not detrimental to the integrity of root-end fillings.

MTA has presented along the years good sealability results in several studies (3,16–20), so it is important that new endodontic materials display at least similar ability to prevent leakage as MTA.

Although little or inexistent literature could be retrieved regarding iRoot BP Plus cement, it displays the same composition as the iRoot SP root canal sealer with the difference that iRoot BP Plus presents a cement-like consistence. Some literature can be quoted for the iRoot SP sealer. It has been found to present similar sealing ability (9) and bonding adhesion to dentine (21) compared to AH Plus. It also displays low cytotoxicity (22) and biocompatibility (23).

Sarkar et al. (24) suggested that MTA initially produced a mechanical seal and further dissolved leading to the formation of hydroxyapatite crystals, which reacted with dentine to create a chemical adhesion. During setting reaction the calcium silicate present in the iRoot BP Plus produces calcium silicate hydrogel and calcium hydroxide. Calcium hydroxide partially reacts with the phosphate to form hydroxyapatite and water which may explain the comparable leakage results to WMTA observed in the present study (p>0.05). Additionally, the calcium silicate present in the cement is claimed to use moisture of the dentine resulting in a non-shrinking, bonding material (21). However, Kazem et al. (25) recently tested the sealing ability of a calcium-enriched mixture (CEM) cement as a root-end filling material and also concluded that CEM provides leakage results comparable to others commonly used root-end materials such as WMTA.

iRoot BP Plus is the first to represent a ready-to-use bioceramic cement class. Compared to the traditional MTA, this bioceramic cement represents a real evolution since it is able to overcome limitations on manipulation, handling and insertion (6).

Considering the present results, iRoot BP Plus can be expected as good repair cement material provided it is submitted to a complete scientific scrutiny. Some aspects as its biocompatibility, its osteogenic capacity and its long-term dimensional stability should be tested, as well as its setting reaction in clinical conditions. iRoot BP Plus represents a really innovative material due to its ready-to-use presentation and the similar retrofilling sealing to WMTA, observed in this study.

On the basis of the present laboratory study, it was possible to observe that a ready-to-use bioceramic endodontic repair cement, iRoot BP Plus, had a similar ability to that of white ProRoot MTA in preventing glucose leakage as a root-end filling material.

Resumo

Este estudo foi desenhado para avaliar a capacidade de impedir a infiltração de glicose de um cimento reparador biocerâmico pronto para o uso, em comparação com o agregado trióxido mineral (MTA) branco. Após a instrumentação do canal radicular, os 3 mm apicais de incisivos superiores foram removidos, e retropreparos com 3 mm de profundidade foram realizados com ultra-som. As cavidades retrôgradas foram preenchidas com os materiais testados (15 raízes por grupo). Todas as raízes foram montadas em um sistema de câmera dupla para avaliar a infiltração de glicose utilizando a aplicação de pressão de 15 psi. Depois de 1 h, concentrações de glicose na câmara inferior foram medidas seguindo de uma reação enzimática. Quatro raízes foram usadas como controle. O teste de Mann–Whitney verificou diferenças na infiltração de glicose entre os grupos e o teste de Tukey realizou comparações múltiplas. A significância foi estabelecida em ε= 5%. Não houve diferença significante entre a média e a mediana comparando-se os grupos do iRoot BP Plus e o MTA branco. iRoot BP Plus teve uma capacidade semelhante ao do MTA branco na prevenção da infiltração de glicose como material retroobturador.

References


