Comparison of Two Techniques for Selection of Master Gutta-Percha Cone Using Micro-Computed Tomography
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This study used micro-computed tomography (micro-CT) to evaluate the fit of the master gutta-percha cone at time of cone fit, gutta-percha volume in the filling material, and the filling material volume in relation to the canal at the apical limit of the working length. Root canals of 20 maxillary central incisors were prepared with rotary instruments and distributed into two groups (n=10). The gutta-percha cone tip was either plasticized (apical thermal impression technique - ATI) or not (conventional technique - CT), and its apical fit was checked. The apical 1 mm of working length was examined with a micro-CT, canals were filled with gutta-percha and sealer, and new micro-CT scans were obtained. In CT, gutta-percha filled 35.83 ± 15.05% of the canal at cone selection and 38.72 ± 11.64% after filling. In ATI, these values were 23.14 ± 7.74% and 26.98 ± 20.40%, respectively. Gutta-percha volume in the filling material, and filling material volume in relation to the canal were, respectively, 61.28 ± 11.64% and 87.76 ± 9.98% for CT, and 73.00 ± 20.41% and 89.96 ± 9.08% for ATI. No significant difference was found between cone selection and after canal filling, for either CT (p=0.593) or ATI (p=0.4975). The techniques did not differ significantly with respect to gutta-percha volume in the filling material (p=0.132) and filling material volume in relation to the canal (p=0.612). An ideal fit of the master gutta-percha cone at working length was not achieved regardless of the cone selection technique, and the material-filled area was similar for both techniques.

Introduction

The combination of gutta-percha cones with a sealer is still the most common method for obturation (1,2). During selection of the gutta-percha master cone, retention or tug-back of the cone at the apical canal end does not ensure an ideal apical fit, which occurs only when the cross-section of the cone coincides with the cross-section of the canal. The lack of accuracy in the cone manufacturing process allied to an incorrect choice of cone size might permit a tug-back sensation without an actual fit of the master cone to root canal, which leaves gaps and endangers the apical seal of the filling (3,4).

Although it is not routinely performed in the clinical practice, the gutta-percha cone can be dipped for two or three seconds in heated water or solvent for plasticization, inserted into the apical stop and then removed a few times in order to take an "impression" of the apical portion of the canal. Plasticized gutta-percha can fill the canals more efficiently, especially those with a more complex anatomy (5-7).

The correct cone selection can be confirmed by periapical radiography or computed tomography (8,9). High-resolution micro-computed tomography (micro-CT) can be used for the evaluation of 3D shapes and volumes of root canals (10,11). This method does not require tooth preparation (11,12), producing images with good geometric resolution, relatively short acquisition times, simple operational procedures and fast scanning protocols (13,14).

In this study, micro-CT was used to evaluate the fit of the master gutta-percha cone at time of cone fit, gutta-percha volume in the filling material, and also check the filling material volume of in relation to the canal at the apical limit of the working length. For illustration purposes, the cases in which sealer extrusion occurred beyond the apical limit of the master gutta-percha were recorded.

Material and Methods

Twenty human maxillary central incisors were used in the study. Access to the pulp chamber was done and a size 15 K-file (Dentsply Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland) was introduced into each canal until its tip was visible at the apical foramen. The working length was established by subtracting 1 mm from this length. The coronal third of the canals was preflared with Gates Glidden drills (Dentsply Maillefer) and then the canals were prepared with K2® rotary instruments (SybronEndo, Glendora, CA, USA) in the following sequence: 20/.02, 25/.02, 30/.02, 30/.04, 35/.02, 40/.02, 45/.02, 50/.02. The apical stop was prepared with
the last instrument. The canals were irrigated with 2 mL of 1.0% NaOCl at each change of file. The canals were flooded with 17% EDTA for 5 min followed by flushing with distilled water and drying.

The roots were randomly distributed into two groups (n=10), according to the technique used for selection of the master gutta-percha cone. In both groups, a gutta-percha cone of the same size as the master apical file (50/.02) was chosen after confirming its diameter with a calibrating ruler. The tip of the cone was either softened with a heated #24 spatula (apical impression technique) or not (conventional technique), and the gutta-percha cone was tried into the canal to check apical fit according to three tests: visual - the cone tip reached the full working length; tactile - tug-back was felt when the cone tip was at the apical length indicating its tight fit in the apical end of the working length; and radiographic - the cone tip reached the radiographic working length. In both techniques, marks were made on the cone and tooth crown to ensure that the cone could always be placed in the same position.

The specimens were subjected to an initial tomographic analysis using a micro-CT scanner (SkyScan 1174v2; SkyScan NV, Kontich, Belgium) set at an isotropic resolution of 22.6 µm, max 50 kV, max 800 mA, 40 W maximum power, 30 µm spatial resolution, and projections from 360-degree acquisition rotation. The volume of gutta-percha and the volume of the root canal were estimated in the apical 1 mm of the working length, which correspond to the first 35 µCT slices (35 slices x 30 µm = 1050 µm ± 1 mm) and was considered as the region of interest for analysis. The coincidence/discrepancy between the gutta-percha cone volume and the canal volume was considered as fit/non-fit of the master gutta-percha cone at the apical canal, expressed as the percentage of voids relative to the canal volume, and was obtained using the following formula: (canal volume–gutta-percha volume ÷ canal volume) X 100.

After the initial micro-CT imaging, the root canals were flooded with 17% EDTA for 5 min followed by flushing with 1.0% NaOCl at each change of file. The canals were taken to the canal with a #20 finger spreader up to 3 mm short of the working length and then the master gutta-percha cone was coated with sealer and positioned in the canal according to marks made on the cone and tooth crown. Accessory cones coated with sealer were inserted. Quality and apical extension of the filling was assessed radiographically and the teeth were stored at 37 °C for 72 h.

A new micro-CT imaging was performed to determine the volume of gutta-percha in the filling material and the volume of filling material in relation to the canal volume in the region of interest (apical 1 mm of the working length), using the following formulas, respectively: (gutta-percha volume ÷ canal volume) X 100 and (filling material volume ÷ canal volume) X 100. For illustration purposes, the cases in which there was sealer extrusion beyond the apical limit of the master gutta-percha cone were recorded.

Data were analyzed statistically by the paired t-test at 5% significance level, using the software SPSS version 19 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

### Results

No significant difference was found between the values obtained at the moment of cone selection and after root canal filling for either the conventional technique (p=0.593) or the apical impression technique (p=0.4975) (Table 1).

There was no significant difference between the values of the conventional and apical thermal impression techniques with respect to gutta-percha volume in the filling material (p= 0.132) and filling material volume in relation to the canal volume (p=0.612) (Table 2).

The micro-CT imaging revealed extravasation of sealer in 90% of the cases, regardless of the master gutta-percha cone selection technique. Figure 1 is a micro-CT scan illustrating sealer extrusion beyond the apical limit of the master gutta-percha cone in one specimen. Figure 2 shows the partial three-dimensional reconstruction of root-filled teeth in which the master gutta-percha cone was selected by the conventional and by the apical thermal impression technique. Part of the mineralized dental structure,

### Table 1. Mean values (SD) of the difference between the gutta-percha volume and the canal volume at apical 1 mm of the working length at time of cone fit and after root canal filling for both cone selection techniques

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cone selection</th>
<th>Conventional technique</th>
<th>Apical thermal impression</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>At time of cone fit</td>
<td>35.83 ± 15.05A</td>
<td>23.14 ± 7.74A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After root canal filling</td>
<td>38.72 ± 11.64B</td>
<td>26.98 ± 20.40B</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Different letters indicate statistically significant difference (p<0.05).

### Table 2. Mean values (SD) of gutta-percha volume in the filling material and volume of filling material in relation to the canal at the apical 1 mm of the working length for the conventional and apical thermal impression techniques

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cone selection</th>
<th>Conventional technique</th>
<th>Apical thermal impression</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gutta-percha volume</td>
<td>61.28 ± 11.64A</td>
<td>73.00 ± 20.41A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filling material volume</td>
<td>87.76 ± 9.98B</td>
<td>89.96 ± 9.08B</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Different letters indicate statistically significant difference (p<0.05).
Techniques of cone selection and micro-CT

Discussion

The selection of the master gutta-percha cone and sealer are very important factors in obtaining a tight seal of root canal filling (3,4). In the present study, a ‘thermal impression’ of the apical region was taken, considering that thermoplasticized gutta-percha has been shown to reach areas with more complex anatomy (7), provide a better filling of the canal space and increase its volume in filling material (5,6). However, none of these benefits were observed. For both the conventional and the ATI techniques, the gutta-percha volume was lower than the filling material volume in the apical 1 mm of the working length, which demonstrates that the cone did not fit perfectly to the canal in this region. Neither thermal impression increased the volume of the filling material in relation to the canal volume. Somma et al. (11) evaluated the quality of root fillings completed by thermoplasticized and cold gutta-percha technique by micro-CT and found that all techniques produced similar results and none of them increased gutta-percha volume in the filling material.

As the apical thermal impression technique was not able to increase gutta-percha volume at a critical region - the final millimeter of the working length - either at the moment of selection of the master gutta-percha cone or after canal filling, it can be inferred from our results and previous research (1,5), that there seems to be no actual improvement in the final quality of root filling to justify its routine use in the clinical practice.

This understanding is reinforced by the fact that sealer extrusion beyond the apical limit of the working length was similar (90%) for both gutta-percha cone selection techniques. It may be assumed that sealer extrusion could have been facilitated by the lack of apical fit of the gutta-percha cone tip and by the filling technique, in which the sealer was taken to the canal and then the gutta-percha cone was introduced, exerting pressure towards the apex.

Figure 1. Composite figure of micro-CT scans showing sealer extrusion beyond the apical limit of the master gutta-percha cone.

Figure 2. Three-dimensional image reconstruction of part of the structure of root-filled teeth in which the master gutta-percha cone was selected using the conventional technique (CT) or the apical thermal impression technique (ATI). The colors blue, pink, and yellow represent, respectively, the mineralized dental structure, the gutta-percha and sealer, along the canal (A) and at the apical 1 mm of the working length (B).
and pushing the sealer into extrusion.

The ‘tug-back’ sensation of the master gutta-percha cone at the working length (tactile test) seems more likely to be due to the fact that the cone gets stuck to the root canal walls, giving a false sensation of perfect fit at the working length and tight seal of the root canal.

The results of the present study demonstrate that the apical 1 mm of the working length was not completely filled, which can be explained by the complex anatomy of this region that leaves under-instrumented areas (15), voids in the canal if the filling material is not condensed properly (16) and deficient adaptation of the filling material leading to formation of interfacial gaps (17,18). Hypothetically, other factors that might be related are the physicochemical properties of sealers, like flow and viscosity, which could allow the filling of voids (19), and the C-factor, which leads to polymerization shrinkage of resin sealers with consequent formation of voids (20).

Under the tested conditions, neither of the techniques used for selection of the master gutta-percha cone was able to provide a perfect fit of the cone at the apical millimeter of the working length. No evident difference was found between the conventional and the apical thermal impression techniques with respect to gutta-percha volume in the filling material.
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