The aim of this study was to evaluate the bond strength to the dentin of an adhesive material used for root reinforcement light activated with different sources. Roots were divided into 4 groups (n=15) according to the light source used to activate the resin reinforcement: GI, non-weakened roots (control); GII, halogen light (H) 600 mW/cm²; GIII, LED 800 mW/cm² and GIV, LED 1500 mW/cm². The reinforcement was done with adhesive, composite resin and fiberglass posts. After 24 h, the specimens were sectioned and the first slice of each post region was used in the push out test in a universal testing machine with a crosshead speed of 0.5 mm/min. Failure modes of the debonded specimens were examined. Data (MPa) were analyzed by ANOVA and Holm-Sidak test (α=0.05). The second slice from each region was analyzed by scanning electron microscopy (SEM). LED-1500 (4.69 ± 1.74) provided bond strength similar to the control group (5.05 ± 2.63) and statistically different from H-600 (1.96 ± 0.94) and LED-800 (2.75 ± 1.90), which were similar to each other (p<0.05). Cervical (4.16 ± 2.32) and middle (4.43 ± 2.32) regions showed higher bond strength than the apical (2.25 ± 1.50) (p<0.05). There was a prevalence of adhesive failures in H-600 and LED-800 and cohesive failures in LED-1500. SEM showed the formation of long, numerous and fine tags. It was concluded that LED-1500 provided higher bond strength of resin reinforcement to the dentin.
product. Subsequently, the teeth were radiographically examined to verify absence of calcification or resorptions in the canals and inspected with a stereomicroscope at x4 magnification (Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany) to exclude those with fractures or fissures.

Teeth were cut transversally at the cementoenamel junction to separate crowns and roots using a diamond disc (Brasseler Dental Products, Savannah, GA, USA) at low speed with air/water spray coolant (Dabi Atlante Ltda, Ribeirão Preto, SP, Brazil) to obtain 17-mm-long roots.

The working length was determined visually by subtracting 1 mm from the length of a size 15 K-file (Dentsply Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland) at the apical foramen. The canals were instrumented with the Profile rotary system (Dentsply Maillefer, Tulsa, OK, USA) according to a crown-down technique using 2 mL of 1% NaOCl between each file size instrumentation. All canals were enlarged to a size 40.06 taper to the working length. After preparation, the canals were irrigated with 5 mL of 17% EDTA (pH=7.7) for 5 min followed by a final 1-min 2-mL rinse with deionized water. The canals were dried with paper points.

The roots in the control group (n=15) were not weakened. In the experimental groups, the roots were weakened by reducing the thickness of the dentin canal walls using high-speed diamond burs #4137 (Vortex Ind. e Com., São Paulo, SP, Brazil) and KG 717 (KG Sorensen, São Paulo, SP, Brazil) with air/water spray coolant up to 12 mm from the root canal foramen.

Fiber posts (White Post DC #2; FGM, Joinville, SC, Brazil) were individually tested in the root canal to ensure the presence of a 1.0 mm space between the post and intraradicular dentin surface. Roots were irrigated with 10 mL deionized water and dried with absorbent paper points. Intracanal dentin was etched with 35% phosphoric acid for 15 s, rinsed with deionized water for 30 s, and dried with absorbent paper points.

A three-step etch-and-rinse adhesive system (Adper Scotchbond Multipurpose; 3M/ESPE) was applied to the slightly moist dentin with disposable microbrush tips (3M/ESPE). A coat of primer followed by prebond resin were applied and gently dried with absorbent points. Light curing was performed by placing the light tip perpendicular through the post for 20 s with the light-curing unit chosen for each experimental group.

The composite resin (Z250; 3M/ESPE) was filled into the dowel space. After receiving a thin coat of petroleum jelly on its surface, the post was centrally inserted into the resin mass along the entire post space extension. The post was seated to full depth in the prepared space with a slight finger pressure while excess of the composite resin was removed with a small brush and then light-activated with the allocated light-curing unit for 40 s by placing the light tip on the remaining coronal post. Power density of the light-curing units was checked using a radiometer (Ecel) prior to activating each specimen. After that, the fiber-reinforced posts were sectioned horizontally with a water-cooled diamond disc (KG Sorensen) 4 mm above the coronal border of the root.

The fiber posts were removed from the root canal and a thin layer of silane coupling agent (3M ESPE) was applied on the post surfaces with a brush, gently dried with air and light-cured for 10 s. The dowel space was cleaned with alcohol and air-dried as recommended by the resin cement manufacturer. Equal amounts of base and catalyst pastes of RelyX U100 (3M ESPE) were mixed for 20 s. The composite resin (Z250; 3M/ESPE) was filled into the dowel space. After receiving a thin coat of petroleum jelly on its surface, the post was centrally inserted into the resin mass along the entire post space extension. The post was seated to full depth in the prepared space with a slight finger pressure while excess of the composite resin was removed with a small brush and then light-activated with the allocated light-curing unit for 40 s by placing the light tip on the remaining coronal post. Power density of the light-curing units was checked using a radiometer (Ecel) prior to activating each specimen. After that, the fiber-reinforced posts were sectioned horizontally with a water-cooled diamond disc (KG Sorensen) 4 mm above the coronal border of the root.

The fiber posts were removed from the root canal and a thin layer of silane coupling agent (3M ESPE) was applied on the post surfaces with a brush, gently dried with air and light-cured for 10 s. The dowel space was cleaned with alcohol and air-dried as recommended by the resin cement manufacturer. Equal amounts of base and catalyst pastes of RelyX U100 (3M ESPE) were mixed for 20 s. The silanized fiber-reinforced post was coated with the resin cement and seated into the post space with slight finger pressure while light-curing for 40 s using the light source of each group.

In non-weakened roots, the canals were washed with deionized water and dried with absorbent paper. The fiber posts were luted as described above sequence. The roots were stored in a dark container at 37 °C for 24 h.

Next, the root portions corresponding to the bonded fiber posts were sectioned perpendicularly to the axis of the post into two 1-mm-thick serial slices from each post-root
region - coronal, middle and apical -, using a low-speed saw (Isomet 1000; Buehler, Lake Forest, IL, USA) with water coolant. The first section from each post-root region was selected for the push out test, which was performed in a universal testing machine (Instron 4444; Instron, Canton, MA, USA) operating at a cross-head speed of 0.5 mm/min using a 0.6-mm diameter cylindrical stainless steel plunger until bond failure. A stainless steel support was used to hold the specimens in a way that the side with the smaller diameter of the root canal faced upward and was aligned to the shaft that would exert pressure on the cement in the apex-crown direction until dislodgement occurred.

The force needed to dislodge the set of post-adhesive cement-composite resin (in kN) was transformed into tension (r; in MPa) by dividing the force by the adhesive area of the resin (SL; in mm²), using the following equation: 
\[ r = \frac{F}{SL} \]
where SL = resin adhesion area; \( \pi = 3.14 \); R = mean radius of the coronal canal, in mm; r = mean radius of the apical canal, in mm; g = height of the tapered inverted cone, in mm.

After the push out test, the slices were examined with a stereomicroscope (Leica Microsystem) at \( \times 25 \) magnification to determine the failure modes that occurred due to displacement of the post-luting-composite resin from the specimen. Failures were classified as follows: adhesive between post and resin cement, adhesive between dentin and composite resin, mixed of the types above, cohesive within dentin, and cohesive within resin.

**Statistical analysis**

Parametric statistical analysis was performed by two-way ANOVA and Holm-Sidak test at 5% significance level, considering light-curing unit and root-post region as independent variables, using SPSS software (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences; SPSS Inc., IL, USA).

**SEM analysis**

The second slice obtained from each root-post region was prepared for SEM analysis of the resin material/root dentin interface. The sliced surfaces were polished with wet silicon carbide paper of decreasing abrasiveness (up to 1,200 grit) and were sequentially dehydrated in ascending grades of ethanol (25%, 50%, 75%, and 95% for 20 min each, and 100% for 60 min). After that, the samples were demineralized in HCl 6 mol/L for 2 min and deproteinized in 2.5% NaOCl for 10 min. Then samples were dried, mounted on aluminum stubs, placed in a vacuum chamber, and sputter-coated with a gold layer of 300 Å (Bal-Tec SCD 005, Bal-Tec, Liechtenstein) and examined with a scanning electron microscope (JEOL, JSM 5410, Tokyo, Japan) operating at 15 kV. SEM micrographs of the representative areas were obtained at \( \times 500 \) magnification.

**Results**

Table 1 summarizes the means and standard deviations of push out bond strength (in MPa) for the displacement of reinforcement material from the root dentin, light-activated with QTH, LED-800 and LED-1500, in the cervical, middle and apical root-post regions. Table 2 presents the distribution of failure modes in the root thirds after the push out test.

Two-way ANOVA showed significant difference for light-curing units (p<0.001), canal/post regions (p<0.001) and interaction between the factors (p=0.006).
Holm-Sidak test evidenced that the non-weakened group presented the highest bond strength values (5.05 ± 2.63), similar to LED-1500 (4.69 ± 1.74) (p>0.05). QTH-600 (1.96 ± 0.94) and LED-800 (2.73 ± 1.90) had the lowest values of bond strength and did not differ from each other (p>0.05).

The cervical (4.16 ± 2.32) and middle (4.43 ± 2.32) root/post regions were presented statistically similar bond strength (p>0.05) to each other and both presented significantly higher (p<0.05) bond strength than the apical region (2.25 ± 1.50).

In all root/post regions, the non-weakened and LED-1500 groups presented greater bond strength than QTH and LED-800. In the non-weakened, LED-800 and LED-1500 groups, the apical region showed higher bond strength values than the cervical and middle areas. There was no difference among root/post regions for specimens light activated with QTH (Table 1).

The analysis of failure modes revealed that in the non-weakened group the most frequent type of failure was adhesive between post and resin cement, regardless of the root/post region. In the QTH-600 and LED-800 groups, adhesive between dentin and composite resin were predominantly found in the middle and apical root/post regions.

![Figure 1. Scanning electron micrographs of the surface of the non-weakened specimens (A, B, C) and specimens reinforced with composite resin light activated with QTH-600 (D, E, F). In both, resin tags were observed in the interface (500x magnification).](image-url)
post regions, whilst in the cervical area most failures were cohesive within dentin. In the group light-activated with LED-1500, the most frequent type of failure was cohesive within dentin in the cervical and middle regions, and adhesive between dentin and composite dentin in the apical root/post region (Table 2).

The SEM analysis of the resin material/root dentin interface exhibited long and numerous resin tags, distributed in a non-homogenous manner in the tubules. This irregular distribution of tags was observed on the entire interface and was constant in different regions of the dowel space (Figs. 1 and 2). In the non-weakened group, in which specimens were not reinforced with composite resin, resin tags were formed from the penetration of resin cement in the root dentin. In other groups, the resin tags derived from the restorative system (adhesive system and composite resin).

**Discussion**

Endodontically treated and weakened teeth have been restored with resin materials and intraradicular posts, reestablishing form and thickness of dentin walls and reinforcing the root structure (1). The loss of adhesion in the dentin/resin material interface is the most common
failure cause of this procedure (10).

The relationship among light intensity, composite polymerization level, polymer quality and bonding between resin reinforcement and dentin has been discussed in the literature (15). Previous studies have shown that the power density of the light-curing unit can affect the conversion degree of a composite (8, 9). The present investigation assessed the bond strength to root dentin of composite resin reinforcement light activated with different light-curing units.

Considering that the distance between the curing light and the resin material can influence the power density (12–14), this parameter was standardized during light-activation of the adhesive system, composite resin and adhesive cement by placing the tip end of the light output over the cervical root region or the remaining crown of the post, respectively.

The results of this study evidenced bond strength values similar between non-weakened and non-restored specimens and those weakened and restored with light-activated resin by LED-1500. Additionally, both presented higher bond strength to the roots light-activated with QTH-600 and LED-800. A possible explanation is that the spectral emission of high-power LED is equal to the camphorquinone absorption peak, which is the major photo-initiator in most resin materials (15, 16), improving the composite resin polymerization. Additionally, in the third-generation LED lights, such as LED-1500 selected for this study, there is an association with one or more low power density chips that emit light wavelengths able to activate photo-initiators other than camphorquinone (15).

For composite resins, the intensity of light emitted by light-curing units affects the degree of conversion of monomers (5), the complete material cure and, consequently, their mechanical properties (17). In quartz tungsten halogen lamps part of the energy is used to convert monomers into polymers during light-activation (21), and the rest is lost as heat, which can affect the dental structure and adjacent soft tissues of the oral cavity (21). This may be associated with the low bond strength values of specimens light-activated with QTH.

Regarding the root/post regions, the greater bond strength in cervical and middle regions than in apical part may be partially explained by the differences in density and distribution of dentinal tubules along the canal walls, which decrease from the cervical to apical area (22, 23). Additionally, the difficulty of curing lights to reach the most apical areas of roots canals (6, 24) can impair the material polymerization, causing failure in the composite resin-dentin adhesive bond (25).

Although translucent fiber-reinforced posts were used to transmit light in attempt to enhance the cure in the deeper area, this was not enough to increase the bond strength in the apical root/post region. The reduced bond in the apical area can also be ascribed to the difficulty of inserting the adhesive material and sealer in a narrow apical region with very high C-factor. In root canals, it contributes to increase the polymerization stress of resin-based materials along the root canal walls, particularly in the light-cured resin cements, affecting the retention of fiber post (21).

The findings of this study may be corroborated by the analysis of failure modes, which showed prevalence of adhesive failures in QTH-600 and LED-800 groups in the middle and apical root/post regions, and cohesive in dentin in the cervical part. On the other hand, in the LED-1500 group, most failures were cohesive in dentin in the cervical and middle regions and adhesive in the apical root/post region.

High-power LED curing devices include a plurality of micro diodes that enable a high degree of conversion of monomers into polymers increasing the polymerization rate of resin material in deeper regions (20). However, a high contraction stress in the initial stages of polymerization may cause formation of gaps at the dentin interface (22). This disadvantage may be counterbalanced by the soft-start polymerization technique, which employs an initially low irradiance followed by a final cure with high irradiance. Further studies should be conducted to assess different high-power LED units, especially for root canals.

In conclusion, LED-1500 provided greater bond strength of resin material to the dentin than LED-800 and QTH-600. In addition, the bond strength of the resin reinforcement to the dentin was superior in the cervical and middle areas than in the apical region.

Resumo

O objetivo deste estudo foi avaliar a resistência à união à dentina de uma resina utilizada para reforço de raiz, ativada com diferentes fontes de luz. De acordo com a fonte de luz utilizada as raízes foram divididas em 4 grupos (n = 15): G1, raízes não fragilizadas (controle); GII, luz halógena (H) 600 mW/cm²; GIII, LED 800 mW/cm² e GIV, LED 1500 mW/cm². O reforço foi feito com adesivo, resina composta e pino de fibra de vidro. Após 24 h, os espécimes foram secionados e o primeiro slice de cada região utilizado para o teste de união push out, na máquina de ensaios universais com 0,5 mm/min, e o tipo da falha avaliada. Os dados obtidos (MPa) foram analisados utilizando os testes de ANOVA e Holm-Sidak (α=0,05). O segundo slice de cada região foi analisado por microscopia eletrônica de varredura (MEV). O LED-1500 (4,69 ± 1,74) proporcionou resistência à união similar ao controle (5,05 ± 2,63) e estatisticamente diferente do H-600 (1,96 ± 0,94) e LED-800 (2,75 ± 1,90), que são semelhantes entre si (p>0,05). As regiões cervical (4,16 ± 2,32) e média (4,43 ± 2,32) apresentaram alta resistência à união quando comparadas à região apical (2,25 ± 1,50) (p<0,05). Houve uma predominância de falhas adesivas com as fontes de luzes H-600 e LED-800 e coesivas com o LED-1500. A análise em MEV demonstrou a formação de longos tags resinosos. Desta forma, pode-se concluir que o LED-1500 proporcionou maior resistência à resina utilizada para o reforço da dentina radicular.
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