The aim of this study was to evaluate the influence of simplified adhesive systems (etch-and-rinse and self-etching) and 1.23% acidulated phosphate fluoride (APF) on the microshear bond strength (μ-SBS) of composite resins on primary molars and incisors. Forty primary molars and forty incisors vestibular enamel was treated with either the self-etching Clearfil SE Bond (CSE, Kuraray) or etch-and-rinse Adper Single Bond 2 (SB2, 3M/ESPE) adhesive system. Each group was subdivided based on the prior treatment of the enamel with or without the topical application of 1.23% APF. Thereafter, matrices were positioned and filled with composite resin and light cured. After storage in distilled water at 37±1°C for 24 h, the specimens were submitted to μ-SBS in a universal testing machine. Kruskal-Wallis and Mann-Whitney tests (p<0.05) showed that the prior application of 1.23% APF led to a significant reduction in bond strength. The type of adhesive exerted no significant influence on bond strength. In the inter-group analysis, however, significantly bond strength reduction was found for the incisors when CSE was employed with APF. Adhesive failure was the most common type of fracture. The bond strength was affected by the prior application of 1.23% APF and type of tooth.

Introduction

Restorations with a composite resin are widely performed in pediatric dentistry. It is therefore important to evaluate the effectiveness of novel materials and techniques on primary teeth to select products with the best longevity, thereby avoiding the repetition of restorative procedures.

The adhesion of restoration materials to teeth is closely related to the type of bonding system employed (1). A self-etching system does not require the prior application of phosphoric acid. The primer and adhesive may be in separate bottles or together in a single bottle. Studies indicate that similar bond strength on both the dentin and enamel is achieved with a self-etching system or a conventional adhesive system that involves previous etching (2). Thus, the use of a simplified adhesive system is preferable in pediatric dentistry due to the lower number of steps involved, which reduces the time the patient spends in the chair.

Enamel on primary teeth has a non-prismatic surface layer (3), which seems to be more resistant to dissolution by acid than the prismatic layer (4) and hinders the adhesion of resin materials. The use of fluoride for the prevention of caries is another important factor to consider. Considering the different types of fluoride, the ADA clinical guideline recommended for individuals at risk of dental caries and aged from 5 years old, 2.26% fluoride varnish or 1.23% acidulated phosphate fluoride (APF) gel. As the frequency of topical applications of fluoride in high concentration, it should be based on risk indicators and degree of caries activity present in the patient (5). Acidulated phosphate fluoride (APF) at a concentration of 1.23% is commonly employed for the effective treatment of carious lesions in the early stage (6). The pH of 1.23% APF is 3.2 to 3.5 and the low concentration of hydrogen dissolves the enamel surface to form calcium fluoride (CaF$_2$) (7). APF application in pediatric dentistry should be performed taking into account other treatments at the same clinic session. The application of fluoride solutions prior to restorative treatment can affect the adhesive strength of the material. In a study involving bovine enamel, the prior application of a fluoride varnish and 1.23% APF led to a reduction in the bond strength of resin materials (8). APF is also reported to diminish surface roughness on the enamel of both primary and permanent teeth (9,10), which can affect the adhesion of composite resins.

The aim of the present in vitro study was to evaluate the influence of simplified adhesive systems (etch-and-rinse and self-etching) and 1.23% APF on the bond strength of composite resins on primary molars and incisors. The following hypotheses were tested: 1) no significant difference is found between the adhesive systems employed; 2) fluoride does not affect bond strength and 3) the type of tooth (molar or incisor) exerts no influence on the quality of the bond.
Material and Methods

Tooth Selection and Preparation

This study received approval from the Human Research Ethics Committee of Ceuma University, Brazil (process #00680/10). Calculation of sample size to compare mean adhesive strength was previously performed. It was considered a 95% confidence level, a 6.4 standard deviation (11) with a minimum difference to be detected between the groups of 6 MPa. A minimum of 9 samples was determined for each group. Considering possible losses during preparation of samples, 10 specimens per group was used.

Forty exfoliated primary molars and 40 exfoliated primary incisors were obtained from patients under treatment at the University's Pediatric Dentistry and Orthodontics Clinic. The teeth were embedded in chemically activated acrylic resin (Jet, Clássico, São Paulo, SP, Brazil) with the vestibular face exposed. To maintain the non-prismatic layer of the enamel intact, the teeth were not submitted to sanding. Prophylaxis was performed with the aid of a Robson brush and non-fluoridated extra-fine pumice stone paste for 10 s. Table 1 displays the bonding systems employed, composition of the products and application method.

Each group of teeth (molars and incisors) was randomly divided into two groups: self-etching Clearfil SE Bond (CSE; Kuraray Medical Inc., Okayama, Sakazu, Japan) and etch-and-rinse Adper Single Bond 2 (SB2; 3M/ESPE, St. Paul, MN, USA), which were randomly subdivided into an experimental group (1-min application of topical 1.23% APF (DFL, Jacarepaguá, RJ, Brazil) and control group (no pretreatment of enamel).

Table 1. Materials, composition and application method

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bonding system</th>
<th>Composition</th>
<th>Application method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Clearfil SE Bond (CSE)| Primer: MDP, HEMA, camphorquinone hydrophilic dimethacrylate, N,N-diethanol p-toluidine, water. Bonder: MDP, Bis-GMA, HEMA, camphorquinone hydrophilic dimethacrylate, N.N-diethanol p-toluidine, silanized colloidal silica. | - Cleaning of tooth  
- Drying of tooth; active application of primer for 20 s; quick drying with compressed air (2 s)  
- Application of bond, quick drying (2 s), followed by light activation for 20 s |
| Single Bond 2 (SB2)  | Dimethacrylates, Bis-GMA, HEMA, copolymer of polyacrylic and polyitaconic acids, photoinitiator, ethanol, water, 5-nm charged particles. | - Cleaning of tooth  
- Application of phosphoric acid (30 s)  
- Abundant rinsing for 30 s/drying for 10 s  
- Active application for 20 s and application of 2 layers  
- Rapid drying to evaporate solvent  
- Light activation for 20 s |

Bonding Procedures

Three cylindrical matrices (Tygon tubing, TYG-030, Saint-Gobain Performance Plastic, Maime Lakes, FL, USA) were positioned on the vestibular surface of each tooth and filled with a composite resin (Filtek Z250; 3M/ESPE) with the aid of a calcium hydroxide applicator (SSWhite/ Duflex, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil). All light curing procedures were performed using the curing light device (Optilux 501; Demetron/Kerr, Danbury, CT, USA) with light intensity of 600 mW/cm². The bonding systems were photopolymerized as reported in Table 1, and 40 s was used for composite resins.

After storage in distilled water at 37±1 °C for 24 h, the matrices were removed to expose the cylinders of composite resin adhered to the enamel surface.

Microshear Bond Strength Testing

For the microshear test, a stainless steel wire (Morelli, São Paulo, SP, Brazil) with a diameter of 0.2 mm was positioned around each cylinder of composite resin and aligned with the adhesive-tooth interface. The specimens were placed in a universal testing machine (EMIC; DL 2000, São José dos Pinhais, PR, Brazil) operating at 0.5 mm/min until the occurrence of fracture. The mean value of the three composite resin fractures was used for the analysis.

Fracture Analysis

The surface of the specimens was then examined under a stereomicroscope (Kozo Optical and Electronical Instrumental, Nanjing, Jiangsu, China) at 10x magnification for the determination of the type of fracture at the

Table 2. Type of fractures following microshear test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Type of fracture</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Adhesive fracture (composite resin/adhesive/enamel interface)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Cohesive fracture in enamel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Cohesive fracture in composite resin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Mixed fracture (composite resin/adhesive/enamel)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Type of fractures following microshear test
adhesive-tooth interface. Table 2 displays the scoring system used for the different types of fractures.

Statistical Analysis
The data were submitted to Levene’s test for the analysis of equality of variance and the Shapiro-Wilk test for the determination of normal or non-normal distribution. As the data demonstrated non-normal distribution, the Kruskal-Wallis and Mann-Whitney tests with the Bonferroni correction (p<0.008) were used for the dependent variables “bond strength (in MPa)” and “type of fracture.” Spearman’s correlation coefficients were calculated to determine correlations between bond strength and type of fracture. The PASW Statistics (v.17; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was used for the statistical analysis.

Results
Microshear Bond Strength
Table 3 displays the bond strength in the different groups. In the group of molars, statistically significant differences were found between CSE-F and CSE-C as well as SB2-F and SB2-C (p=0.000 and p=0.011, respectively). In the group of incisors, statistically significant differences were also found between CSE-F and CSE-C as well as SB2-F and SB2-C (p=0.000 and p=0.000, respectively). In the inter-group analysis, significantly less bond strength was found for the incisors in comparison to the molars when CSE was employed without fluoride (p=0.007).

Fracture Analysis
Table 4 displays the absolute and relative frequencies of the type of fractures in the molar and incisor groups, the most frequent of which was adhesive fracture.

A weak negative correlation was found between bond strength (MPa) and type of fracture (r=-0.12; p=0.31).

Discussion
The study of techniques and materials that make procedures simpler and more efficacious is of considerable importance in pediatric dentistry. In the present investigation, no significant difference in bond strength was found between the two adhesive systems analyzed. However, the prior application of 1.23% APF influenced bond strength on primary molars and incisors using both the self-etching and conventional adhesive systems. Moreover, lower bond strength was found on the incisors in comparison to the molars with the self-etching system was employed. Thus, the findings demonstrate that the null hypothesis was rejected with regard to both APF and type of tooth.

The microshear test, which was used in the present investigation, is considered adequate for the measure of the strength of adhesive restorations (12), as “macro” tests have a greater frequency of cohesive enamel fractures and lower frequency of adhesive failures (13). Studies involving microshear and microtensile tests indicate that the former yields more adequate results when evaluating the bond strength.
achieved in different adhesive systems (14), with a greater number of adhesive failures (16).

The simplified etch-and-rinse SB2 adhesive system contains charged nanoparticles, which make the adhesive layer stronger and more durable. However, the filler does not exert an influence on degree of conversion or water sorption pattern (17). The presence of chains of polyalkenoic acid is one of the factors that may contribute to the satisfactory bond strength, as such chains spread through the enamel, dislocating calcium and phosphate ions of the apatite crystals (16). The self-etching CSE system has 10-MDP molecules that unit to form a stable bond with the Ca-MDP salt. This system involves the formation of a nano-layer of adhesive, making the adhesive interface more resistant to biodegradation, which favors the clinical longevity of the bond produced by 10-MDP-based adhesives (17).

The prior application of 1.23% APF resulted in a statistically significant reduction in bond strength independently of the adhesive system, which is in agreement with data described in the literature (18). Among the different types of high concentration fluoride compounds, it is observed in the literature (8), that the 1.23% APF gel and 5% sodium fluoride varnish promote reduction in the bond strength values when compared to untreated and teeth treated with 2% neutral fluoride gel. Previous studies suggest that maximum adhesion of composite resins to dental enamel is achieved when acid etching is performed two weeks after the application of APF (9,10). Based on the mechanism of action of fluoride, a high concentration of fluoridated compounds form a greater amount of CaF₂, which is more stable in the oral environment than generally assumed (19) and may interfere with bonding longevity.

To the best of our knowledge, no previous studies have compared primary molars and incisors with regard to the bond strength of restorations achieved with etch-and-rinse and self-etching systems. Thus, an analysis was performed in the present investigation to determine the influence of the type of tooth on bond strength. Studies on the eruption chronology of primary and permanent teeth allow the establishment of the mean duration of primary teeth in the oral cavity, which is 8.5 years for molars and 6.8 years for incisors (20,21). This factor may explain the lower bond strength found on the incisors in comparison to the molars when the self-etching system was employed. It is suggested that the non-prismatic layer of the enamel may be more thicker when the primary tooth has been in the oral cavity for a shorter length of time, which could exert a negative impact on the bond, since this layer is more resistant to dissolution by acids (22), which could offer inappropriate conditions for resin infiltration and tag formation (23). However, further studies are needed to either confirm or refute this hypothesis.

Analyzing the adhesive interface, a large number of the failures occurred between the enamel and resin, which is in agreement with data reported in the literature (24). According to Braga et al. (13) and El Zohairy et al. (14), a larger number of failures in microtensile are adhesive fractures, which ensures more accurate results. In the present study no association between failure mode and the values of shear bond strength was observed, corroborating to Ramires-Romito et al. (25).

Accordingly, no significant differences were found between the etch-and-rinse and self-etching adhesive systems, whereas bond strength was influenced by the prior application of 1.23% APF and the type of tooth on which the restorations were performed. This study found that the type of teeth can influence the adhesion of resinous materials. Prior topical application of fluoride in enamel reduces the adhesion of resin materials to this substrate.

**Resumo**

O objetivo deste estudo foi avaliar a influência dos sistemas adesivos simplificados (condicionamento ácido total e auto-condicionante) e fluorosfato acidulado a 1,23% (FFA) na resistência de união ao microcisalhamento (μ-RUC) de resinas compostas em molares e incisivos deciduos. O esmalte vestibular de quarenta molares e quarenta incisivos deciduos foi tratado com Clearfil SE Bond (CSE, Kuraray) ou Adper Single Bond 2 (SB2, 3M/ESPE). Cada grupo foi subdividido com base no tratamento prévio do esmalte com ou sem aplicação tópica de FFA a 1,23%. Em seguida, matrizes foram posicionadas e preenchidas com resina composta e posterior fotopolimerização. Depois da armazenagem em água destilada a 37±1 °C por 24 h, os espécimes foram submetidos ao μ-RUC em uma máquina de ensaio universal. Teste Kruskal-Wallis e Mann-Whitney (p<0.05) mostraram que a aplicação prévia de FFA a 1,23% levou a uma redução significativa na resistência de união. O tipo de adesivo não exerceu influência significativa na resistência de união. Na análise intergrupos, entretanto, redução significativa na resistência de união foi encontrada para os incisivos quando CSE foi empregado sem FFA. Falha adesiva foi o tipo de fratura mais comum. A resistência de união foi afetada pela aplicação de FFA a 1,23% e tipo de dente.
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