Evaluation of Different Passive Ultrasonic Irrigation Protocols on the Removal of Dentinal Debris from Artificial Grooves
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The aim of this study was to evaluate the influence of different passive ultrasonic irrigation protocols on the removal of debris in artificially created grooves in the cervical, middle and apical root thirds. Forty extracted bovine incisor roots were instrumented to 1 mm of the root apex with a R50 Reciproc instrument and irrigated with 2.5% sodium hypochlorite. The roots were then inserted in a muffle and cleaved into two hemisections. Grooves (3-mm long) were done at 2, 7 and 12 mm from the root apex in one hemisection and filled with dentinal debris. The hemisections were regrouped into the muffle and divided into 4 groups (n=10) according to the final irrigation protocol: Control group: 3x20 s using a #30 gauge needle without agitation of the irrigating solution; Group PUI-s (static passive ultrasonic irrigation): 3x20 s of passive ultrasonic irrigation with the tip of the insert maintained static on the apical third; Group PUI-t (passive ultrasonic irrigation per third): 20 s of PUI in each third; Group PUI-d (passive dynamic ultrasonic irrigation): 3x20 s of PUI dynamically moving the insert in the whole extent of the root canal. In all groups, was used a total of 6 mL of 2.5% sodium hypochlorite as irrigant. After these procedures, the grooves were analyzed with a stereomicroscope and assigned scores as regards removal of the debris. The data were analyzed statistically (α=0.05). The results showed a better cleaning in all the groups where the irrigating solution was agitated with ultrasonic device than in the control group (p<0.05). In the apical third, the PUI-d and PUI-s showed similar performance (p>0.05) and a better cleanliness than PUI-t (p<0.05). The dynamic and static methods of agitation of the irrigating solution provided more effective cleaning. PUI-d provided the most completely clean grooves suggesting that its use is the most adequate in cases of teeth with complex canal anatomy.

Introduction

The anatomy of the root canal system is complex, with areas of irregularities, isthmuses, lateral canals and apical deltas, favoring the presence of microorganisms (1,2) and tissues, as well as the accumulation of dentinal debris after instrumentation (3). Several studies have shown that this accumulation of debris has a negative impact, hampering the correct cleaning and sealing ability of the root canal system (3-5).

Therefore, irrigation has a fundamental role in cleaning these areas, performing physical actions by stirring the irrigant and a chemical action of the irrigant solution (6-8). In addition, Versiani et al (9) observed that in the conventional irrigation method, the irrigant was not able to act on every wall, leaving untouched significant areas, especially in roots with anatomical complexities.

Passive ultrasonic irrigation (PUI) has been described as an excellent auxiliary in the process of final cleaning of root canals (10), increasing the efficiency of irrigant solutions in removing debris, microorganisms and smear layers, especially in areas of anatomical difficulty (10-13).

Several studies made with agitation of the irrigant with ultrasonic tips advise that they be inserted 1 mm from the working length and perform 3x20 s agitations, being the most common protocol in Endodontics (14-17). However, these studies used in vitro methodologies with grooves made only in the apical portion of the root canal. But areas of the anatomical complexity, as isthmus, may be present at any extent of the canal (3,18). There is little information in The literature is scarce on data that evaluate whether the dynamic use of the ultrasonic insert (with movement of the tip), or agitation by thirds have similar or better results when the tip remains in a static position, 1 mm from the working length.

Thus, the aim of the present study was to evaluate by artificial grooves, if passive ultrasonic irrigation (static, dynamic or activated at each thirds) influences the debris removal at the cervical, middle and apical thirds. The null hypothesis was that there is no difference in the method...
of PUI on debris removal from artificial grooves.

Material and Methods

Forty extracted bovine incisor teeth were used in the study. All the teeth were examined with a magnifying glass to exclude roots with malformation, fractures, resorption and curvatures. In addition, only the roots that presented an initial apical diameter of 40 were used. All crowns were removed with a diamond disc (KG Sorensen, Cotia, SP, Brazil) and the roots were standardized 16 mm from the apex, using a digital caliper (Starrett Indústria e Comércio Ltda., São Paulo, SP, Brazil). Next, two longitudinal grooves on the external surface of the roots, one in the mesial and distal, were carried out with the same disc, without hitting the root canal.

The samples were molded with condensation silicone (Clonage; DFL, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil) and inserted into a metal muffle to prevent leakage from the irrigating solution, resulting in a closed system.

The biomechanical preparation was performed with a R50 Reciproc instrument (VDW GmbH, Munich, Germany), the working length was set at 15 mm, which corresponded to the real length of the tooth subtracting 1 mm. A new instrument was used for each root. During the preparation, 2 mL of 2.5% sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl) was used as an irrigating solution, which was inserted in the root canal with a 30G needle (Navitip; Ultradent, UT, USA) attached to a 5 mL disposable syringe (Ultradent) and inserted into the root canal.

Next, the samples were removed from the muffle and cleaved in previously made guiding grooves, using a chisel. Three longitudinal grooves (3 mm long, 0.2 mm wide and 0.3 mm deep) were made on the internal surface of the root canal in one of the hemisections at 2, 7 and 12 mm from the apex, obtaining grooves in the cervical, middle and apical thirds, respectively. The methodology of making the grooves was the one used in the study of Martins Justo et al (16).

Debris were obtained by root dentin wear with a #8 steel round bur (KG Sorensen) at low speed. Debris weighed on an analytical scale (Sertorius AG, Goettingen, Germany) and separated into 0.075-g portions and packed in an aluminum foil bag. The debris were mixed with 0.3 mL of 2.5% sodium hypochlorite. This mixture was inserted into the grooves with an MTA applicator (Angelus, Londrina, PR, Brazil), simulating a clinical situation where the debris accumulate in the root canal irregularities resulting from the biomechanical preparation. The excess of debris was removed with a fine microbrush (FGM, Joinville, SC, Brazil), remaining only within the grooves. The hemisection with the grooves was viewed by a stereomicroscope (Stemi 2000 c; Carl Zeiss, Jena, Germany), for confirmation of the correct filling with the debris. Subsequently, the hemisections were grouped and inserted in a muffle.

Ultrasonic Agitation Protocols

All samples were irrigated with 2 mL of 2.5% NaOCl using a 5 mL disposable syringe with a #30 gauge needle positioned 2 mm from the working length. In the control group, the irrigating solution remained in the canal without agitation for 20 s. Next, a new irrigation with 2.5% NaOCl was performed. This process was repeated two more times, totaling 6 mL and 1 min of irrigant contact in the root canal. In the experimental groups, the same protocol was followed, differing by the agitation of the irrigating solution that was performed 3x20 s with the variation of the method of passive ultrasonic irrigation. In PUI-s, the agitation was performed by inserting the ultrasonic tip to 1 mm from the working length and remained in this position during the agitation. In PUI-t), the agitation was performed by thirds, 20 s in the apical third, 20 s in the middle third and 20 s in the cervical third. In PUI-d, the agitation was performed dynamically, by moving the ultrasonic tip from the apical to the cervical, performing three agitations of 20 s.

In all groups of, an E1 Irrisonic insert (Helse Dental Technology; São Paulo, SP, Brazil) was used, which has a diameter similar to a #20 K-file type, coupled to an ultrasound unit (Gnatus Equipamentos Médico-Odontológicos Ltda, Ribeirão Preto, SP, Brazil) on power 2 (20%). The insertion of the ultrasonic tip was always toward the larger grooves due to its effectiveness in removing debris, as demonstrated in a previous study (14), but always avoiding contact of the instrument with the walls of the root canal.

Evaluation of Images and Statistical Analysis

After each irrigation protocol, the hemisections were separated and the grooves were viewed by a stereomicroscope (Stemi 2000 c; Carl Zeiss) and pictures were taken with 30x magnification. The pictures were taken in the same region of the tooth in all 4 protocols, for standardization and subsequent comparison. The debris left in the grooves after the final irrigation protocols have been marked independently by 2 blinded and calibrated dentists, using the following scores: 1, the groove was empty; 2, less than 50% of the groove had debris; 3, from 50 to 75% of the groove had debris and 4, the groove was completely full of debris (Fig. 1) (14,19).

The Kappa test was performed, to verify the agreement between the examiners, which showed a high correlation 95% (R=0.95). Differences in scores of debris between the different groups were analyzed using the non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis and Dunn’s tests. The analysis of the scores
of debris in the same group was performed using non-parametric Friedman and Dunn’s tests. The significance level of 5% was set for all tests.

Results

The results of the study are in Table 1. There were no statistically significant differences between the experimental groups in the cervical third. In the groups where PUI was performed, the cervical third was significantly cleaner than the Control group (p<0.05). The same was observed in the middle third, except for the PUI-t group, which had no statistical difference to any group. At the apical third, there was a greater removal of debris in PUI-s and PUI-d groups when compared with the PUI-t and Control groups (p<0.05).

In intra-group analysis, Control, PUI-t and PUI-d groups had no statistically significant differences in relation to the amount of debris in cervical, middle and apical thirds. Only the PUI-s group presented statistically significant difference, with the cervical third presenting a greater amount of debris in relation to the apical third (p<0.05).

Figure 2 presents the analysis of number of grooves in each score according to the studied group, showing that the PUI-d group presented a higher number of grooves with score 1 (clean groove) in relation to other groups.

Discussion

The present study evaluated the ability of debris removal in artificial irregularities made in cervical, middle and apical thirds, depending on different methods of passive ultrasonic irrigation (PUI). According to the results, the tested hypothesis was rejected, since the used protocols showed different results regarding the cleaning of debris inside the grooves.

The used model was similar to that described by Martins Justo et al. (16), but with some modifications to suit the proposed objective of the study.

One of the changes in the model was the making of the grooves not only in the apical third, as was done in most studies (14,16,19,20), but also in the middle and cervical thirds, which are regions of the root canal where large presence of anfractuosities and isthmuses may occur (3).

During biomechanical preparation in molars with isthmuses and irregularities using mechanized instrumentation and conventional irrigation, there is a large amount of debris in the canal and in the areas of isthmus in the whole extent of the canal (18). The accumulation of debris affects penetration of the irrigating solution and root canal dressing to the inside of the isthmus to promote cleaning and antisepsis. Tissue debris and biofilm may remain in these regions, which may produce failure of the treatment (2,21). Debris also occupies the space, thus preventing the complete filling of the root canal by gutta-percha and sealer (5). Therefore, this accumulation of debris will interfere in the antisepsis and the root canal filling, potentially leading to failure of the endodontic treatment (2,5).

Therefore, complete debris removal from the canal lumen and the anatomical complexity areas is fundamental to a better prognosis of the treatment.

Several studies have demonstrated superiority of
passive ultrasonic irrigation for debris cleaning in relation to conventional irrigation, advising its use as auxiliary to the debrident process (11,17,22).

The recommendation for the PUI is that the insert be positioned 1 or 2 mm short of the working length and remain in this position during the agitation of irrigating solution during 3 periods of 20 s each, with renewal of the irrigant between each agitation (15). Among other effects, ultrasonic insert activation produces a process of acoustic microstreaming along the length of the instrument, cervical to apical, leading to formation of irrigant jets that are directed to the walls of the canal and which are responsible for the removal of debris (14,23,24). In addition, the largest displacement amplitude occurs at the tip of insert (25).

The results of this study showed that the apical third of the PUI-s group produced greater cleaning in the apical groove than in the cervical groove. This probably occurred because of acoustic microstreaming greater in the apical region due to greater agitation amplitude from the tip of the instrument, while the cervical third was the one with lower production of cavitation and consequently worst cleaning. Moreover, despite the statistical analysis showing no difference between the PUI-s and the PUI-d groups, higher percentage of debris removal in the PUI-d group was observed (Fig. 2). In relation to the group of agitation by thirds, it was observed that in the apical third it had worse results than the other two agitation groups. This occurred probably due to the number of agitations, since in this method was made a 20 s agitation on each third. At the moment the cervical and middle thirds were agitated, the apical third had no agitation since in it the solution moves up to 3 mm from the tip of the insert (23,24). Therefore, this third only had one agitation of 20 s and to achieve good cleaning they should be 3 agitations of 20 s, as already observed. Thus, the cumulative effect on apical portion did not occur (15).

Maybe a solution would be to carry out agitation for 20 s on cervical and middle thirds and in the apical third perform 3 agitations of 20 s, requiring future studies for a better elucidation. Furthermore, these findings are similar to those from previous studies (14,15,23) that showed that debris removal was related more closely to the passive ultrasonic irrigation than the conventional irrigation.

In the present study, bovine teeth without curvature were used rather than human teeth in order to obtain sample standardization. The grooves were made in an attempt to simulate areas of anatomical complexity or flatness. The canal of bovine teeth is larger than those of the human teeth in the same way as the artificially prepared grooves compared with isthmuses. Probably, in with less diameter canals and presence of curvature and isthmus, the results can be different due to the difficulty of agitation of the ultrasonic tips. However, the results of the present study can be considered for teeth with straight or flattened root canals.

The agitation of the irrigant with the tip positioned in the apical portion and agitation with the movement of the tip provided a more effective cleaning the grooves than the agitation of irrigant by thirds and conventional irrigation.

**Resumo**

O objetivo deste estudo foi avaliar a influência de diferentes protocolos de irrigação ultrassônica passiva na remoção de detritos em sucos artificialmente criados nos terços radiculares cervical, médio e apical. Quarenta raízes de incisivos bovinos extraídos foram instrumentadas a 1 mm do ápice radicular com um instrumento R50 Reciproc e irrigadas com hipoclorito de sódio a 2,5%. As raízes foram então inseridas numa mufla e clivadas em duas hemissecções. Sulcos (3 mm de comprimento) foram feitos a 2, 7 e 12 mm do ápice radicular em uma hemissecção e preenchidos com detritos dentinários. As hemissecções foram regroupadas na mufla e divididas em 4 grupos (n = 10) de acordo com o protocolo de irrigação inicial: Grupo controle: 3x20 s usando agulha de calibre #30 sem agitação da solução irrigadora; Grupo PUI-s (static passive ultrasonic irrigation): 3x20 s de irrigação ultrassônica passiva com a ponta do inserto mantida estática no terço apical; Grupo PUI-t (Irrigação ultrassônica passiva por terço): 20 s de PUI em cada terço; Grupo PUI-d (Irrigação ultrassônica dinâmica): 3x20 s de PUI movendo dinamicamente o inserto em toda extensão do canal radicular. Em todos os grupos, foi utilizado um total de 6 mL de hipoclorito de sódio a 2,5% como irrigante. Após estes procedimentos, os sucos foram analisados com um estereomicroscópio e pontuações foram atribuídas quanto à remoção dos detritos. Os dados foram analisados estatisticamente (α=0,05). Os resultados mostraram uma melhor limpeza em todos os grupos onde a solução de irrigação foi agitada com dispositivo ultrassônico do que no grupo controle (p<0,05).

No terço apical, o PUI-d e o PUI-s apresentaram performance semelhantes (p>0,05) e melhor limpeza do que o PUI-t (p<0,05). Os métodos dinâmico e estático de agitação da solução de irrigação proporcionaram uma limpeza mais eficaz. O PUID promoveu os sucos mais completamente limpos sugerindo que seu uso é o mais adequado em casos de dentes com anatomia de canal complexa.
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