Effect of Gamma Radiation and Endodontic Treatment on Mechanical Properties of Human and Bovine Root Dentin
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This study evaluated the effect of gamma radiation and endodontic treatment on the microhardness and flexural strength of human and bovine root dentin. Forty single-rooted human teeth and forty bovine incisor teeth were collected, cleaned and stored in distilled water at 4 °C. The human and bovine teeth were divided into 4 groups (n=10) resulting from the combination of two study factors: first, regarding the endodontic treatment in 2 levels: with or without endodontic treatment; and second, radiotherapy in two levels: with or without radiotherapy by 60 Gy of Co-60 gamma radiation fractioned into 2 Gy daily doses five days per week. Each tooth was longitudinally sectioned in two parts; one-half was used for the three-point bending test and the other for the Knoop hardness test (KHN). Data were analyzed by 3-way ANOVA and Tukey HSD test (α=0.05).

No significant difference was found for flexural strength values. The human dentin had significantly higher KHN than the bovine. The endodontic treatment and radiotherapy resulted in significantly lower KHN irrespective of tooth origin. The results indicated that the radiotherapy had deleterious effects on the microhardness of human and bovine dentin and this effect is increased by the interaction with endodontic therapy. The endodontic treatment adds additional negative effect on the mechanical properties of radiated tooth dentin; the restorative protocols should be designed taking into account this effect.
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Introduction

The improvements in quality of life of patients with head and neck tumors are increased with therapeutic interventions such as head and neck surgery and radiotherapy. However, ionizing radiation generally causes damage to healthy tissues adjacent to the radiation sites (1). Radiotherapy can modify substantially the mechanical strength of human enamel and dentin, producing more deleterious effects on the protein components than on the mineralized portion of dentin (2-5). Severe damage resultant from gamma radiation on the organic components of dentin, like collagen matrix, odontoblastic processes and pulp complex, should be considered in patients under head and neck oncologic treatment (6). These effects on dental substrate, mainly in dentin, may contribute to increased risk of radiation tooth decay associated with salivary changes, microbiota shift and high soft- and carbohydrate-rich foods (2).

Preserved pulp vitality impacts positively on the longevity of the restored tooth, however the high incidence of caries, dental traumas and iatrogenic interventions can lead to degenerative processes of the pulp (7). Changes in mechanical properties of dentin caused by to the action of irrigants, medication and root canal filling materials may predispose to tooth fracture (7). Additionally, the loss of structural integrity may increase the fracture occurrence (9).

Patients treated with head and neck tumors under radiotherapy may present some oral disturbances. The most evident complication for the dentition is the radiation-induced caries (1,2). In the past, severely decayed teeth were frequently extracted prior to radiotherapy, but more recently, the teeth are submitted to root canal treatment to prevent tooth extraction (10). The modifications of the mechanical properties of dentin caused by radiotherapy are confirmed by several studies (2,11,12). However, the additional effect caused by the endodontic therapy performed in radiated teeth remains unknown. Patients with filled root teeth requiring radiotherapy may have more alterations in the mechanical properties of the root dentin substrate.

Most of the in vitro tests of dental substrate are performed on extracted human teeth, which appear to be the perfect samples for such studies (13). The use of human teeth became difficult day by day, mainly caused by ethical restrictions, difficulty to obtain enough and adequate quality teeth; and also because it is difficult to control the source and age, with doubtful homogeneity of
Radiation and endodontic treatment on dentin

The use of the bovine teeth as a substitute substrate for in vitro experiments that analyze the effect of the radiotherapy on dentin remains also unclear. Therefore, the aim of this study was to evaluate the influence of gamma radiation and the endodontic treatment on Knoop hardness and flexural strength of human and bovine root dentin. The null hypotheses were: 1, the radiotherapy associated with the endodontic treatment have no influence on the mechanical properties of root dentin; 2, the dentin type, human or bovine, has no effect on the mechanical properties whether submitted or not to radiation and endodontic treatment.

Materials and Methods

Forty sound single-rooted human teeth and 40 bovine incisor teeth with similar age were selected (approved by the Committee for Ethics in Research, UFU #538/07), cleaned and stored in distilled water at 4 °C. The teeth were decoronated using a water-cooled diamond disk (#7020; KG Sorensen, Barueri, SP, Brazil). Teeth were randomly divided into 4 groups for both substrates (n=10): NEndNIr, non-endodontically treated and non-irradiated; EndNIr, endodontically treated and non-irradiated; NEndIr, non- treated and irradiated; EndIr, treated and irradiated. For non-endodontically treated teeth, pulp was removed followed by saline irrigation. For the treated teeth, root canals were instrumented using 40 and 80 K-files for human and bovine teeth, respectively (K-files, Dentsply Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland), at a working length of 1.0 mm from the apex. A step-back technique was used with stainless-steel K-files, Gates-Glidden drills 2 to 4 (Dentsply Maillefer), and a 2.0% chlorhexidine irrigation (Biopharma, Uberlândia, MG, Brazil). The roots were filled with gutta-percha and calcium-hydroxide based cement (Sealer 26; Dentsply, Petrópolis, RJ, Brazil) by lateral condensation technique. Endodontic accesses were sealed with conventional glass ionomer cement (Vidrion R; SS White, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil).

The teeth from irradiated groups were immersed in distilled water, and the radiotherapy protocol was applied with 60 Gy of Co-60 gamma radiation, fractionated into 2 Gy daily doses, 5 days per week (2,3). This protocol is similar to that used in patients under oncogenic treatment for head and neck tumors and performed in a specialized cancer center with a Co-60 teletherapy unit (Theratron Phoenix External Beam Therapy System; Best Theratronics Ltd., Ottawa, ON, Canada). For the mechanical tests, roots were sectioned along their long axis using a diamond saw (Isomet 1000; Buehler, Lake Bluff, IL, USA) resulting into two halves, one for each experimental test (Fig. 1). The tests were performed 24 h after the specimens were finished.

Three-Point Bending Test

Flexural strength was obtained using half the root dentin obtained previously for the mini-flexural strength test set up (14). Plano-parallel dentin bars of 2.0±0.1 mm thick 2.0±0.1 mm wide and 12.0±0.3 mm long were obtained for each tooth. The samples were tested in a mechanical testing machine (EMIC DL2000; São José dos Pinhais, PR, Brazil), with a rounded-edge tip at a crosshead speed of 0.5 mm/min until fracture of the specimens. The flexural strength was calculated by the formula: δf=3PL/2bh2, where P is the load (N) at the highest point of load-deflection curve, L is the span length (10.0 mm), b is the width and h is the thickness of the specimen. The values b and h were measured with a digital caliper (S500-171-20B; Mytutoyo, Suzano, SP, Brazil). The data were analyzed by three-way ANOVA (2x2x2) followed by Tukey HSD test (α=0.05).

Knoop Microhardness Test

Knoop hardness was calculated for the cervical, medium and apical dentin thirds (Fig. 1). The specimens were embedded in polystyrene resin (AM 190 Resin; Aerojet, São Paulo, SP, Brazil). The surfaces were ground with silicon carbide papers (#600, 800, 1200, 1500; Norton, Campinas, Brazil). For the hardness tests, dentin was ground on a #600 grit resin coated paper using a water-cooled diamond saw (Isomet 1000; Buehler, Lake Bluff, IL, USA) for 20 s at 25 Hz. For the flexural tests, the root dentin was ground for 15 s at 25 Hz on a #600 grit resin coated paper using a water-cooled diamond saw (Isomet 1000; Buehler, Lake Bluff, IL, USA). The samples were then cleaned with a pressurized air system to remove dust. The teeth were then deburred and finished using a water-cooled diamond wheel (Dentsply, Ballaigues, Switzerland). The samples were then cleaned with a pressurized air system to remove dust. The teeth were then deburred and finished using a water-cooled diamond wheel (Dentsply, Ballaigues, Switzerland). The data were analyzed by three-way ANOVA (2x2x2) followed by Tukey HSD test (α=0.05).

Figure 1. Diagram indicating the locations from which the dentin specimens were taken for hardness and flexural strength tests. A. Bovine teeth; B. Human teeth, sectioned transversally; C. root tooth 15 mm long; D. Endodontic treatment realized in End group; E. root of NEnd group; F. Radiation performed in Ir group; G. roots of NIr group; H. root sample cut with diamond saw providing two halves from the same tooth; I. preparation of the samples for both mechanical tests; J. root slices of apical, medium and cervical dentin; K. dentin bar; L. Knoop hardness test; M. three-point bending test.
SP, Brazil) and polished with diamond pastes (6, 3, 1, 0.25 μm; Arotec, São Paulo, SP, Brazil). The Knoop indentation values were determined with a microhardness tester (FM700; FutureTech Corp., Kawasaki, Japan) by applying a load of 50 g for 15 s (15). Three indentations were made in each specimen and the mean microhardness value (KHN) was calculated for each root third. Data and the mean values of the three thirds were submitted to three-way ANOVA (2x2x2) followed by Tukey HSD test (α=0.05).

Results

The mean and standard deviation values of flexure strength are in Table 1. Three-way ANOVA indicated no significant difference for the flexural strength values among groups for the isolated factors, substrate (p=0.491), endodontic treatment (p=0.272), and radiotherapy (p=0.152), neither for their interactions, substrate/endodontic treatment (p=0.233), substrate/radiotherapy (p=0.360), endodontic treatment/radiotherapy (p=0.220), substrate/endodontic therapy/radiotherapy (p=0.585).

The mean and standard deviation values of KHN values are in Table 2. The three-way ANOVA indicated significant influence of the dentin type (p=0.000), the radiotherapy protocol (p=0.000) and endodontic treatment (p=0.002). No significant influence was observed for the interactions dentin type/endodontic treatment (p=0.638), radiotherapy/endodontic treatment (p=0.238), dentin type/radiotherapy (p=0.638), dentin type/endodontic therapy/radiotherapy (p=0.358). Tukey’s test demonstrated that human dentin had higher KHN than the bovine, irrespective of endodontic treatment and radiotherapy application. The radiotherapy reduced significantly the KHN of root dentin irrespective of endodontic treatment and dentin type. The endodontic treatment also reduced significantly the KHN values of root dentin, irrespective of dentin type and radiotherapy.

Discussion

The first null hypothesis was rejected. Although, the Co-60 gamma radiation or the endodontic treatment influenced the flexural strength of human and bovine root dentin, radiotherapy and endodontic treatment reduced significantly the KHN values of human and bovine dentin. The second null hypothesis was also rejected, since the human dentin had higher KHN values than bovine dentin whether submitted or not to endodontic treatment or radiotherapy.

Dentin is the composite mineralized tissue with mechanical properties essential to protect enamel, maintaining the stress/strain tooth behavior (16). The mineral content of dentin is an important and determining factor of hardness values, while the organic content is more related to the fracture strength of the tissue (17). Radiation has a direct destructive effect on dental hard tissues, especially at the dentin-enamel junction (1,2,15).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Radiotherapy</th>
<th>Human dentin</th>
<th>Bovine dentin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Endodontic treatment</td>
<td>No endodontic treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irradiated</td>
<td>1357.9±491.1</td>
<td>1574.2±1105.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-irradiated</td>
<td>1582.5±534.3</td>
<td>1982.6±425.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pooled Average</td>
<td>1470.2±398.5&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1740.1±865.2&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Different upper case letters indicate significant differences for radiotherapy factor; different lower case letters indicate significant difference for endodontic therapy factor. Comparison performed by Tukey HSD test (p<0.05).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Radiotherapy</th>
<th>Human dentin</th>
<th>Bovine dentin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No Endodontic Treatment</td>
<td>Endodontic Treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-irradiated</td>
<td>59.9±11.2</td>
<td>50.4±7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irradiated</td>
<td>50.2±9.9</td>
<td>41.1±9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pooled Average</td>
<td>55.1±9.2&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>45.8±6.5&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Different upper case letters indicate significant differences for radiotherapy factor; different lower case letters indicate significant difference for endodontic therapy factor; * indicates the significant differences for substrate factor. Comparison performed by Tukey HSD test (p<0.05).
Obtaining sound human teeth for laboratory researches is becoming ever more difficult. Besides the ethical restrictions, limitations in collection and standardizing, and the reduced frequency of dental extractions, make the use of human teeth even more limited (24). Thus, teeth from other mammals are used in substitution to the human, and the bovine are frequently the common choice due to its similarity and easy achievement (25,26). In this way, evaluation of the mechanical properties of this class of teeth is important for substituting human teeth in researches without discrepancies in the results. Despite the number of dentin tubules and their diameter being similar on the coronal portion for human and bovine root dentin, the mean diameter of the tubules in bovine dentin is superior to the human (27). The variation of the quantity of peritubular dentin, which represents the most mineralized portion of the dentin substrate and also the variation of the quantity of intertubular dentin, associated with the hydroxyapatite crystals, may explain the differences found between the KHN values of both substrates. These findings do not eliminate the possibility of the bovine teeth as a substitute for human teeth, but could be interpreted as a limitation of the direct correlation of in vitro test that use bovine teeth for direct clinical correlation.

Another significant result demonstrated in this study was the change of the mechanical properties of the human and bovine dentins observed when the endodontic treatment and radiotherapy were associated. As previously shown, with radiation, the organic and mineral portions of dentin can be altered, consequently modifying its mechanical properties (1-3,13,28). It may be suggested that the association between these two factors could promote increased deleterious effects in the dentin of root filled teeth, since endodontic therapy can also cause changes in the mechanical properties of teeth as well (7). Therefore, careful maintenance of these teeth is advised for patients with head and neck tumors that need radiotherapy.

This study was conducted in laboratorial conditions and it presents intrinsic limitations such as the non-simulation of the support and protection tissues during the radiotherapy protocol application. Additionally, this study did not isolate the effect of the irradiation during the endodontic therapy. Future laboratory studies contemplating alterations in the mechanical properties of endodontically treated teeth in patients under radiotherapy treatment of head and neck tumors are advisable, suggesting new approaches and allowing better prognoses for teeth, enhancing consequently the quality of life for these patients. Within the limitations of this laboratory study, the conclusions were: the flexural strength of both evaluated substrates was not influenced by the Co-60 gamma radiotherapy protocol neither by the endodontic
treatment; the radiotherapy and the endodontic treatment reduced significantly the microhardness of human and bovine dentin and the association between the radiotherapy and the endodontic treatment promoted a greater reduction in the microhardness of human and bovine dentin.

Resumo

Este estudo avaliou o efeito da irradiação gama e tratamento endodôntico na microdureza e resistência à flexão de dentina radicular humana e bovina. Quarenta dentes humanos unirradiculares e quarenta dentes incisivos bovinos foram coletados, limpos e armazenados em água destilada a 4 °C. Os dentes humanos e bovinos foram divididos em 4 grupos (n=10) gerados pela combinação de dois fatores de estudo: tratamento endodôntico em 2 níveis: com ou sem tratamento endodôntico; e radioterapia em dois níveis: com ou sem radioterapia utilizando 60 Gy de radiação gama de Co-60 fracionado em 2 Gy por dia, cinco dias por semana. Cada dente recebeu um corte longitudinal, resultando em duas metades por raiz, sendo uma metade utilizada para o ensaio de flexão de três pontos e a outra para o teste de dureza Knoop (KHN). Os dados foram analisados por ANOVA e teste de Tukey (α=0,05). Nenhuma diferença estatística foi encontrada para todos os fatores de resistência à flexão. A dentina humana teve KHN significativamente maior do que a dentina bovina. O tratamento endodôntico e radioterapia resultaram em significativa menor KHN, independentemente do tipo de dente. Os resultados indicaram que a radioterapia produziu efeitos deletérios sobre a microdureza da dentina humana e bovina e este efeito é exacerbado pela interação com a terapia endodôntica. O tratamento endodôntico causou efeito negativo adicional à radioterapia nas propriedades mecânicas da dentina. Este aspecto deve ser considerado no momento de se restaurar dentes tratados endodonticamente que receberam terapia endodôntica.
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