Influence of Cusp Inclination and Type of Retention on Fracture Load of Implant-Supported Crowns

Cibele Oliveira de Melo Rocha, Diogo Longhini, Rodrigo de Paula Pereira, João Neudenir Arioli Filho

Introduction

Implant-supported metal-ceramic crowns are often used in the treatment of partially edentulous patients, and such crowns may be cement- or screw-retained (1-4). The cemented prostheses have some advantages compared to the screw-retained, including higher fracture strength, passive fit, simplified restorative procedures and better aesthetics due to the absence of screw access hole (SAH) (4,5). Nevertheless, removing the cemented crown is difficult without damaging the crown structure. Thus, removing procedure is the main advantage of screw-retained crowns (1-4,6), allowing re-tightening of the screw and easy removal of the crown for extraoral repairs and hygiene control (2,3,5,7).

Several studies report lower fracture strength values for the screw-retained crowns (1,2,4,5,7,8-11). This is explained by the presence of SAH that disrupts the structural continuity of the veneer porcelain (2,4). Influence of other factors on the fracture strength of implant-supported prostheses has been investigated, like the SAH location (4), sealing the SAH (11), wall material of the SAH (metal or porcelain) (5), narrowing of occlusal platform (12) and type of the cement (13). However, the studies showed that these factors did not have any influence on the fracture strength values of crowns (4,5,12,13).

For implant-supported crowns, fracture commonly begins on the occlusal surface and the stress is related to the complexity of occlusal restorations (14). In posterior natural teeth, the steep cusp inclination is a concern and a predisposing factor for fracture incidence (15). Although there are differences between natural and artificial crowns in relation to the internal structure and material composition (16), it is believed that this fact may also affect the fracture strength of prosthetic crowns (14,17). The literature shows some studies focused on the importance of the occlusal design on the implant overloading (18-22), stress distribution in crowns (14) and fracture of natural teeth (15,16). However, further studies are required to understand the influence of cusp inclination exclusively on the fracture strength of these crowns.

Thus, the aim of this study was to evaluate the influence of the cusp inclination and retention type on the fracture load of metal-ceramic implant-supported single crowns. The tested hypothesis was that there would be no difference between screw-retained (SAH sealed or not) and cemented crowns, as well as between cusp inclinations on the fracture load.

Material and Methods

Metal-ceramic crowns were divided into six groups according cusp inclination and retention type (Table
A natural mandibular second molar was used for fabrication of crowns with standard 33° cusp inclination (SCI), confirmed by a protractor overlapping the digitized image of the tooth. The impression of this natural tooth crown was made with condensation silicone (Zetalabor; Zhermack SPA, Badia Polesine, RO, Italy) and the mold filled with molten wax. For fabrication of crowns with reduced cusp inclination (RCI), the wax pattern cusp was reduced to 20° inclination, confirmed by a protractor overlapping the real image of the tooth. The waxed crowns were fixed on UCLA prosthetic component (UCLA Abutment; Biomet 3i, Palm Beach Gardens, FL, USA) and screwed on the analog of external hexagon implant with a 5.0 mm diameter (Biomet 3i) positioned in a metal device (Fig. 1).

To standardize the final anatomy of the restorations and the thickness of the ceramic surface, indexes (to SCI and RCI) of the waxed-up mandibular molar were made with silicone condensation (Zetalabor) and sectioned in half from mesiodistal and from buccolingual. To make the metal framework of all screw-retained restorations, the waxed-up mandibular molar was cut down simulating a metal framework on which a ceramic layer around 2 mm thick is applied to improve aesthetics (5). Silicone condensation indexes (Zetalabor) were made and sectioned as previously described. For cemented crowns, the waxed-up metal framework to screw-retained restoration was cut down simulating an abutment preparation with total taper around 6° and 5.0 mm high regarding the adequate thickness to the metal copings and the ceramic surface. Other silicone indexes (Zetalabor) were made as previously described to standardize the framework of all abutments of the cement crowns.

Sixty analogs with a 5.0 mm platform diameter and 10.0 mm long were screwed at the center of a metallic device, adapted on a rigid PVC ring to maintain the analogs inside the ring (Fig. 2). This device allows the insertion of the epoxy resin (Araldite GY1109; Huntsman, São Paulo, SP, Brazil) in the analogs inside the PVC ring. A torque of 32 N.cm was used on the crowns of the screw-retained groups and abutments of the cemented groups.

SAH of the SS/20 and SS/33 groups were filled with cotton (Soft Cotton, Piracicaba, SP, Brazil). Silane agent (Silane; Dentsply, Petropolis, RJ, Brazil) was applied. After 1 min, a thin layer of adhesive system (Scotchbond Multi Purpose Plus; 3M ESPE, St. Paul, MN, USA) was also applied and activated for 20 s by a visible light unit (Radii Cal; SDI, São Paulo, SP, Brazil) with a light intensity of 1200 mW/cm². The composite resin (Filtek P60; 3M ESPE) was inserted in three layers, each activated for 40 s with the same light unit.

In the C/20 and C/33 groups, the SAH of the abutments were filled with cotton to isolate the screw during the filling of the SAH. The cementation was performed with a self-adhesive resin cement (Rely X U200; 3M ESPE) mixed according to the manufacturer’s recommendations.

The fracture load static test was performed in a universal

---

Table 1. Experimental groups (n=10)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Type of retention and cusp inclination of the metal-ceramic crowns</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SNS/20</td>
<td>Screw-retained with the screw access hole not sealed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS/20</td>
<td>Screw-retained with the screw access hole sealed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C/20</td>
<td>Cemented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNS/33</td>
<td>Screw-retained with the screw access hole not sealed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS/33</td>
<td>Screw-retained with the screw access hole sealed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C/33</td>
<td>Cemented</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Figure 1. Metal device containing the waxed crown screwed on the analog of the implant.

Figure 2. Analog screwed in the center of a metallic device, which was adapted on a rigid PVC ring to maintain the analogs inside the rings.
testing machine (DL 2000; EMIC, São José dos Pinhais, PR, Brazil) with a 10-kN load cell and a cross speed of 0.5 mm/min until porcelain failure, and the maximum values recorded in Newton (N). A stainless steel device with a 6.0 mm diameter ball tip was used to apply compressive vertical force simultaneously on the buccal and lingual cusps of the restoration.

Statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS 19.0 (Statistical Package for Statistical Science Inc, Chicago, IL, USA). Normality was checked with the Shapiro-Wilk test and homogeneity of variance with the Levene test to obtain maximum fracture resistance data (N). Two-way ANOVA (retention and cusp inclination) followed by post hoc Tukey’s honest significant difference test ($\alpha=0.05$) was used to detect whether there was a significant effect of the factors, type of retention (screw-retained crowns and cemented crowns) and cusp inclination (SCI and RCI) on the fracture load of the different experimental groups.

The failure mode of the specimens was evaluated by a scanning electron microscope (SEM) (JEOL – JSM 6510; Jeol, Tokyo, Japan) and was classified as adhesive (failure at the ceramic-metal framework interface), cohesive (failure within the ceramic), or a combination (areas of cohesive and adhesive failure).

Results

Two-way analysis of variance to fracture load was significant for inclination cusp ($p<0.01$) and retention type ($p<0.01$) but not for the interaction between these factors ($p>0.05$). RCI showed statistically higher fracture load (2476.2±823.7 N) than SCI crowns (1203.9±752.5 N) and cemented crowns showed statistically higher fracture load (2445.6±1122.4 N) than the screw-retained crowns with sealed SAH (1695.9±890.9) and not sealed (1378.7±701.9 N).

Whatever the cusp inclination and type of retention, SEM analysis showed that all specimens were affected similarly with adhesive failure between ceramic and metal, and cohesive failures in ceramic (Fig. 3). Most of the crowns showed occlusal surface fractures, involving one or more surrounding surfaces (Fig. 4). In these conditions, the compressive force applied with the sphere on the crowns may cause external displacement of the cusps.

Discussion

Steep cusp inclination and deep grooves are predisposing factors to fracture incidence in posterior natural teeth (15). In the present study, the cusp inclination influenced the mechanical behavior of the prosthetic metal-ceramic crowns, with higher values of fracture load of RCI crowns compared to SCI crowns ($p<0.05$). Similar results were found for veneered zirconia crowns with steep inclination, especially in combination with sharp curvatures, increasing chipping rates and decreasing fracture load (17). In crowns with a steeper cusp inclination, increased lateral forces are produced when vertical loads are applied on occlusal surfaces (20,21). This is especially important to implant-supported crowns, because osseointegrated implants do not have micromovement associated with force distribution, as occurs for natural teeth due to the periodontal ligament (21).

In general, there is influence of cusp inclination in implant overloading (18–22), which is one of the main causes of biological failure at bone-implant interface (BII) (22). BII stress increases with high cusp inclination (18), which may result in damages to bone implant contact (22). Besides the biological complications, technical problems...
may also be associated with the cusp inclination design, which should be based not only on the clinical requirements as antagonist and adjacent dentition, but also on the biomechanical knowledge of the dentist. Thus, further studies are required to assess the effects of this factor on the fracture strength of implant-supported crowns to establish parameters for the restoration designs, in an attempt to reduce technical complications arising from a certain occlusal anatomy.

As regards the retention type, higher values of fracture load for cemented crowns compared to screw-retained were shown in the present study, agreeing with the findings of other authors (2,4,5,8,9,11). In a 15-year clinical study, porcelain fracture was significantly more frequent in screw-retained crowns while cemented crowns had better clinical and biological results in relation to marginal bone loss and gingival index (8). Conversely, more serious biological complications were found in cemented prostheses, as implant failures and bone resorptions were greater than 2 mm (9). Although none of the two retention types was clearly advantageous, the reversibility of screw-retained crowns allows easier treatment of technical and biological complications (9).

Some authors explain that SAH in screw-retained crowns may weaken the porcelain due to structural discontinuity (1,2,4,23). SAH might interfere with the occlusion (2,3,7,10,23), generating unstable contacts (10,23) and impairing vertical and axial loads (2). It is supposed that SAH adhesive sealing with composite resin can stabilize the porcelain layer (2,6), but this is still controversial. In a recent study, it was found that SAH sealing did not influence the fracture resistance of the screw-retained (11). In the present study, there were no significant statistical differences for fracture load values of porcelain with SAH sealed or not. SAH sealing was not able to stabilize the porcelain layer and increase the fracture strength of screw-retained crowns. In clinical practice, SAHs are not left unsealed, necessary to keep the occlusal morphology, esthetics and function of the restoration (11). However, most studies have used unsealed SAH, due to the absence of a sealing protocol (2,6,7,13).

Regardless of the higher fracture strength found in cemented crowns compared to screw-retained and to RCI compared to SCI, all groups showed higher fracture strength than the maximum bite force in the molar region, which ranged from 300 to 800 N (7). However, in relation to cusp inclination, safer rehabilitation can be obtained with RCI, due the diminished lateral forces (20,21). It is important to emphasize that occlusal aesthetics can be achieved both with a 20° cusp inclination as well as with a 33° cusp inclination. The reduction of cusp inclination is not a limiting factor to the optimum final result of the restoration.

As a limitation, the study was performed only in single load testing of failure, which can be difficult relative to clinical conditions. Further studies about implant-supported crowns (cemented or screw-retained, RCI or SCI) under fatigue protocols and eventually, controlled clinical trials, could help in understanding the intraoral behavior of these restorations.

In conclusion, fracture load of implant-supported metal-ceramic crowns was influenced by retention and cusp inclination, and no influence was shown by sealing the SAH.

**Resumo**

Existem poucas informações sobre a influência da inclinação de cúspide na resistência à fratura de coroas implantossuportadas. O objetivo do estudo foi avaliar a influência da inclinação de cúspide e do tipo de retenção na carga de fratura de coroas unitárias metalocerâmicas implantossuportadas. Sessenta coroas foram confeccionadas, classificadas como cimentadas e parafusadas com orifício de acesso ao parafuso selado ou não. Cúspides com inclinação padrão (33°) e reduzida (20°) foram testadas para cada grupo (n=10). Para suportar as coroas de um segundo molar inferior, análogos de implante hexágono externo 5.0 foram utilizados. A carga de fratura foi mensurada em máquina de ensaios universais EMIC DL2000 (célula de carga de 10 kN; 0,5 mm/min). ANOVA dois fatores (retenção e inclinação da cúspide) seguido do pós-teste de Tukey da diferença honestamente significativa foi utilizada para análise estatística (α=0,05). Coroas com inclinação de cúspide reduzida exibiram carga de fratura significativamente maior (p<0,05) que coroas com cúspides de inclinação padrão. Coroas cimentadas apresentaram carga de fratura significativamente maior (p<0,01) que coroas parafusadas. A interação entre os dois fatores não foi significante (p>0,05) para a carga de fratura. O selamento do orifício de acesso ao parafuso não influenciou a carga de fratura das coroas parafusadas (p>0,05). Concluindo, a carga de fratura de coroas metalocerâmicas implantossuportadas foi influenciada pelo tipo de retenção e inclinação da cúspide, e não houve influência do selamento do orifício de acesso ao parafuso.
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