Selective COX-2 Inhibitor (Meloxicam) and Tooth-Supporting Bone Quality. A Histomorphometric Study in Rats
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The effects of the non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) on bone quantity and quality were investigated for years. However, there is lack of information on the impact of NSAIDs on the quality of tooth-supporting alveolar bone in absence of periodontal inflammation. Thus, the aim of this study was to evaluate histometrically the influence of a selective COX-2 NSAID (Meloxicam) on the inter-radicular bone mineral density in rats. Forty-nine adult male Wistar rats were randomly divided into four experimental groups: Subcutaneous injection of 0.9% sterile saline for 15 days (G1; n=12) and 45 days (G2; n=11); and subcutaneous injection of Meloxicam for 15 days (G3; n=13) and 45 days (G4; n=13). Mineral density was histometrically determined in the inter-radicular area of the 1st mandibular molars and data analysis performed by two-way ANOVA (α=5%). Results showed no interaction between time and treatment (p>0.05) and that meloxicam did not affect the alveolar bone density. In contrast, it was found that inter-radicular alveolar bone density increased with time (91.88±3.08% and 92.86±2.38% for groups 15 and 45 days, respectively) (p<0.05). Within the limits of this study, daily administration of a selective COX-2 inhibitor (Meloxicam) did not affect the quality of the inter-radicular alveolar bone in absence of periodontal infection.

Introduction

Bone tissue is constantly renewed in a synchronized process mediated by cytokines and growth factors involved in bone resorption and deposition. The understanding of the mechanisms involved with bone homeostasis is crucial, especially to approach metabolic bone disorders leading to bone loss and consequently decreased quality of life (1).

The effects of inflammatory mediators (such as prostaglandins) and growth factors on osteoclasts and osteoblasts may trigger the start of bone adaptive changes in response to mechanical (2) or endocrine signals (2,3). Prostaglandins are produced from membrane phospholipids by sequential actions of phospholipase A2 (PLA2) and cyclooxygenase (COX) (4).

Two COX isoforms have been identified in human tissues: the regulatory COX-1 enzyme (which provides homeostatic levels of prostaglandins) and the inducible COX-2 (which can be stimulated by inflammation) (4). COX inhibitors are known as non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) and for years the effects of these drugs on bone quantity and quality have been investigated. Previous studies utilizing specific COX-2 inhibitors demonstrate that blocking of COX-2 activity may prevent bone resorption (1,4,5-7), but it may also delay healing in bone fractures (8,9) and during alveolar bone repair in rats (10).

NSAIDs are drugs able to block the potential co-stimulation of osteophytogenesis by mediators of inflammation (11) and prescribed primarily for chronic management of rheumatic conditions. In dentistry, NSAIDs are often used to control pain and inflammation after several procedures such as tooth extraction, orthodontic movement, orthognathic procedures and other oral surgeries (10). Among these NSAIDs, Meloxicam is prescribed worldwide, due to its analgesic and anti-inflammatory actions. Meloxicam, 4-hydroxy-2-methyl-N-(5-methyl-2-tiazolil)-2H-1,2-benzotiazicina-3-carboxamide-1,1-dioxide, is a highly specific COX-2 inhibitor and offers high efficiency with very few side effects on the gastrointestinal and renal systems (6,12).

Previous animal studies showed that Meloxicam may prevent bone loss in experimental periodontitis (6,7,10,13), possibly by controlling COX-2 enzyme function, which is up-regulated in highly-inflamed periodontal tissues (12). Nowadays, it is proposed that alveolar bone quality is a critical factor for teeth and dental implant general health and long-term stability. Thus, animal models are successfully used to assess the impact of systemic conditions and hormone replacement therapy on alveolar bone quality (14). Because of the lack of information on the impact of
NSAIDs on the quality of tooth-supporting alveolar bone in absence of periodontal inflammation, the aim of the present study was to assess the effect of daily NSAIDs administration to the inter-radicular alveolar bone in rats by histological analysis.

**Material and Methods**

**Animals**

Forty-nine male Wistar rats (*Rattus norvegicus albinus*), weighing 250-350 g and aged 90 days at the beginning of the experiment were used. During the experiment, the animals were maintained in plastic cages (4-5 per cage) under the same environmental conditions, with solid food and water ad libitum throughout the study. The protocol was approved by the University of Campinas Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (protocol number 265-2).

**Experimental Design**

At the study start, the animals were randomly assigned to one of the following experimental groups:

- **Group 1**: Daily (1x/day) Subcutaneous injection (SC) of sterile saline (1mL/kg) for 15 days (n=12);
- **Group 2**: Daily (1x/day) SC injection of sterile saline (1mL/kg) for 45 days (n=11);
- **Group 3**: Daily (1x/day) SC injection of Meloxicam (Movatec®, Boehringer Ingelheim do Brasil Química e Farmacêutica Ltda., Itapecerica da Serra, SP, Brazil) (3 mg/kg) (7) for 15 days (n=13);
- **Group 4**: Daily (1x/day) SC injection of Meloxicam (3 mg/kg) (7) for 45 days (n=13).

**Histological Analysis**

The animals were euthanized by deep anesthesia after the experimental period. The jaws were removed and divided at the mandibular symphysis in hemi-mandibles, before fixing in 4% neutral formalin (pH 7.2 – 7.4) for 48 h. Demineralization was performed in a 1:1 solution of 50% formic acid and 20% sodium citrate (Morse solution) for 60 days. Next, the demineralized bone was dehydrated in absolute alcohol, diaphanized in xylol and embedded in paraffin. Longitudinal serial sections (6 µm) were obtained in a mesial-distal direction, and stained with hematoxylin and eosin.

After excluding the first and the last sections in which the furcation region was evident, ten equally distant sections of each tooth were selected for histomorphometric analysis (Fig. 1). Using an image-analysis system (UTHSCSA ImageTool 3.0, San Antonio, TX, USA), the percentage of mineralized bone (alveolar bone density) in the inter-radicular area of the 1st mandibular molar was histometrically determined by a blinded and calibrated examiner.

**Statistical Analysis**

Mean values of alveolar bone density were determined for each group and the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to determine data normality. Next, two-way ANOVA test was used to detect differences between groups according to time and treatment. All analyses were performed using a 5% significance level (α=0.05).

**Results**

Quantitative data of alveolar bone density determined by histomorphometric analyses are in Table 1 and Figure 2. There were no statistically significant differences between time and treatment (p=0.305), assuming that the effect of saline and Meloxicam was the same on the quality of the inter-radicular alveolar bone at 15 and 45 days. Interestingly, data analysis showed that inter-radicular bone density increased with time regardless of the treatment group (88.81±3.21%/91.88±3.08% and 87.78±4.49%/92.86±2.38% for saline and meloxicam administration at 15/45 days, respectively) (p<0.001).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period (days)</th>
<th>Saline Mean (SD)</th>
<th>Meloxicam Mean (SD)</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>p*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15 days</td>
<td>88.81% (3.21)</td>
<td>87.78% (4.49)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 days</td>
<td>91.88% (3.08)</td>
<td>92.86% (2.38)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>17.582</td>
<td>p&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>p=0.983</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time-Treatment</td>
<td>1.074</td>
<td>p=0.305</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Two-way ANOVA.
Figure 3 illustrates the histological aspects of bone density observed after administration of sterile saline for 15 days (A) and 45 days (B) and Meloxicam for 15 days (C) and 45 days (D).

Discussion

The present study demonstrated that meloxicam, a selective COX-2 inhibitor, did not affect alveolar bone density in the inter-radicular area of periodontally healthy mandibular 1st molars in rats. We additionally found that, regardless of treatment, bone density increased with time. Therefore, these findings suggest that, in physiological conditions, NSAIDs did not impact the quality of the tooth-supporting alveolar bone.

Morton et al. (15) reported that certain NSAID classes may have different effect on bone metabolism. Selective COX-2 inhibitors may have greater effects on bone metabolism, as compared with COX-1 inhibitors, since production of prostaglandins in osteoclasts is primarily mediated by COX-2 (16). Thus, selective COX-2 inhibitors have been suggested to reduce bone remodeling and resorption, conserving trabecular bone mass and...
architectures (4). Studies with bone marrow cultures from COX-2 knockout mice demonstrated a marked decrease in osteoclast responses to stimulators of bone resorption and that selective COX-2 inhibitors blocked osteoclast formation in this system (3).

The impact of meloxicam administration on the alveolar bone loss resulting from experimental periodontitis has been previously investigated (6,7) and the results suggested that meloxicam may significantly decrease periodontitis-resulting bone loss; these findings are in line with others (1,4,5,13). Fewer renal side effects have been reported for meloxicam compared to other NSAIDs (6,12), indicating that meloxicam treatment may be used for a longer time (17). Interestingly, aspirin was reported to potentiate the effect of selective COX-2 inhibitors, acting on prostaglandin production (dependent on arachidonic acid), as well as on nitric oxide (NO) and nuclear factor kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B (NF-kB) production (independent from arachidonic acid) in cells, leading to increased bone density at multiple skeletal sites in men and women (5).

On the other hand, Shen et al. (18) observed that short-term administration of selective COX-2 inhibitors resulted in suppression of bone formation and increased bone resorption in rats. COX-2 function was reported as essential for fracture healing, since this enzyme is critically involved in bone repair and required for intramembranous and endochondral bone formation (8). After continuous administration, selective COX-2 inhibitors (meloxicam) may negatively influence bone healing in cortical and cancellous bone around titanium implants inserted in rats (19). In the present study, in both groups, saline and meloxicam, there was an increase in alveolar bone density with time, indicating that meloxicam alone did not affect tooth-supporting bone quality.

The role of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) in bone density was investigated during the bone repair. VEGF, described as the most important molecule for regulating angiogenesis, plays a critical role in bone homeostasis (20). VEGF receptor activation induces endothelial cell mobilization, recruitment, differentiation and proliferation, as well as the enrollment and survival of mesenchymal progenitor cells, osteoblasts and osteoclasts (21,22). Although systemic therapies with selective COX-2 inhibitors may affect VEGF expression in rats (13), the results of this study showed similar bone repair between saline and Meloxicam after 45 days and this finding suggests no direct relationship between Meloxicam and increased VEGF expression. Thus, the increased bone density observed in 45 days compared to 15 days may suggest other mechanisms, including those not dependent on arachidonic acid. However, Arantes et al. (10) reported an alveolar bone repair delay following daily administration of meloxicam for 7 days after tooth extraction in rats. Taken together, these findings suggest that meloxicam may affect bone homeostasis in a VEGF-dependent manner, at least in part.

Irrational use of NSAIDs, selective and nonselective, is associated with a range of potential adverse effects, including gastric mucosa damages and an increased risk of adverse cardiovascular effects. The risk of different events depends on the clinical context, medication and dose (23). In addition, long-term NSAIDs administration is employed for the treatment of specific diseases including, for example, the rheumatoid arthritis. In these cases, a secondary osteoporosis with bone loss in the joints is easily recognized and may increase the risk of fractures and accompanying co-morbidities in these subjects (24). Specifically in relation to Meloxicam, Bezerra et al. (6) examined the effect of this drug on gastric mucosa of rats and found that the dosage of up to 3 mg/kg did not produce significant gastric effects. Nevertheless, new therapeutic alternatives to the treatment of diseases involving bone metabolism like osteoporosis has been carried out for years and the phytotherapeutic agents showed promising results (25).

Further controlled clinical studies are required to evaluate their long-term benefits and to search for less harmful alternative therapies (9). In addition, other analyses including immunohistochemical and molecular biology and other drugs, may be performed to clarify the effect of NSAIDs on cell and tissue behavior during the process of bone repair, because multiple mechanisms (not yet fully elucidated) may be related to inflammation and bone resorption and deposition processes, such as VEGF expression, receptor activator of nuclear factor κB, RANK/RANKL/receptor osteoprotegerin (OPG) and tumor necrosis factor (TNF). Thus, knowledge of the behavior and mechanisms of selective COX-2 inhibitors is required for future clinical applications.

Within the limits of the present study, it was concluded that daily administration of a selective COX-2 inhibitor (Meloxicam) did not affect the quality of the inter-radicular alveolar bone in absence of periodontal infection. However, further pre-clinical and clinical studies should be considered in order to determine the relevance of long-term NSAIDs administration to the tooth-supporting alveolar bone quality and the potential involved mechanisms.

**Resumo**

Os efeitos dos fármacos anti-inflamatórios não esteroïdais (AINEs) sobre a quantidade e qualidade óssea têm sido investigados ao longo dos anos. Entretanto, há falta de informação sobre o impacto dos AINEs na qualidade do osso alveolar de suporte na ausência de inflamação periodontal. Assim, o objetivo deste estudo foi avaliar, histometricamente, a influência de um AINE seletivo para COX-2 (Meloxicam) na densidade mineral óssea inter-radicular em ratos. Quarenta e nove ratos Wistar, machos e adultos foram divididos aleatoriamente em quatro grupos experimentais: injeções subcutâneas de 0,9% de solução salina estéril...
por 15 dias (G1, n=12) e 45 dias (G2, n=11); e injeções subcutâneas de Meloxicam por 15 (G3, n=13) e 45 dias (G4, n=13). A densidade mineral foi determinada histometricamente na área inter-radicular dos primeiros molares mandibulares e a análise dos dados realizada por meio de ANOVA (α=5%). Os resultados mostraram nenhuma interação entre tempo e tratamento (p>0,05) e que o meloxicam não afetou a densidade óssea alveolar. Em contraste, foi encontrado que a densidade óssea alveolar inter-radicular aumentou ao longo do tempo (91,88±3,08% e 92,86±2,38% para os grupos 15 e 45 dias, respectivamente) (p<0,05). Dentro dos limites deste estudo, a administração diária de um inibidor seletivo para COX-2 (Meloxicam) não afetou a qualidade do osso alveolar inter-radicular na ausência de infeção periodontal.
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