The aim of this study was to evaluate the association between depressive symptoms and root caries among independent-living older persons. All community-dwelling older adults (not hospitalized and not bedridden) living in Carlos Barbosa, RS, Brazil, were invited to participate, and 785 completed the study protocol (standardized questionnaire assessing socio-demographic information and health behavior, Geriatric Depression Scale [GDS] - brief version, and oral examination [DMFT-Root index, Visible Plaque Index and stimulated salivary flow]). Out of them, 390 participants with at least one natural tooth were included in the present analysis. The outcome of interest was the ratio between the number of decayed roots and the number of roots at risk. The association between independent variables (depression and socioeconomic aspects) and the outcome was assessed using negative binomial regression models. Results: The final, fully adjusted model revealed that age ($\beta=0.03$, $p=0.001$), female sex ($\beta=-0.23$, $p=0.08$), living in a rural area ($\beta=0.25$, $p=0.008$), tooth brushing frequency ($\beta=0.43$, $p=0.025$) and stimulated salivary flow ($\beta=-0.012$, $p<0.0001$) were significantly associated with the presence of root caries. In addition, the interaction between male sex and the presence of depression symptoms ($\beta=-0.99$, $p=0.012$) was also independently and significantly associated with root caries. The interaction between male sex and depression symptoms was associated with root caries, suggesting that psychological mechanisms may be involved indirectly in the development of root caries in older adults.
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Introduction
Because of global population aging, various authors have been dedicated to study health and disease issues in this population, especially oral health. This area of research has become more relevant due to changes in the age distribution, the rise of life expectancy that occurred in many countries and the burden of oral diseases in this age group (1). In addition, poor oral health is linked to common diseases in this age group, like diabetes mellitus, coronary disease, respiratory problems and mental health (1). Another important morbidity of relevance for older people is depression. Its prevalence in older people varies between 8% and 10% (2). However, its association with oral health status has not been thoroughly evaluated yet. There are few studies showing that depressive disorders may influence oral health outcomes, by negative effects in the immunological system, lack of motivation, dietary changes and smoking (3,4).

Epidemiological studies in older people have shown that edentulism, periodontal disease, and coronal and root caries are the most prevalent oral health outcomes in this population (5,6). The scientific community has already demonstrated the importance of identifying multiple determinants in oral health, operating through social, behavioral, psychological and biological factors (7).

Root caries prevalence varies between 39% and 47% among older people and its association with multiple factors reinforces the need for epidemiological studies (5). The role of psychological suffering on immunity and oral health-related behavior is recognized. However, only one study has investigated the relationship between depressive symptoms and root caries in older people (8). Nevertheless, according to the referred authors, depressive symptoms were not featured in the final prediction model and were not associated with root caries.

Some studies investigated the association between psychological variables (stress and anxiety) and oral diseases (4,9,10). The association between stress and depression with oral health may be explained by behavioral alterations, like less efficient oral hygiene and/or a reduction in the frequency of oral hygiene during the stress or psychiatric disease and change in tobacco and dietary habits (11). Antilla et al. (9) found an association between depressive symptoms and edentulism. Worse oral health behavior was also linked to depression, a finding that was verified by...
a decrease in tooth brushing frequency and visits to the dentist (10). However, previous evidence suggested that the psychosocial factors might alter susceptibility, indirectly by affecting health behavior and directly by changes in the host response (11).

Depression may be associated to root caries by two mechanisms. The psychosocial explanation of the social determinants of health proposes the depression to act through changes in self-care behaviors and attitudes (i.e. worse hygiene and less frequent consultations) and by changes that may occur in the immune system due to psychological suffering (12). There is evidence, which suggests that stress and depression are associated with high plaque levels and gingivitis, whereas psychological distress is negatively associated with salivary secretory immunity (13). Both findings confirm the plausibility of the association between depression and root caries, warranting the assessment of this study hypothesis. The aim of this study was to evaluate the association between depressive symptoms and root caries in older independent-living people in Carlos Barbosa, RS, Brazil.

Material and Methods

Study Design and Context

This cross-sectional study was based on data collected by a larger study about oral health, quality of life and depressive symptoms in independently living older people, carried out during 2004/2005 in the city of Carlos Barbosa, in Southern Brazil. Carlos Barbosa is a city located in Rio Grande do Sul state, in the southern region of Brazil. The town is located 104 km north of Porto Alegre, the State capital, with a population composed mainly by people of European ancestry. The city had 20,519 inhabitants in 2000 and among them, 2,177 were aged 60 years or more, according to the Brazilian census of 2000. In addition, 74.5% of the households had fluoridated water and the remaining 25.5% were supplied by untreated drinking water from community wells.

Sampling

A simple random sampling design was used and the required sample size was estimated based on the outcome of coronal caries from a calculation composed of the prevalence of caries among non-depressed and depressed patients. This endpoint was used because it was the main purpose of the study and generated a larger sample size than the one calculated for the study of root caries, so that the latter estimate is more frequent (15). This calculation led to a sample of 202 individuals per group, giving a total of 404 participants in the study.

Only persons aged 60 years or more, living independently and with good general health were invited to take part in the study. Generally healthy persons were defined as persons whose physical, medical and mental status allowed them to undertake travel to participate in a comprehensive oral health examination in a clinical setting.

The participants were selected following simple random sampling strategy from the municipality register of persons aged 60 years or more. The subjects were contacted by telephone and invited to participate in the study. Out of the 872 persons who agreed to take part, 785 completed the protocol (i.e. older persons for whom questionnaires and oral examination information were available), where 390 had at least one tooth and were evaluated in the present study. Inclusion and exclusion criteria were already described elsewhere (15).

In order to verify whether the study participants were representative, socio-economical data were retrieved from municipality records, considering the older people that lived in the city. There were no significant differences in age, sex or location of residence between the study participants and the overall population living in Carlos Barbosa (15).

The study was developed after appreciation and approval by the Research Ethics Committee of the Piracicaba Dental School, UNICAMP, in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Socio-Demographic Variables

Employing a standardized questionnaire, the participants were asked about age, gender, income, schooling, geographic location and marital status. Age was measured in years. The geographical location of the participant’s residence was categorized as urban or rural, location being used as a proxy for access to fluoridated water, as 97.7% of the inhabitants of Carlos Barbosa living in an urban area and 81.2% of the inhabitants living in a rural area were supplied fluoridated water. For the analysis, the individual monthly income was categorized as ≥1 minimum wage or ≤1 minimum wage (i.e. ‘1 > BRL 260.00’ or ‘≤ BRL 260.00’, with BRL 260.00 equivalent to 1 minimum wage, or 83 USD, during the data collection period). Monthly income was categorized using the median split. Marital status was categorized as single, married, divorced or widowed. Schooling was categorized in three groups: four or less study years, between five and eight study years, or more than eight study years.

Behavioral Variables

Using a standardized questionnaire, the participants were asked about tobacco consumption, frequency of oral hygiene, use of medications and self-reported medical history. Smoking status was dichotomized into non-smoker/former smoker or current smoker; the frequency of tooth brushing was defined as brushing less than twice a week,

...
brushing one or two times a day, or brushing more than two times a day. The participants were invited to inform
how many medications they used and whether they
were prescribed by a physician. The number of prescribed
medications used by each participant was analyzed as a
continuous variable. If a participant was not sure about all
the prescriptions he/she was taking, he/she was asked to
take note of the prescriptions at home and was
informed by the research assistant that she would call them
at home to check the information with respect to medication
intake. The number of prescribed medications used by each
participant was obtained based on this information and
subsequently categorized into '0–1 medication' or '≥2
medications'. The self-reported medical history involved 19
diseases including cancer, hypertension, diabetes, kidney
disease, and cardiorespiratory disease, among others. The
participants were asked whether in previous physician visits,
the physician had mentioned any of the conditions above.
These conditions were dichotomized into presence of no or
one disease (absence of co-morbidity), or presence of two
or more diseases (presence of co-morbidity).

Evaluation of Depressive Symptoms
Depressive symptoms were evaluated by the GDS
(Geriatric Depression Scale), originally developed by
Yesavage et al. (16). In the current study, the data were
obtained by a reduced version validated in Brazil (GDS – 15),
which results in valid measures for the diagnosis of recurrent
depressive disorder according to ICD-10 (International
Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health
Problems, 10th Edition) and DSM-IV (Diagnostic and

Oral Examinations
Oral examinations were carried out in dental clinics in
the Public Health Services provided by the municipality, in
standardized conditions. Two previously trained experienced
dentists carried out all examinations. The plaque index
and the gingival bleeding index were evaluated in six sites
per tooth. All teeth were evaluated and the resulting score
was presence or absence of plaque in all evaluated sites.
The plaque examinations and the assessment of gingival
bleeding were made with an air syringe, artificial light,
odontoscope and periodontal probe. Mean plaque and
gingivitis indexes were calculated for each participant.
The root condition was evaluated using the Decayed Filled
Root (DF-R) index, according to the WHO criterion (18). All
teeth were evaluated, including third molars.

Unstimulated whole saliva was collected through the
spit method, as described by Navazesh and Christensen (19).
The unstimulated saliva flow was expressed in mL per 5 min.

Outcome
The outcome was the ratio of the number of teeth with
root cavities to the total teeth with roots at risk (teeth that
had gingival recession). The criteria for diagnosis of caries
followed the DMFT-root index. In addition, the interaction
between depressive symptoms and gender was tested, given
its scientific plausibility.

Statistical Analysis
Inter and intra examiner reliability was calculated for
the DMFT-r index and plaque index assessments using Kappa
statistics. In order to evaluate the internal consistency of
GDS, Cronbach's alpha coefficient was also calculated. A
detailed description of the examiners’ training and quality
control of the examinations was reported elsewhere (15).
The association between depressive symptoms and root
caries was investigated using robust and adjusted regression
models. The Poisson regression model, generally used to
model counting data, was shown as inappropriate due to
overdispersion (the ratio of the deviance to the degrees of
freedom was greater than one). Therefore, an alternative
negative binomial distribution was selected, able to look
at the behavior of overdispersed data. The independent
variables were initially tested in bivariate models. Variables
with p < 0.20 were included in the multivariate models. The
adequacy of the model was verified by assessing the ratio
of deviance to the degrees of freedom of the model, or
the dispersion parameter, so that a parameter value close
to zero indicates that the model would be similar to a
Poisson regression model. Analyses were performed using
SAS version 9.2 software.

Results
The Kappa coefficient for intra and inter examiner
reliability for the DMFT assessments before and during the
study varied between 0.98 and 1.00. The Kappa coefficient
for intra and inter examiner reliability for the plaque index
evaluation varied between 0.7 and 0.84. The Cronbach’s
alpha coefficient for the GDS was 0.77.

Approximately half of the sample was male (51.3%)
and the mean age was 66.87 ± 6.1 years (Table 1). Most
were married (80%), lived in an urban area (52.7%), had
an individual income equal or less than one minimum
wage (52.3%) and had up to four years of study (36.5%);
72.5% of them said they did not smoke. In relation to oral
hygiene behavior, 33% of the interviewees said that they
brushed their teeth more than twice a day. The prevalence
of root caries in the studied population was 74.2%
(n=288). The mean of the root caries/roots at risk ratio
was 0.26 ± 0.27. The prevalence of depressive symptoms
was 10.8%, or 41 individuals. The mean percentage of
sites with visible plaque was 67.8% ± 2.8 and the mean
gingival bleeding was 57.4% (±2.8).

Table 2 and Table 3 present the bivariate analysis for socio-economic and health behavior variables in relation to the outcome. The socio-economic and health behavior variables of age, living in a rural area, females, lower income, smoking and tooth brushing frequency showed had p>0.20 and were included in the multivariate model. The psychological variable of depressive symptoms, although it showed a high p value, was included in the multivariate model due to its epidemiological and clinical relevance. The oral ecology variables of plaque index, gingival bleeding index, saliva flow at rest and self-perception of oral health were also included in the multivariate model.

In the multivariate analysis (Table 4), the binomial regression revealed in its final model that age (β=0.03; p=0.001), living in a rural area (β=0.25; p=0.025), tooth brushing frequency (<twice a week) (β=0.43; p<0.018), saliva at rest flow (β=−0.012; p<0.000) and the interaction between gender and depressive symptoms (β=−0.099; p<0.001) were significantly associated with the root caries/root at risk ratio. The association of the interaction with the outcome meant that males with depressive symptoms were at increased risk for the outcome, since the negative signal for β represents females with no depressive symptoms.

### Table 1. Characteristics of the sample

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>(%)</th>
<th>Average(±sd)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>48.7%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>In years</td>
<td>66.87(±6.1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>52.7%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital Status</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Married</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital Status</td>
<td>Divorced</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Widowed</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>16.2%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤ four years of study</td>
<td></td>
<td>275</td>
<td>70.7%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schooling</td>
<td>≤ eight years of study</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>24.4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income</td>
<td>≥ minimum wage</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>52.3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoke</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>27.5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toothbrushing frequency</td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;2 X/day</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreressive symptoms</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>338</td>
<td>89.2%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plaque index</td>
<td>% of sites</td>
<td>67.58%(±2.8)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gingival bleeding index</td>
<td>% of sites</td>
<td>57.54%(±2.8)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rest saliva flow</td>
<td>ml/5 min</td>
<td>0.86(±0.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Root caries/Root at risk</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.26(±0.27)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2. Bivariate analysis of the association between the studied socio-demographical variables and the outcome of the study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>freq</th>
<th>Beta</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>95%</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.04-0.037</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>48.7%</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.107</td>
<td>-0.06-0.36</td>
<td>0.166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>51.3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>52.7%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>47.31</td>
<td>-0.1779</td>
<td>0.1071</td>
<td>-0.39-0.032</td>
<td>0.096</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income</td>
<td>≤1 minimum wage</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>52.31</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.108</td>
<td>0.07-0.49</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;1 minimum wage</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>47.69</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital Status</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Married</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>79.8%</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>0.467</td>
<td>-0.97-0.87</td>
<td>0.919</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Divorced</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td>0.615</td>
<td>-1.33-1.08</td>
<td>0.836</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Widowed</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>16.11</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.480</td>
<td>-0.81-1.1</td>
<td>0.780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤4 years of study</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>70.7%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schooling</td>
<td>≤8 years of study</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>24.4%</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>0.121</td>
<td>-0.27-0.21</td>
<td>0.795</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;8 years of study</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.242</td>
<td>-0.41-0.54</td>
<td>0.791</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Discussion

In the current study, socio-economical variables, including age and living in a rural area, health behavior variables, specifically tooth brushing frequency (≤twice a week) and an oral ecology variable, saliva flow, were independently associated with root caries. In addition, the interaction between the presence of depressive symptoms and the male gender was associated with the studied outcome. This means that older men with depressive symptoms were more likely to have more caries than either older men without depressive symptoms or women. Such finding confirms the hypothesis that psychological suffering, at least among men, may be associated with root caries, which is in accordance with the theoretical framework of caries in populations proposed by Holst et al (20). Depression symptoms may lead to changes in saliva flow (21) and immunity (22) and worsen health behaviors (4), favoring root caries development. This is one of the first studies that confirms the importance of psychological reactions to root caries among older people. Different mechanisms have been proposed to explain the significance of psychological suffering in the development of oral diseases, including an action intermediated by the effects of changes in health behavior due to depression and a direct action via the immunosuppression caused by the psychological disorders, such as depression and chronic stress with direct effects in the salivary innate immunity (4,10,21).

Among the behavior changes related to depression, modifications in the dietary habits, tooth brushing frequency and change in the visit pattern to the dentist have been mentioned in previous studies (10,13). Such findings suggest that behavior changes and worse health selfcare would be the ways by which the psychological suffering interferes in the development of dental caries (23). Furthermore, the human immune system may undergo important modifications after a psychological event like depression. In such cases, the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis is hyper-activated and stimulates an increase of cortisol secretion (22). The cortisol decreases the number of lymphocytes and circulating neutrophils. These cells act in the protection of the oral cavity attached to the saliva proteins that have bactericidal

Table 3. Bivariate Analysis. Association between behavioral, psychological, biological, and perceptive variables, studied in the outcome.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Freq</th>
<th>Beta</th>
<th>95% p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Smoke</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>8.44%</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.5 - 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>22.25%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;2x/week</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>9.46%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tooth brushing</td>
<td>1 or 2x/day</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>57.54%</td>
<td>-0.38</td>
<td>-0.71 - 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>frequency</td>
<td>&gt; 2x/day</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>32.99%</td>
<td>-0.45</td>
<td>-0.81 - 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quantity Medication/day</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>-0.04 - 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comorbidity</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>52.43%</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-0.19 - 0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>47.57%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>338</td>
<td>89.18%</td>
<td>-0.002</td>
<td>-0.34 - 0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>10.82%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perception of</td>
<td>Very good</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>6.65%</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>-0.20 - 1.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the oral health</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>53.96%</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>-0.49 - 1.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reasonable</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>34.02%</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>-0.15 - 1.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bad</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3.07%</td>
<td>0.7478</td>
<td>-0.24 - 1.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saliva</td>
<td>Unstimulated</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.018</td>
<td>-0.02 - 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plaque index</td>
<td>% Sites</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.01 - 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gingival bleeding</td>
<td>% Sites</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.01 - 0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Final model totally adjusted to the studied variables associated with the outcome

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Beta</th>
<th>Pattern deviation</th>
<th>Confidence interval</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>In years</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.0086</td>
<td>0.01 - 0.04</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>-0.23</td>
<td>0.1330</td>
<td>-0.49 - 0.02</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area</td>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.1135</td>
<td>0.03 - 0.47</td>
<td>0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income</td>
<td>Less than 1</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
<td>0.1183</td>
<td>-0.38 - 0.08</td>
<td>0.204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>minimum wage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;2x/week</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.1813</td>
<td>0.07 - 0.78</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tooth brushing</td>
<td>1 or 2x/day</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.1312</td>
<td>-0.23 - 0.27</td>
<td>0.891</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>frequency</td>
<td>&gt; 2x/day</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.00 - 0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saliva</td>
<td>Unstimulated</td>
<td>-0.012</td>
<td>0.1183</td>
<td>-0.38 - 0.01</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interaction</td>
<td>Females and</td>
<td>-0.99</td>
<td>0.3960</td>
<td>-1.77 - 0.21</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>between gender</td>
<td>absence of</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and depression</td>
<td>depression</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
and bacteriostatic roles (24). Despite this evidence, few studies have investigated the association between caries and depressive symptoms. In a study on the prevalence of depressive symptoms in an elderly population, Hugo et al. (25) found an association between depressive symptoms and coronal caries.

One of the mechanisms by which depression can act in men’s oral health is related to changes in their health behavior. Holst et al. (20) proposed a conceptual structure of dental caries, which postulates that psychological reactions interfere indirectly with dental caries. The present study findings confirm this model, even when taking into account the limitations of the analytical strategy.

According to Aleksejunie et al. (26), oral health behavior and the pattern of visits to the dentist have a more proximal effect on a negative oral health outcome in men than in women. However, depressive symptoms are also associated with worse health behavior. Antilla et al. (10) observed that male patients with depressive symptoms had a worse pattern of self-care behavior than those without such symptoms. Furthermore, these authors also observed that men with depression displayed high salivary lactobacilli counts compared with women. Although depression and male sex have not been independently associated with root caries, the interaction between these two variables seems to have a significant influence in the development of root caries in the studied population. It may be speculated that this interaction may reinforce worse health behavior among men, which in turn favors a negative oral health outcome.

The geographical location of the participants’ residence (Carlos Barbosa city) represents a proxy to access to fluoridated water. The study findings suggest that living in rural area, where the access to fluoridated water is practically inexistente, is associated with root caries. However, few studies of root caries prevalence have investigated an association between the geographical location of the individuals’ residence and the presence of root caries (6). In the context of the studied population, living in a rural area means that there is no access to this facility, the effectiveness of which is confirmed by several previous studies (20).

Saliva has a recognized role in the protection of dental sites. Besides helping in the cleaning of teeth, it is responsible for homeostasis of the oral cavity, preventing the decrease of the local pH and formation of a propitious means for the development of caries (20). Beyond this, few population studies have shown this role of saliva.

The presence of tooth brushing has an important role in the biofilm disorganization and re-mineralization of the dental tissue and can explain the appearance of new episodes of root caries (5). The results of the current study that low tooth brushing frequency, i.e. less than once a day, has shown to be associated with the studied outcome. To the best of authors’ knowledge, this is one of the first studies indicating an association between depression and root caries. In the only previous study found, there was an independent and not significant association between caries and depression. Sanchez-Garcia et al. (27) studied a predictive model for root caries in older people, which included biological and behavioral variables, but the variable depression was not included in the final model.

Some limitations must be considered when interpreting the results of the current study. First, data interpretation is subject to the effects of reverse causality due to the cross-sectional design of the study. Furthermore, professional plaque removal was not performed during oral examinations, which probably led to an underestimation of the diagnosis of root caries lesions.

The present findings suggest that depressive symptoms may be significant predictors of root caries in older men, if incorporate these additional findings alongside the existing evidence for the importance of psychological suffering as a determinant of oral health outcome. Further studies are required in order to elucidate these mechanisms, including behavior and psychoneuroimmunology factors, whether the effect is confirmed in other age groups and whether these factors are direct or intermediated by other proximal variables.

Resumo
O objetivo deste estudo foi avaliar a associação entre sintomas depressivos e cáries radiculares entre idosos independentes. Todos os idosos moradores da comunidade (não hospitalizados e não acamados) residentes em Carlos Barbosa, RS, foram convidados a participar, e 785 completaram o protocolo do estudo (questionário padronizado de avaliação sociodemográfica e comportamento de saúde, Escala de Depressão Geriátrica [GDS] - versão resumida e exame oral [Índice de CPQD-Raiz, índice de placa visível e fluxo salivar estimulado]). Destes, 390 participantes com pelo menos um dente natural foram incluídos na presente análise. O desfecho foi a razão entre o número de raízes em decomposição e o número de raízes em risco. A associação entre variáveis independentes (depressão e aspectos socioeconômicos) e o desfecho foram avaliados utilizando modelos de regressão binomial negativa. O modelo final totalmente ajustado revelou que a idade (β=0,03, p<0,001), sexo feminino (β=-0,23, p=0,08), vivendo em área rural (β=0,25, p=0,008), frequência de escovação (β=0,43, p=0,026) e o fluxo salivar estimulado (β=-0,012, p<0,0001) foram significativamente associados à presença de cárie radicular. Além disso, a interação entre sexo masculino e a presença de sintomas de depressão (β=-0,09, p=0,012) também foi independente e significativamente associada com cáries radiculares. A interação entre sexo masculino e sintomas de depressão foi associada com cárie radicular, sugerindo que mecanismos psicológicos podem estar envolvidos indiretamente no desenvolvimento de cáries radiculares em adultos mais velhos.
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