Introduction

The existing standard of care for early childhood caries (ECC) consists of surgical and restorative treatments, with little emphasis on prevention and management of the disease (1). However, the simplicity and accessibility of preventive treatment with silver diamine fluoride (SDF) have stood out since 1969 (2). SDF is considered to be an effective agent for the prevention and control of dental caries, including in deciduous teeth (3,4). An in vitro study (1) indicated that SDF solution is a more effective anticariogenic agent than fluoride varnish in areas with fluoridated water. This product has been used in various concentrations in public and private health services (5) and it is indicated for pediatric patients who do not cooperate with complex restorative procedures and who have high caries risk or activity in deciduous teeth (6,7).

The mechanism of action of SDF has been linked to the formation of silver phosphate on the tooth surface, fluoride uptake in dentin and blocking of dentinal tubules through silver precipitates. The tooth mineral component increases peri- and intertubular dentin resistance to acid demineralization. Cariogenic bacteria (e.g., cariogenic strains of *Streptococcus mutans*) are inactivated when enzymatic activity is inhibited and agglutination is induced by dextran (3,5,8,9).

SDF application to the teeth results in the significant reduction of salivary *S. mutans* levels (10). As bacterial recolonization has been observed a few days thereafter, strict control of the diet is important (11). Annual SDF application has been shown to prevent new caries and halt the progression of existing lesions with a high success rate (5). Throughout history, silver has been used as an antimicrobial agent with a broad performance spectrum (12). In the 1970s, interest in this therapy reappeared due to bacterial resistance to certain antibiotics (5). In demineralized teeth SDF blackens the carious tooth structure due to oxidation of the silver ions created during its formulation (1,3,10). This feature limits its clinical use in patients who have aesthetic demands (4). To reduce the aesthetic damage caused by pigmentation, a cariostatic agent with silver nanoparticles has been studied, as evidence suggests that it does not cause darkening in demineralized teeth (8,13). The antimicrobial mechanism of silver nanoparticles is related to their ability to penetrate the bacterial cell wall, impairing direct and indirect lipid...
peroxidation and thereby interrupting DNA replication and inhibiting cellular respiration (14,15). An important antimicrobial toxicity parameter of silver nanoparticles is the surface area of the nanomaterial, once higher concentration of silver ions are observed in larger area of silver nanoparticles, leading to greater intracellular bioavailability of silver (15). Once silver nanoparticles are more efficient and/or more convenient to administer, these have lower therapeutic toxicity and can help reduce the costs of health care (16).

The development of new cariostatic agents motivated us to perform this in vitro study in human deciduous enamel, as previous studies have used human (10) or bovine (17) permanent enamel. The study hypothesis was that the tested cariostatic agent with silver nanoparticles would show remineralization potential in deciduous dental enamel and bactericidal activity against S. mutans, Escherichia coli and Enterococcus faecalis.

Material and Methods

This study involved mechanical testing of superficial and internal microhardness (MH) and antimicrobial activity.

Specimens and Block Preparation

A total of 100 intact human deciduous molars that had erupted and exfoliated naturally were used in this study. The teeth were stored in 0.1% thymol solution until the study began. This local ethics committee approved this research (Protocol #913.639).

The teeth were cleaned with periodontal curettes (Hu-Friedy Mfg. Co, Chicago, IL, USA) and debris was removed completely with pumice and a Robinson brush. The roots of all teeth were sectioned transversely 2 mm above the dentinoenamel junction with a double-sided diamond disc (KG Sorensen, Barueri, SP, Brazil). A total of 100 enamel blocks (4×4×3 mm) were made using a double-sided diamond disc. These blocks were fixed dentin side up in acrylic discs with sticky wax and abraded for 1 min with silicon carbide (SiC) sandpaper (400 grit; Carburundum Abrasivos, Recife, Brazil) in a polisher (APL4; Arotec, Cotia, SP, Brazil) to plane the dentin surfaces. The blocks were then removed from the discs, inverted and refixed with the enamel surfaces exposed. These surfaces were planed with SiC sandpaper (400, 600 and 1200 grit) and then polished with 1 µm diamond paste and felt discs (Arotec). The blocks were placed in an ultrasonic tank with distilled water (Unique Indústria Comércio Produtos Eletrônicos Ltda, São Paulo, SP, Brazil) for 10 min to remove the waste. Red nail polish was then used to delimit a 7-mm² area of exposed enamel parallel to the base of the acrylic on each specimen for microhardness testing.

Initial Microhardness Testing and Block Selection

Three impressions of each specimen were taken at a distance of 100 µm, with a static load of 25 g applied for 5 s to the central region of the block using a Knoop-type penetrator (KHN) (HMV-G; Shimadzu, Tokyo, Japan). Initial superficial MH values were obtained and the overall average MH of the 100 enamel blocks was calculated (KHN = 321.89±40.78). Blocks with values 10% above or below this average were excluded from the study. The remaining blocks were divided randomly into six experimental groups (n=11). Analysis of variance (ANOVA) verified the homogeneity of the groups (p=0.463) (Table 1).

Initial Caries-Like Lesion Production and Superficial Microhardness Testing

Blocks in G1-G5 were subjected to pH cycling at 37 °C for 8 days, immersed for 2 h in demineralizing solution (0.05 mol/L acetate buffer [pH 5.0] containing 1.28 mmol/L Ca, 0.74 mmol/L P, 0.03 µg/mL F) and then immersed for 22 h in remineralizing solution (0.1 mol/L Tris buffer [pH 7.0] containing 1.5 mmol/L Ca, 0.9 mmol/L P, 150 mmol/L KCl, 0.05 mg F/mL) (17). The volume of de- and remineralizing solution per area exposed enamel surface was 6.25 and 3.12 mL/mm² respectively (18). The blocks

Table 1. Division of the experimental groups (n=11) and characterization of the cariostatic agents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Composition</th>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G1</td>
<td>Saforide®</td>
<td>38% Silver diamine fluoride [Ag(NH₃)₂F]</td>
<td>Toyo Seiyaku Kasei CO., LTD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G2</td>
<td>Cariestop®</td>
<td>30% silver nitrate, ammonium hydroxide, hydrochloric acid and deionized water</td>
<td>Biodinâmica Química e Farmacêutica LTDA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G3</td>
<td>Ancarie®</td>
<td>30% silver nitrate, ammonium hydroxide, hydrochloric acid and purified water</td>
<td>Maquira Indústria de Produtos Odontológicos LTDA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4</td>
<td>Ag-Nano*</td>
<td>0.016% silver nitrate and sodium citrate</td>
<td>AAF do Brasil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G5</td>
<td>Enamel with pH-cycling</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G6</td>
<td>Intact enamel</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Experimental cariostatic agent - not commercially available.
were subjected to MH testing, as described above, to verify enamel demineralization.

Cariostatic Agent Application and Final Superficial Microhardness Testing

After superficial MH testing of blocks with caries-like lesions, prophylaxis was performed with water, pumice and a Robinson brush on blocks in G1-G4. The enamel blocks were then washed and dried and the cariostatic agents (Table 1) were applied with microbrushes to the enamel surfaces for 3 min, followed by MH testing to evaluate enamel remineralization.

The initial, demineralized, and final MH values in G1-G5 were used to calculate the percentage of superficial remineralization (%MH) using the formula: %MH = (final MH - demineralized MH)/(initial MH - demineralized MH)×100 (17). The negative control samples (group 5) showed decreased %MH because they were subjected to in vitro caries-like lesion production (pH cycling), but no cariostatic agent application. The positive control samples (group 6) showed no change in MH because they were not subjected to pH cycling or cariostatic agent application.

Analysis of Internal Microhardness

To evaluate the internal effects of the cariostatic agents on dental enamel, blocks in G1-G6 were sectioned along their long axes with a diamond disc in a precision cutter (Isomet 1000; Buehler Ltd., Lake Bluff, IL, USA). The inner surfaces were then abraded with SiC sandpaper (400, 600 and 1200 grit) and polished with felt discs and 1-µm diamond paste. Internal MH was measured at 10-, 30-, 50-, 70- and 90-µm depths with three impressions taken at a distance of 100 µm (19). The percentage of mineral volume (%VM) was determined using the formula: %VM = 4.3(KHN)1/2+11.3 (19).

Microorganism Cultivation

For in vitro evaluation of the inhibitory effects of the cariostatic agents, strains of S. mutans UA 159, E. faecalis ATCC 29212 and E. coli ATCC 25922 were used. The bacteria were maintained on brain-heart infusion (BHI) agar plates (Acumedia Neogen do Brasil, Indaiatuba, SP, Brazil) until cultivation for testing. Bacteria were grown for 12 h in liquid BHI at 37 °C (and 5% CO2 for S. mutans). Suspensions with turbidities of 0.5 McFarland were prepared with PBS, and diluted 1:100 with Mueller-Hinton medium.

Serial dilutions (1:2, 50 μL) of the cariostatic agents were inserted into the wells of a 96-well plate with a U-shaped bottom. Then, 50 μL bacteria suspension was added to each well. Wells without cariostatic agent were used as positive controls, and wells without cariostatic agent or microorganism were used as negative controls. After 24 h incubation at 37 °C, the plates were analyzed visually to determine the MIC of each cariostatic agent able to inhibit 100% bacterial growth.

Evaluation of Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC)

The broth microdilution method was performed according to the standards of the National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards (M07-A10) (20). The microorganisms were grown in liquid BHI culture medium for 16 h at 37 °C (and 5% CO2 for S. mutans). Suspensions with turbidities of 0.5 McFarland were prepared with PBS, and diluted 1:100 with Mueller-Hinton medium.

Serial dilutions (1:2, 50 μL) of the cariostatic agents were inserted into the wells of a 96-well plate with a U-shaped bottom. Then, 50 μL bacteria suspension was added to each well. Wells without cariostatic agent were used as positive controls, and wells without cariostatic agent or microorganism were used as negative controls. After 24 h incubation at 37 °C, the plates were analyzed visually to determine the MIC of each cariostatic agent able to inhibit 100% bacterial growth.

Statistical Analysis

The data were submitted to the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test for normality. Because %MH, ADT and MIC data showed normality, we used the Kruskal-Wallis test, followed by Dunn’s test (p < 0.05). For internal microhardness data, which were distributed normally, we used ANOVA followed by Tukey’s test (p<0.05).

Results

Internal MH (according to %VM) differed significantly according to treatment and depth (both p<0.001; Fig. 1), with no interaction between these factors (p=0.087).
The superficial MH of Saforide® increased significantly compared with that of Ag-Nano (p<0.05), but Cariestop® and Ancarie® were statistically similar (p>0.05) to Saforide® and Ag-Nano (Table 2).

The ADF results are presented in Table 3; all cariostatic presented inhibition halos. However, the Saforide®-treated specimens showed statistically larger inhibition halos, followed by Cariestop®, Ancarie® and Ag-Nano.

In relation to MIC, all cariostatic tested in this study were able to inhibit the microorganisms. The Ag-Nano to S. mutans and E. coli was necessary a concentration 5 times lower than that of Saforide®, 7.5 times lower than that of Cariestop®, and 36.25 times lower than that of Ancarie®. However, for E. faecalis, the Ag-Nano compared with Saforide® and Cariestop®, was necessary 72.5 times lower than that of Ancarie® (Table 4).

**Table 2. Percentage of superficial remineralization after cariostatic agent application**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experimental group</th>
<th>%MH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Saforide®</td>
<td>28.55 ± 11.75 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cariestop®</td>
<td>24.64 ± 17.67 ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ancarie®</td>
<td>16.03 ± 9.89 ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AG-Nano</td>
<td>14.63 ± 13.38 b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation. Means followed by different letters are significantly different by Dunn’s test (p<0.05).

**Table 3. Inhibition zones of cariostatic agents by microorganism**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Microorganism</th>
<th>Saforide®</th>
<th>Cariestop®</th>
<th>Ancarie®</th>
<th>AG-Nano</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S. mutans</td>
<td>4.18 ± 0.14 Aa</td>
<td>1.97 ± 0.52 Bb</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.00 Bc</td>
<td>1.31 ± 0.08 Ac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. faecalis</td>
<td>4.30 ± 0.16 Aa</td>
<td>3.27 ± 0.42 Ab</td>
<td>2.29 ± 0.40 Ac</td>
<td>1.62 ± 0.19 Ad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. coli</td>
<td>4.21 ± 0.13 Aa</td>
<td>3.26 ± 0.37 Ab</td>
<td>2.00 ± 0.20 Ac</td>
<td>1.32 ± 0.06 Ac</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data (in mm) are expressed as mean (standard deviation). Means followed by different letters (lowercase in line; uppercase in columns) are significantly different by Dunn’s test (p<0.05).

**Table 4. Minimum inhibitory concentrations of cariostatic agents by microorganism**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Microorganism</th>
<th>Cariostatic agent (µg/mL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Saforide®</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. mutans</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. faecalis</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. coli</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*100% growth inhibition after 24 h incubation.

**Discussion**

SDF is known to effectively prevent and control dental caries (3,6). It is used in different concentrations in deciduous teeth with a high risk of disease or caries activity (7). However, the use of SDF stains caries tissues dark brown and may cause prejudice against those individuals due to their stained teeth (13). Thus, this study evaluated the remineralization and bactericidal potential using an cariostatic agent with silver nanoparticles, without staining the tooth. The results of this study provide support for the hypothesis tested. Ag-Nano showed the ability to remineralize 14.63% of enamel, comparable to the findings of Cardoso et al. (17) for Duraphat™ varnish, which was able to remineralize 12.7% of enamel. Ag-Nano inhibited 100% of microbial activity at a lower concentration than required for commercial formulations of Saforide® and Cariestop® (12-14,21). Thus, the use of SDF can halt the clinical progression of ECC and/or delay definitive care until the child presents sufficient cooperation for conventional treatment (10), without the darkening effect caused by silver precipitations (8,14).

Superficial MH has been used as a reliable indicator of the effectiveness of dental enamel remineralization. Based on this indicator, Saforide® presented the greatest remineralizing effect on the deciduous enamel surface, followed by Cariestop®, Ancarie® and Ag-Nano (Table 2). These results concur with those of Duangthip et al. (9). This study also showed the progressive improvement in internal enamel remineralization (internal microhardness) (Fig. 1) achieved by Ancarie®, Cariestop® and Saforide®, corroborating the findings of Suzuki et al. (22). SDF prepared with silver nanoparticles effectively increases strength and impedes the progression of caries in dentin in deciduous teeth, with the advantages of no blackening of the dental tissue and no metallic taste (8). In this in vitro study, Ag-Nano solution showed promise for superficial enamel remineralization. These findings demonstrate the effectiveness of SDF solutions, laying the foundation for paradigm changes in pediatric dentistry (8,23).

Despite scientific evidence for the benefits of SDF use on deciduous teeth (1,3-5), its mechanism of action is not fully understood (24). Questions regarding the ideal concentration of silver ions, the appropriate range of clinical application time and the antimicrobial action of SDF persist (9,21,25).

According to Santos et al. (8), nano-silver compounds do not form oxides when contacting oxygen in the medium, not leading to the darkening of the demineralized enamel.
The size reduction of the silver nanoparticles involves an increase in the contact surface, which is an important condition for the antimicrobial effects of silver and could prevent black staining in teeth, as occurs after application of SDF. Thus, a powerful antimicrobial activity without esthetic modifications and low production cost may be achieved with the use of silver nanoparticles for the treatment of dental caries (24).

In this study, we performed ADT and determined MICs to quantitatively evaluate the lowest concentration required to inhibit bacterial growth, respectively, in this study. Although these microbiological tests are simple, they effectively demonstrated the inhibitory activity of SDF on S. mutans, E. faecalis and E. coli. The concentration of the cariostatic agent solution and its microbial effect were positively observed, where the inhibition halos corresponding to Saforide® against S. mutans showed the largest inhibition zone, with 4.18 mm, in agreement with the findings of Montandon and Sperança (21), who have found inhibition halos of 6.70 mm. Regarding the antimicrobial action of experimental solutions of silver nanoparticles in the prevention of dental caries in children, data from Targino et al. (13, 14) are similar to those obtained in the present study. MIC values demonstrate the superiority of Ag–Nano, as it inhibited microbial proliferation 36.25 fold lower than that of Ancarie®, similar to reported by Targino et al. (13) who found values 33.54 fold lower when comparing the antimicrobial activity of chlorhexidine and silver nanoparticles. This may have occurred due to the size of the silver nanoparticles. In the current study was used a low concentration (Table 1) of silver nanoparticles, thus, we believe that the size is more important than the nanoparticles concentration in the antimicrobial activity.

In a clinical evaluation, Santos et al. (8) also showed that cariostatic solutions prepared with silver nanoparticles are promising options for the prevention and treatment of dental caries in children because of their antimicrobial activity.

Fluoride effectively prevents dental caries by inhibiting demineralization and promoting remineralization of the enamel (13). Thus, we must consider the lack of fluoride in the Ag–Nano experimental solution because of the difficulty of stabilizing silver nanoparticles in contact with fluoride. We can speculate that, if fluoride was present in the formulation, there will be an increase on superficial and internal enamel remineralization of the dental enamel. Thus, future studies with the union of fluoride with the silver nanoparticles are necessary. Targino et al. (13) evaluated cytotoxic activity using an experimental solution contains 12,880 μg/mL nano silver fluoride, 399.33 μg/mL silver nanoparticles, 2,334 μg/mL chitosan and 10,147 μg/mL fluoride, but the effect on enamel surface was not tested. Therefore, based on the results of this study and changes in paradigms related to the prevention and treatment of dental caries, the efficacy of the cariostatic solution based on silver nanoparticles can be confirmed because of its re-mineralizing and antimicrobial action.

Under the conditions of this study, it may be concluded that Ag–Nano re-mineralized deciduous dental enamel and showed bactericidal activity against S. mutans, E. faecalis and E. coli.

Resumo
O tratamento com cariostático tem demostrado sucesso na paralização da cárie. No entanto, causa escurcimento da estrutura dental cariada. Este estudo avaliou os efeitos de um agente cariostático experimental com nanopartículas de prata (Ag–Nano) através de microbioreduza (MD) e testes microbiológicos. Os cariostáticos testados foram: Saforide®, Cariestop®, Ancarie® e Ag–Nano. Sessenta e seis amostras de esmalte deciduo foram submetidos a MD inicial (após ciclagem de pH para obtenção da lesão de cárie inicial) e final (após aplicação dos cariostáticos), e os valores da porcentagem (%) de MD foram calculados. Após secção longitudinal, a MD interna (I) foi avaliada. Ceps de Streptococcus mutans, Escherichia coli e E. faecalis foram cultivados em âmbar de infusão de cérebro e coração (BHI) e submetidos aos cariostáticos testados. Além disso, foram realizados testes de difusão em âmbar (TDA) e avaliação da concentração mínima inhibitória (CIM) dos cariostáticos. Os testes estatísticos usados foram: Kruskall-Wallis e Dunn (%MD; TDA; CIM) e ANOVA seguido de teste de Tukey (MD-I) (p<0.05). A %MD do Saforide® foi significativamente maior do que a de Ag–Nano (p<0.05). A MD interna apresentou melhora progressiva na remineralização do esmalte para todos os cariostáticos testados. Os resultados do TDA mostraram que S. mutans, E. faecalis e E. coli sofreram maior inibição pelo Saforide® (p<0.05), em relação ao Ancarie® e Ag–Nano. No entanto, para o teste de CIM o Ag–Nano foi capaz de inibir 100% dos microorganismos, em menor concentração do que os demais cariostáticos. Conclui-se que, o tratamento Ag–Nano foi capaz de promover remineralização do esmalte deciduo com lesão de cárie inicial e apresentou atividade bactericida.
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