In Vitro Antimicrobial Effect of Bioadhesive Oral Membrane with Chlorhexidine Gel
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This study aimed to evaluate in vitro the antimicrobial effect of a bioadhesive chitosan-based oral membrane with chlorhexidine for local treatment of infections in the oral tissues. Five oral membranes of different compositions were tested: 5% chitosan (G1), 5% chitosan ± 0.2% chlorhexidine (G2), 5% chitosan ± 0.6% chlorhexidine (G3), 5% chitosan ± 1.0% chlorhexidine (G4), and 5% chitosan ± 2.0% chlorhexidine (G5). Also, five gel types were tested according to the following compositions: 5% chitosan gel (G6), 0.2% chlorhexidine gel (G7), 2.0% chlorhexidine gel (G8), 5% chitosan gel ± 0.2% chlorhexidine gel (G9), and 5% chitosan gel ± 2.0% chlorhexidine gel (G10). The antimicrobial action of the samples was tested against Candida albicans and Streptococcus mutans through an antibiogram by measuring the inhibition halos. Data were statistically analyzed by Kruskal-Wallis and one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey test (p<0.05). The 2.0% chlorhexidine membrane (G5) and the disks containing 2.0% chlorhexidine gel (G8) showed the greatest inhibition halos for both microorganisms, with statistically significant difference when compared to others tested groups (p<0.008) only for Candida albicans inhibitions results. All the other formulations of membranes and gels showed inhibition halos, but without statistically significant difference. The bioadhesive chitosan-based oral membrane with 2% chlorhexidine and 2% chlorhexidine gel were the most effective in inhibiting the tested microorganisms.

Introduction

Dentistry requires therapeutic alternatives to be applied on surgical wounds and wounds caused by physical and/or chemical trauma, periodontal and dental pulp infections, and other types of ulcerated oral lesions (1-5). The development of an alternative vehicle to allow the releasing and the contact of the drug with the affected tissue, for a longer time, will greatly contribute to repair (6-8).

Biomaterials allow interaction and repair of a biological system (1,9-10). Key features such as biodegradability and biocompatibility are fundamental for new biomaterials to be developed in medical and dental area (5-6,11-12). Chitosan is a biopolymer obtained from chitin and has interesting properties for the production and development of new "drug-delivery" biomedical products, allowing to be used as bioadhesive membranes, gels, films, and capsules (6-7,13-14). Recently, the chitosan has gained popularity for its use as a matrix molecule for drug delivery with upcoming utility and often used in medicine and dentistry (15-16). Wieckiewicz et al. (16) affirmed it can be applied in all fields of dentistry including preventive dentistry, conservative dentistry, endodontics, surgery, periodontology, prosthodontics and orthodontics.

Chlorhexidine is routinely used in dentistry to control infections, thus helping in wound repair. This drug is often used in gel formulation in concentrations between 0.12% to 5% presenting a bactericidal and bacteriostatic properties, and is considered the "gold standard" of oral antiseptics, with the disadvantage of not having the intrinsic ability to bind to the oral tissues. In this context, the association of chlorhexidine with chitosan-based drug-delivery membrane seems to be a promising alternative for local treatment of infections in the oral tissues (2-3,5,17-20).

Therefore, the creation of oral membranes associated with chlorhexidine as a new "drug-delivery" system, malleable as the oral tissues from the mouth, with adhesion and continuous liberation of the medicament seems to be a viable solution to a constant problem observed in dentistry, which has currently available only the medicaments in gel or solution that has less contact time with the tissues and therefore end up with a low effectiveness in the control of oral mucosal infections.

In this sense, this study aimed to evaluate in vitro the antimicrobial effect of a bioadhesive chitosan-based oral membrane with chlorhexidine for local treatment of infections in the oral tissues.

Material and Methods

The preparation of chitosan gels and drugs, as well...
as the production of oral membranes, followed the same procedures and development described by SILVA et al. (5).

Preparation of Chitosan Gels: the chitosan gel was prepared by dissolving 5% of chitosan (m/v) (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MN, USA) in 5% of an acetic acid solution with a range between 1% to 5% (v/v). The solution was kept under stirring for a time ranging from 8 to 30 hr. Thereafter, a percentage range between 0.5 to of 3% of glycerol were added to the gels (Fig. 1).

For preparation of oral membranes, multilayer membranes were prepared with individual layers of 5% chitosan gel and the drug layer among them. Each layer was placed individually and dried inside an oven at 30 °C for 15 min. After that, the three-layer hybrid membrane was placed in an oven for 24 h for final drying (5).

Five oral membranes of different compositions were tested: 5% chitosan (G1); 5% chitosan ± 0.2% chlorhexidine (G2), 5% chitosan ± 0.6% chlorhexidine (G3), 5% chitosan ± 1.0% chlorhexidine (G4), and 5% chitosan ± 2.0% chlorhexidine (G5). Also, five gel types were tested according to the following compositions: 5% chitosan gel (G6), 0.2% chlorhexidine gel (G7), 2.0% chlorhexidine gel (G8), 5% chitosan gel ± 0.2% chlorhexidine gel (G9), and 5% chitosan gel ± 2.0% chlorhexidine gel (G10).

Evaluations of antimicrobial action: for evaluations of antimicrobial action, samples of Candida albicans and Streptococcus mutans were used. Cultures of these microorganisms were obtained by inoculation in 5 mL of culture medium brain heart infusion (BHI) with incubation at 37°C for 24 h. After the incubation period, the microorganisms were then suspended and read for confirmation of strains by spectrophotometry at 660 nm (OD 800) turbidity of 1.5x10^8 cfu mL⁻¹ equivalent to 0.5 McFarland standard. Three discs with 6 mm diameter weighing 1.5gr of the membranes and of paper soaked in sterile gels were prepared for microbial testing.

Petri dishes (90x15 mm), containing either Sabouraud agar (Difco™, Detroit, MI, USA) or 20% Sucrose-Bacitracin (SB20-Difco™) were used for testing C. albicans and S. mutans, respectively. The Petri dishes were inoculated with 0.1 mL of each microorganism culture using sterile swabs on the plated medium, disks of the membranes, and gels

Figure 1. (A) Final aspect of chitosan gels, color and texture; (B) Chitosan gel before membranes manufacture; (C) Final aspect of chlorhexidine gels, color and texture; (D) Chlorhexidine gels before soak the test disc paper.
to be tested. The plates were taken for incubation and growth of microorganisms in bacteriological oven at 37°C for a period of 48 h (Fig. 2).

Zones of microorganism growth inhibition around the membrane and gel disk samples were measured after the incubation period. The inhibition zone was measured by two blinded and previously calibrated evaluators, considering the circumference radius, that is, the straight line between the inhibition halo margins passing through the center of the disc/membrane. The measurement was performed with the aid of millimetric sterile rules (0.01 mm) and repeated 3 times. Data were statistically analyzed by Kruskal-Wallis and one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey test (p<0.05).

Results

Five groups of bioadhesive chitosan-based oral membrane (G1 to G5) were tested to evaluate the antimicrobial potential against C. albicans and S. mutans. The inhibition halo formed for each oral membrane was measured and the mean and standard deviation values obtained (Table 1). To compare, the antimicrobial effect of five gel types against the same microorganisms was also tested (G6 to G10) (Table 2).

The 2.0% chlorhexidine membrane (G5) and the disks containing 2.0% chlorhexidine gel (G8) showed the greatest inhibition halos for both microorganisms, with statistically significant difference when compared to others tested groups (p=0.008) only for C. albicans inhibitions results. All the other formulations of membranes and gels showed inhibition halos, but without statistically significant difference.

Discussion

From a pharmaceutical and dental point of view, chitosan-based biomaterial production is a reality, mainly in tissue engineering and as "drug-delivery systems".
The biocompatibility and other favorable properties of chitosan in the health field are described by several studies (1,11-12,21), demonstrating the diverse applications of this polymer in both tissue regeneration and as a facilitating agent in drug delivering (2,5,8,20).

In dentistry, in the presence of oral mucosa lesions, the treatment options are limited to antiseptic gels and solutions, which have poor adhesion to moist mucosas, decreasing the treatment effectiveness (3,19,21). The membranes developed and tested in this study was the same tested by SILVA et al., 2017 (5) and has the main property the bioadhesiveness and have been comprehensively tested during their development, demonstrating good physical and chemical stability of the components as well as pH near to 7.0 (5).

Because these membranes would have an intimate contact with the tissues and would gradually release the antimicrobial agent, promoting the healing of the injured region, they were tested regarding biocompatibility showing good interaction with the mucosa cells, in addition to the effective capacity of drug release demonstrated by a semi-quantitative evaluation of the release of chlorhexidine digluconate in phosphate buffer solution (PBS) and by mass loss tests previously performed (2-3,5,10).

Chitosan has antimicrobial action, which was increased by the association with chlorhexidine, showing a high antimicrobial potential (22-23). In this study, we opted to use chlorhexidine as antimicrobial agent in association with chitosan due to wide application of this drug in dentistry and the control of infections of oral tissues (3,8,17,21). The rationale behind this fact was to obtain membranes with increased contact time between the drug and the oral tissues, which is greatly advantageous over oral solutions and gels.

Because of the valuable properties showed by chitosan and the need to develop an unprecedented method to promote the oral mucosa repair and decontamination, this study aimed to develop the antimicrobial oral membrane by analyzing against C. albicans and S. mutans. Chitosan had the function of structural and antimicrobial component of the oral membrane. Decker et al. (24) and Ballal et al. (21) revealed that chitosan associated with chlorhexidine has antimicrobial effect. Moreover, chitosan has hemostatic action and stimulates healing. Burkatovskaya et al. (9) showed a hemostatic chitosan-based oral membrane to control bleeding and prevent microbial infection when in contact with surgical wounds. This oral membrane was bactericide compared with curative alginate and silver sulfadiazine after contact against surgical wounds. This oral membrane showed a hemostatic chitosan-based oral membrane to control bleeding and prevent microbial infection when in contact with surgical wounds. This oral membrane.
Resumo
O objetivo deste estudo foi avaliar in vitro o efeito antimicrobiano de uma bandagem oral bioadesiva de quitosana com clorexidina para o tratamento de infecções dos tecidos orais. Cinco bandagens de diferentes composições foram testadas: Quitosana 5% (G1); Quitosana 5% ± clorexidina a 0,2% (G2); Quitosana 5% ± clorexidina a 0,6% (G3); Quitosana 5% ± clorexidina a 1,0% (G4) e Quitosana 5% ± clorexidina a 2,0% (G5). Foram testados também 5 tipos de géis nas seguintes composições: Gel de Quitosana 5% (G6), Gel de clorexidina a 0,2% (G7), Gel de clorexidina a 2,0% (G8), Gel de Quitosana 5% ± clorexidina a 0,2% (G9) e Gel de Quitosana 5% ± clorexidina a 2,0% (G10). A ação antimicrobiana das amostras foi testada contra Candida albicans e Streptococcus mutans por meio do antibiograma, medindo o halo de inibição. Os dados foram analisados pelo teste de Kruskal–Wallis e ANOVA a um critério seguido pelo teste de Tukey (p<0,05). A membrana com 2,0% de clorexidina (G5) e os discos contendo gel com 2,0% de clorexidina (G8) apresentaram os maiores halos de inibição para os dois microrganismos, com diferença estatisticamente significativa em relação aos demais grupos testados (p<0,008) apenas nos resultados de inibição de C. albicans. Todas as outras formulações de membranas e géis apresentaram halo de inibição, mas sem diferença estatisticamente significativa. A bandagem oral bioadesiva de quitosana com gel de 2% de clorexidina foi a mais efetiva em inibir os microrganismos testados.
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