Diagnosis of bovine tuberculosis: review of main techniques

D. F. Ramos\textsuperscript{a}, P. E. A. Silva\textsuperscript{b} and O. A. Dellagostin\textsuperscript{a}\textsuperscript{*}

\textsuperscript{a}Núcleo de Biotecnologia, Centro de Desenvolvimento Tecnológico – CDTec, Universidade Federal de Pelotas – UFPEL, CP 354, CEP 96010-900, Pelotas, RS, Brazil
\textsuperscript{b}Laboratório de Micobactérias, Faculdade de Medicina, Universidade Federal de Rio Grande – FURG, Rua General Osório, s/n, CEP 96200-190, Rio Grande, RS, Brazil
\textsuperscript{*}e-mail: odirad@terra.com.br

Received: December 10, 2013 – Accepted: July 7, 2014 – Distributed: November 30, 2015

Abstract
Bovine tuberculosis (BTB) remains an important economic and zoonotic problem in Latin America. Traditionally, the fight against BTB is initiated by the implementation of routine diagnostic tests for certification of free properties. The diagnosis of BTB can be made by direct and indirect methods, in which we can mention clinical, post mortem, histopathological, immunological, bacteriological and molecular methods. The renewal of scientific interest in tuberculosis in recent year has led to develop and improve methods of diagnosis, prevention, control and eradication of BTB. The aim of this review is to present and discuss different diagnosis methods of BTB.
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Diagnóstico de tuberculose bovina: revisão das principais técnicas

Resumo
A tuberculose bovina (BTB) continua sendo um importante problema econômico na América Latina, com potenciais consequências zoonóticas. Tradicionalmente, a luta contra a tuberculose bovina tem sido iniciada pela execução de testes de diagnóstico de rotina para a certificação de propriedades livres da doença. O diagnóstico de BTB pode ser feito através de métodos diretos e indiretos, nos quais podemos citar os métodos clínicos, post mortem, histopatológicos, imunológicos, bacteriológicos e moleculares. A renovação do interesse científico em tuberculose nos últimos anos tem levado à necessidade de desenvolver e melhorar os métodos de diagnóstico, prevenção, controle e erradicação da BTB. O objetivo deste artigo é analisar e discutir sobre os diferentes métodos de diagnóstico de BTB.
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1. Introduction
Bovine tuberculosis (BTB) is a chronic bacterial disease caused by Mycobacterium bovis, which can also infect and cause tuberculosis (TB) in badgers, deer goats, pigs, camelids (llamas and alpacas), dogs and cats, as well as man and other mammals (OIE, 2009). This disease is still common in developing countries and severe economic losses can occur from livestock deaths, chronic disease and trade restrictions. In some situations, BTB may also be a serious threat to endangered species. Consequently, about 70% of the cattle bred in Latin America are held in areas with high disease prevalence and nearly 17% in areas virtually free from BTB (de Kantor and Ritacco, 2006).

The disease is a major cause of economic losses, both in relation to individual herds, and for the economy of the countries where it still occurs. Brazil launched a nationwide program of BTB control in 2001, and added further regulations in 2004 (Brasil, 2006). According to a tuberculin testing survey conducted in 1998, an average of 7.1% of herds was infected, ranging from 2.8% in the Central West to 58.3% in the Northern regions. M. bovis has been isolated from livestock pathological specimens (bovine, buffalo and swine), and from raw milk, confirming the risk for humans ingesting raw or un-pasteurized milk, as well as under-cooked animal products (Leite et al., 2003).

As the cattle industry is one of the highlights of Brazilian agribusiness, with the second largest herd in the world, with about 205 million head of cattle (IBGE, 2009). Official rate is 1.3% of the national herd infected with M. bovis, which represent a large number in the order of 2.5 million animals. Recent research confirmed that the infection is more concentrated in dairy cattle, where infection rates can reach 15% of herds with at least one infected animal (Brasil, 2006).

The infection leads to a decrease from 10 to 20% of milk production, loss of weight and a reduction of fertility. In addition, there is condemnation of carcasses of infected animals, and restrictions to export meat to countries where BTB is controlled (Brasil, 2006; Collins, 2006).
It is believed to occur under-reporting of reactive cases that, combined with fewer tests than necessary, contribute to the inaccuracy of official data (Brasil, 2006). In recent years, more diagnostic methods have helped more effective programs of prevention, control and eradication of disease (Collins, 2006). Several methods have been to this end both the direct detection of the etiologic agent in biological material, as in the indirect detection through the identification of a host immune response to the etiologic agent. (de la Rua-Domenech et al., 2006). Among which we can mention the tuberculin test, culture, post mortem examination, ELISA, interferon-gama and molecular assays (Schiller et al., 2010). Even so, it is necessary to validate these tests, taking into account the differences between clinical samples and evaluated countries in which they are employed.

2. Clinical Diagnosis

Tuberculosis (TB) is usually a chronic debilitating disease in cattle, but it can occasionally be acute and rapidly progressive. In countries with eradication programs, most infected cattle are identified early and symptomatic infections are uncommon. In the late stages, common symptoms include progressive emaciation, a low–grade fluctuating fever, weakness and inappetence. Animals with pulmonary involvement usually have a moist cough that is worse in the morning, during cold weather or exercise, and may have dyspnea or tachypnea (Une and Mori, 2007).

In some animals, the retropharyngeal or other lymph nodes enlarge and may rupture and drain. Greatly enlarged lymph nodes can also obstruct blood vessels, airways, or the digestive tract. If the digestive tract is involved, intermittent diarrhea and constipation may be seen (Une and Mori, 2007).

The symptoms of bovine tuberculosis usually take months to develop in cattle. Infections can also remain dormant for years and reactivate during periods of stress or in old age. Therefore, the BTB can be difficult to diagnose based only on the clinical signs, especially in developed countries, where the number of severe cases of animals with clinical evidence may be limited or absent and most are diagnosed by routine testing or found at the slaughterhouse (Cousins, 2001).

3. Post Mortem Diagnosis

The pathologic diagnosis, or post mortem, the BTB, while performing autopsies or sanitary inspection of carcasses in slaughterhouses refrigerated presents considerable difficulty, since many pathogens such as Actinomycetes bovis, Trueperella pyogenas and others, have a granulomatous inflammation and morphologic characteristics similar to BTB. The conventional post mortem examination has detected approximately 47% of presumptive BTB lesions in carcasses of cattle slaughtered. Despite this, the anatomo-pathology analyses has been crucial for the diagnosis of BTB in the control programs (Biet et al., 2005).

In recent years, in developed countries, the inspection of carcasses for evidence of BTB has come increasingly to be regarded as an extension of the national animal health program rather than solely as a control point in the prevention of human cases of tuberculosis caused by M. bovis, as was so often the case a century or more ago. Now, however, it is important to focus also on the contribution which efficient meat inspection, coupled with real-time data retrieval, and supported by advanced diagnostic bacteriology including DNA-based strain typing, that can provide the epidemiological data for the eradication and control of this zoonotic disease (Berends et al., 1993; Schiller et al., 2010). This disease is characterized by the formation of granulomas where bacteria are located. These granulomas are usually yellowish and either caseous, caseo-calcareous or calcified, and often encapsulated. Some tubercles are small enough to be missed by the naked eye, unless the tissue is sectioned. In cattle, tubercles are found in the lymph nodes, particularly those of the head and thorax. They are also common in the lung, spleen, liver and the surfaces of body cavities (de Kantor and Ritacco, 2006).

As evidenced by findings at post mortem examination, the tuberculosis in cattle is primarily a respiratory disease. The origin of the infected droplet or aerosol exhaled by the tuberculous animal, bovine or otherwise, and its role in the dissemination of M. bovis among animals and in the environment is all too often overlooked in the investigation of breakdowns, even considering that, the pattern and extent of exposure of the rest of the herd to tuberculous cattle identified, should be the basis for the assessment of the severity of the breakdown and of the current status. Again, that the fundamentals of the control of an infectious disease require to be kept in mind at all stages of the investigation and management of the outbreak; otherwise, wrong conclusions and decisions may result, with untoward consequences both for the herdowner and the programme (Collins, 2006). The digestive tract is also a route of infection for bovine tuberculosis, especially in calves fed milk from cows with tuberculous mastitis or through ingestion of contaminated water or forage. In this case, the primary complex is located in the digestive organs and lymph nodes (Good and Duignan, 2011).

An adequate system of disease control and epidemiological surveillance relies on slaughterhouse inspection. This implies a sound infrastructure, highly trained staff and a reliable register system for tracing back to the herd of origin. Official inspection is currently in vigor in 22 countries. Nevertheless, the scarcity of qualified veterinary inspectors and trained personnel limits the efficiency of post mortem examination in several countries (de Kantor and Ritacco, 2006). Therefore, the monitoring in the abattoir has been important to lesion detection during commercial slaughter that is used as cost-efficient method for passive surveillance of BTB. The success of such investigations is highly variable, since, the lesion detection exhibits a major lack in sensitivity.
3.1. Histopathological diagnosis

A presumptive diagnosis can also be made by histopathology and/or the microscopic demonstration of acid-fast bacilli, as a complementary form of post mortem lesions diagnostic presumptive BTB. More direct methods for tuberculosis diagnosis are based on the isolation or detection of the bacterium in sputum samples or biopsies (mostly in humans) or at post mortem, from tuberculous organ lesions (generally in animals). The presence of mycobacteria in a given sample can be assessed by Ziehl-Neelsen staining followed by light microscopy or auramine O staining and fluorescence microscopy (Marais et al., 2008). These techniques are based on the tinctorial properties common in mycobacteria and microorganisms of the genus Nocardia, Rhodococcus and Corynebacterium, known as acid resistant bacilli. That is named because they can retain the fuchsine-heated material after treatment for alcohol-acid. In this type of coloring, alcohol acid resistant microorganisms can be observed under the microscope (Marais et al., 2008).

The presumptive diagnosis of mycobacteriosis can be made if the tissue has characteristic histological lesions such as caseous necrosis, mineralisation, epithelioid cells, multinucleated giant cells and macrophages. As lesions are often paucibacillary, the presence of acid-fast organisms in histological sections may not be detected, although M. bovis can be isolated in culture. However, large numbers of acid-fast organisms are seen in lesions in primates, felids, mustelids (badgers) and marsupials (brush-tailed possums) (Corner et al., 2011; Schiller et al., 2010).

Recent work with M. tuberculosis suggests that the auramine O staining technique may be more sensitive and specific than Ziehl-Neelsen staining (Marais et al., 2008). However, microscopic detection of mycobacteria shows a generally low sensitivity (from 50 to 70%) for human sputum samples. In addition, many features, including the dyeing property, overlap in the genus Mycobacterium and Nocardia making it difficult in some cases, the differentiation between both. This is mainly due to the requirement of a high bacterial load for microscopy. A much higher sensitivity can be achieved by prior culture of the bacteria. Culture is still regarded as the gold standard for TB diagnosis despite certain limitations, like the difficulty of obtaining representative samples from live animals, the need for pretreatment, slow growth, and additional time for identification by additional methods (Medeiros et al., 2010).

3.2. Immunological diagnosis

The immunological diagnosis of BTB is based on delayed-type hypersensitivity (DTH) reaction in vivo, represented by the tuberculin skin test (TST) (Schiller et al., 2010). This evidence is an indirect method of diagnosis of TB and can reveal incipient infections, with three to eight weeks after contact with the M. bovis, since techniques are employed using standard reagents and equipment. It is a test widely used since it was recommended by Robert Koch in 1890 (Monaghan et al., 1994).

The tuberculin by Koch’s discovery, after some modifications, is currently called purified protein derivative (PPD), widely used for the indirect diagnosis of BTB in vivo. In the beginning the test was performed with PPD obtained from a M. tuberculosis strain, however, since the sixties the test in bovine is carried out with PPD obtained from the M. bovis AN5 strain. Advantages for the use of PPD and reasons for its wide use is low costs, high availability, long history of use and, for a long time, the lack of alternative methods to detect BTB. Still, this test has many known limitations including difficulties in administration and interpretation of results, need for a second-step visit, low degree of standardization, and imperfect test accuracy (de la Rua-Domenech et al., 2006; Schiller et al., 2010).

Borsuk et al. (2009) identified different proteins in bovine and avium PPD from the distinct countries, with the several efficiency levels, because the strains used to prepare the bovine and avium PPD are the same, but the media used to grow the bacteria, the inactivation procedure and precipitation method are different. On the other hand, the cross-reactions assigned, usually in the presence of PPD antigens common to different species of mycobacteria, is the most important cause of sensitization since it does not distinguish between infection with M. tuberculosis/bovis and BCG vaccination, or exposure to environmental mycobacteria (Young et al., 2009). However, this test is the only validated and used routinely and widely for more than 85 years (Huebner et al., 1993).

Thus, the test specificity is not only influenced by the purity, potency, and dosage of the PPD and strictness of interpretation of the response in the animal, but it is also influenced by sensitization of the animal by environmental mycobacteria. In addition, it has been recently showed that the genetic background of the animal can also influence the reaction to tuberculin (Amos et al., 2013). The standard TST is estimated to be able to detect around 40-80% of infected animals (Francis et al., 1978 apud Monaghan et al., 1994; Karolemeas et al., 2012). Clearly, there is an urgent need form significant improvement on the test, so that it could be used with more confidence for enforcing the ‘test-and-slaughter’ policy, reducing the number of false positive, as well as false negative results. This would certainly improve the effectiveness of the control program, and reduce the financial burden on cattle industry.

The predominant immunological response in M. bovis-infected cattle is affected by T lymphocytes (de la Rua-Domenech et al., 2006). Ante mortem tests of cellular immunity are very important for the control of BTB since they can identify M. bovis-infected animals very early. The tuberculin skin test and the interferon-gamma test are both based on the detection of the early cell-mediated immune response in tuberculosis infection. However, at late disease stages, the cell-mediated immune response can wane as opposed to a generally increasing humoral immune response and these tests can therefore give false negative results (de la Rua-Domenech et al., 2006). This is of importance for the diagnosis of BTB in settings where no
or poor disease control measures are applied and where the percentage of late stage diseased animals is believed to be high. Therefore, in developing countries, serological tests, which are based on the detection of the humoral immune response, may be of particular use. This serological test being incorporated into BTB eradication programs in many countries (de la Rua-Domenech et al., 2006; Schiller et al., 2010; Vordermeier et al., 2008), either in a serial testing regime as confirmatory test after the caudal fold test to enhance specificity or in a parallel testing regime to enhance sensitivity of DTHs. Some of the problems related to the development of serological tests for tuberculosis diagnosis include the observed highly variable antibody responses between individuals to mycobacterial antigens and antigenic variation between mycobacterial strains.

3.2.1. Gamma interferon assays (Bovigam)

Since 2006, the IFNγ assay (Bovigam®, Prionics, Switzerland) is an assay through which it is possible to verify the existence of cell-mediated immune response developed by the body of the animal in response to mycobacterial infection. IFNγ produced by T lymphocytes of the infected animal is detected, using monoclonal anti-IFNγ. The lack of detection of IFNγ characterizes the negativity of the animal to infection *M. bovis* since lymphocytes from uninfected cattle do not produce this cytokine in specific ways. As this is an *in vitro* test that has the advantage of not interfering with the immune status of the animal and may be repeated in the same animal is the need to respect the period of desensitization. This assay showed the increase in the sensitivity and the possibility of more rapid repeat testing, no need for a second visit to the farm and more objective test procedures and interpretation in comparison to the TST (Faye et al., 2011; Neill et al., 1994; Schiller et al., 2010; Wood and Jones, 2001).

The strategic application of the IFNγ assay, as an adjunct to the tuberculin test, can facilitate the early removal of infected animals in problem herds that are otherwise negative to the tuberculin test. Recognition that the objective of the assay is to identify high-risk animals that are potentially infectious for other cattle can generate confidence in herd-owners that rational decisions can be made based on sound scientific principles, and that effective schemes can be devised to make more rapid progress in the elimination of the infection from affected herds (Gormanley et al., 2006).

The assay is based on the release of IFNγ from sensitized lymphocytes during a 16–24 hours incubation period with specific antigen and makes use of comparison of IFNγ production following stimulation with avium and bovine PPD (Alito et al., 2003). Besides high logistical demands (culture start is required within 24 h after blood sampling), and its high costs, showed the same difficulties in the standardization already discussed in relation to the TST with the tuberculin (de la Rua-Domenech et al., 2006; Schiller et al., 2010; Vordermeier et al., 2008). ESAT6 and CFP10, *M. tuberculosis* complex specific antigens, have also been used to improve IFNγ assay specificity, especially in population groups testing positive to the TST. The use of these antigens may also offer the ability to differentiate BCG-vaccinated from unvaccinated animals. (Faye et al., 2011; Fentahun and Luke, 2012).

3.2.2. Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISA)

Although serological assays cannot be considered first choice diagnostic methods, many researchers describe strategies for their use. The indirect ELISA technique measures the binding of specific antibodies to an antigen (de la Rua-Domenech et al., 2006). An advantage of the ELISA is its simplicity, but sensitivity is limited mostly because of the late and irregular development of humoral immune response in cattle during the course of the disease.

In order to diagnose cattle infected by *M. bovis*, antigens usually employed are the PPD and single or associated purified antigens from *M. bovis* such as antigens of the Ag85 that complex represents a major part of the secreted proteins, and MPB70 and it highly homologous protein MPB83, secreted mycobacterial proteins with limited species distribution. Most of these antigens have achieved a sensitivity and specificity of around 90%, and their recommendations are based on the existence of anergic animals, as well as increased antibody titres in more advanced stages of the disease (Faye et al., 2011; McNair et al., 2001; Welsh et al., 2005).

Recently, the development of a lateral flow test that is based on the detection of more than one antigen has shown promising results for tuberculosis diagnosis in certain animal species (e.g. in elephant), although it may not be suitable for others, such as buffaloes (Greenwald et al., 2009; Michel and Simoes, 2009). Another recently developed serological test for animals is based on antibody detection using fluorescence polarization but has shown variable effectiveness in different settings (Jolley et al., 2007; Ngandolo et al., 2009).

3.3. Bacterial isolation

Isolation of *M. bovis* is considered “gold standard” for BTB diagnosis. However, the long period required for the isolation and biochemical identification, is one of its critical points, and may require more than twelve weeks to complete the final diagnosis, and also low sensitivity (Collins et al., 1994). The samples collected are submitted to decontamination methods, involves the addition of NaOH, HSO₄, oxalic acid, or quaternary ammonium compounds, to eliminate such competitive microorganisms, and, unfortunately, the toxic effects may affect mycobacterial viability, thereby interfering with culturing the organism (Ambrosio et al., 2008; Medeiros et al., 2010; Young et al., 2005).

The major limitation for systematic cultivation of *M. bovis* of animals is to obtain samples, and is usually held in autopsies and slaughterhouses. To overcome this limitation, studies have suggested the use of nasal swabs as an alternative to reduce contamination of samples and increase the sensitivity of the method (Ambrosio et al., 2008). As a limiting factor in isolation is often the poor quality
of the samples submitted, and all efforts should be made to ensure that the laboratory receives samples of good quality to enable the correct diagnosis of BTB.

Despite these issues, the MGIT system is still favored over the use of solid media due to decreased time to recovery and higher sensitivity (Robbe-Austerman et al., 2013). Even so, it has been reported that upon detection of tuberculin-positive animals, tuberculous lung lesions were evident in 70% of reactive cattle; the M. bovis was isolated from nasal or tracheal swabs only in 19% of confirmed cases (McIlroy et al., 1986; Schiller et al., 2010). Therefore, the combination of data from the bacterial culture and pathology can be useful for more accurate diagnosis of BTB (Barry Third et al., 2009; Young et al., 2009).

The culture presents higher sensitivity; furthermore, it offers the advantage of species identification. Continuous education and training of slaughter inspectors are certainly of major importance. In addition, the combined use of liquid and solid culture media has been reported to improve culture sensitivity (Hines et al., 2006). Therefore, improving the conventional microbiological method for rapid diagnosis represents a major advantage in the fight against tuberculosis in humans and cattle and has considerable impact on disease control in cattle (Corner, 1994).

Characteristic growth patterns and colonial morphology can provide a presumptive diagnosis of M. bovis; however, every isolate needs to be confirmed. It is necessary to distinguish M. bovis from the other members of the M. tuberculosis complex (Richter et al., 2004), i.e. M. tuberculosis (the primary cause of tuberculosis in humans), M. africanum (occupies an intermediate phenotypic position between M. tuberculosis and M. bovis), M. microti (the ‘vole bacillus’, a rarely encountered organism), M. pinnipedi and M. caprae (Meikle et al., 2007). This underscores the need for more sensitive, accurate, and faster methods to assist in the control of this zoonosis such as molecular methods.

3.4. Molecular diagnosis

Performing the differentiation between the organisms that cause human and bovine tuberculosis is not a simple task. Among the main problems in the distinguishing of mycobacteria to the species as causal, this diversity of techniques and tests that are needed, beyond the time necessary for complete identification (Telenti et al., 1993). A series of classical tests based on growth, phenotypic and biochemical properties have been traditionally used to separate the members of the M. tuberculosis Complex (de la Rua-Domenech et al., 2006). However, together, these tests can be slow, cumbersome, inaccurate, not reproducible and time-consuming, can give an ambiguous result and cannot be performed in any laboratory. Nevertheless, polymerase chain reaction (PCR) has been successfully applied to detect members of the M. tuberculosis complex and is especially useful for the direct detection of M. bovis in bovine tissue samples (Zumarraga et al., 2005).

The addition of assays such as PCR for detection of M. bovis DNA from formalin-fixed specimens has further enhanced some surveillance. PCR assays to detect MTB bacteria are currently less sensitive than culture techniques. Therefore, important further steps would be to improve PCR sensitivity and to standardize PCR methods (Schiller et al., 2010).

One of the key advances in our understanding of M. bovis has been the elucidation of the complete genome sequence of the pathogen (Garnier et al., 2003). The availability of the genome sequence of M. tuberculosis allows (Cole et al., 1998) us to perform comparative analyses that are providing insight into some of the key differences between the human and bovine bacillus. M. bovis is a close relative of M. tuberculosis, and they share genetic identity over 99% at the whole genome level and identical 16S rRNA sequences (de la Rúa-Domenech et al., 2006). Some studies have supported the fact that although slight differences are found in the genome sequence of M. tuberculosis and M. bovis and reflected in the physiology and host range spectrum (Alvarez et al., 2009).

Distinct in-house PCR methods have been proposed for the rapid detection of small amount of M. bovis DNA. These methods were mainly used to confirm the etiology of macroscopic lesions detected at slaughterhouse inspection and to detect M. bovis in milk specimens (de Kantor and Ritacco, 2006). Regarding the use of PCR for diagnosis of BTB, this approach has been extensively evaluated in detecting mycobacteria in milk, fresh tissues, and tissues fixed in formalin and embedded in paraffin. Several primers have been used to amplify the sequence of 16S-23S rRNA, the insertion sequences IS6110 and IS108, as well as genes coding for proteins such as MPB70 of 24 kDa, 38 kDa antigen B and HSP of 65 kDa (Collins, 2006; Cosivi et al., 1998; Dvorská et al., 2001; Telenti et al., 1993).

An approach that has been used is based on the amplification of a DNA sequence called RD7, present in M. tuberculosis and absent in M. bovis. However, this deletion is also present in M. microti and in some M. africanum and M. pinnipedi, which makes the test not very specific (Cole et al., 1998; Etchechoury et al., 2010). The most limiting steps while using this technique are the extractions of genomic DNA of amplifiable quality and availability of oligonucleotides with high specificity for different species, and cross-contamination, which has been the problem in the standardization of molecular methods. The variation in the results of authors regarding the specificity and sensitivity of these tests are due to many factors such as the type of sample used, the use of several methodologies in sample preparation, amplification system and detection of the product amplified and this will only be settled by reliable protocols and standardized by several laboratories (OIE, 2009).

Therefore, although direct PCR can produce a rapid result, it is recommended that culture be used in parallel to confirm a viable M. bovis (Warren et al., 2006). Nevertheless, it has already been observed with culture and PCR of M. bovis in bovine milk (Zumarraga et al., 2005), PCR that is more sensitive than culture, a fact that may be attributable to the decontamination method before culture that may kill a high proportion of bacteria (Meikle et al., 2007).
Currently, there is only one commercially available diagnostics kit for the differentiation of the MTB, this assay distinct members of the MTB using highly conserved single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNP) in the gyrB gene (Richter et al., 2004). However, it is limited as it does not distinguish M. canetti and M. pinnipedi. While SNP’s have commonly been used for accurate discriminate of members of the M. tuberculosis Complex further validation of the SNP identified in this study for the specific detection of M. caprae is required (Reddington et al., 2011).

4. Conclusion

Despite all the efforts to control BTB, the disease persists, with serious implications this zoonotic disease constitutes a significant economic burden to the agricultural industries and for human health. Eradication programs based on tuberculin testing and subsequent slaughter of positive animals have been successful in many developed countries. However, a tuberculin test is limited in its specificity and sensitivity, so culture should be used to confirm the presence of M. bovis. Molecular technics like PCR can also detect M. bovis directly in clinical samples. Moreover, genetic fingerprinting techniques (e.g. spoligotyping) can distinguish different strains of M. bovis.

Many factors contribute to the persistence of BTB, such as the limitations of diagnostic tests (concerning both sensitivity and specificity), larger herd sizes, increase in animal movements and trade, and limited options for control, such as limitations on whole herd depopulation. Therefore, considering current trends associated with BTB control and eradication programs, it is important to increasingly focus resources to target control strategies based on more effective diagnostic methods.
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